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ABSTRACT

DESIGNING AUTOPILOT AND GUIDANCE ALGORITHMS TO CONTROL TRANSLATIONAL AND ROTATIONAL DYNAMICS OF A FIXED WING VTOL UAV

Güçlü, Anıl
Ph.D., Department of Aerospace Engineering
Supervisor: Prof. Dr. Dilek Funda Kurtuluş
Co-Supervisor: Assist. Prof. Dr. Kutluk Bilge Arıkan

July 2020, 123 pages

Guidance and autopilot algorithms are designed and applied to a fixed wing VTOL air vehicle. The algorithms are developed on a rotary wing and a fixed air vehicle. Each air vehicle is identified by experimentation to reduce the discrepancies among the system model and the actual air vehicles. Designed controllers for the air vehicles are deployed to Pixhawk Cube controller board. Indoor and outdoor flight tests are carried out. For the rotary wing air vehicle, active disturbance rejection control algorithms are used to control the states. Trajectory tracking is also carried out with distinct load cases which are unloaded, asymmetrically placed constant load, and slung load. Disturbances which are induced by the loads and the environment are estimated and rejected during indoor and outdoor tests. Control allocation algorithms are focused and applied to a fixed wing VTOL air vehicle for the flight phases among vertical and horizontal flights. Consumed energy during the flight is minimized by a dynamic direct control allocation algorithm. Desired force and moments (virtual inputs) which comes from the controllers are mapped to the set of actuators via control allocation algorithms. The fixed wing VTOL air vehicle is loaded with two loads.
underneath of the main wing. In the scenario, the fixed wing VTOL air vehicle takes off with loads. One of them is released at a point, and then the asymmetric load is carried to another point. Developed active disturbance control allocation and control allocation algorithms are used together.

Keywords: ADRC, fixed wing, VTOL, UAV, control allocation, Pixhawk
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CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

Aerial vehicles are broadly used in the military (surveillance, war, shipment, inspection) and civilian areas in (mapping, search and rescue, transporting people and goods) many applications. Unmanned air vehicles have become popular in the 21st century with the improvements in modelling, simulation, control theories, and manufacturing. Air vehicles can be investigated in two groups, such as rotary and fixed wing air vehicles corresponding to their wing types [1-3]. Orville and Wilbur Wright (also known as Wright Brothers) developed the first heavier than air machine named as Wright Flyer in 1903 [4] given in Figure 1.1a. Igor Sikorsky developed the first rotary wing air vehicle named as VS-300 in 1939 [5] depicted in Figure 1.1b. Fixed and rotary wing air vehicles can be investigated in different categories which are given in Figures 1.2 [6] and 1.3 [7], respectively.

Figure 1.1: First fixed and rotary wing air vehicles
1.1 Motivation and Problem Definition

Fixed and rotary wing air vehicles have advantages and disadvantages compared to each other. Rotary wing air vehicles hover in the air so they can be used for applications in small area missions. They can take-off and land vertically, so there is no need for a runway. On the other hand, all actuators like brushless DC motors and propellers must rotate during the flight, which is a disadvantage of rotary wing air vehicles in the sense of energy consumption. Besides, their electrical structure is more complex. Fixed wing air vehicles have long endurance, higher speed, longer
flight time, affordable (lower fuel bills), lower maintenance, greater stability and linear flight advantage. However, they cannot make hover and slow speed flights. They need a runway for take-off and landing [8,9]. To dispose of these drawbacks and combining the upsides of these two sort air vehicles, a fixed wing VTOL UAV idea is advertised [10-12].

Pros and cons of the air vehicle types are given in Table 1.1 [13]. With taking into account both advantages and disadvantages of fixed and rotary wing air vehicles, it is aimed to compensate for the deficiencies of air vehicles by combining them in one platform. Fixed wing VTOL air vehicles are made by adding the ability of hover and vertical take-off and landing to the fixed wing air vehicles.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ability</th>
<th>Platform Types</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rotary wing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy efficiency</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Endurance</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hover</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complexity</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manoeuvrability</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VTOL</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Air vehicles are appropriately used for the mission type. For example, if precise motions or hovering are required, and there is a small area to take-off and land, then rotary wing air vehicles are used. However, if the requirements are extensive range, high payload, and endurance, then fixed wing air vehicles are preferred. The advantages of rotary and fixed wing air vehicles are merged in fixed wing VTOL air vehicles. Endurance and range of the fixed wing VTOL air vehicle cannot be as high as a fixed wing air vehicle. Similarly, it cannot hover as long as a rotary wing air vehicle. Although there are some inefficiencies of the fixed wing VTOL air vehicles compared to the fixed wing and rotary wing ones, it solves many problems.
1.2 Literature Review

Unconventional air vehicles are developed with the improvements on mechanical, electronic, and software industries. Innovations on the metallurgical and material technology provide an opportunity for lighter and more robust parts. Besides, it is easier to shape them that open new windows for designers [14, 15]. One of the essential components is controller hardware that runs algorithms during the operation of air vehicles. Compared to the old personal computers, cards’ technical properties are much higher in the sense of processor, memory, compactness, interfaces, such a pitch that some commercial off-the-shelf ready to fly autopilot cards are available on the market. These cards can even be configurable to different platforms. Some famous commercial off-the-shelf ready to fly autopilot cards are Dronee Cockpit [16], Navio2 [17], BeagleBone Blue [18], MicroPilot [19], Pixhawk Cube Flight Controller [20] and so on. In this research, the Pixhawk Cube controller board is used. The reason why the Pixhawk Cube is chosen and detailed information is given in Section 2.1.1.

Complex and high load algorithms can be run on with the new age microprocessor and electronic cards. Autopilot algorithms are developed to help pilots during all flight phases, such as take-off, cruise, and landing. The algorithms also reject disturbances such as wind and gust. This need implies some controller and disturbance rejection algorithms such as PID, LQR, sliding mode control, back-stepping, feedback linearization, adaptive control, robust control, optimal control, active disturbance rejection controller (ADRC), $L_1$, $H_{\infty}$, fuzzy logic, artificial neural networks, and so on [21-23]. PID controller has a wide range of applications and is widely used in the industry. Crucial advantages of this controller are that gains can be adjusted quickly. Design of the controller is simple, and robustness is good. The mathematical model of this controller is insufficient but straightforward because some system dynamics are unmodelled or inaccurate modelling [24, 25]. Performance of the PID controller is limited due to the uncertainties. Another controller type is sliding mode control (SMC) which is nonlinear. In this method, a discontinuous signal is applied to the system to slide along a predetermined path. System dynamics are not simplified by making linearization in the sliding mode control whose tracking performance is
good [21][26]. The back-stepping control algorithm is a kind of recursive method. The primary advantage of this method is the fast convergence. Disturbances can be handled well. Nevertheless, the robustness of this method is not good [27]. Feedback linearization converts the nonlinear system to the linear system by making a change of variables. The major limitation of this method is a precision loss because of linearity. This method requires an exact model for implementation but has an excellent tracking ability. However, its disturbance rejection ability is poor [21][26]. There are also some intelligent control algorithms which are fuzzy logic, neural networks, machine learning and genetic algorithms. There are pretty much uncertainty and mathematical complexity in these algorithms. Because of this complexity, they have substantial computational budgets [26].

In many applications [21–32], physical systems are modelled in the computer environment experimentally to get results as close as possible to the actual system. However, it is a harsh and inefficient way to model all system dynamics. Another disadvantage to model every part of the system is that even a minor change must be modelled in a computer environment that may be expensive and time-consuming. Discrepancies occur among simulation and system output. To get rid of the exact modelling need and observing uncertainties, ADRC can be used. Active Disturbance Rejection Control (ADRC) is a well-known controller and proposed by Han [33]. ADRC is composed of a tracking differentiator, a PD controller, and an Extended State Observer (ESO). ADRC is applied to a wide range of military and civil applications [34–37]. Effects of uncertainties, disturbances, and unmodelled dynamics to the system are estimated [38]. Detailed information about design and implementation of ADRC are given in Section 5.2. Thanks to the advances in that are stated above, unconventional air vehicles are developed. Fixed wing VTOL air vehicles become popular and it has various types according to the orientation and motion of its actuators. Tilt rotors, quadrotor-fixed wings, tail sitters, ducted fans-fixed wings are some types of fixed wing VTOL air vehicles. Researchers focus on performance and efficiency of air vehicles related to different geometries, algorithms, and configurations. Besides the research area, lots of feasible solutions are found with using fixed wing VTOL air vehicles. Search and rescue, photometry, mapping, surveillance, transportation, and combat are some areas that fixed wing VTOL air vehicles are used.
Examples of the fixed wing VTOL air vehicle types are given in Table 1.2.

Table 1.2: Fixed wing VTOL air vehicle examples

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Picture</th>
<th>Air vehicle name</th>
<th>Type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><img src="image" alt="UGS-TUM" /></td>
<td>UGS-TUM [39]</td>
<td>Tiltrotor - fixed wing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><img src="image" alt="M1-M4" /></td>
<td>M1-M4 [40]</td>
<td>Tiltrotor - fixed wing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><img src="image" alt="Twin engine flying wing" /></td>
<td>Twin engine flying wing [41]</td>
<td>Tail sitter - fixed wing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><img src="image" alt="Leha" /></td>
<td>Leha [42]</td>
<td>Quadrotor - fixed wing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><img src="image" alt="Hybrid UAV" /></td>
<td>Hybrid UAV [43]</td>
<td>Quadrotor - fixed wing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><img src="image" alt="Arcturus T-20" /></td>
<td>Arcturus T-20 [44] (Commercial)</td>
<td>Quadrotor - delta wing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><img src="image" alt="Ascent, Transition, Reach" /></td>
<td>Ascent, Transition, Reach [45] (Commercial)</td>
<td>Quadrotor - fixed wing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><img src="image" alt="CGT50" /></td>
<td>CGT50 [46] (Commercial)</td>
<td>Quadrotor - fixed wing</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
1.3 Proposed Methods and Models

A fixed air vehicle is modified such that vertical take-off and landing skills are built, so a fixed wing VTOL air vehicle is obtained. Since the final platform is a combination of a rotary wing and a fixed wing air vehicle, each platform is investigated individually.

- A **rotary wing air vehicle** is modelled in a computer environment with identification of mass moment of inertias and thrust units, experimentally.
- 2\textsuperscript{nd} and 3\textsuperscript{rd} order ADRC controllers are designed and applied to the rotary wing air vehicle model to control *translational and rotational dynamics*.
- Outdoor flight tests are carried out for 20m. and 40m. flight ranges with 2\textsuperscript{nd} and 3\textsuperscript{rd} order ADRC controllers under different load conditions such as unloaded, asymmetrically loaded stationary and slung load cases.
- A **fixed wing air vehicle** is modelled in a computer environment with identification of mass moment of inertias, thrust units, and control surfaces, experimentally.
- PID controller is designed and applied to the fixed wing air vehicle model to control translational and rotational dynamics.
- Outdoor flight tests are carried out with fixed wing air vehicle.
- A **fixed wing VTOL air vehicle** is modelled in a computer environment with identification of mass moment of inertias, thrust units, and control surfaces, experimentally.
- 2\textsuperscript{nd} and 3\textsuperscript{rd} order ADRC controllers are designed and applied to the fixed wing VTOL air vehicle model to control translational and rotational dynamics.
- **Control allocation** algorithms are investigated to distribute required forces and moments to the available set of actuators during different flight phases.
- Designed controllers and control allocation algorithm are merged in an operational scenario.
1.4 Contributions and Novelties

Our contributions are as follows:

- A method is developed for designing an autopilot and guidance algorithms which can stand for flights under different loads and disturbances. The rotary wing air vehicle is modelled experimentally. Dynamics and disturbances, which are hard to model, are estimated to be rejected during the flight test with the same controller and observer gains.

- Different control allocation algorithms are designed and applied to tilt-rotor and tail-sitter type air vehicles. Energy-efficient control allocation algorithm is investigated for fixed wing VTOL type air vehicles.

- Performance of the Active Disturbance Rejection Controller is increased with taking into account the actuator dynamics which gives freedom to increase the controller and observer bandwidths that output better controller and estimation performances, and, in addition to the [38];

- Performance of the ADRC is investigated and proved under disturbances that are changing stochastically in magnitude and direction caused by an asymmetric placed slung load besides gust and wind.

- Performance of the trajectory tracking and attitude control of the rotary wing air vehicle is improved with enhanced ADRC structure.

- An optimization is done for energy consumption without waiving the performance of the controllers.

- Contributions are proved by the outdoor flight tests with unloaded, asymmetrically located static loaded, and slung loaded cases for the rotary wing air vehicle.

- An energy optimized guidance, autopilot, and control algorithm is developed for fixed wing VTOL air vehicle.
1.5 The Outline of the Thesis

Current technologies, algorithms, and improvements about rotary, fixed, and fixed wing VTOL air vehicles are given in Section 1. Detailed information about physical properties, analysis and experiments done during the modelling of the rotary wing, fixed wing, and fixed wing VTOL air vehicles are given in Sections 2, 3, and 4, respectively. Designed control algorithms to control the translational-rotational states and control allocation algorithms to distribute the desired forces/moments to an available set of actuators are given in Section 5. Obtained results during the simulations and flight tests are given and discussed in Section 6. The research is concluded with Section 7 containing the contributions and discussions.
CHAPTER 2

ROTARY WING AIR VEHICLE

The rotary wing air vehicle is used to develop controllers for vertical motion that will be done in take-off and landing stages. In this chapter, information about the rotary wing air vehicle, system identification tests, and mathematical modelling are stated. Developed guidance and autopilot algorithms are deployed to a controller board. Some important steps about deployment and related to the operation are also given in the chapter.

2.1 Physical System

As a rotary wing air vehicle, low cost and off-the-shelf products are chosen. Components of the rotary wing air vehicle are given in Table 2.1. Assembled rotary wing air vehicle is given in Figure 2.1.

Table 2.1: Components of the rotary wing air vehicle

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Property</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Frame</td>
<td>Tarot F450</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electronic Speed Controllers</td>
<td>Skywalker ESC 30A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Propellers</td>
<td>8x4.5 (CW-CCW)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Controller board</td>
<td>Pixhawk Cube</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Battery</td>
<td>11.1V - 3200mAh</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brushless Direct Current Motors</td>
<td>Emax XA2212 980KV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total weight</td>
<td>≈1kg</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2.1.1 Controller board

Pixhawk Cube controller board is a well-known autopilot board [47,48], which is used to control for the different ground and air vehicles. It has analogue and digital input ports to acquire data from different sensors or remote controllers and output ports to use actuators such as servo motors and brushless direct current (BLDC) motors. There are built-in gyroscopes, accelerometers, magnetometers, and barometers. Latitude, longitude, and altitude of the rotary wing air vehicle are obtained with the Global Positioning System (GPS) module of the Pixhawk Cube controller board.

In this study, the built-in firmware is erased, and the developed guidance and autopilot algorithms are deployed into the cube controller. Matlab®/Simulink® environment is used to develop the guidance and autopilot algorithm. Matlab®(2018b) converts the developed algorithm from the Simulink environment to a C code using the hardware support package for Pixhawk Cube. Then, the algorithm runs in the Pixhawk Cube controller board in real-time. In this study, the sampling frequency is set to 250 Hz. Vehicle attitude, GPS global/local coordinates, remote control sources of info and battery voltage/current data can be acquired by using the Pixhawk Cube controller board library. PWM ports can be controlled with the same library too.
Ready to fly firmware for rotary wing air vehicle can be downloaded and deployed to Pixhawk Cube controller board using either Mission Planner [49] or QGroundControl [50] software. In this research, not ready to fly firmware is used. Guidance and autopilot algorithms are developed and deployed to the Pixhawk Cube controller board. So, just sensors, processor, inputs, and outputs of the board are used. Some issues mentioned in remarks below are encountered with Pixhawk Cube controller board in Matlab®/Simulink®. These issues may not be seen in ready to fly firmware.

Remark 1: After the code is deployed to the Pixhawk Cube controller board, the measured and estimated Euler angles are not constant. Angles are settled after at least 60 seconds.

Remark 2: Although the Pixhawk Cube controller board is on a horizontal surface, roll and pitch angles are not zero. There is always drift in angle measurements due to the sensor bias. Therefore, these angles must be reset to zero. In our application, one channel from the remote controller is dedicated to resetting the angles to zero.

The issues that are stated in Remark 1 and Remark 2 can be seen in Figure 2.2. Arrow represents the time of the reset.

![Figure 2.2: Pixhawk Cube measured Euler angles](image)
Remark 3: Pixhawk Cube controller board has an SD card that is used for logging the telemetry data during the flight tests. In Figure 2.3, a sample timestamp difference data can be seen. Usually, Pixhawk Cube controller board is set to run at 4\,ms (250Hz) period. The timestamp is the time measured by the processor of the controller board at each cycle. Timestamp difference of the board can be seen in Figure 2.3. Till about second 86, except a little jump at about second 40 which is shown with the solid line arrow, timestamp difference is 4\,ms. At about second 86, the timestamp difference is about 200\,ms depicted with a dashed line arrow. It means that no sensor data is acquired from the board during 200\,ms, which makes the rotary wing air vehicle unstable. This problem is solved after changing the SD card which comes with the Pixhawk Cube controller board with a SanDisk Extreme U3 32GB [5].

![Figure 2.3: Pixhawk Cube data loss](image)

2.1.2 Thrust unit

Thrust unit is composed of an electronic speed controller, a brushless DC motor, and a propeller. The rotary wing air vehicle has four thrust units. Pixhawk Cube controller board gives output signals that are determined by the guidance and control algorithms run in the board. The control signal is a pulse-width-modulation (PWM) signal. The electronic speed controller converts the PWM signal into three phase-
shifted sinus signals. The rotational velocity of the brushless DC motor and propeller pair is adjusted by the sinus signal. The process is depicted in Figure 2.4.

![Thrust unit](image)

**Figure 2.4: Thrust unit**

### 2.2 System Identification

Experimental identification tests have been carried out to have a mathematical model of the rotary wing air vehicle as close as possible to the real system. Reducing the discrepancy among the real system and the system model gives closer results among them.

#### 2.2.1 Bifilar pendulum test for rotary wing air vehicle

Bifilar pendulum test is an innovative way to obtain the mass moments of inertia of an object [52, 53]. In this study, the bifilar pendulum test is performed to rotary wing air vehicle to get its mass moments of inertia around roll, pitch, and yaw axes that are given in Figure 2.5. In these tests, the air vehicle is hanged and oscillated freely around its roll, pitch, and yaw axes. During its oscillation, angular rates are acquired with the Pixhawk Cube autopilot card. Test parameters, which are summarized in Table 2.2, are substituted in Equation 2.1 and the mass moment of inertia for roll, pitch, and yaw axes are found experimentally.

The rotary wing air vehicle is symmetric around the roll and pitch axes, so it is assumed that the mass moment of inertia values around the roll and pitch axes are equal or very close to each other. Oscillation data for roll and yaw axes can be found in Figures 2.6a and 2.6b, respectively.
### Table 2.2: Parameters of bifilar pendulum test

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Property</th>
<th>unit</th>
<th>roll and pitch axes</th>
<th>yaw axis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Length of the ropes, $\ell$</td>
<td>m</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>0.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distance between ropes, $R$</td>
<td>m</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mass of the system, $m$</td>
<td>kg</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gravitational acceleration, $g$</td>
<td>m/sec$^2$</td>
<td>9.81</td>
<td>9.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oscillation period, $T_n$</td>
<td>s</td>
<td>1.04</td>
<td>1.52</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 2.5: Bifilar pendulum experiment
After substituting the parameters, which are given in Table 2.2 in Equation 2.1, mass moment of inertias of the rotary wing air vehicle around three body axes are found and given in Table 2.3 and Equation 2.2.

2.2.2 Thrust measurement for rotary wing air vehicle

The thrust test is an innovative way to identify the thrust force, which is produced by the motor and propeller pair. Each BLDC (brushless direct current) motor has to
be tested with a propeller and an electronic speed controller (ESC) in their direction of rotation, particularly. Different BLDC motors do not rotate at the same rotational velocity for the same PWM input signal even if they are the same brand. In this study, components which are used to generate thrust force are named as a thrust unit that is composed of an ESC, a BLDC, and a propeller. Thrust units that are used in rotary wing air vehicle are tested in a thrust measurement setup. The scheme is represented in Figure 2.7. During the experiment, reference PWM signals are sent to the ESCs which are used to convert PWM signals to three sinusoidal signals and motor-propeller pair rotates. The rotational velocity of the motor-propeller pair is directly proportional with the duty ratio of the PWM signal. Generated thrust force and drag moment are measured by a six axes force and torque sensor. Besides, the rotational velocity of the motor-propeller pair is measured by an infrared sensor.

The thrust test is carried out for each thrust unit to get the transfer function of the actuators. Stair step-shaped input reference PWM signal is sent to the thrust unit. Generated thrust force is logged using a force sensor. Measured thrust force and rotational velocities of each thrust unit are given in Figures 2.8 and 2.9, respectively.
Obtained transfer functions using the input and output signals for each thrust unit are given in Table 2.4. In transfer function, $V(s)$ is the input and $\Omega(s)$ is the output which is reference voltage signals and rotational speed of the motors, respectively.
Table 2.4: BLDCs transfer functions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Transfer Function</th>
<th>Motor 1</th>
<th>Motor 2</th>
<th>Motor 3</th>
<th>Motor 4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\frac{\Omega(s)}{V(s)}$</td>
<td>$0.98 \frac{0.0625s + 1}{0.0622s + 1}$</td>
<td>$0.975 \frac{0.0626s + 1}{0.0627s + 1}$</td>
<td>$0.983 \frac{0.0625s + 1}{0.0622s + 1}$</td>
<td>$0.91 \frac{0.0625s + 1}{0.0622s + 1}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.3 Mathematical Modelling of the Rotary Wing Air Vehicle

Scheme of the rotary wing air vehicle, which is used in this study is given in Figure 2.10. Each brushless DC motor and propeller has a contribution in rotational and translational dynamics in the X shaped rotary wing air vehicle. Propeller directions of rotations are depicted as green and red curved arrows. Rotary wing air vehicle is stationary if thrust units generate equal thrust forces, which are shown as $F_i$ where $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$. The thrust forces are obtained with the rotational speed of propellers, which are represented by $\Omega_i$ where $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$. Rotary wing air vehicle rotates and translates because of the moments and forces generated by the thrust units. Rotational motion around the body $x, y,$ and $z$ axes are named as roll, pitch, and yaw and symbolized as $\phi, \theta,$ and $\psi,$ respectively.

![Figure 2.10: Rotary wing air vehicle scheme](image)
To have a positive roll moment, the generated aerodynamic moments from the third and the fourth propellers must be higher than the first and the second ones. Similarly, to have a positive pitch moment generated aerodynamic moments from the first and the fourth propellers must be higher than the second and the third ones. The yaw dynamic of the rotary wing air vehicle is controlled by the drag moments which are generated by propeller rotations. Each propeller generates a drag moment to the opposite direction of rotation [54, 55]. Thrust force and aerodynamic moments which are generated with the thrust units are calculated as given in Equation 2.3.

\[
\begin{align*}
U_1 &= \sum_{i=1}^{4} F_i = b_1 \Omega_1^2 + b_2 \Omega_2^2 + b_3 \Omega_3^2 + b_4 \Omega_4^2 \\
U_2 &= l \left( b_3 \Omega_3^2 + b_4 \Omega_4^2 - b_1 \Omega_1^2 - b_2 \Omega_2^2 \right) \\
U_3 &= l \left( b_2 \Omega_2^2 + b_3 \Omega_3^2 - b_1 \Omega_1^2 - b_4 \Omega_4^2 \right) \\
U_4 &= d_1 \Omega_1^2 - d_2 \Omega_2^2 + d_3 \Omega_3^2 - d_4 \Omega_4^2
\end{align*}
\] (2.3)

where:

- \(U_1\) : Generated lift force
- \(U_2\) : Roll moment
- \(U_3\) : Pitch moment
- \(U_4\) : Yaw moment
- \(\Omega_{1,2,3,4}\) : Rotational velocity of the rotary wings
- \(l\) : Distance of rotary axes and center of gravity of the rotary wing air vehicle
- \(b_{1,2,3,4}\) : Thrust coefficient of thrust units
- \(d_{1,2,3,4}\) : Drag coefficient of thrust units

Translational and rotational equations of motion in body-fixed frame for the rotary wing air vehicle are given in Equations 2.4 and 2.5. External forces acting on the rotary wing air vehicle are composed of gravitational, propulsive, and aerodynamic forces. Since the translational velocities of the rotary wing air vehicle are low, aero-
dynamic forces are neglected [56].

\[
\sum \text{F}_{\text{ext}} = \text{F}_{\text{grav,B}} + \text{F}_{\text{prop,B}}
\]

\[
\text{F}_{\text{grav,B}} = \mathbf{L}_{\text{BE}} m \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ g \end{bmatrix}
\]

\[
\text{F}_{\text{prop,B}} = - \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ U_1 \end{bmatrix}
\]

\[
\sum \text{F}_{\text{ext}} = \mathbf{L}_{\text{BE}} m \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ g \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ U_1 \end{bmatrix}
\]

\[
\begin{bmatrix} \ddot{x} \\ \ddot{y} \\ \ddot{z} \end{bmatrix} = -\mathbf{L}_{\text{EB}} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ U_1/m \end{bmatrix}
\]

\[
\sum \text{M}_{\text{ext}} = \begin{bmatrix} U_2 \\ U_3 \\ U_4 \end{bmatrix}
\]

where \( \mathbf{L}_{\text{BE}} \) is the transformation matrix converting \( \text{F}_E \) (force defined in the Earth-fixed frame) to \( \text{F}_B \) (force defined in the body-fixed frame).

\[
\mathbf{L}_{\text{BE}} = \begin{bmatrix}
c(\theta)c(\psi) & c(\theta)s(\psi) & -s(\theta) \\
s(\phi)s(\theta)c(\psi) - c(\phi)s(\psi) & s(\phi)s(\theta)s(\psi) + c(\phi)c(\psi) & s(\phi)c(\theta) \\
c(\phi)s(\theta)c(\psi) + s(\phi)s(\psi) & c(\phi)s(\theta)s(\psi) - s(\phi)c(\psi) & c(\phi)c(\theta)
\end{bmatrix}
\]

where:

- \( c \): cosine function
- \( s \): sine function
Moreover, all the transformation matrices are orthogonal, then $L_{BE}$ transformation matrix converting $F_E$ to $F_B$ can be expressed as in Equation 2.7:

$$L_{EB} = L_{BE}^{-1} = L_{BE}^T$$

(2.7)

Since there are four inputs and six outputs, the rotary wing air vehicle is underactuated. Inputs are the rotational velocities of the thrust units and outputs are translational and rotational dynamics of the rotary wing air vehicle. Translational and rotational equations are driven by Newton-Euler equations given in Equations 2.8, 2.9 and 2.10:

$$\sum F_{ext} = m_B \ddot{x}_B$$

$$\sum M_{ext} = I_B \dot{\omega}_B + \omega_B \times I_B \omega_B$$

(2.8)

$$\ddot{x} = (\sin \psi \sin \phi + \cos \psi \sin \theta \cos \phi) \frac{U_1}{m}$$

$$\ddot{y} = (-\cos \psi \sin \phi + \sin \psi \sin \theta \cos \phi) \frac{U_1}{m}$$

$$\ddot{z} = -g + (\cos \theta \cos \phi) \frac{U_1}{m}$$

(2.9)

$$\dot{p} = \frac{I_y - I_z}{I_x} qr + \frac{U_2}{I_x}$$

$$\dot{q} = \frac{I_z - I_x}{I_y} pr + \frac{U_3}{I_y}$$

$$\dot{r} = \frac{I_x - I_y}{I_z} pq + \frac{U_4}{I_z}$$

(2.10)

In Equations 2.9 and 2.10, $m$ is the mass, $x, y, z$ and $p, q, r$ are the translational position and angular velocity of the rotary wing air vehicle. $\phi, \theta,$ and $\psi$ represent the Euler angles, $I_x, I_y,$ and $I_z$ are the mass moment of inertia about $x, y,$ and $z$ axes. To simplify the system, the attitude dynamics of the rotary wing air vehicle in roll, pitch, and yaw axes can be written as in Equations 2.11 and 2.12 where $U_2, U_3,$ and $U_4$ are
the roll, pitch, and yaw moments, respectively [38].

\[ I_x \ddot{\phi} = U_2 \]
\[ I_y \ddot{\theta} = U_3 \]
\[ I_z \ddot{\psi} = U_4 \]  

(2.11)

\[ \frac{\phi(s)}{U_2(s)} = \frac{1}{I_x s^2} \]
\[ \frac{\theta(s)}{U_3(s)} = \frac{1}{I_y s^2} \]
\[ \frac{\psi(s)}{U_4(s)} = \frac{1}{I_z s^2} \]  

(2.12)
CHAPTER 3

FIXED WING AIR VEHICLE

The fixed wing air vehicle is used to develop controllers for horizontal motion that will be done level flight and manoeuvres. In this chapter, information about the fixed wing air vehicle, system identification tests, and mathematical modelling are stated. Developed guidance and autopilot algorithms are deployed to the Pixhawk Cube controller board (see Section 2.1.1).

3.1 Physical System

As a fixed wing air vehicle, an E-flite Apprentice model plane is used which is depicted in Figure 3.1. The plane comes with its safe controller. In the study’s scope, the built-in controller is removed, and the Pixhawk Cube controller board is used to control the fixed wing air vehicle. Guidance and control algorithms are developed in Matlab/Simulink environment and deployed to the Pixhawk Cube controller board (see Section 2.1.1). Specifications of the fixed wing air vehicle are given in Table 3.1.

3.2 System Identification

3.2.1 Bifilar pendulum test for fixed wing air vehicle

Mass moment of inertia of the fixed wing air vehicle is found with the same method as done in Section 2.2.1. The experiment is done for all rotation axes which are roll, pitch, and yaw. Calculated mass moment of inertia values are given in Table 3.2.
Table 3.1: Specifications of the fixed wing air vehicle

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Property</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wing span</td>
<td>1.5m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall length</td>
<td>1.08m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wing area</td>
<td>33.2$dm^2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Motor size</td>
<td>940$Kv$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Battery</td>
<td>3S 3200$mAh$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Servos</td>
<td>4 Savox</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wing loading</td>
<td>4.087$kg/m^2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total weight</td>
<td>1.4$kg$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3.2: Mass moment of inertias of the fixed wing air vehicle

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Property</th>
<th>unit</th>
<th>inertia value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Inertia around x-body axis, $I_x$</td>
<td>$kg.m^2$</td>
<td>1.6589</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inertia around y-body axis, $I_y$</td>
<td>$kg.m^2$</td>
<td>1.5125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inertia around z-body axis, $I_z$</td>
<td>$kg.m^2$</td>
<td>0.8124</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3.2.2 Thrust measurement for fixed wing air vehicle

Horizontal thrust force of the fixed wing air vehicle is generated by a puller BLDC motor. Thrust measurement test is carried out with the same test bench (see Figure 2.7) to identify the generated thrust force relative to the PWM duty ratio. Relations obtained among PWM duty ratio and thrust force are given in Equations 3.1 and 3.2 which are implemented in Matlab®/Simulink®.

![Graph showing thrust force and rotational velocity versus PWM duty ratio]

\[ F_x = -0.0017 \cdot dt^3 + 0.2993 \cdot dt^2 + 0.6369 \cdot dt + 2.7543 \quad (3.1) \]

\[ \Omega_x = 0.0116 \cdot dt^3 - 2.0605 \cdot dt^2 + 155.5409 \cdot dt - 899.4160 \quad (3.2) \]

3.2.3 Control surface identification for fixed wing air vehicle

Control surfaces of the fixed wing air vehicle are actuated by servo motors. Each servo motor is connected to the angle measurement apparatus that is given in Figure 3.3. Relations are obtained among PWM duty ratio and control surface angles given in Table 3.3.
In Table 3.3, rotational positions of control surfaces which are aileron, elevator, and rudder are represented as $c_{s_{a}}$, $c_{s_{e}}$, and $c_{s_{r}}$, respectively. $dt$ is the duty ratio of the PWM signal.

### 3.2.4 Aerodynamic analysis for fixed wing air vehicle

XFLR5 software [57] is used to analyse the fixed wing air vehicle aerodynamically. Airfoil is drawn to a graph paper, and coordinates are obtained. Airfoil coordinates are imported to XFLR5 software via a file whose extension is dat. Control surfaces on the main wing, horizontal, and vertical tails (aileron, elevator, and rudder, respectively) are measured and implemented to the software. Then, flapped airfoils are created according to the percentage size of the control surfaces. Flapped airfoils are designed with $0^\circ$ angle. The deflection is given during the stability analysis. A batch analysis is performed to investigate these airfoils. The analysis is made for a range of Re number (50,000-500,000) and angle of attack ($-7^\circ$ to $10^\circ$), and an incompress-
ible solution is selected for the results. After the design and analysis stages of the airfoils, the stage of the wing and plane design is started. When designing the main wing, horizontal and vertical tail, information of the span, span-wise chord, airfoil type, twist angle, and dihedral angle are needed. For the analysis, adding the body of the aircraft is not recommended. Therefore, the weight of the fuselage is given as a point mass. The weights of all electronics and motor are also given as point masses. Pressure distributions are shown in Figure 3.4a changing of lift coefficient $C_L$ and pitch moment coefficient $C_M$ are given in Figure 3.4b and 3.4d, respectively. Relation among drag coefficient $C_D$ and lift coefficient $C_L$ is given in Figure 3.4c. All data are implemented in Matlab®/Simulink® and used during simulations.

![Figure 3.4: Aerodynamic analysis of fixed wing air vehicle](image)

Aerodynamic forces and moments generated by the control surfaces and wings are analysed. Forces in the $x$, $y$ and $z$ directions are obtained from their translational and rotational velocity derivatives which can be seen from the left columns of the Tables 3.5 and 3.6. Non-dimensional counterparts are obtained from the ratio of the dynamic pressure, $q$, times area, Equation 3.4. Pitching moment derivatives can be non-dimensionalized from the ratio of the dynamic pressure times area times mean aerodynamic chord, Equation 3.5. Rolling and yawing moment derivatives can be
non-dimensionalized from the ratio of the dynamic pressure times area times mean span, Equation 3.6. Aerodynamic and geometric properties of the fixed wing air vehicle are given in Table 3.4. Longitudinal and lateral stability derivatives are found by the aerodynamic analysis and given in right columns of Tables 3.5 and 3.6, respectively. Center of gravity of the fixed wing air vehicle is selected as a reference point during the XFLR5 software analyses.

\[ q = \frac{1}{2} \rho V^2 \]  

(3.3)

\[
C_{X_{(u,a)}}, C_{Z_{u}}, C_{L_{(a,q)}}, C_{Y_{(p,r)}} = \frac{X_{(u,w)}, Y_{(v,p,r)}, Z_{(u,w,q)}}{q \ast S} \]  

(3.4)

\[
C_{M_{(u,a,q)}} = \frac{M_{(u,w,q)}}{q \ast S \ast \bar{c}} \]  

(3.5)

\[
C_{L_{(p,r)}}, C_{N_{(p)}} = \frac{L_{(v,p,r)}, N_{(v,p)}}{q \ast S \ast b} \]  

(3.6)

Table 3.4: Fixed wing air vehicle properties

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Property</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( q ), dynamic pressure</td>
<td>15 kg/m( s^2 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( S ), area</td>
<td>0.27 m(^2 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( b ), span</td>
<td>1.5 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \bar{c} ), mean aerodynamic chord</td>
<td>0.18 m</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 3.5: Fixed wing air vehicle longitudinal derivatives

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Longitudinal Derivatives</th>
<th>$X_u$</th>
<th>$C_{X_u}$</th>
<th>$X_w$</th>
<th>$C_{X_w}$</th>
<th>$Z_u$</th>
<th>$C_{Z_u}$</th>
<th>$Z_w$</th>
<th>$C_{L_w}$</th>
<th>$Z_q$</th>
<th>$C_{L_q}$</th>
<th>$M_u$</th>
<th>$C_{M_u}$</th>
<th>$M_w$</th>
<th>$C_{M_w}$</th>
<th>$M_q$</th>
<th>$C_{M_q}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$X_u$</td>
<td>-0.0091172</td>
<td>-0.0022521</td>
<td>0.35811</td>
<td>0.088457</td>
<td>-1.1223</td>
<td>-5.6611e-05</td>
<td>-21.865</td>
<td>5.401</td>
<td>-2.934</td>
<td>8.052</td>
<td>3.2871e-09</td>
<td>4.5109e-09</td>
<td>-0.38513</td>
<td>-0.52851</td>
<td>-0.88843</td>
<td>-13.547</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3.6: Fixed wing air vehicle lateral derivatives

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lateral Derivatives</th>
<th>$Y_v$</th>
<th>$C_{Y_v}$</th>
<th>$Y_p$</th>
<th>$C_{Y_p}$</th>
<th>$Y_r$</th>
<th>$C_{Y_r}$</th>
<th>$L_v$</th>
<th>$C_{L_v}$</th>
<th>$L_p$</th>
<th>$C_{L_p}$</th>
<th>$L_r$</th>
<th>$C_{L_r}$</th>
<th>$N_v$</th>
<th>$C_{N_v}$</th>
<th>$N_p$</th>
<th>$C_{N_p}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$Y_v$</td>
<td>-1.5638</td>
<td>-0.38628</td>
<td>0.10488</td>
<td>0.034542</td>
<td>0.92831</td>
<td>0.30574</td>
<td>-0.13316</td>
<td>-0.021928</td>
<td>-2.5604</td>
<td>-0.56219</td>
<td>0.26436</td>
<td>0.058045</td>
<td>0.80234</td>
<td>0.13213</td>
<td>-0.1479</td>
<td>-0.032475</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.3 Mathematical Modelling of the Fixed Wing Air Vehicle

Fixed wing air vehicle body forces, moments, translational and rotational velocities are shown in Figure 3.5.
In Figure 3.5, $u, v, w$ are forward, side, yaw velocities, $L, M, N$ are roll, pitch, yaw moments, $p, q, r$ are angular velocities in roll, pitch, yaw axes, $\phi, \theta, \psi$ are roll, pitch, and yaw angles. $X, Y, Z$ are forces in longitudinal, transverse, vertical force, and $L, M, N$ are roll, pitch, yaw moments. Forces acting on the body are found by Newton’s equations in addition, since the body rotates Coriolis effect has to be taken into account [58]. Forces on the body, rotational and translational velocities in a fixed frame are represented in Equations 3.7, 3.10, and 3.11 respectively [59, 60].

\[
F_B = \begin{bmatrix} X & Y & Z \end{bmatrix}^T \tag{3.7}
\]

\[
F_B = m(\dot{V}_B + \omega_B \times V_B) \tag{3.8}
\]
\[ X - mgsin\theta = m(\dot{u} + qw - rv) \]
\[ Y + mgcos\theta sin\phi = m(\dot{v} + ru - pw) \]
\[ Z + mgcos\theta cos\phi = m(\dot{w} + pv - qu) \]

\[ \omega_B = \begin{bmatrix} p & q & r \end{bmatrix}^T \]  
\[ (3.10) \]

\[ V_B = \begin{bmatrix} u & v & w \end{bmatrix}^T \]  
\[ (3.11) \]

External body moments are represented as in Equation \[3.12\] \[59, 60\].

\[ L = I_{xx}\hat{\dot{p}} + I_{xy}(\hat{q} - pr) + I_{xz}(\hat{r} - pq) + I_y(q^2 - r^2) - (I_{yy} - I_{zz})qr \]
\[ M = I_{yy}\hat{\dot{q}} + I_{yz}(\hat{r} - pq) + I_{yx}(\hat{r} - qr) + I_{zz}(r^2 - p^2) - (I_{zz} - I_{xx})pr \]
\[ N = I_{zz}\hat{\dot{r}} + I_{xz}(\hat{p} - qr) + I_{yz}(\hat{p} - pr) + I_{xy}(p^2 - q^2) - (I_{xx} - I_{yy})pq \]

\[ (3.12) \]

Because xz plane is the symmetry plane of the fixed wing air vehicle, \[I_{xy} = I_{yz} = I_{yx} = I_{zy} = 0\], then Equation \[3.12\] is turned out to be Equation \[3.13\] \[59, 60\].

\[ L = I_{xx}\hat{\dot{p}} + I_{xz}(\hat{r} + pq) - (I_{yy} - I_{zz})qr \]
\[ M = I_{yy}\hat{\dot{q}} + I_{xx}(r^2 - p^2) - (I_{zz} - I_{xx})pr \]
\[ N = I_{zz}\hat{\dot{r}} + I_{xx}(\hat{p} - qr) - (I_{xx} - I_{yy})pq \]

\[ (3.13) \]
CHAPTER 4

FIXED WING VTOL AIR VEHICLE

Fixed wing VTOL air vehicle is the last and the most important system of the study. It is composed of a rotary wing and a fixed wing air vehicle whose scheme is given in Figure 4.1. Since the number of actuators is greater than the states, fixed wing VTOL air vehicle is an over actuated system [61, 62].

Figure 4.1: Fixed wing VTOL air vehicle scheme

Fixed wing VTOL air vehicle takes off vertically up to a pre-defined altitude. Then, the transition from rotary to fixed wing stage begins with rotating the motor that is used to generate horizontal thrust. After the stall speed of the fixed wing VTOL air vehicle is passed, rotary wings stop and level flight begins. The fixed wing VTOL air vehicle passes to the phase of transient from fixed to rotary wing flight with slowing down to a safe speed which is faster than the stall speed then rotary wings rotate to land. Eventually, fixed wing VTOL air vehicle lands by rotary wings vertically. All flight phases are depicted in Figure 4.2. In the study, transition phases have essential importance. Since the fixed wing VTOL air vehicle is an over actuated system, desired force and moment values can be generated by a different set of actuators. Developing control allocation algorithm (Section 5.3) with minimizing the energy consumption and state errors are principal focuses of the study.
Detailed information about the physical system, system identification tests, analysis, results, and mathematical modelling is in the chapter’s scope.

Figure 4.2: Fixed wing VTOL air vehicle flight phases

4.1 Physical System

As stated before, fixed wing VTOL air vehicle is a combination of a fixed wing and a rotary wing air vehicle. As a fixed wing air vehicle Ranger EX Volantex RC is chosen. For the rotary wing part, not a ready to fly rotary wing air vehicle is used. The fixed wing air vehicle is modified such that two rods are fixed to the main wing from underneath. Actuators are showed as numbers in Figure 4.3 and some electronics like controller board, battery, electronic speed controller which are stated in Table 4.1. The motors numbered from 1 to 4 are used during take-off, landing, and transition phases. Propellers generate a thrust force in the axis of rotation and a drag moment in the opposite direction of rotation. The motor and propeller pairs, which are used to take-off and landing, rotate at different directions to balance the generated drag moment [63]. Motor numbered as 5 is used to generate horizontal thrust force that is used to generate the lift force required for the fixed wing VTOL air vehicle. The motors numbered from 6 to 9 are servo motors to actuate the control surfaces, which are ailerons, elevator and rudder.
Table 4.1: Fixed wing VTOL air vehicle parts

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Brand</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1-4</td>
<td>Vertical thrusters</td>
<td>Sunny Sky V2814-11 KV:700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Horizontal thruster</td>
<td>Sunny Sky X2826 KV:740</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Ailerons</td>
<td>Servo motors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Elevator</td>
<td>Servo motors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Rudder</td>
<td>Servo motors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>inside Controller board</td>
<td>Pixhawk Cube</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>inside</td>
<td>Electronic speed controller</td>
<td>BLHeliSuite32 4-in-1 ESC (for vertical thrusters)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>inside</td>
<td>Electronic speed controller</td>
<td>YEP 60A (2-6S) (for horizontal thruster)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>inside</td>
<td>Battery</td>
<td>Zippy Compact 5800 mAh 5S 25C</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.2 System Identification

The fixed wing VTOL air vehicle is identified experimentally to lessen the discrepancies among mathematical model and real system. In this scope, mass moments of
inertias, vertical and horizontal thrusters are identified experimentally. Besides, the fixed wing air vehicle is modelled in XFLR5 software, and aerodynamic analysis is carried out to found lift-drag coefficients related to various angles of attack, longitudinal and lateral derivatives.

4.2.1 Bifilar pendulum test for fixed wing VTOL air vehicle

Mass moments of inertia of the fixed wing air vehicle are found by the bifilar pendulum method as done in Sections 2.2.1 and 3.2.1. Hanged fixed wing air vehicle is given in Figure 4.4.

![Bifilar pendulum experiment of fixed wing VTOL air vehicle](image)

Since the fixed wing air vehicle is symmetric around the xz plane, the mass moment of inertia of the roll axis is assumed to be the pitch axis. Angular velocities of the fixed wing VTOL air vehicle are in roll and yaw axes given in Figures 4.5 and 4.6.
Figure 4.5: Oscillation data of fixed wing VTOL air vehicle in roll axis

Figure 4.6: Oscillation data of fixed wing VTOL air vehicle in yaw axis
Table 4.2: Parameters of fixed wing VTOL air vehicle bifilar pendulum test

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Property</th>
<th>unit</th>
<th>roll and pitch axes</th>
<th>yaw axis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Length of the ropes, (\ell)</td>
<td>m</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distance between ropes, (R)</td>
<td>m</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mass of the system, (m)</td>
<td>kg</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gravitational acceleration, (g)</td>
<td>m/sec(^2)</td>
<td>9.81</td>
<td>9.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oscillation period, (T_n)</td>
<td>s</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Test parameters and measured oscillation periods are given in Table 4.2 and are substituted into Equation 2.1. Mass moments of inertia values of the fixed wing VTOL air vehicle are found and given in Table 4.3.

Table 4.3: Mass moment of inertias of the fixed wing VTOL air vehicle

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Property</th>
<th>unit</th>
<th>inertia value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Inertia around x-body axis, (I_x)</td>
<td>kg.m(^2)</td>
<td>3.0671</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inertia around y-body axis, (I_y)</td>
<td>kg.m(^2)</td>
<td>3.0671</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inertia around z-body axis, (I_z)</td>
<td>kg.m(^2)</td>
<td>12.5798</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.2.2 Thrust measurement for fixed wing VTOL air vehicle

To identify the relation among the PWM signals which are the output of the controllers and generated vertical and horizontal thrust values, same methodology is applied as done in Sections 2.2.2 and 3.2.2. Generated thrust and measured rotational velocities for the numbered 1-4 motors, which are used for the vertical motion of the fixed wing VTOL air vehicle in Figure 4.3, are given in Figures 4.7 and 4.8. Similarly, thrust force and rotational velocity of motor 5 are depicted in Figure 4.9.
Figure 4.7: Vertical thrusts of motors 1-4

Figure 4.8: Rotational velocities of motors 1-4

Figure 4.9: Horizontal thrust and rotational velocity of motor 5
Relations among PWM duty ratio and lift force for the rotary wings are found and given in Equation [4.1] by means of the data in Figure [4.7]. Relations between the PWM duty ratio and rotational velocity for the rotary wings are found and given in Equation 4.2. Relations among PWM duty ratio, thrust force, and rotational velocity for the fixed wing thruster are given in Equation 4.3. All found relations are implemented in Matlab®/Simulink® and used for simulations.

\[
F_1 = -0.0012 \cdot dt^3 + 0.2042 \cdot dt^2 + 6.4878 \cdot dt - 40.558 \\
F_2 = -0.0008 \cdot dt^3 + 0.1302 \cdot dt^2 + 10.347 \cdot dt - 80.760 \\
F_3 = -0.0015 \cdot dt^3 + 0.2531 \cdot dt^2 + 4.2808 \cdot dt - 28.866 \\
F_4 = -0.0017 \cdot dt^3 + 0.3016 \cdot dt^2 + 1.7009 \cdot dt + 3.1724 
\]

(4.1)

\[
\Omega_1 = 0.0163 \cdot dt^3 - 2.9309 \cdot dt^2 + 201.5591 \cdot dt - 1463.0168 \\
\Omega_2 = 0.0186 \cdot dt^3 - 3.2865 \cdot dt^2 + 217.3991 \cdot dt - 1612.1080 \\
\Omega_3 = 0.0164 \cdot dt^3 - 2.8694 \cdot dt^2 + 193.6045 \cdot dt - 1413.9281 \\
\Omega_4 = 0.0158 \cdot dt^3 - 2.7758 \cdot dt^2 + 190.0940 \cdot dt - 1336.1610 
\]

(4.2)

\[
F_5 = -0.0015 \cdot dt^3 + 0.2531 \cdot dt^2 + 4.2808 \cdot dt - 25.8663 \\
\Omega_5 = 0.0158 \cdot dt^3 - 3.9768 \cdot dt^2 + 196.0260 \cdot dt - 1247.2720 
\]

(4.3)

where:

\[F_{1,2,3,4} : \text{Lift force of the rotary wings}\]
\[\Omega_{1,2,3,4} : \text{Rotational velocity of the rotary wings}\]
\[F_5 : \text{Thrust force of the pusher}\]
\[\Omega_5 : \text{Rotational velocity of the pusher}\]

4.2.3 Aerodynamic analysis for fixed wing VTOL air vehicle

To analyse fixed wing VTOL air vehicle aerodynamically XFLR5 software [57] is used. The same procedure in Section 3.2.4 is applied. In addition to that, rotary
wing motors numbered as 1-4 and main motor numbered as 5 are added as point masses. Pressure distributions are shown in Figure 4.10a changing of lift coefficient $C_L$ and pitch moment coefficient $C_M$ are given in Figure 4.10b and 4.10d, respectively. Relation among drag coefficient $C_D$ and $C_L$ is given in Figure 4.10c. All data are implemented in Matlab®/Simulink® and used during simulations.

![Figure 4.10: Aerodynamic analysis of fixed wing VTOL air vehicle](image)

Longitudinal and lateral stability derivatives are found by the aerodynamic analysis and given in Tables 4.5 and 4.6, respectively. The same non-dimensionalize procedure is applied given in Section 3.2.4. Equations used for non-dimensionalization are given Equations 4.5, 4.6, and 4.7. Aerodynamic and geometric properties for the fixed wing VTOL air vehicle are given in Table 4.4.

$$q = \frac{1}{2} * \rho * V^2$$ (4.4)

$$C_{X(u,a)}, C_{Z(u)}, C_{L(\alpha,q)}, C_{Y(\beta,p,r)} = \frac{X(u,w), Y(v,p,r), Z(u,w,q)}{q * S}$$ (4.5)
\[ C_{M(u,\alpha,q)} = \frac{M_{(u,w,q)}}{q \ast S \ast \bar{c}} \]  \hspace{1cm} (4.6)

\[ C_{L(\beta,p,r)}, C_{N(\beta,p)} = \frac{L_{(v,p,r)}, N_{(v,p)}}{q \ast S \ast b} \]  \hspace{1cm} (4.7)

Table 4.4: Fixed wing VTOL air vehicle properties

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Property</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( q ), dynamic pressure</td>
<td>15 ( kg/m^2s^2 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( S ), area</td>
<td>0.45 ( m^2 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( b ), span</td>
<td>2 ( m )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \bar{c} ), mean aerodynamic chord</td>
<td>0.225 ( m )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4.5: Fixed wing VTOL air vehicle longitudinal derivatives

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Longitudinal Derivatives</th>
<th>( X_u )</th>
<th>( C_{X_u} )</th>
<th>( X_w )</th>
<th>( C_{X_w} )</th>
<th>( Z_u )</th>
<th>( C_{Z_u} )</th>
<th>( Z_w )</th>
<th>( C_{Z_w} )</th>
<th>( Z_q )</th>
<th>( C_{Z_q} )</th>
<th>( M_u )</th>
<th>( C_{M_u} )</th>
<th>( M_w )</th>
<th>( C_{M_w} )</th>
<th>( M_q )</th>
<th>( C_{M_q} )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( X_u )</td>
<td>-0.0089672</td>
<td>-0.0007988</td>
<td>0.51747</td>
<td>0.046096</td>
<td>-1.4319</td>
<td>-1.5511e-05</td>
<td>-60.956</td>
<td>5.43</td>
<td>-9.2646</td>
<td>7.3472</td>
<td>7.7585e-08</td>
<td>3.0764e-08</td>
<td>-0.83833</td>
<td>-0.33241</td>
<td>-3.2457</td>
<td>-11.457</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Table 4.6: Fixed wing VTOL air vehicle lateral derivatives

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lateral Derivatives</th>
<th>$Y_v$</th>
<th>-2.9722</th>
<th>$C_{Y\beta}$</th>
<th>-0.26476</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$Y_p$</td>
<td>0.37118</td>
<td>$C_{Y_p}$</td>
<td>0.033065</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Y_r$</td>
<td>2.1595</td>
<td>$C_{Y_r}$</td>
<td>0.19237</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$L_v$</td>
<td>-0.1709</td>
<td>$C_{L\beta}$</td>
<td>-0.0076118</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$L_p$</td>
<td>-12.745</td>
<td>$C_{L_p}$</td>
<td>-0.56767</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$L_r$</td>
<td>0.66712</td>
<td>$C_{L_r}$</td>
<td>0.029714</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$N_v$</td>
<td>1.9186</td>
<td>$C_{N\beta}$</td>
<td>0.085454</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$N_p$</td>
<td>-0.44201</td>
<td>$C_{N_p}$</td>
<td>-0.019687</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.3 Mathematical Modelling of the Fixed Wing VTOL Air Vehicle

The fixed wing VTOL air vehicle is given in Figure 4.11. Notations given in Figure 4.11 are explained in Table 4.7

Figure 4.11: Fixed wing VTOL air vehicle
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Notations</th>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$F_{1,2,3,4}$</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Generated lift forces from rotary wings</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$F_5$</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Generated thrust force of pusher of fixed wing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Omega_{1,2,3,4}$</td>
<td>rad/s</td>
<td>Rotational velocities of rotary wings</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\delta_a$</td>
<td>rad</td>
<td>Rotational position of ailerons</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\delta_e$</td>
<td>rad</td>
<td>Rotational position of elevator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\delta_r$</td>
<td>rad</td>
<td>Rotational position of rudder</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$x_b, y_b, z_b$</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Body fixed coordinate system</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The fixed wing VTOL air vehicle has six inputs, three forces $X, Y,$ and $Z$ and moments $L, M,$ and $N$ in body $x,y,$ and $z$ axes. Translational and rotational accelerations are calculated as given in Equation 4.8.

\[
\begin{align*}
\dot{u} &= \frac{X - mg \sin \theta}{m} - qw + rv \\
\dot{v} &= \frac{Y + mg \cos \theta \sin \phi}{m} - ru + pw \\
\dot{w} &= \frac{Z + mg \cos \theta \cos \phi}{m} - pv + qu \\
\dot{p} &= \frac{LI_z - qr(I_z^2 - I_z I_y)}{I_x I_z} \\
\dot{q} &= \frac{M - rp(I_x - I_z)}{I_y} \\
\dot{r} &= \frac{NI_x - pq(I_y I_x)}{I_x I_z}
\end{align*}
\] (4.8)

where:
\( \dot{u}, \dot{v}, \dot{w} \) : translational accelerations in body \( x,y, \) and \( z \) directions

\( \dot{p}, \dot{q}, \dot{r} \) : rotational accelerations around body \( x,y, \) and \( z \) directions

\( X, Y, Z \) : forces acting on the in body \( x,y, \) and \( z \) directions

\( L, M, N \) : moments acting on the around body \( x,y, \) and \( z \) directions

\( I_x, I_y, I_z \) : mass moment of inertias around body \( x,y, \) and \( z \) directions

\( m \) : mass of the fixed wing VTOL air vehicle

\( \phi, \theta, \psi \) : Euler angles

\( u, v, w \) : translational velocities in body \( x,y, \) and \( z \) directions

\( p, q, r \) : rotational velocities around body \( x,y, \) and \( z \) directions

Forces and moments acting on the fixed wing VTOL air vehicle are given in Equations 4.9 and 4.10 respectively.

\[
\begin{align*}
X &= X_{\text{prop}} + X_{\text{aero}} \\
&= F_5 + X_{\text{aero}} \\
Y &= Y_{\text{prop}} + Y_{\text{aero}} \\
&= Y_{\text{aero}} \\
Z &= Z_{\text{prop}} + Z_{\text{aero}} \\
&= F_1 + F_2 + F_3 + F_4 + Z_{\text{aero}}
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
L &= (-F_1 - F_2 + F_3 + F_4)l + L_5 + L_{\text{aero}} \\
M &= (+F_1 - F_2 - F_3 + F_4)l + M_{\text{aero}} \\
N &= (+N_1 - N_2 + N_3 - N_4) + N_{\text{aero}}
\end{align*}
\]

where:

\( (X, Y, Z)_{\text{prop}} \) : Propulsive forces acting on the body in \( x, y, \) and \( z \) axes

\( (X, Y, Z)_{\text{aero}} \) : Aerodynamic forces acting on the body in \( x, y, \) and \( z \) axes

\( l \) : moment arm (distance among propellers rotation axis and center of gravity)

\( N_{1,2,3,4} \) : drag moments due to rotary wing propeller rotation

\( L_5 \) : drag moment due to fixed wing propeller rotation
Euler angle rates are obtained from the rotational velocities $p$, $q$, and $r$ as given in Equation 4.11:

$$
\begin{align*}
\dot{\phi} &= p + (q \sin \phi + r \cos \phi) \tan \theta \\
\dot{\theta} &= q \cos \phi - r \sin \phi \\
\dot{\psi} &= \frac{q \sin \phi + r \cos \phi}{\cos \theta}
\end{align*}
$$

(4.11)

To obtain the position and velocity in the navigation frame transformation matrix, $L_{EB}$, is used given in Equation 4.12:

$$
L_{EB} = \begin{bmatrix}
\cos \psi \cos \theta & \cos \psi \sin \theta \sin \phi - \sin \psi \cos \phi & \cos \psi \sin \theta \cos \phi + \sin \psi \sin \phi \\
\sin \psi \cos \theta & \sin \psi \sin \theta \sin \phi + \cos \psi \cos \phi & \sin \psi \sin \theta \cos \phi - \cos \psi \sin \phi \\
-\sin \theta & \cos \theta \sin \phi & \cos \theta \cos \phi
\end{bmatrix}
$$

(4.12)

Velocities in the navigation frame are obtained as given in Equation 4.13:

$$
\begin{bmatrix}
V_N \\
V_E \\
V_D
\end{bmatrix} = 
\begin{bmatrix}
\cos \psi \cos \theta & \cos \psi \sin \theta \sin \phi - \sin \psi \cos \phi & \cos \psi \sin \theta \cos \phi + \sin \psi \sin \phi \\
\sin \psi \cos \theta & \sin \psi \sin \theta \sin \phi + \cos \psi \cos \phi & \sin \psi \sin \theta \cos \phi - \cos \psi \sin \phi \\
-\sin \theta & \cos \theta \sin \phi & \cos \theta \cos \phi
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
u \\
v \\
w
\end{bmatrix}
$$

(4.13)

where:

- $V_N$, $V_E$, $V_D$ : velocities in navigation frame
- $s$ : sine function
- $c$ : cosine function
CHAPTER 5

CONTROLLER DESIGN

In this study, PID and ADRC controllers are focused. Both controllers are designed in Matlab®/Simulink® environment and deployed to Pixhawk Cube controller card for real-time control on physical systems. Physical systems are controlled in a closed-loop. Sensor measurements like Euler angles, rotational velocities, accelerations, and GPS positions are feedbacks to the control system. The difference among the actual variable and the sensor measurements are fed to the controllers which decide the desired forces and moments to make the difference zero. The output of the controllers are sent to the actuators and physical system moves and rotates accordingly. This process is called closed loop control. Rotary wing, fixed wing, and fixed wing VTOL air vehicles are controlled in a closed-loop. As stated in Section4, fixed wing VTOL air vehicle is an over actuated system. The output of the controller, which are desired forces and moments, can be generated by a different set of actuators which yields an important and well-known topic which is control allocation. Detailed information about the PID controller, ADRC, and control allocation is given in this chapter.

5.1 PID controller

PID controller is composed of three components which are proportional, integral, and derivative. Each component has an idiosyncratic effect on system response. The proportional component is directly related to the error. Increasing the proportional gain increases the speed of the control response. However, increasing the proportional gain too many oscillations observed in the system output. If the proportional gain
is increased further, the system becomes unstable. The integral component sums the error. Although the error is small, integral component increases slowly. So, the integral component is used to make steady-state error zero. The derivative term is used to decrease the output so the overshoot. In industry, derivative terms are chosen as minimal values because the derivative response is highly sensitive to the noises and error changes. The structure of the unity feedback closed-loop system with a PID controller is given in Figure 5.1. Detailed information about application of PID controller to a fixed air vehicle is given in Section 6.2.

![Figure 5.1: Closed loop system with a PID controller](image)

### 5.2 Linear Active Disturbance Rejection Controller (LADRC)

In this research, it is aimed to design a guidance and control algorithm that can reject unknown disturbances such as gust, asymmetric and slung loads. An active disturbance rejection controller (ADRC) is designed to control the translational and rotational dynamics of the rotary wing air vehicle. ADRC is proposed by Han to deal with nonlinear systems with mixed uncertainty and external disturbance [33]. ADRC estimates the states and the disturbances through the extended state observer (ESO) and compensates for the total disturbances in real-time [38, 64, 65]. ADRC is composed of a linear tracking differentiator (LTD), a proportional-derivative (PD) controller, and an extended state observer (ESO). LTD is used to send a smoother input signal to the controller to avoid big errors [66, 67]. LTD is not used in the research to push the limit of the controller and the rotary wing air vehicle.

Observers are used to replacing or augmenting the sensors in a control system theory. Some sensors used to estimate states are not very reliable, and some state dynamics are complicated and hard to model. Therefore, state observers are used in a con-


control system. They can be classified as deterministic and stochastic approaches. In
the deterministic approach, observers can be an open loop and closed loop struc-
ture. Open-loop state observers are not satisfactory. Closed-loop state observers use
observer gain using a feedback loop information which is more reliable. Examples
for stochastic observers can be Kalman and Wiener Filter. State observers can be
classified as linear and nonlinear. Linear state observers can be a discrete-time and
continuous time. When the observer gain is high, then the observer (linear closed-
loop Luenberger observer) quickly converges to the state values. Then, the peaking
phenomenon occurs, and nonlinear state observers can be used. For example, in the
high gain observers, a sliding mode observer can be used. Nevertheless, if the nonlin-
ear system is not linearized, then a nonlinear state observer method, such as sliding
mode observer is used [68,69]. In this work, linear deterministic closed loop structure
is used in the discrete-time.

Actuator dynamics that are obtained in Sections 2.2.2 and 4.2.2 are taken into account
after observing the performance of 2\(^{nd}\) order ADRC that increases the order of the
ADRC to a 3\(^{rd}\) order. Structure of the ADRC, which is composed of a PD controller
and an ESO is given in this section. Performance comparisons of the 2\(^{nd}\) and the 3\(^{nd}\)
order ADRCs are given in Section 6.1.3. Structures stated in this section are used to
control for one state of the rotary wing air vehicle. During modelling and flight tests
on the rotary wing air vehicle, six district ADRCs are designed and implemented to
control the translational and rotational dynamics of the rotary wing air vehicle.

The fixed wing VTOL air vehicle has complex dynamics at each axis, including the
dynamics of control surfaces and thrust units. So, each axis is expressed by a third
order dynamical system that can be described as given in Equation 5.1 where \( t, y, \dot{y}, \ddot{y} \) are the time, measured output and, first and second time derivatives. \( w \) is the
external disturbance that acts on the axis. The nonlinear function \( f(\cdot) \) can be thought
of as a lumped disturbance that includes uncertain dynamics, external disturbances,
and plant’s nonlinearity [70].

\[
y = f(t, y, \dot{y}, \ddot{y}, w(t))
\]  \( 5.1 \)

Extended state observer (ESO) is used to estimate dynamics that are complex and ex-
pensive to model, plant uncertainties, and the external disturbances. These parameters exist in the system as a lumped disturbance, \( f(.) \) which is assumed as an extended state \([38]\).

### 5.2.1 Second Order ADRC (without actuator dynamic)

The second-order linear Active Disturbance Rejection Controller (ADRC) closed-loop system block diagram is shown in Figure 5.2.

![Block diagram of second order closed loop system](image)

**Figure 5.2: Block diagram of second order closed loop system**

In Figure 5.2, \( R(s) \), \( C(s) \), \( P(s) \), and \( Y(s) \) are the reference input, controller, plant, and output of the system, respectively. Transfer functions of the controller, plant, and closed-loop transfer function of the system are given in Equations 5.2, 5.3, and 5.4.

\[
C(s) = k_p + sk_d \tag{5.2}
\]

\[
P(s) = \frac{1}{s^2 I_n} \tag{5.3}
\]

\[
\frac{Y(s)}{R(s)} = \frac{C(s)P(s)}{1 + C(s)P(s)} = \frac{s^{k_d} + k_p}{s^2 + s^{k_d} I_n + \frac{k_p}{I_n}} \tag{5.4}
\]

where:
$k_p, k_d$ : Proportional and derivative gains

$Y(s)$ : system output

$R(s)$ : reference input

$C(s)$ : transfer function of the controller

$P(s)$ : transfer function of the plant

$I_n$ : mass moment of inertia about rotation axis $x, y,$ and $z$

Controller gains ($k_p$ and $k_d$) are calculated by equating the coefficients of corresponding terms of the system closed-loop transfer function and $2^{nd}$ order desired closed-loop transfer function ($G_{CL}$) that are given in Equation 5.5 [38]. With this technique, controller gains ($k_p$ and $k_d$) are calculated with one parameter $\omega_c$ that is desired controller bandwidth of the closed-loop system depicted in Equation 5.6. The output of the controller, $u$, is the desired moment if the state to be controlled is rotational. The desired moment is divided by the inertia of the rotary wing air vehicle, $I_n \approx b$, so the input of the plant is obtained. $I_n$ is the mass moment of inertia which is calculated in Section 2.2.1 and Equation 5.23 where $n = x, y, z$. For roll, pitch, and yaw axes, $n$ can be substituted as $x, y$ and $z$, respectively. If the state to be controlled is translational, the output of the controller, $u$, is the desired force which is divided by the mass of the rotary wing air vehicle, $m$.

$$
\frac{Y(s)}{R(s)} = \frac{s \frac{k_d}{I_n} + \frac{k_p}{I_n}}{s^2 + s \frac{k_d}{I_n} + \frac{k_p}{I_n}} \iff G_{CL}(s) = \frac{\omega_c^2}{s + \omega_c^2} = \frac{\omega_c^2}{s^2 + 2\omega_c s + \omega_c^2} \tag{5.5}
$$

$$
k_p = \omega_c^2
$$

$$
k_d = 2\omega_c \tag{5.6}
$$

An extended state observer is designed to estimate state variables, disturbances, uncertainties, and unmodeled dynamics. $z_1$, $z_2$, and $z_3$ are the estimations of state, $y$, first time derivative of the state, $\dot{y}$, and the estimation of disturbances, uncertainties, and unmodeled dynamics exist as a lumped dynamic, $f$, given in Equation 5.7 $\beta_1$, $\beta_2$, and $\beta_3$ are the observer gains, $L$, to estimate the $z_1$, $z_2$, and $z_3$, respectively. $u$ is the output of the controller and $b$ is the $1/I_n$. State space representation of the observer
is given in Equations 5.7-5.10.

\[ z = [z_1 \, z_2 \, z_3]^T = [y \, \dot{y} \, \hat{f}]^T \quad (5.7) \]

\[ \dot{z} = A_z z + B_z u + L (y - \hat{y}) \quad (5.8) \]

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
\dot{z}_1 \\
\dot{z}_2 \\
\dot{z}_3
\end{bmatrix} =
\begin{bmatrix}
-\beta_1 & 1 & 0 \\
-\beta_2 & 0 & 1 \\
-\beta_3 & 0 & 0
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
z_1 \\
z_2 \\
z_3
\end{bmatrix} +
\begin{bmatrix}
0 \\
b \\
0
\end{bmatrix} u +
\begin{bmatrix}
\beta_1 \\
\beta_2 \\
\beta_3
\end{bmatrix} (y - \hat{y}) 
\quad (5.9)
\]

\[ \hat{y} = C_z z = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} z_1 \\ z_2 \\ z_3 \end{bmatrix} = z_1 \quad (5.10) \]

Expanding Equation 5.9, \( z_1, z_2, \) and \( z_3 \) are obtained as in Equations 5.11-5.13. Substituting Equation 5.13 into Equations 5.12 and 5.11, Equation 5.14 is obtained. The observer gains, \( L \), can be found by equating the characteristic equation of the observer and the desired polynomial (Equation 5.15). Three observer gains \( \beta_1, \beta_2, \) and \( \beta_3 \) are calculated with one parameter, \( w_o \), that is desired observer bandwidth of the closed loop system.

\[ z_1 = \frac{(y - z_1)\beta_1 + z_2}{s} \quad (5.11) \]

\[ z_2 = \frac{(y - z_1)\beta_2 + bu + z_3}{s} \quad (5.12) \]

\[ z_3 = \frac{(y - z_1)\beta_3}{s} \quad (5.13) \]

\[ z_1 = \frac{y(\beta_1 s^2 + \beta_2 s + \beta_3) + sbu}{s^3 + \beta_1 s^2 + \beta_2 s + \beta_3} \quad (5.14) \]
\[(\lambda + \omega_o) = |\lambda I_{3x3} - (A_z - LC_z)| \quad (5.15)\]

\[z_1 = \frac{f(u, y)}{s^3 + \beta_1 s^2 + \beta_2 s + \beta_3} \Leftrightarrow \frac{\omega_o^3}{s^3 + 3\omega_o s^2 + 3\omega_o^2 s + \omega_o^3} \quad (5.16)\]

\[L = \begin{bmatrix} \beta_1 & \beta_2 & \beta_3 \end{bmatrix}^T = \begin{bmatrix} 3\omega_o & 3\omega_o^2 & \omega_o^3 \end{bmatrix}^T \quad (5.17)\]

### 5.2.2 Third Order ADRC (with actuator dynamic)

In the 2nd order ADRC, all the actuators are assumed as an ideal which means, generated actual moment is equal to the desired moment that is the output of the controller. This is not valid in real life because of the dynamics of the brushless DC motors such as rotor inertia and friction. The dynamics of the actuators are identified in Section 2.2.2 experimentally. The actuator mathematical models are the first order that yields the overall closed-loop system becomes 3rd order. Third-order linear ADRC closed-loop system block diagram is shown in Figure 5.3.

![Figure 5.3: Block diagram of third order closed loop system](image)

In Figure 5.3, \(R(s), C(s), A(s), P(s),\) and \(Y(s)\) are the reference input, controller, actuator, plant, and output of the system. Transfer functions of the controller, actuator, plant, and closed loop transfer function of the system are given in Equations 5.18, 5.19, 5.20, and 5.21 respectively. Equation 5.22 is obtained by substituting the \(C(s)\),
\( A(s) \) and \( P(s) \) into Equation 5.21.

\[
C(s) = k_p + sk_d + s^2k_a
\]  
\[(5.18)\]

\[
A(s) = \frac{K_m}{Ts + 1}
\]  
\[(5.19)\]

\[
P(s) = \frac{1}{s^2I_n}
\]  
\[(5.20)\]

\[
\frac{Y(s)}{R(s)} = \frac{C(s)A(s)P(s)}{1 + C(s)A(s)P(s)}
\]  
\[(5.21)\]

\[
\frac{Y(s)}{R(s)} = \frac{(k_p + sk_d + s^2k_a)K_m}{s^3 + s^2(K_m + I_n) + k_dK_m + k_pK_m}
\]  
\[(5.22)\]

where \( K_m \) and \( T \) are the motor torque and time constant which are found in Section 2.2.2. All the parameters are known in Equation 5.22 except \( k_p, k_d, \) and \( k_a \) which are proportional, derivative, and acceleration gains of the controller. To select the controller gains, characteristic equation of the closed loop transfer function of the system is equated to a third order polynomial. Controller gains are calculated with equating the coefficients of like terms of the system closed loop transfer function and the 3\(^{rd}\) order desired closed loop transfer function that is given in Equation 5.23. Three controller gains \((k_p, k_d, \text{ and } k_a)\) are calculated by adjusting one parameter, \( \omega_c \), that is the desired controller bandwidth of the closed loop system in Equation 5.24.

\[
\frac{Y(s)}{R(s)} = \frac{(k_p + sk_d + s^2k_a)K_m}{s^3 + s^2(K_m + I_n) + k_dK_m + k_pK_m}
\]  
\[(5.23)\]

\[
k_p = \omega_c^3
\]
\[
k_d = 3\omega_c^2
\]
\[
k_a = 3\omega_c
\]  
\[(5.24)\]
An extended state observer is designed to estimate state variables, uncertainties, and unmodeled dynamics. $z_1, z_2, z_3,$ and $z_4$ are the estimations of state, $y$, first time derivative of the state, $\dot{y}$, the second time derivative of the state, $\ddot{y}$, and the estimation of disturbances, uncertainties, and unmodeled dynamics exist as a lumped dynamic, $f$, given in Equation 5.25. $\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3,$ and $\beta_4$ are the observer gains, $L$, to estimate the $z_1, z_2, z_3,$ and $z_4$ respectively. $u$ is the output of the controller and $b$ is the output coefficient. State space representation of the observer is given in Equations 5.25-5.28.

\[
\begin{align*}
    z &= [z_1 \ z_2 \ z_3 \ z_4]^T = [y \ \dot{y} \ \ddot{y} \ f]^T \\
    \dot{z} &= A_z z + B_z u + L (y - \dot{y}) \\
    \dot{z}_1 &= -\beta_1 z_1 \ 1 \ 0 \ 0 \ \\
    \dot{z}_2 &= -\beta_2 z_2 \ 0 \ 1 \ 0 \ \\
    \dot{z}_3 &= -\beta_3 z_3 \ 0 \ 0 \ 1 \ \\
    \dot{z}_4 &= -\beta_4 z_4 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \\
    \dot{y} &= C_z z = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} z_1 \\ z_2 \\ z_3 \\ z_4 \end{bmatrix} = z_1
\end{align*}
\] (5.25) (5.26) (5.27) (5.28)

Expanding Equation 5.27, $z_1, z_2, z_3$ and $z_4$ are obtained as in Equations 5.29-5.32. $z_1$ can be found by substituting Equation 5.32 into Equations 5.31, 5.30, and 5.29 yields Equation 5.33. The observer gains, $L$, can be found by equating the characteristic equation of the observer and the desired polynomial, Equation 5.34. Four observer gains $\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3$ and $\beta_4$ are calculated with one parameter, $w_o$, which is desired observer bandwidth of the closed loop system. $I_{4 \times 4}$ in Equation 5.34 is a four-dimensional identity matrix.

\[
z_1 = \frac{\beta_1(y - z_1) + z_2}{s} \] (5.29)
\[ z_2 = \frac{\beta_2(y - z_1) + z_3}{s} \]  
(5.30)

\[ z_3 = \frac{\beta_3(y - z_1) + z_4 + bu}{s} \]  
(5.31)

\[ z_4 = \frac{\beta_4(y - z_1)}{s} \]  
(5.32)

\[ z_1 = \frac{y(s^3\beta_1 + s^2\beta_2 + s\beta_3 + s\beta_4) + sBu}{s^4 + s^3\beta_1 + s^2\beta_2 + s\beta_3} \]  
(5.33)

\[ (\lambda + \omega_o)^4 = |\lambda I_{4x4} - (A_z - LC_z)| \]  
(5.34)

\[ z_1 = \frac{f(u, y)}{s^4 + \beta_1s^3 + \beta_2s^2 + \beta_3s + \beta_4} \Leftrightarrow \frac{\omega_o^3}{s^4 + 4\omega_o^4s^3 + 6\omega_o^2s^2 + 4\omega_o^3s + \omega_o^4} \]  
(5.35)

\[ L = \begin{bmatrix} \beta_1 & \beta_2 & \beta_3 & \beta_4 \end{bmatrix}^T = \begin{bmatrix} 4\omega_o & 6\omega_o^2 & 4\omega_o^3 & \omega_o^4 \end{bmatrix}^T \]  
(5.36)

5.2.3 \( n \)th order ADRC

As stated in Section 5.2, ADRC is includes an extended state observer. The convergence of the Luenberger observer increases as the observer gain increases, which may lead to a peaking phenomenon in the initial error, which can be amplified by the high gain \([7\), 72\]. A system with the order of \( n \) can be described as given in Equation 5.37.

\[
\begin{aligned}
\dot{x}_1 &= x_2 \\
\dot{x}_2 &= x_3 \\
&\vdots \\
\dot{x}_n &= f(x_1, \ldots, x_n, w(t), t) + bu \\
y &= x_1
\end{aligned}
\]  
(5.37)
where:

\( x_1, \ldots, x_n \) : states
\( u \) : control input
\( y \) : output
\( w(t) \) : external disturbance
\( b \) : system parameter
\( f(x_1, \ldots, x_n, w(t), t) \) : uncertain function (lumped parameter)

The word *extended* comes from adding an augmented variable as given in Equation 5.38:

\[
x_{n+1} = f(x_1, \ldots, x_n, w(t), t)
\]  

(5.38)

Combining Equation 5.37 and 5.38 yields Equation 5.39:

\[
\begin{cases}
\dot{x}_1 = x_2 \\
\dot{x}_2 = x_3 \\
\vdots \\
\dot{x}_n = x_{n+1} + bu \\
\dot{x}_{n+1} = h(t) \\
y = x_1
\end{cases}
\]  

(5.39)

where:

\( h(t) = \dot{f}(x_1, \ldots, x_n, w(t), t) \)

To estimate the states, a linear ESO is designed as:

\[
\begin{cases}
\dot{z}_1 = z_2 - \beta_1(z_1 - y) \\
\dot{z}_2 = z_3 - \beta_2(z_1 - y) \\
\vdots \\
\dot{z}_n = z_{n+1} - \beta_n(z_1 - y) + bu \\
\dot{z}_{n+1} = -\beta_{n+1}(z_1 - y)
\end{cases}
\]  

(5.40)
where:

\[ z_1, z_2, \ldots, z_n, z_{n+1} : \text{estimates of states } x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n, x_{n+1} \]

\[ \beta_1, \beta_2, \ldots, \beta_{n+1} : \text{observer gains} \]

ESO differs from "extended high-gain observers" in such a way that estimation of total disturbance in addition to the state variables. Then, the total disturbances can be rejected in the control loop.

### 5.3 Control Allocation

A system, which has a redundant set of actuators, is called an over actuated system. Control allocation algorithms arrange the effectors to produce the desired forces and moments. A set of actuators is chosen because of cost, size, standardization, accuracy, dynamic response and flexibility concerns. Some effectors may be used for different control systems with different purposes. Moreover, effectors have hardware limits such as input saturation and rate constraints \([73, 74]\).

Control allocation algorithms can be used in different application areas. Some of these areas are shown in Figure 5.4.

![Control Allocation Application Areas](image)

Figure 5.4: Control allocation application areas
Traditional aircraft have two ailerons for roll control, two elevators for pitch control and one rudder for yaw control. Therefore, it can be said that any control allocation algorithm is not needed. Conventional aircrafts have control surfaces represented in Figure 5.5.

![Figure 5.5: Conventional aircraft control surfaces](image)

Having many control surfaces is a reason for applying control allocation algorithms. The reason for having many control surfaces can be due to actuator failures and unconventional aircrafts such as VTOL, V-tails (coupled lateral and longitudinal forces), tiltable propellers and thrust vector jets. Furthermore, control surfaces like a spoiler, flaps can be used for the same purposes with different control surfaces.

Control allocation algorithm architecture is shown in Figure 5.6. In this figure, high-level motion control computes forces and moments. Control allocation maps the virtual input to individual actuators in the physical limits of the actuators. Low-level motion control controls the actuators to achieve the desired forces and moments. The purposes of the control allocation algorithms may be minimizing the power/fuel consumption, actuator tear and wear and many more [73].
where:

- $v$ : virtual input
- $u$ : control input
- $u_{\text{act}}$ : input to mechanical system that are forces and/or moments
- $x$ : state of the mechanical system

Besides, control allocation can be applied to air vehicles for different purposes such as minimum wing loading, minimum control surface deflection, minimum radar signature, minimum drag, maximum lift, minimum energy consumption, and so on. Types of control allocation algorithms can be classified according to the linear and nonlinear actuator types. Moreover, the linear algorithms can be investigated into two groups, such as unconstrained/constrained related to the actuator types. The classification is represented in Figure 5.7.

![Figure 5.6: Control allocation algorithm architecture](image)

Actuators used in the fixed wing VTOL air vehicle are identified experimentally as
stated in Section 4.2.2. As seen in Figure 4.7, actuators that are used for vertical thrust have some linear and nonlinear regimes. However, actuators behave linearly for the thrust regime that is needed for vertical motion. That is why the blue shaded control allocation path is followed in Figure 5.7.

Redistributed pseudo-inverse and daisy-chaining, direct allocation, error minimization using linear programming and error minimization using quadratic programming methods are used in constrained linear allocation methods [73]. Control allocation is used in aerospace for various applications for different aims such as fault-tolerant [75–79], transition among vertical and horizontal flight phases [80–86]. Allocation efficiency is a crucial performance index to decide the quality of the control allocation algorithms.

5.3.1 Constrained linear control allocation methods

5.3.1.1 Redistributed pseudo-inverse

Generalized inverse method is very simple for implementation and cannot solve the allocation problem for the attainable moment subset, so the allocation efficiency is low. To solve this problem, redistributed pseudo-inverse method is proposed [87]. With the method, control inputs that exceeds the hardware limits removed from the solution set. Then, control allocation problem solved with the available set of solutions.

**Step 1:** Distribution of desired moment using pseudo-inverse method

\[ u = Pv \]  \hspace{1cm} (5.41)

where:

\( v \) : desired moments
\( u \) : distribution results
\( P \) : Pseudo-inverse distribution matrix

**Step 2:** If the control distributed desired moments exceeds the hardware limits, control variables are divided into two. The first group is \( u_1 \) which is beyond hardware
limit. The second group \( u_2 \) which is in the limits of hardware. So, the control efficiency matrix \( B \) is composed of two parts as \( B_1 \) and \( B_2 \).

**Step 3:** Control variable \( u_1 \) is set to limits. So, maximum generated moment from \( u_1 \) becomes \( v_1 = B_1 u_1 \). Since the desired moment is \( v \), and \( v_1 \) amount of moment is generated because of the hardware limits, \( v_2 \) is missing desired moment \( v_2 = v - v_1 \).

**Step 4:** Solving the \( v_2 = B_2 u_2 \) with generalized inverse method, final solution is \( v = Bu \) where \( u = [u_1 u_2]^T \).

The redistribution procedure is done repeatedly till finding a feasible solution which is in limits of the hardware. *This method does not guarantee to have the optimal solution and minimization about the control allocation error* [88].

### 5.3.1.2 Daisy chaining

The daisy-chaining algorithm is straightforward and less effective control allocation algorithm [80]. Actuators and effectors are grouped into two or more. The groups have priorities. Even if one actuator is saturated, for example, in the first group, is disabled. Then, the second group is allocated to fill the gap among allocated and required forces and moments. For example, suppose daisy chaining algorithm was used for the fixed wing VTOL air vehicle. In that case, actuators can be grouped into two which are fixed wing control \( u_1 \) and rotary wing control \( u_2 \). Desired forces/moments \( v \) can be generated with partitioning the control effectiveness matrix as given in Equation 5.42.

\[
v = Bu = \begin{bmatrix} B_r & B_f \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} u_r \\ u_f \end{bmatrix} = B_r u_r + B_f u_f \quad (5.42)
\]

where:
\( v \) : desired moments

\( B \) : control effectiveness matrix

\( B_r \) : control effectiveness matrix for rotary wing

\( B_f \) : control effectiveness matrix for fixed wing

\( u \) : distribution results

\( u_r \) : distribution result for rotary wing

\( u_f \) : distribution result for fixed wing

### 5.3.1.3 Direct allocation

The direct control allocation method is a kind of constrained control allocation method, by [81], aims to find a real number, \( \rho \), and a vector, \( \delta_1 \), such that

\[
B \delta_1 = \rho d_{des} \tag{5.43}
\]

and

\[
\delta_{min} \leq \delta \leq \delta_{max} \tag{5.44}
\]

\( \delta \) depends on the \( \rho \), which represents the amount of control power to touch the limit of attainable moment set (AMS). If \( \rho \leq 1 \), the demanded moment is within the range of AMS can be generated. If \( \rho > 1 \), demand moment exceeded the AMS limits and scaled back to touch the boundary of the AMS. If \( \rho > 1 \), then \( \delta = \delta_1/\rho \). If \( \rho \leq 1 \), then \( \delta = \delta_1 \).

### 5.3.2 Linear and Quadratic Programming Optimization Methods

First requirement is to satisfy the demand (error minimization problem) then selecting the effector positions or rotational velocities to satisfy the demand (control minimization problem). The objective of error minimization is to find a vector \( \delta \), given \( B \) and \( d_{des} \), such that

\[
J = \|B\delta - d_{des}\|_p \tag{5.45}
\]

is minimized, subject to

\[
\delta^- \leq \delta \leq \delta^+ \tag{5.46}
\]
where:

- \( J \): cost to be minimized
- \( p \): norm type 1, 2, \( \infty \)
- \( \delta^-, \delta^+ \): minimum and maximum limits of control surface

Norm type depends on the algorithm that is used to perform the minimization. For LP solvers, the norm becomes 1.

\[
\min_{\delta} J = \| B\delta - d_{des} \|_1 \tag{5.47}
\]

This is transformed into a standard LP problem [89],

\[
\min_{\delta_s} J = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & ... & 0 & 1 & ... & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \delta \\ \delta_s \end{bmatrix} \tag{5.48}
\]

subject to

\[
\begin{bmatrix} \delta_s \\ -\delta \\ \delta \\ -B\delta + \delta_s \\ B\delta + \delta_s \end{bmatrix} \succeq \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ -\delta^+ \\ \delta^- \\ -d_{des} \\ d_{des} \end{bmatrix} \tag{5.49}
\]

where:

- \( \delta_s \): vector of slack variables

Slack variables represent the amount of control power that exceeds the available power. The control law command is feasible if \( J = 0 \). Otherwise it is infeasible and control effectors cannot meet the demand. To add flexibility to the control deficiency problem weights may be added. In this case, error minimization problem becomes,

\[
\min_{\delta_s} J = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & ... & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \delta \\ \delta_s \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} W_d^T \end{bmatrix} \tag{5.50}
\]
where:

\( W_T^\text{d} \): weighting vector

The weights give flexibility to increase or decrease the power of effectors compared to the others. The solution of the problems either unweighted (Equation 5.48) or weighted (Equation 5.50) minimizes the 1-norm of the distance between \( W\delta \) and \( d_{\text{des}} \).

As stated before control minimization problem is the second optimization. If there are enough effectors to satisfy Equation 5.47 such that \( J = 0 \), then multiple solutions may exist which yields secondary objective is achieved. **The important thing is to find a direct and best solution for the over actuated system among multiple ones.** Then, the control minimization becomes,

\[
\min_{\delta_s} J = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \ldots & 0 \end{bmatrix} W_u^T \begin{bmatrix} \delta \\ \delta_s \end{bmatrix}
\]

subject to

\[
\begin{bmatrix} \delta_s \\ -\delta \\ \delta \\ -\delta + \delta_s \\ \delta + \delta_s \end{bmatrix} \geq \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ -\delta^+ \\ \delta^- \\ -\delta_p \\ \delta_p \end{bmatrix}
\]

where:

\( \delta_p \): preferred control effector position

Minimum control deflection, minimum wing loading, minimum drag, minimum actuator power can be an example of the secondary objective [90].

5.3.3 Solving the Linear Problem (LP) Problem

The simplex algorithm is a method to solve Linear Problems [91]. Since the algorithm is run in the Pixhawk Cube controller board, it is essential to be a simple process.
The frequency closed-loop control loop of the fixed wing VTOL air vehicle is 250\(Hz\) which means the algorithm must be solved within 4\(ms\). The simplex method moves from one feasible solution to another, in such a way that the value of the objective function decreases continuously till a minimum is reached.

### 5.3.4 Control allocation algorithm comparisons

Direct allocation and surface search methods, although they solve control allocation problem, they have a large amount of computing work, and good at real-time ability. The generalized inverse method cannot solve the allocation problem; efficiency is low, simplicity very high. Early surface saturation phenomenon often happens when it is used in practice. Pros and cons of the control allocation algorithms are given in Table 5.1. ‘+’ used for the pro or much, ‘o’ is used for neutral, and ‘-’ used for cons or less. The direct allocation method is proposed to solve the problem.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Control allocation algorithm</th>
<th>Computing work</th>
<th>Real-time ability</th>
<th>Efficiency</th>
<th>Simplicity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Redistributed pseudo-inverse</td>
<td>high</td>
<td>o</td>
<td>o</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daisy chaining</td>
<td>less</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Direct allocation</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Designed guidance and autopilot algorithms are deployed in the Pixhawk Cube controller board, and flight tests are carried out for rotary wing and fixed wing air vehicles. For rotary wing air vehicle, position and attitude control algorithms are designed. Flights are done with 2nd and 3rd order ADRCs for 20m and 40m flight ranges. Each flight is repeated with different load configurations like no-load, asymmetrically located constant and slung load cases. For the fixed wing air vehicle, PID controller is designed for roll and pitch axes. Since the fixed wing air vehicle is not the final system, control of yaw and altitude dynamics are out of scope. For fixed wing VTOL air vehicle, 3rd order ADRCs are implemented to control the attitudes of the air vehicle during vertical motion. Control allocation algorithm is implemented for the flight phases, the transition from rotary to fixed wing and fixed to the rotary wing. States of the fixed wing VTOL air vehicle are also controlled by 3rd order ADRCs for level flight.

6.1 Rotary wing air vehicle

6.1.1 Trajectory tracking

For trajectory tracking of the rotary wing air vehicle, translational and rotational dynamics of the rotary wing air vehicle are controlled by using the six distinct active disturbance rejection controllers.

Position control is made under the assumption that the attitude control has fast track ability. Therefore, small angle approximation assumption can be applied in Equations
Desired accelerations in $x$ and $y$ directions ($\ddot{x}_{\text{des}}$ and $\ddot{y}_{\text{des}}$) are calculated as in Equation [6.1]. Moreover, trajectory tracking can be done along and/or cross the trajectory. In this study, the controller is not designed to make trajectory tracking for the curvature sense of trajectory. Under these assumptions, desired roll and pitch angles ($\phi_{\text{des}}$ and $\theta_{\text{des}}$) are calculated as in Equation [6.1] \cite{92}.

\begin{align*}
\ddot{x}_{\text{des}} &= \frac{U_1}{m} (\theta \cos \psi + \phi \sin \psi) \\
\ddot{y}_{\text{des}} &= \frac{U_1}{m} (\theta \sin \psi - \phi \cos \psi) \\
\phi_{\text{des}} &= \frac{m}{U_1} (\ddot{x}_{\text{des}} \sin \psi - \ddot{y}_{\text{des}} \cos \psi) \\
\theta_{\text{des}} &= \frac{m}{U_1} (\ddot{x}_{\text{des}} \cos \psi + \ddot{y}_{\text{des}} \sin \psi)
\end{align*}

(6.1)

$x$ and $y$ positions of the rotary wing air vehicle are controlled by two distinct ADRCs. Reference values in $x$ and $y$ positions ($x_{\text{ref}}$ and $y_{\text{ref}}$) are fed into the ADRCs and desired accelerations ($\ddot{x}_{\text{des}}$ and $\ddot{y}_{\text{des}}$) and external forces are calculated \cite{93}.

Then, $\phi_{\text{des}}$ and $\theta_{\text{des}}$ are obtained by using the desired acceleration on the body along $x$ and $y$ with using the current yaw angle as in Equation [6.1].

On the other hand, altitude control is made by using the total thrust value of $U_1$. Total thrust is altered wisely to control the altitude channel of the rotary wing air vehicle \cite{93}.

6.1.2 Attitude control

Attitude control is made by using three distinct ADRCs as well. $\phi_{\text{des}}$ and $\theta_{\text{des}}$ obtained from Equation [6.1] and $\psi_{\text{ref}}$ are fed into the ADRC and external moments are calculated.

Finally, external forces and moments are given into the rotary wing air vehicle 6 DOF model and position, velocity and attitude in navigation frame are obtained.

Controller structure used in trajectory control is given in Figure [6.1]. First of all, according to the reference $x$ and $y$ coordinates ($x_{\text{ref}}$ and $y_{\text{ref}}$), desired accelerations ($\ddot{x}_{\text{des}}$ and $\ddot{y}_{\text{des}}$) in $x$ and $y$ directions are calculated in "X-Y Position ADRC" block.
Then, desired accelerations are fed into the "Desired Angle Calculation Block" and desired angles ($\phi_{des}$ and $\theta_{des}$) are obtained. Meanwhile, required thrust value, $U_1$, to control the altitude of the rotary wing air vehicle is calculated in "Altitude ADRC" block with using the reference altitude value, $z_{ref}$, and current altitude value which is one of the rotary wing air vehicle states. Required roll, pitch, and yaw moments ($U_2$, $U_3$ and $U_4$) are calculated in "Attitude ADRC" block to control the translational and rotational dynamics except for the altitude. Then, all of the forces and moments are fed into the rotary wing air vehicle and states are obtained.

![Figure 6.1: Tracking controller structure](image)

### 6.1.3 Results

The rotary wing air vehicle is modelled experimentally to have a close result between simulations and flight tests. Translational and rotational dynamics of the rotary wing air vehicle is modelled in the Matlab®/Simulink® environment. Indoor flight tests are done in Mobile Robotics Research Laboratory of Mechanical Engineering Department of TEDU. Unloaded, static loaded and slung loaded flight tests are carried out with the same controller and observer gains. Test methodology of the study is given in Figure 6.2. Indoor and outdoor flight photos are depicted in Figures 6.3a and 6.3b, respectively.

In rotary wing air vehicle, translational dynamics are obtained using the GPS, and
the rotational dynamics are obtained from the gyroscopes and accelerometers of the Pixhawk Cube controller board. All translational and rotational dynamics are controlled by six discrete active disturbance rejection type controllers. These controllers are designed as 2nd and 3rd order to investigate how adding the actuator dynamic affects the performance of the rotary wing air vehicle is under different disturbances like the gust, wind, an asymmetrically placed stationary and slung loads. A 0.1kg weighted load is placed at one arm of the rotary wing air vehicle, which is shown in Figure 6.4 for the statically loaded case. For the slung loaded case, the same load is hanged from the same location at the same arm with a rope whose length is 0.5m.

Two scenarios are planned in such a way that the rotary wing air vehicle follows flight path from point zero to point six which are given in Figures 6.5a and 6.5b with a constant yaw angle of 0°to observe the performances of the designed controllers. Twelve different flight tests which are given in Table 6.1 are carried out with the rotary wing air vehicle to see the performances of the controllers. To measure the performances of the controllers, Integrated Squared Errors (ISE) are calculated as in Equation 6.2.
Figure 6.4: Load position

Figure 6.5: Flight trajectories

Table 6.1: Flight test types

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>20 m flight</th>
<th>40 m flight</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Flight No</td>
<td>Load</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>unloaded</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>unloaded</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>static load</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>static load</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>slung load</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>slung load</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 6.2: Controller gains

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>x</th>
<th>y</th>
<th>z</th>
<th>φ</th>
<th>θ</th>
<th>ψ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2\textsuperscript{nd} order ADRC controller bandwidth, $w_c$</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2\textsuperscript{nd} order ADRC observer bandwidth, $w_o$</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>5.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3\textsuperscript{rd} order ADRC controller bandwidth, $w_c$</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3\textsuperscript{rd} order ADRC observer bandwidth, $w_o$</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>21.6</td>
<td>21.6</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\[ ISE = \int e^2(t)dt \] (6.2)

Rotary wing air vehicle follows the trajectory commands with smaller errors at flight tests with 3\textsuperscript{rd} order ADRC compared to 2\textsuperscript{nd} order ADRC for all load cases. Higher controller and observer bandwidths can be achieved by adding the actuator dynamics to the off the design of the ADRC. Flight trajectories of 20m and 40m flights are given in Figure 6.6. 20m flight trajectories for unloaded, static loaded, and slung loaded cases are depicted in Figures 6.6a, 6.6c, and 6.6e, respectively. Similarly, 40m flight trajectories for unloaded, static loaded, and slung loaded cases are shown in Figures 6.6b, 6.6d, and 6.6f, respectively. Controller and observer gains for all cases can be seen in Table 6.2. As can be seen in Figure 6.6, for both 2\textsuperscript{nd} and 3\textsuperscript{rd} order ADRC flights, the best tracking performances are achieved in unloaded cases because not having any disturbances except the weather conditions. Due to the location of the load, external negative roll and positive pitch moments are applied to the rotary wing air vehicle during the flights. Drifts are observed in both negative $x$ and $y$ directions. In slung loaded case, disturbances are higher compared to the static loaded case because of the oscillation of the load. These disturbances are rejected with the active disturbance rejection controllers. Alternatively, flight trajectories of the rotary wing air vehicle are grouped as ADRC types and given in Figure 6.7. Trajectories of the rotary wing air vehicle for 20m and 40m flights with 2\textsuperscript{nd} order ADRC under different load cases are depicted in Figures 6.7a and 6.7b, respectively. Similarly, trajectories of the rotary wing air vehicle for 20m and 40m flights with 3\textsuperscript{rd} order ADRC under different load cases are shown in Figures 6.7c and 6.7d, respectively.
Figure 6.6: Flight trajectories for different loads for 20m and 40m flights
ISE values are scaled such that the maximum ISE value is assigned to 100. Scaled ISE values of the translational states for 20m and 40m flights with different load cases are given in Figures 6.8a and 6.8b, respectively. It can be clearly seen from Figure 6.8 that the performance of 3\textsuperscript{rd} order ADRC is better compared to 2\textsuperscript{nd} order ADRC in translational dynamics.

For unloaded case, 2\textsuperscript{nd} and 3\textsuperscript{rd} order ADRCs are applied to rotary wing air vehicle model in Matlab\textsuperscript{®}/Simulink\textsuperscript{®} environment for roll and pitch states. Simulation results of 2\textsuperscript{nd} and 3\textsuperscript{rd} order ADRCs for roll axis can be seen in Figures 6.9a-6.10a for 20m and 40m flights, respectively. Roll dynamics of the rotary wing air vehicle both for 2\textsuperscript{nd} and 3\textsuperscript{rd} order ADRCs are given in Figures 6.9b-6.9c for 20m flight and Figures 6.10b-6.10c for 40m flight. Simulation results of 2\textsuperscript{nd} and 3\textsuperscript{rd} order ADRCs for pitch
axis can be seen in Figures 6.11a-6.12a for 20m and 40m flights, respectively. Pitch dynamics of the rotary wing air vehicle both for 2\textsuperscript{nd} and 3\textsuperscript{rd} order ADRCs are given in Figures 6.11b-6.11c for 20m flight and Figures 6.12b-6.12c for 40m flight. As can be seen in Figures from 6.9 to 6.12, roll and pitch dynamics are less oscillatory and peaks are relatively small with 3\textsuperscript{rd} order ADRC compared to 2\textsuperscript{nd} order ADRC for unloaded cases in 20m and 40m flights.
Figure 6.10: Roll dynamics for unloaded case - 40m flight

Figure 6.11: Pitch dynamics for unloaded case - 20m flight
As in the unloaded case, explanations about the differences of the $2^{nd}$ and $3^{rd}$ order ADRC simulation and flight responses mentioned above are valid for the static load case. The load acts as a constant disturbance in the x and y body axes of the rotary wing air vehicle as a negative roll and positive pitch moment, respectively. Roll and pitch moment contributions of the load and estimated disturbances by the $2^{nd}$ and $3^{rd}$ order ADRCs during the 20m flight are given in Figures 6.13a and 6.13b, respectively. Estimated disturbance during the 40m flight are shown in Figures 6.14a and 6.14b.
and 6.14b for roll and pitch axes, respectively. Disturbance estimation of 2nd and 3rd order ADRCs are proven with the flight tests as depicted in Figures 6.13 and 6.14. It is also shown that the estimation performance of the 3rd order ADRC is superior to 2nd order ADRC.

![Disturbance estimation](image)

(a) Roll disturbance estimation  
(b) Pitch disturbance estimation

Figure 6.14: Disturbance estimation for static loaded case - 40m flight

For static loaded case, 2nd and 3rd order ADRCs are applied to the rotary wing air vehicle model in Matlab®/Simulink® environment for roll and pitch states. Simulation results of 2nd and 3rd order ADRCs for roll axis can be seen in Figures 6.15a-6.16a for 20m and 40m flights, respectively. Roll dynamics of the rotary wing air vehicle both for 2nd and 3rd order ADRCs are given in Figures 6.15b-6.15c for 20m flight and Figures 6.16b-6.16c for 40m flight. Simulation results of 2nd and 3rd order ADRCs for pitch axis can be seen in Figures 6.17a-6.18a for 20m and 40m flights, respectively. Pitch dynamics of the rotary wing air vehicle both for 2nd and 3rd order ADRCs are given in Figures 6.17b-6.17c for the 20m flight and Figures 6.18b-6.18c for the 40m flight. As can be seen in Figures from 6.15 to 6.18, roll and pitch dynamics are less oscillatory and peaks are relatively small with 3rd order ADRC compared to 2nd order ADRC for static loaded case in 20m and 40m flights. There are steady-state errors during both 20m and 40m flights which are done with 2nd order ADRC. These steady-state errors can be seen in Figures 6.15b and 6.17b for roll and pitch dynamics during the 20m flight and Figures 6.16b and 6.18b during the 40m flight, respectively. 3rd order ADRC has also better performance in eliminating the steady-state errors and following the command under constant disturbances. Flight data with the 3rd order ADRC are shown in Figures 6.15c and 6.17c for roll and pitch dynamics during the 20m flight and Figures 6.16c and 6.18c during the 40m flight, respectively.
(a) Second and Third Order ADRC simulation results

(b) Second Order ADRC results

(c) Third Order ADRC results

Figure 6.15: Roll dynamics for static loaded case - 20m flight

(a) Second and Third Order ADRC simulation results

(b) Second Order ADRC results

(c) Third Order ADRC results

Figure 6.16: Roll dynamics for static loaded case - 40m flight
Figure 6.17: Pitch dynamics for static loaded case - 20m flight

Figure 6.18: Pitch dynamics for static loaded case - 40m flight
In slung load case, it is hard to model the stochastic oscillation of the load so just flight test is carried out with the 2nd and 3rd order ADRCs. In-flight tests, disturbances caused by the oscillation of the load are estimated and given in Figures 6.19a and 6.19b during 20m flight and Figures 6.20a and 6.20b during 40m flight in roll and pitch axes, respectively.

In slung loaded case, roll dynamics of the rotary wing air vehicle both for 2nd and 3rd order ADRCs are given in Figures 6.21a and 6.21b for the 20m flights, respectively. Pitch dynamics of the rotary wing air vehicle both for 2nd and 3rd order ADRCs are given in Figures 6.23a-6.23b for the 20m flight and Figures 6.24a-6.24b for the 40m flight. As can be seen in Figures from 6.21 to 6.24, roll and pitch dynamics are less oscillatory and peaks are relatively small with 3rd order ADRC compared to 2nd order ADRC for slung loaded case in 20m and 40m flights. There are steady state errors during the both 20m and 40m flights which are done with 2nd order ADRC. These
steady-state errors can be seen in Figures 6.21a and 6.23a for roll and pitch dynamics during the 20m flight and Figures 6.22a and 6.24a during the 40m flight, respectively. 3rd order ADRC has also better performance in eliminating the steady-state errors and following the command under stochastic disturbances. Flight data with the 3rd order ADRC are shown in Figures 6.21b and 6.23b for roll and pitch dynamics during the 20m flight and Figures 6.22b and 6.24b during the 40m flight, respectively.

In the unloaded case, the only disturbance is the weather. The other cases include static and slung load beside the weather. Desired angles are calculated according to the rejection of these disturbances. As can be seen in Figure 6.25, errors of the slung load cases are the highest because more disturbance is applied to the rotary wing air vehicle. Nevertheless, it can be observed that both in static and slung load cases as well; disturbances can be rejected using an active disturbance rejection controller. It can be said that the performance of 3rd order ADRC is better than the 2nd order ADRC counterparts.

![Second Order ADRC results](image1)

(a) Second Order ADRC results

![Third Order ADRC results](image2)

(b) Third Order ADRC results

Figure 6.21: Roll dynamics for slung loaded case - 20m flight
Figure 6.22: Roll dynamics for slung loaded case - 40m flight

Figure 6.23: Pitch dynamics for slung loaded case - 20m flight
Figure 6.24: Pitch dynamics for slung loaded case - 40m flight

Figure 6.25: Scaled ISE values for different controllers and flight cases
Table 6.3: Fixed wing air vehicle controller gains

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gain</th>
<th>Roll</th>
<th>Pitch</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$K_p$</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$K_i$</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$K_d$</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

6.2 Fixed wing air vehicle

The fixed wing air vehicle is given in Figure 6.26. Roll and pitch dynamics of the fixed wing air vehicle are controller two separate PID controllers. **Aim of using fixed wing air vehicle** is to create the mainframe and a base for the **fixed wing VTOL air vehicle** in the sense of algorithms. Altitude and yaw angle are out of the scope of the test. PID controller gains can be seen in Table 6.3. Developed guidance and autopilot algorithm is developed in Matlab®/Simulink® environment and deployed to Pixhawk Cube controller card. The algorithm is developed such that the fixed wing air vehicle takes off from ground without an autopilot algorithm. During this part of the flight, the fixed wing air vehicle is controlled by the pilot from a remote controller on the ground. Controllers are activated when the fixed wing air vehicle is flying. Roll and pitch dynamic reference angle values adjusted from the remote controller as $\pm 10^\circ$ and $\pm 5^\circ$, respectively. Roll and pitch reference and system response values are seen in Figure 6.27 and Figure 6.28. At about 90th second, autopilot is engaged, which is depicted as red lines. As can be seen, the controller performance of the pitch dynamic is better than the roll dynamic, which is caused by the gain parameters. Integral of the sum of squared errors is taken as given in Equation 6.2 to analyse the performance of the controller in roll and pitch axes of the fixed wing air vehicle. As can be seen in Table 6.4 performance of the pitch channel is better, smaller ISE value, than the roll channel that is caused by the controller gains.
Figure 6.26: Fixed wing air vehicle

Figure 6.27: Flight test data - roll control

Figure 6.28: Flight test data - pitch control

Table 6.4: ISE values of the roll and pitch states

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State</th>
<th>ISE value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Roll</td>
<td>15427</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pitch</td>
<td>8421</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
6.3 Fixed wing VTOL air vehicle

Since the fixed wing VTOL air vehicle is an over actuated system, using a control allocation algorithm is a must to have an efficient flight in terms of energy. As stated in Sections 4.2 and 4.3, fixed wing VTOL air vehicle is identified and modelled. The major step is to choose the control allocation algorithm. Fixed wing VTOL aircraft is simulated with the same scenario to investigate the performances of the control allocation algorithms. The flight is composed of five major phases which are take-off, the transition from rotary to fixed wing flight, level flight, transition from fixed wing to rotary wing flight, and landing. Control allocation algorithms are implemented in Matlab/Simulink environment, and performances of the algorithms are investigated. Obtained flight trajectories in XZ directions with different control allocation algorithms are given in Figure 6.32. Flight scenarios are ended when the fixed wing VTOL air vehicle touches the ground. Flowchart of the flight phases is given in Figure 6.29. Flight phases of the fixed wing VTOL air vehicle changes concerning comparisons of some values which are like if-else statement structure.

![Flowchart of the flight phases](image)

**Figure 6.29: Flowchart of the flight phases**
Algorithms run in transition phases are very important because the energy consumption of the fixed wing VTOL air vehicle is optimized in these phases. As stated in Section 5.3, control allocation algorithms distributes desired forces and moments to the available set of actuators which are brushless DC motors and servo motors. Each actuator has a position and rate limit. So, generated force/moments and generation speed is limited to hardware capabilities. Another critical point is the distribution of forces/moments at the steady-state. Inputs and outputs of the direct control allocation algorithm are given in Figure 6.30.

**Figure 6.30: Dynamic control allocation inputs and outputs**

where:

- $B$ : control effectiveness matrix
- $S$ : desired steady state distribution
- $W_1$ : max/min position limits of actuators
- $W_2$ : max/min rate limits of actuators
- $(X, Y, Z)_{des}$ : desired forces from the controller
- $(L, M, N)_{des}$ : desired moments from the controller
- $(X, Y, Z)_{rot}$ : distribution of forces to the rotary wing
- $(L, M, N)_{rot}$ : distribution of moments to the rotary wing
- $(X, Y, Z)_{fwd}$ : distribution of forces to the fixed wing
- $(L, M, N)_{fwd}$ : distribution of moments to the fixed wing
where:

- $W$ : net weighting matrix
- $W_i$ : inverse of net weighting matrix
- $(.)^+$ : Pseudo-inverse term
- $dt$ : sampling rate
- $m$ : number of inputs
- $v(t)$ : virtual input
- $u(t)$ : desired output

Flight times differ related to the control allocation algorithms. Positions and velocities of the fixed wing VTOL air vehicle are given in Figures 6.34 and 6.35 in the NED coordinate system, respectively. The same scenario and autopilot algorithms are used to see the performances and differences of the control allocation algorithms. As can be seen in Figure 6.32, different flight paths are obtained. Control allocation algorithm distributes the virtual input, which is the output of the controller to the available set of actuators in the limits of actuators. Distribution of the control allocation algorithms is different because of their speed of convergences and priorities.
as given in Section 5.3. Power consumption of the fixed wing VTOL air vehicle is measured and compared to see the performance of the control allocation algorithms. Fixed wing VTOL air vehicle is composed of rotary and fixed wing parts.

Total consumed powers and their distributions to the rotary and the fixed wing parts are given in Figure 6.33. Flights phases are indicated as vertical dashed lines with numbers which are one is for take-off, two is for the transition from rotary wing to fixed wing flight, three is for level flight, four is for the transition from fixed wing to rotary wing flight, five is for landing. As can be seen in Figure 6.33, the least total consumed power for the fixed wing VTOL air vehicle is achieved with the dynamic direct allocation algorithm as given in Figure 6.33c.

There are two main advantages of using fixed wing VTOL air vehicles are that take-off and land in small areas with the rotary wing parts and longer flight ranges can be reached with the fixed wing parts. A scenario is designed to investigate the efficiency of the direct control allocation algorithm. There is a 0.2kg load attached underneath each wing. The fixed wing VTOL air vehicle carries and releases the left load at first, then takes off and carries the load that is under the right wing to the final point.

![Graphs](image1.png)

(a) Daisy chain  
(b) Direct allocation  
(c) Dynamic direct allocation  
(d) Weighted least squared

Figure 6.34: Positions in NED coordinate system
Figure 6.32: XZ trajectories of different control allocation algorithms

(a) Daisy chain  
(b) Direct allocation  
(c) Dynamic direct allocation  
(d) Weighted least squared

Figure 6.33: Consumed powers of different control allocation algorithms

(a) Daisy chain  
(b) Direct allocation  
(c) Dynamic direct allocation  
(d) Weighted least squared
Figure 6.35: Velocities in NED coordinate system

Figure 6.36: Fixed wing VTOL air vehicle flight scenario
Flight scenario of the fixed wing VTOL air vehicle is given in Figure 6.36. Loads are represented as orange (left) and red (right) boxes. The fixed wing air vehicle completes the first flight section, which is represented as green arrows. Then, the left load (orange) is released. The mechanism and dynamic effects of the releasing process are not in the scope of this research. After the load is released, the second flight section starts, which are represented as red arrows. In the second flight section, fixed wing VTOL air vehicle is loaded with asymmetrically. In the scenario, reference altitude is 20m. and cruising speed is 10m/s. Flight trajectories in three dimensional space are given in Figure 6.37. Positions in the NED coordinate system are given in Figure 6.38. First and second flight sections are represented as numbered green dashed and red dotted vertical lines. In the NED coordinate system, negative $Z$ direction is upwards, which means higher altitude. That is why the altitude of the fixed wing VTOL air vehicle, $X_D$, in Figure 6.34 is negative. In the first flight phase (green), the fixed wing VTOL air vehicle takes off vertically, so velocities in North and East directions are zero so the positions. Second flight phase is the transition from rotary wing to fixed wing, so the velocity in North direction increases to the cruising speed. After the stall speed is passed, the third flight phase started. At the same time, the reference altitude is reached. So, the horizontal speed $V_N$ increases to the cruising speed as the vertical speed $V_D$ approaches to zero.

Euler angles of the fixed wing VTOL air vehicle is given in Figure 6.40. Reference Euler angles during the whole flight are zero in roll, pitch, and yaw states. As can be seen in Figure 6.40, Euler angles are zero during the first flight section (green dashed lines). In the second flight section, the load under the right wing, given as a red box in Figure 6.36 behaves as a constant disturbance to the system. Similar case is investigated, simulated, and tested on rotary wing air vehicle which is given in Section 6.1.3, 4th and 10th flight numbers in Table 6.1. Effects of the load to the rotational dynamics of the fixed wing VTOL air vehicle can be seen in a second flight section whose sections are represented as red dotted vertical lines. In the first phase of the second flight section, fixed wing air vehicle takes off with one load underneath the right wing, as seen in Figure 6.36.
Figure 6.37: Fixed wing VTOL air vehicle flight path

Figure 6.38: Fixed wing VTOL air vehicle positions in NED coordinate frame
Controllers generate desired forces and moments which are called as virtual commands to reject the disturbances and hold the states in reference values. Control allocation algorithm distributes the virtual commands to the available set of actuators as given in Figure 5.6. Distributed forces and moments after the control allocation
algorithm are seen in Figure 6.41.

Forces in $x$ direction are given in Figure 6.41a. Required force in $x$ direction is provided by fixed wing VTOL air vehicle. Since the take-off and landing are done vertically, required and distributed force in $x$ direction are zero, which can be seen in first and fifth flight phases for both flight sections. Second flight phases, speed of the fixed wing VTOL air vehicle is increased to reach the cruising speed. After the stall speed is passed, required $x$ force becomes constant. In the fourth state, the required force goes to zero since the reference speed is zero. Forces in $y$ direction are zero during the flight because no lateral motion is taken into account, which is given in Figure 6.41c. In $z$ direction, required forces are provided by rotary wing air vehicle in first flight phases. For the second flight phases, rotary wing allocation decreases while fixed wing increases. In the third phase, all lift force is generated by fixed wings. For landing, in state 4, most of the required force generated by rotary wings. In second and fourth phases, the allocation for the fixed wing part is limited because the speed of the fixed wing VTOL air vehicle is slower than the stall speed as can be seen in Figure 6.41e.

Roll moment is constant during the first flight section. After the load placed in the left wing is released, the load in the right wing contributes a constant positive roll moment. Fixed wing air vehicle generates a counter moment to balance the moment comes from the load in the right wing. In Figure 6.41b generated counter moment is seen in the second flight phase. Required pitch and yaw moments are zero during the whole flight as given in Figures 6.41d and 6.41f.
For the power consumption, rotary and fixed wing parts are investigated separately and then combined. Needed current to generate a certain amount of force is measured in Section 4.2.2 due to a constant voltage level.

Rotary wing parts of the fixed wing VTOL air vehicle is used to generate a lift force in the first flight section. So, each motor consumes the same amount of power. In the first
phase, they are used to generate a lift force to take off. In the second phase, generated lift force from the rotary wing parts decreased slightly, but still, it generates the most of the required lift force. The reason is, the speed of the fixed wing air vehicle is slower than the stall speed. In the third phase, rotary wings stop, and no power is consumed. In the phase from fixed wing to rotary wing, which is phase 4, they operate again. Landing is carried out with the rotary wing parts. In the second phase of the flight, because of the load placed underneath of the right wing, rotary wing motors do not rotate in the same speed to generate the counter moment to balance the fixed wing VTOL air vehicle. Power consumption of the rotary wing part is given in Figure 6.42.

Actuators of the fixed wing part are servo motors and a brushless DC motor. Servo motors are used to actuate the control surfaces, which are ailerons, elevator, and rudder. Power consumption of the servo motors is neglected because it is minimal relative to the brushless DC motor. Consumed power in motor numbered as 5 in Figure 4.3 which is used to generate horizontal thrust force is considered and given in Figure 6.43. As opposed to the rotary wings, motor 5 runs in state 2 and 3, which are transitions from rotary to fixed wing and level flights. In the fourth phase, it slows down and stops.

Power consumption of the fixed wing VTOL air vehicle is given in Figure 6.44. As stated in Section 4.2, relation among thrust units and reference PWM signal is identified. During the tests, consumed current according to the reference PWM signal is measured, and the operating voltage is constant. So, consumed power of all thrust units are known related to reference PWM signal.

The first phase in the first flight section, power is only consumed by rotary wings. In the second phase, motor 5 starts to rotate so consumes energy. In the third phase, the required power is consumed by fixed wings. In the fourth phase, motor 5 slows down, so its consumed power and rotary wings start to rotate. In the second flight section, one of the load is released, so consumed powers both in rotary and fixed wing sections are relatively less to the first flight section.
Figure 6.42: Power consumption of rotary wings of fixed wing VTOL air vehicle

Figure 6.43: Power consumption of fixed wings of fixed wing VTOL air vehicle
Another important point that needs to be considered is the physical limits of the actuators of the fixed wing VTOL air vehicle. As stated in Section 4.2, servo motors are used in control surfaces, and brushless DC motors are used both in vertical and horizontal thrusters. Rotational position limits and positions of the control surfaces are given in Figure 6.45. Forward and reverse position limits are depicted as horizontal blue lines. During the system identification tests, forward and reverse limits of the control surfaces of the fixed wing VTOL air vehicle are measured as \( \pm 15^\circ \). Rotational positions of the control surfaces during the scenario are in the hardware limits. Vertical thrusters are also work in the hardware limits. Rotational velocities of the vertical thrusters and hardware limits are seen in Figure 6.46.
Figure 6.45: Control surface positions of fixed wing VTOL air vehicle

Figure 6.46: Vertical thrusters’ velocities of fixed wing VTOL air vehicle
CHAPTER 7

CONCLUSION

The motivation of this study is to merge the advantages of rotary and fixed wing air vehicles in one platform, which is called as a fixed wing VTOL air vehicle. It can take-off and land vertically and fly interminable distances compared to the rotary wing air vehicles. In our daily life, unmanned fixed and rotary wings are widely used in many civil and military applications. New needs arise with the developed technologies in material, electronic, and software areas. New designs come with more complex structures and the need for efficiency.

In this study, it is aimed to develop efficient guidance, autopilot, and control algorithm without sacrificing the performance of the fixed wing VTOL air vehicle. To do that, the fixed wing VTOL air vehicle is separated into two air vehicles such as rotary and fixed wing. ADRC, a well-known controller algorithm, is designed and combined with a trajectory tracking algorithm. The developed system is tested under different load conditions such as unloaded, asymmetric constant load and a slung load. Flight tests prove that the algorithms in controlling the rotary wing air vehicle as well as rejecting the unknown disturbances during the flight. Another step is to model and design a control algorithm for a fixed wing air vehicle. Designed algorithms are verified with the flight tests. The final step is to design an efficient algorithm for the fixed wing VTOL air vehicle. The most critical sections are the transitions among vertical and horizontal flights. Control allocation algorithms are investigated and applied to the system to reduce power consumption during transition phases. Developed algorithms are tested in a simulation environment, and efficiency is proved.

Besides the above studies, a real scenario is designed to see the performance of the designed system. The scenario may be possible in daily life, for example, medicine
transportation in earthquake rubble. Besides, weaponized unmanned air vehicles
loaded symmetrically at the beginning of a mission. After one ammunition is re-
leased, asymmetric load condition occurs. Developed algorithms are proved in sce-
nario results both in efficiency and performance.

A fixed wing VTOL air vehicle is developed physically. It was planned to complete
the flight tests with the fixed wing VTOL air vehicle after the rotary and fixed wing
air vehicles. The material of the main wing is foam. Because of its flexible structure,
rotary wing air vehicle part does not behave as a rigid body. Though it is hardened
with a rod, there is a twist in the main wing while the rotary wing motors try to hold
the attitude. Some rods can be placed among rotary wing motors parallel to the main
wing, and results can be verified with flight tests.

Power consumption of the fixed wing VTOL air vehicle is given in Figure 6.44. The
first phase in the first flight section, power is only consumed by rotary wings. In the
second phase, motor 5 starts to rotate so consumes energy. In the third phase, the
required power is consumed by fixed wings. In the fourth phase, motor 5 slows down
so its consumed power and rotary wings start to rotate. In the second flight section,
one of the load is released, so consumed powers both in rotary and fixed wing sections
are relatively less to the first flight section.
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In this study, all guidance and control algorithms are developed in Matlab/Simulink 2017b environment. To run the algorithms in real-time, they are deployed to a Pixhawk Cube controller board. Steps are given below followed during code deployment from MATLAB/Simulink to Pixhawk Cube controller board.

- Before installing the Pixhawk firmware, some basic programs such as Pixhawk Toolchain, Windows Ubuntu Bash, PX4 Ubuntu Compilers and MATLAB PSP support must be installed.

- **Pixhawk Toolchain** installer must be downloaded from PX4 website. After downloading, toolchain files must be installed according to the workspace, Figure A.1

![Figure A.1: Pixhawk Toolchain setup (screenshot)](image)
- **Windows Ubuntu Bash 16.04** can be installed from Microsoft Store, Figure A.2

![Ubuntu Bash in Microsoft store (screenshot)](image)

Figure A.2: Ubuntu Bash in Microsoft store (screenshot)

- A username and a password must be set at the end of the installation of the Windows Ubuntu Bash.

- A bash file must be installed from the link below;

- Install the bash on Windows

- Open the bash shell

- Download the windows_bash_nuttx.sh from the link below;

- Run the script using the command below;
  `source windows_bash_nuttx.sh`

- **Matlab PSP Support Package** can be download from the link below;
  https://www.mathworks.com/hardware-support/px4-autopilots.html

- After the download is completed, PSP support is run with the command below in the command window;
  `PixhawkPSP('c:\px4')`
- Validate the path of the setup, download the firmware, and validate the firmware using 'PX4 Installation and CMake Configuration’ window.

- Until the 4th step, use C:\Windows\System32\bash.exe as bash path, Figure A.3

![Figure A.3: PX4 Installation and CMake Installation (screenshot)](image)

- Create a new file at location C:\Windows\named as Sysnative. And copy Bash.exe from C:\Windows\System32 to C:\Windows\Sysnative.

- Select Cmake Configuration as nuttx_px4fmu-v3_default.

- Change bash path as C:\Windows\System32\bash.exe.

- Build firmware.
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