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ABSTRACT

DEVELOPMENT OF A STRUCTURAL DESIGN METHODOLOGY FOR FILAMENT WINDING COMPOSITE ROCKET MOTOR CASE

Erturan, Yakup
Master of Science, Aerospace Engineering
Supervisor: Assoc. Prof. Dr. Ercan Gürses

August 2019, 83 pages

Filament winding pressure vessels have a unique place in many areas such as space and ground applications for decades. Filament winding pressure vessels are used in products where weight is very critical. In such applications, composite winding pressure vessels have significant advantages over metal pressure vessels due to their high specific strength.

In this study, it is aimed to design and analyze composite rocket motor cases produced by the filament winding method. Within the scope of the study, the dome profile, filament winding angle and strength of the filament winding composite case are calculated. Two methods are used in the design of dome of the filament winding pressure bearing containers. One of them gives a geodesic dome profile while the other is designed with a non-geodesic dome. Since geodesic winding dome design method limits the design parameters and boundary conditions, non-geodesic winding method, which provides wider design space, is used in this study.

The fibers must remain stable on the winding mandrel during production and the slip tendency should also be taken into account in the design of the dome profile. Therefore, it is necessary to emphasize the importance of finding a suitable winding pattern by considering both the production and design criteria. 2-D analyses are
performed in ABAQUS program in order to understand the design method better and to see how the case behaves when subjected to an internal pressure loading.

Keywords: Composite Rocket Motor Casing, Fiber Reinforced Pressure Vessel, Filament Winding, Non-geodesic Dome Profile
ÖZ

FİLAMAN SARGILI KOMPOZİT ROKET MOTORU GÖVDELERİ İÇİN YAPISAL TASARIM YÖNTEMİNİN GELİŞTİRİLMESİ

Erturan, Yakup
Yüksek Lisans, Havacılık ve Uzay Mühendisliği
Tez Danışmanı: Doç. Dr. Ercan Gürses

Ağustos 2019, 83 sayfa

Filaman sargılı basınçlı kaplar, onlarca yıldır yer ve uzay uygulamaları gibi birçok alanda eşsiz bir yere sahiptir. Filaman sargılı basınçlı kaplar, ağırliğin daha kritik olduğu ürünlerde kullanılır. Bu tür uygulamalarda, kompozit sarım basınçlı kaplar, yüksek özgül ağırlıklarından dolayı metal basınçlı kaplara göre önemli avantajlara sahiptir.


Fiberlerin üretim esnasında mandrel üzerinde stabil durması ve kubbe profili belirlenmesinde kayma eğiliminin de hesaba katılması gerekmektedir. Bu nedenle hem üretim hem de tasarım kriterleri göz önünde bulundurularak uygun bir sarım
paterni bulmanın önemi vurgulamak gerekir. Tasarım yöntemini daha iyi anlamak ve gövdenin yükleme esnasında nasıl davranışını görmek açısından 2-boyutlu analizler Abaqus ortamında gerçekleştirilmiştir.

Anahtar Kelimeler: Kompozit Roket Motor Gövdesi, Filaman Takviyeli Basınçlı Kaplar, Filaman Sargı, Jeodezik Olmayan Kubbe Profili
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CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1. Introduction to Composite Solid Rocket Motor Cases

Rocket motors carry all the fuel itself. Rocket motor cases form the outer shell of the system. It serves as the supporting structure and combustion chamber where the fuel is stored. The rocket motor system forms a mechanical interface with subsystems such as the nozzle and igniter. It also serves as a pressure vessel. Therefore, the cases are produced from materials with high strength. Steel, aluminum and composite materials are the main materials from which rocket motor cases are produced.

The motor case is an inert motor component that does not generate energy. The design goal is therefore to make the motor case as light as possible with an adequate strength. Thus, by following this design goal the dead weight of the system decreases and the efficiency of the motor increases. In particular, the weight of the motor cases produced with high strength composite materials (carbon/polymer matrix) is much lower than that of metal ones. The main reason for this is that the composite materials have a higher specific strength (strength/density). These materials consist of fiber materials carrying the load and resin material which transfers the load to the fibers.

Composite rocket motor cases are generally manufactured using filament winding devices. With this technique, the fibers are wound onto a mandrel having the shape of the motor case. The fibers are located on the rubber insulation material, which protects the rocket motor from hot gases during combustion, and also acts as a seal to prevent gases from passing through the composite. A typical composite rocket motor case consists of the following components [1] as shown in Figure 1:

- Composite skirt rings that connect the engine to the front and rear stages,
- Domes and cylinder parts forming the load bearing structure,
- Metal polar bosses forming the mechanical interface of the igniter and nozzle,
- Rubber stress relief to reduce the stress of the interface.

![Diagram of General composite motor case architecture](image)

*Figure 1. General composite motor case architecture*

### 1.2. Introduction to Filament Winding

Filament winding method is one of the oldest and widely used techniques in the production of composite pressure vessels. There are two basic ingredients in the filament winding method, one of which is reinforcement (e.g. fiber) and the other is matrix (e.g. resin material) [2]. In the filament winding method, resin immersed reinforcements are wound over a rotating mandrel [3], see Figure 2. Then, under certain temperature conditions, curing is applied. The winding can be applied for all axially symmetric structures.

Filament winding is an effective method for the production of composite containers. In this method, the composite layers are overwrapped onto a mandrel rotating around its axis. This manufacturing method presents a high-speed and precise process for placing stacked composite layers. There are two different winding methods depending on the material used. In the first technique fibers are passed through a resin bath and wound on a rotating mandrel which is called as wet winding. The latter is prepreg
winding in which pre-impregnated fibers are overwrapped on a rotating mandrel. Among these winding methods, the first technique is more widely used. Compared to the preliminary preparation, wet winding has several advantages. In wet winding method material cost is low, winding time is short and matrix material formulation can be easily changed to meet specific requirements.

Today's filament winding devices are capable of winding at higher speeds, more accurately at higher degrees of freedom. The mechanical strength of the parts produced by filament winding depends not only on the material properties but also on the production process parameters, the winding angle, filament tension, resin chemistry and maturation cycle.

The filament winding process is generally used for hollow, cylindrical or oval cross-section shaped structures. The fibers may be dry or withdrawn from a resin bath before wounded over the mandrel surface. The winding path is commanded by the rotational speed of the mandrel and the movement of the fiber feed system.

After winding process, the filament-wound mandrel is subjected to curing processes where the mandrel is rotated to maintain the continuous resin distribution around the part. After curing cycle, the final product is extracted from the winding mandrel by dismounting the mandrel.
1.2.1. General Use of Filament Wound Products

The filament winding has become a very popular production method in a wide variety of industrial products to create composite structures with high strength-to-weight ratios. This manufacturing method has proved to be particularly useful for the structures used in aerospace and military products, commercial and industrial structures, as it allows the production of strong, lightweight parts. Both of the reinforcement and the matrix materials can be specially designed to meet almost all requirements. This increases the feasibility of the filament winding method in the production of the various products where the weight efficiency (i.e. strength/weight ratio) is important. Apart from the durability advantages and low manufacturing cost, the filament wound composite parts shows better resistance properties to corrosion and electrical conductivity.

Cylindrical and spherical pressure tanks (see Figure 3), pipe lines, oxygen and other fluid containers, rocket motor casings, helicopter rotor blades, large underground storage tanks (for gasoline, oil, salts, acids, alkalies, water etc.) are the general applications produced by filament winding technique.
1.2.2. Composite Winding over Liner or Mandrel

All pressure vessels, tanks or cylindrical pipes made of composite material have a liner or mandrel. The liner prevents any liquid or gas carried the pressure vessel from leaking to the exterior. The composite overwrap supplies the strength and stiffness of the structure. If a fracture exists in the matrix material, the structure may not fail completely or break down, however the carried fluid may leak outside. Even if the fibers carry the pressure and hold the structure together, there may be danger if a flammable fluid is present. Therefore, a flexible lining material is applied to prevent fluid leakage. The liner can be rubber, a thermoplastic material (e.g. PVC), or a metal construction made of steel, aluminum or titanium with a thin wall thickness. When the liner is stiff enough, it can be used as a winding mandrel on which the composite is to be wound. If the liner is not stiff enough to bear the compressive load during winding, or when the mandrel has to be removed after winding, new strategies for the mandrel need to be developed. There are many issues in mandrel design. Important requirements that the mandrel should have are as follows [4]:

- Mandrel must be stiff enough to bear the compressive load generated during composite winding,
- The resin material should not adhere to the mandrel surface,
• The mandrel must be detachable after the composite structure has matured.

According to places where used and architectural structures mandrels are classified as, extractable mandrels, collapsible mandrels, breakable mandrels and dissolvable mandrels.

1.2.3. Winding Types

2-degree of freedom operation is the simplest form of filament winding process. This operation consists of linear movement of the feeding eye along a rotating mandrel axis. Pressure containers and cylindrical tubes can be wound with at least 2-axis filament winding devices. The presence of extra degrees of freedom will be functional in the winding of the dome portions of the part, the head regions of the pressure vessel, or parts of varying cross-sections that are more complex than the flat cylinder. For example, in the case of a 4-axis filament winding machine, the rotation of the mandrel and the transverse movements of the feeder eye are fundamental movements. The third axis is the horizontal movement of the feeder eye perpendicular to the mandrel longitudinal axis. Finally the fourth axis is the feeder eye rotation around its axis. The third and fourth axes provide a more accurate placement of the fiber. Winding machines with degree of freedom up to 6 axes are available: mandrel rotation, cross feed, horizontal carriage movement, vertical carriage movement, wind eye rotation, and wind eye yaw [5], see Figure 4.
According to the coordination of the rotational movement and the axial movement, three basic winding patterns can be obtained. These are planar, helical winding and hoop windings [6], see Figure 5. The selection of the winding method for the part to be produced is made according to the shape of the part and the orientation of the filaments. In cases where the angle of the fibers is less than 5° with respect to the longitudinal axis, the planar winding method is used. Helical winding is used in the winding of fibers that make 5° to 80° with the longitudinal axis. The fibers are wound in positive and negative directions as an alternative to the mandrel surface. Each completed helix pattern covering the entire surface of the mandrel results in two layers of composite material. Helical windings can be applied through the ends of a part. The hoop windings are a different form of the helical windings and the fiber angle is almost 90 degrees relative to the mandrel’s rotation axis. Generally, hoop winding can be performed only on non-curved surfaces such as cylindrical or flat areas.
1.3. Introduction to Fiber Reinforced Composite Materials

The mechanical properties of the fiber material predominantly constitute the mechanical properties of the fiber/resin composite. The contribution of the fibers to the composite directly related to the four fundamental factors [7]:

- Basic mechanical properties of fiber,
- Fiber and resin surface interaction (interface),
- The amount of fiber inside the composite (fiber volume fraction),
- Orientation of the fibers within the composite.

The strength and quality of fiber/resin interface bond is directly related to the surface treatment applied to the fiber surface. Surface treatment of fibers is directly associated with the desired composite performance, fiber type and the process to be applied to the fibers. The amount of fiber in the composite determines the strength and stiffness
of the material. The strength and stiffness values of the composite layer are directly proportional to the amount of fiber it contains. However, as the fiber volume ratio rises above 60-70%, the tensile stiffness of the composite material increases, while the layer strength reaches its highest value and begins to decrease. In this case there is very few resin material to hold the composite together. The positioning of the fibers in a composite generally provide greatly to strength. The fibers are materials that their properties are highly oriented in the loading direction. Therefore, the fibers are designed to be loaded longitudinally. By placing the fibers in the loading directions, the amount of material placed can be minimized in the direction in which there is little or no load.

In composite structures industry commonly used fiber types are carbon fiber, glass fiber and aramid fiber [8]. Carbon fibers stand out with their great mechanical properties. Low density, high strength, high fatigue resistance, low thermal expansion, good electrical and thermal conductivity are important features of carbon fibers. They are used in structures with ultra-high strength requirements, such as aerospace structures, high-pressure gas tanks.

Aramid fibers have been used in the industry for 60 years. Aramid fibers are used in applications that require bullet and impact resistance due to their high vibration absorption and high energy absorption properties.

Glass fibers are classified into many groups according to their molecular content: A-glass, C-glass, S-glass, E-glass, etc. Generally E-glass is used in aviation structures. The glass fibers are manufactured by shaping the glass with a diameter of 1-25 micrometers. Glass fibers are characterized by high shear modulus, low Poisson’s ratio, good thermal and electrical resistance, and low thermal expansion.

Resin is also a very important component of the composite as an adhesive that bonds the fibers. The matrix material should have high strength properties, high adhesion and toughness properties. The matrix should also have good resistance to environmental conditions. In order to obtain the desired strength properties of the
composite, the matrix material must be at least deformed to the same extent as the fiber. To ensure that the fibers show efficient transfer of the loads and thus prevent cracking and debonding good adhesion between the resin and the reinforcing fibers is required. The measure of toughness is the resistance of the material against crack propagation. It is important that the amount of final elongation in the fiber is directly related to the toughness value of the fiber.

Resin materials have two types: thermosets [9] and thermoplastics [10]. Thermoset materials are hard and insoluble matrix materials formed by irreversible chemical reaction by mixing the resin with hardener or catalyst. Various thermosets such as polyester, vinyl-ester and epoxy are used in the composite industry today.

Thermoplastics are materials that soften and eventually melt when heated like metals and then harden when cooled. Softening or melting at a given temperature range can be carried out in any number of times desired in both cases without significant change on the mechanical properties. Acrylic, Nylon, Polypropylene, and Polyethylene are the general thermoplastics used in the composite industry. Such thermoplastics are made into stronger composite materials using chopped fibers.

1.4. Composite Pressure Tanks

Composite pressure tanks have been produced by fiber winding method for a long time. Although it appears as simple structures, the design of pressure vessels is a difficult task. Filament winding composite pressure vessels have a wide range of use not only in aviation applications but also in civil and commercial applications. Originally emerged for military applications, this technology is spread to civilian structures and expanded to the commercial market at a later stage.

Issues to be considered in the design of the pressure tank are the weight, structural performance, cost and volume. Composite pressure containers with high specific strength come up with a remarkable weight gain compared to metal pressure vessels [11]. Composite pressure tanks are designed to carry the maximum pressure with
maximum internal volume and minimum case weight. Accordingly, the performance factor \( P_f = \frac{PV}{W} \) is used to rate the efficiency of pressurized tanks. \( P, V, W \) are the burst pressure, internal volume and weight, respectively [12].

Cylindrical composite pressure tanks consist of a liner and composite overwrap. While the liner basically provides sealing, some liners contribute to strength by sharing the stresses due internal loading. Composite pressure tanks should be able to use the advantages of the mechanical properties (e.g. strength and elastic modulus) of the material they are produced. To evaluate these properties, the theories of layered composite materials are comparatively well constructed for the modulus of elasticity and for less strength. In general, two approaches are used to model the behavior of composite materials [13].

- Micro-mechanical: composite material is considered as heterogeneous and the interaction of the components of the composite material taken into account.
- Macro-mechanical: the material is considered to be homogeneous and the effect of the components is taken into account only using average properties.

### 1.4.1. Dome Profile of Pressure Tank

In theory the pressure tanks can be of any profile, however it is often used in sphere, cylinder and cone shapes. The pressure vessel design consists of the cylinder and end caps called the dome. The dome shapes are generally semi-spherical as shown in Figure 6. The complex shapes are very difficult in terms of both producibility and analysis of structural behavior.

![Fiber trajectory on a pressure vessel dome](image)

*Figure 6. Fiber trajectory on a pressure vessel dome [19]*
Geodesically isotensoid winding method [14], modified helical winding method [15] and planar winding method [16] are used to determine the winding angle distributions and dome profile geometries of pressure tanks. In the geodesic domes designed as isotensoid, the internal pressure is carried only by the fibers and the fibers are loaded at the same stress quantity. Geodesically isotensoid design method is generally used for pressure tanks with identical dome apertures at the front and aft domes. The planar winding pattern is tangential to the dome aperture at one end of the part and extends in a tangential plane to the dome opening at the other end. They are generally used for pressure tanks with a length/diameter ratio of less than two. The helical winding dome profile method is a modified form of the isotensoid dome approach and can be used for the design of pressure vessels with different dome openings. This method is often applied in the design of pressure tanks with a length/diameter ratio greater than two.

Since the defined methods concurrently calculates the section profile and the fiber pattern based on parameters such as the ratio of the dome opening to the cylinder radius, the initial fiber patterns are retained until the end of the winding process. The design of the mandrel outer surface pattern can be included in the fiber angle distribution design.

In theory, the spherical dome shape is the most suitable dome form for an isotropic pressure vessel. Unfortunately, it is much more difficult to determine the optimum shape of a composite dome due to the anisotropic character of the dome. With the use of composite materials having high specific strength values in fiber direction, it is founded that the preferred dome section profile is isotensoid [17]. The isotensoid is the case where all the locations on the pressurized dome are at the same tensile stress level and the stresses are carried only by the fibers. Therefore, there is a direct relationship between dome section profile, layer stiffness, and filament angles used in the production operation. Netting theory is used to formulate and solve the isotensoid dome section method that arises from this interaction between the dome section and fiber angles. The obtained isotensoid solution approach may take into consideration
the special properties of fiber wound pressure tanks such as the size of the dome apertures, the filament winding technique, such as non-geodesic or planar winding.

The area covered, the weight limit and pressure level are effective in the design of the relative dimensions of the different parts of a pressure. Since the fiber overwrapped pressure tanks are often fails in the dome, extra focus must be placed on the dome section design. This is due to the fact that the dome regions are exposed to the highest stress levels and they are in the most critical position from the point of view of structural failure [18]. The main target in the pressure tank design is to reach a higher burst pressure, higher internal volume and a lower weight.

An important issue to be considered in the production of filament winding pressure vessels is the fact that an unbalanced increase in the amount of composite can actually reduce the pressure bearing capacity of the structure. This is because the thicknesses of extra-composite layer wound structures show change in the stiffness distribution throughout the tank and thus produce a variation in the isotensoid dome section profile. Since the dome section profile is usually determined by the mandrel on which it is wounded and thus cannot be reshaped without the use of tools again, the addition of wrong filament wrapped layers leads to an unsuccessful design below the required pressure levels.

The internal pressure load acting along the polar openings are transferred to the composite shell in the polar boss composite case interface. Therefore, these interfaces are one of the most stressed areas of filament winding motor cases. On top of it, due to the rapid change in thickness and curvature in the cylinder/dome joint, excessive local stress concentrations are observed.
CHAPTER 2

COMPOSITE PRESSURE VESSEL DESIGN THEORY

In this chapter, a theoretical investigation of a filament wound composite pressure vessel is detailed. An approach for the determination of the dome profile and fiber angle distribution as well as the fiber stability is presented.

2.1. Dome Design

The most critical region in pressurized vessels is the dome region. It is important to determine the dome profile to carry the internal pressure load in the most efficient way. To this end, the determination of a suitable fiber angle and dome wall thickness is critical to reduce production challenges and increase structural efficiency. Various methods have been used to determine the dome profile and layer thickness in the literature.

Two approaches are used to determine layer thickness: the netting theory and the continuum theory. There are also two methods available to determine the dome profile: geodesic and non-geodesic dome profiles. These methods must be well distinguished in order to determine the required structural features in the best way.

Zu [19] used both methods in the case thickness calculations. The continuum theory takes both the fibers and the matrix into account and treats the material as orthotropic. However, in the design calculations using netting theory, only the strength of fibers is considered. For this reason, continuum theory is more realistic. It should not be overlooked that netting method is a simplified form of the continuum approach. Therefore, there is no significant drawback in preferring the netting theory in preliminary design calculations.
Dome profiles can be calculated by various methods with or without deviations from geodesic path. On the basis of the methods used there is the coefficient of friction which comes from the producibility criterion. Filament winding pressure vessels are generally designed using geodesic profiles. However, different design approaches have been developed in order to obtain a non-geodesic dome profile with the emergence of requirements such as dome opening limits, maximum volume and pressure carrying capacity.

There are many different approaches in the literature related to dome profile design and layer thickness calculation. Madhavi et al. [20] used geodesic dome design method to determine the hoop and helical layer thicknesses by using netting theory due to its fast and conservative results. Kumar et al. [21] evaluated the geodesic dome profile according to Clairaut’s principle and stated that the fibers wound on the geodesic dome profile will not slip. Since in this winding type fibers will directly follow the geodesic path, friction is not required to keep the fibers stable. And the thickness of the case is determined by netting theory. Both Madhavi et al. [20] and Kumar et al. [21] designed domes with classical lamination theory in the finite element analysis environment. Zu et al. [22] developed systems of differential equations that determine the dome profiles based on the continuum theory and non-geodesic laws.

Zu et al. [23] proposed that isotensoid cross-sectional shapes can be applied instead of traditional shapes in order to improve mechanical efficiency. The isotensoid pattern, which results in equal fiber stress throughout the entire structure, has been performed to determine the optimal cross-sections using netting theory. He presented geodesic and non-geodesic domes and toroids as examples of isotensoid design. Zu et al. [23] also discussed that the isotensoid cross-sectional shapes resulted in a significant increase in the structural performance of the filament winding pressure vessels.

Liang et al. [24] determined an optimal geodesic dome profile by maximizing a shape factor and evaluated the case thickness using the Tsai-Wu failure criterion. Fukunaga et al. [25] defined a performance factor to obtain the optimum dome shape according
to several failure criteria. The obtained dome profiles are compared with the isotensoid dome profiles obtained according to netting theory. Koussios et al. [26] described a simplified method for the design of isotensoid pressure tanks with different pole openings using non-geodesic patterns. Furthermore, the performance factor was calculated to show the efficiency of non-geodesic domes.

2.2. Stability of Fiber Trajectory on a Curved Surface

The stability of the fiber paths is a critical issue in the design procedure. Deflection from the geodesic trajectory would require a lateral friction force to prevent the fiber from slipping off the original trajectory. An analysis of the stability of the fiber trajectories is mandatory and the fibers must be correctly positioned to obtain the most appropriate performance of the pressure vessel as determined by the structural design. Ignorance of fiber stability could make the structural design and winding process unfeasible.

The vector representation of a dome surface is:

\[ S = S(u, v) \]  

Where \( S \) is a function defined by \((u, v)\), and the parameters are distributed in the \( uv \)-plane. For the surfaces of shell of revolution, the \( u \) and \( v \) curves denote meridians and parallels.

A fiber path on the surface \( S(u, v) \) can be defined by:

\[ C(s) = C(u(s), v(s)) \]  

Where \( s \) denotes the length of the fiber on the surface \( S \).

Considering an infinitesimal elementary piece of the fiber, the fiber is under four acting forces on it. Longitudinal tension forces along the two sides of the infinitesimal fiber \( (F_0 \text{ and } F_1) \), a surface normal reaction force \( (F_n) \) and a surface tangential friction force \( (F_f) \) are the main forces acting on the fiber, see Figure 7 [26].
The total curvature of the path of the infinitesimal fiber is the quadratic summation of the normal and geodesic curvatures, $k_n$ and $k_g$ respectively.

$$k = k_n^2 + k_g^2$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

The normal curvature is normal to the supporting mandrel surface while the geodesic curvature is the in-plane curvature, tangential to the supporting surface, as shown in Figure 7.

\begin{figure}[h]
\centering
\includegraphics[width=0.5\textwidth]{figure7.png}
\caption{Free body diagram of fiber on an elementary surface}
\end{figure}

The friction force $F_f$ must always be less than the maximum static friction between the support surface and the fiber bundle to prevent fiber slippage on the support surface:

$$|F_f| \leq \mu_{max}|F_n|$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)
where $\mu_{max}$ is the maximum static friction coefficient between the fiber and the winding surface or between the fiber and the pre-wound layer. Note that it may be influenced by surface quality, fiber morphology, resin viscosity etc. [27].

The slippage coefficient $\lambda$ is defined as the ratio of the geodesic curvature to the normal curvature [28, 29]:

$$\lambda = \frac{\kappa_g}{\kappa_n}$$ (5)

The slippage coefficient $\lambda$ stands for the shear tendency between the fiber bundle and the winding surface. Possible fiber patterns can be found by shifting the $\lambda$. The filaments may lose contact with the mandrel surface if their surface force $F_n$ is in the same direction as the surface normal.

### 2.3. Geodesic and Non-geodesic Fiber Trajectories

A three-dimensional surface can be represented as a function of two parameters $u, v$:

$$S(u, v) = \{x(u, v), y(u, v), z(u, v)\}$$ (6)

The coefficients of the first fundamental form are [30]:

$$E = \left(\frac{\partial x}{\partial u}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{\partial y}{\partial u}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{\partial z}{\partial u}\right)^2 = S_u \cdot S_u$$

$$F = \frac{\partial x}{\partial u} \frac{\partial x}{\partial v} + \frac{\partial y}{\partial u} \frac{\partial y}{\partial v} + \frac{\partial z}{\partial u} \frac{\partial z}{\partial v} = S_u \cdot S_v$$ (7)

$$G = \left(\frac{\partial x}{\partial v}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{\partial y}{\partial v}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{\partial z}{\partial v}\right)^2 = S_v \cdot S_v$$

where $S_u$ and $S_v$ are the first order derivatives of $S$ with respect to the main directions. $E$ is the coefficient along the curve of meridional direction of the shell and $G$ denotes the coefficient along the curve of parallel direction. $F$ is the inner product of the meridional and parallel directions. Since meridional and parallel directions of a shell are perpendicular to each other, $F$ will be equal to zero. The second fundamental form exists as well. The coefficients of the second fundamental form are as follows [30]:
\[
L = \frac{\det \begin{bmatrix} S_{uu} & S_u \\ S_u & S_{uu} \end{bmatrix}}{\sqrt{EG - F^2}} = n \cdot S_{uu}
\]

\[
M = \frac{\det \begin{bmatrix} S_{uw} \\ S_u \end{bmatrix}}{\sqrt{EG - F^2}} = n \cdot S_{uw}
\]

\[
N = \frac{\det \begin{bmatrix} S_{vv} \\ S_v \end{bmatrix}}{\sqrt{EG - F^2}} = n \cdot S_{vv}
\]

where \( S_{uu} \), \( S_{uw} \) and \( S_{vv} \) are the second order derivatives of \( S \) of the main directions. These coefficients play role in the derivation of the curvature. The unit normal vector \( n \) of the parametrized surface is expressed as:

\[
n = \frac{S_u \times S_v}{\|S_u \times S_v\|}
\]  

Alternatively, the vector representation of a generic surface of revolution can be written in polar coordinate system as:

\[
S(u, v) = \{f(u)\cos v, f(u)\sin v, g(u)\}
\]  

The meridians and the parallels of the surface \( S \) are,

\[
S_u = \{f'(u)\cos v, f'(u)\sin v, g'(u)\}
\]

\[
S_v = \{-f(u)\sin v, f(u)\cos v, 0\}
\]

\[
n = \frac{S_u \times S_v}{\|S_u \times S_v\|} = \{-g'(u)\cos v, -g'(u)\sin v, f'(u)\}
\]

\[
S_{uu} = \{f''(u)\cos v, f''(u)\sin v, g''(u)\}
\]

\[
S_{uv} = \{-f'(u)\sin v, f'(u)\cos v, 0\}
\]

\[
S_{vv} = \{-f(u)\cos v, -f(u)\sin v, 0\}
\]

The coefficients of the first and second fundamental forms,

\[
E = S_u \cdot S_u = f'^2 + g'^2, \quad F = S_u \cdot S_v = 0, \quad G = S_v \cdot S_v = f^2
\]  
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\[ L = n \cdot S_{uu} = - \frac{f''g' - f'g''}{\sqrt{f'^2 + g'^2}}, \quad M = n \cdot S_{uv} = 0, \quad N = n \cdot S_{vv} = \frac{fg'}{\sqrt{f'^2 + g'^2}} \]  

The surface vector representation in polar coordinates are written as,

\[ S(\theta, z) = \{ r(z) \cos \theta, r(z) \sin \theta, z \} \]  

where \( r \) and \( z \) denote the radial and axial direction and \( \theta \) denotes the angular coordinate as shown in Figure 8 [19].

![Figure 8. Fibers and meridian on a dome revolution [19]](image)

The coefficients of the first and second fundamental forms in polar coordinates becomes:

\[ E = r'^2 + 1, \quad F = 0, \quad G = r^2 \]  

\[ L = - \frac{r''}{\sqrt{r'^2 + 1}}, \quad M = 0, \quad N = \frac{r}{\sqrt{r'^2 + 1}} \]  

The curvature of a curve indicates the change its direction. The concept that separates a straight line from a circle is the curvature. As the curvature increases, the straightness of the curve decreases [31].
The curvatures in terms of the first and second fundamental forms are given below [32, 33]:

\[ k_g = \frac{da}{ds} - \frac{E}{2\sqrt{G}} \frac{\partial \ln E}{\partial v} \cos \alpha + \frac{1}{2\sqrt{E}} \frac{\partial \ln G}{\partial u} \sin \alpha \]  

(16)

\[ k_n = (H + \sqrt{H^2 - K}) \cos^2 \alpha + (H - \sqrt{H^2 - K}) \sin^2 \alpha \]  

(17)

where \( \alpha \) is the fiber angle with respect to the surface meridian and the \( H \) and \( K \) are the parameters defined as below:

\[ K = \frac{LN - M^2}{EG - F^2} \]  

(18)

\[ H = \frac{LG - 2MF + NE}{2(EG - F^2)} \]  

(19)

Substituting the first fundamental forms of the surface into the geodesic and normal curvature equations \( k_g \) and \( k_n \) one obtains:

\[ k_g = \frac{da}{ds} - \frac{r'}{r\sqrt{1 + r'^2}} \sin \alpha \]  

(20)

\[ k_n = \frac{r''}{(r'^2 + 1)^{3/2}} \cos^2 \alpha + \frac{1}{r\sqrt{1 + r'^2}} \sin^2 \alpha \]  

(21)

After substitution of the above equations (20) and (21) into the equation (5), the trajectories for the non-geodesic path are defined as:

\[ \frac{da}{ds} = -\lambda \left( \frac{r''}{(r'^2 + 1)^{3/2}} \cos^2 \alpha - \frac{1}{r\sqrt{1 + r'^2}} \sin^2 \alpha \right) - \frac{r'}{r\sqrt{1 + r'^2}} \sin \alpha \]  

(22)

Some modifications are needed to express the trajectories in terms of \( \alpha \) and \( z \). The fiber represented on the surface has an orientation as stated in Figure 9 [26]. The correlation between \( dz/ds \) and \( \alpha \) can be defined as follows:

\[ \frac{dz}{ds} = \frac{dz}{ds_{\text{meridian}}} \quad \frac{ds_{\text{meridian}}}{ds} = \frac{dz}{\sqrt{1 + r'^2}} \cos \alpha = \frac{\cos \alpha}{\sqrt{1 + r'^2}} \]  

(23)

Applying the equation (23) into (22) the following non-geodesic trajectory with respect to axial direction \( z \) is obtained:
\[
\frac{\mathrm{d} \alpha}{\mathrm{d} z} = \lambda \left( \frac{\sin \alpha \tan \alpha}{r} - \frac{r''}{1 + r'^2} \cos \alpha \right) - \frac{r' \tan \alpha}{r} 
\]  

(24)

The geodesic path of the above trajectories can only be obtained when the \( \lambda \) is set to zero. The final equation will be the Clairaut equation [34].

\[ r \sin \alpha = r_0 \]  

(25)

where \( r_0 \) is the radius of the polar opening of the dome profile as shown in Figure 8.

Other than the fact that the \( \lambda \) is not zero, the fiber trajectories are named as non-geodesics. In this case there is no analytical solution for the \( \frac{\mathrm{d} \alpha}{\mathrm{d} z} \) equation and a numerical solution is needed. The Runge-Kutta method [35] can be used for the numerical solution of the differential equation with the related initial conditions.

The friction coefficient can take values ranging from positive-to-negative to prevent the fibers from slipping on the surface. In the wet winding method, the coefficient of friction is generally less than 0.2, whereas for those produced by the dry winding method, this value can increase up to 0.5 and above [36]. The positive or negative value of the friction coefficient indicates that the presence of friction increases or decreases the winding angle [37].

*Figure 9. Differential fiber element on a surface [26]*
In the filament winding industry, the most commonly used filament paths on mandrel surfaces are constituted by geodesic trajectories which combine two random points on a curved surface by means of a shortest curve. The geodesic paths mentioned here have a great stability of winding the fibers on the mandrel surface. However, since the geodesic paths are calculated by the fiber position and orientation of the initial winding conditions, limiting the fiber paths to geodesics strictly constraints the existing design space and the potential performance enhancement of the pressure tanks. Actually, a filament does not need to be geodesically stable; non-geodesic fiber paths can also be wound with a certain deviation from geodesic fiber paths using friction to keep the fiber in its stable position. Therefore, it is acceptable to use geodesics based on friction coefficient to expand the design alternatives of a fiber winding process. As compared with the geodesic paths, the non-geodesic winding approach significantly enlarges the design alternatives for pressure tanks. It is possible to change the $\lambda$ value in order to advantage of further design space for fiber trajectories.

### 2.4. Geodesic and Non-geodesic Meridian Profiles

Different design methods for dome profiles are used in literature. In the dome design of composite pressure vessels, there are two basic methods: Geodesic winding and Non-Geodesic winding. Geodesic winding is obtained by passing the fiber over the dome at the shortest distance between the starting and ending points and this path is called geodesic path. The fibers on this path do not slip. When winding on a non-geodesic path, the fibers follow the path that has a certain slippage tendency.

In this chapter, the governing equations for determining geodesic and non-geodesics will be outlined with the aid of the continuum theory. Considering a laminate element (see Figure 10) under the in-plane shell forces $(N_\phi, N_\theta)$, the ratio of the in-plane shell forces of the composite shell in the parallel and meridional directions obtained using the laminated plate theory is given by [19]:

$$\frac{N_\theta}{N_\phi} = \frac{1-(1-k)\cos \alpha^2}{k+(1-k)\cos \alpha^2}$$

(26)
where $k$ is the parameter defined as:

$$k = \frac{E_2(1+\theta_{12})}{E_1(1+\theta_{21})}$$  \hspace{1cm} (27)

where $E_1$ and $E_2$ are the Young’s moduli in the fiber and transverse directions (1-2), respectively; $\theta_{12}$ and $\theta_{21}$ are the Poisson’s ratios satisfying the following symmetry condition:

$$E_1\theta_{21} = E_2\theta_{12}$$  \hspace{1cm} (28)

The loads that occur in the unit shell loaded with an internal pressure load $P$ are denoted as [38],

$$N_\varphi = \frac{Pr\sqrt{1+r'^2}}{2}, \quad N_\theta = \frac{Pr\sqrt{1+r'^2}}{2}(2 + \frac{rr''}{1+r'^2})$$  \hspace{1cm} (29)

Combining the equations of in-plane shell forces obtained by both continuum theory and shell structures theory, (26) and (29) respectively, a non-dimensional equation for meridian profile is obtained:

"Figure 10. A laminate under in-plane loads"
\[
\frac{d^2 \rho}{d\xi^2} = \left[ \frac{1-(1-k)\cos^2\alpha}{k+(1-k)\cos^2\alpha} - 2 \right] \frac{(1+\rho'^2)}{\rho} 
\]  

(30)

where \( \rho \) and \( \xi \) are non-dimensional forms of local radius and axial distance respectively:

\[
\rho = \frac{r}{R} \text{ and } \xi = \frac{z}{R}
\]

(31)

Using the non-dimensionalization factors in (31), the trajectory equation obtained in (24) becomes:

\[
\frac{d\alpha}{d\xi} = \lambda \left[ \frac{(k+2(1-k)\cos^2\alpha)}{\rho \cos\alpha} \right] - \frac{(\rho'\tan\alpha)}{\rho}
\]

(32)

The above two non-dimensional equations (30) and (32) need to be solved to find the dome profile along the pressure vessel axis and the trajectory of the fibers to be wound onto the dome. Simultaneous solution of these equations using specific boundary conditions and initial conditions gives the dome geometry and fiber orientation. The fourth order Runge-Kutta algorithm is used to solve the equations. The dome profile is determined according to the material properties and the desired geometrical and structural design constraints for a given slippage coefficient value \( \lambda \). The layer thickness is determined by using the winding angle value in the dome-cylinder transition region.

2.5. Determination of Case Wall Thickness

In order to define the mechanical properties of isotropic materials and to make structural calculations, it is sufficient to know two material constants, the Young’s elastic moduli and the Poisson’s ratio. However, the material properties in anisotropic materials vary depending on the direction and the material is characterized with more elastic constants. Therefore, the mechanical evaluation of composite materials is more complicated than isotropic materials, and most isotropic approaches do not apply to composite materials. Some modifications should be made to work with the mechanics of composite materials.
After calculating the dome profile and the fiber trajectory, the thickness of the layer that will carry the internal pressure load of the case must be determined. Composite wall thickness carrying the internal pressure load of the body consists of hoop and helical windings. While the hoop windings provide only circumferential resistance, the helical windings carry the load in both the circumferential and axial directions. Netting theory is used in the thesis to determine this thickness. According to the theory, all of the loads to the case are carried by the fibers and the structural effect of the resin can be neglected when compared to the stiffness of the fibers.

The fact that the structural contribution of the resin is not considered in netting theory is an appropriate assumption for vessels carrying internal pressure loads such as the rocket motor case. Particularly in the rocket motor cases wounded on rubber liner, resins break at the moment of pressurization and lose their structural properties. However, the fibers retain the body integrity until they are completely failed. Therefore, after the pressurization, the fibers carry the internal pressure load by surrounding the body as a net. As a result, in the theory of the netting, it is assumed that the whole load is carried by the fibers and the resin matrix that holds the fibers together has no contribution. This approach does not cause a remarkable fault in the design process unless there is a load other than the tensile direction of the actual loading on the fibers. It is also assumed that the rubber liner has no load bearing capacity when determining thickness.

The strength of the cylinder is provided by hoop and helical windings. Accordingly, the free body diagram of a cylinder in radius $R$ is exposed to internal pressure loading $P$ as shown in the Figure 11.
Loads occurring in the unit element in the cylinder region are shown in Figure 12. The most important assumption here is that the hoop layers do not contribute to the strength in the axial direction. The hoop and helical layer thicknesses can be calculated according to the loading balance on axial and radial directions formed in the unit elements. The layer thicknesses for a specific winding angle $\alpha$ and pressure requirement $P$ can be calculated. Axial load due to internal pressurization is shared in helical windings as follows:

$$\frac{PR}{2} = \sigma_\alpha t_\alpha \cos^2 \alpha$$

(33)

The circumferential load is shared in helical and hoop windings as follows:

$$PR = \sigma_\alpha t_\alpha \sin^2 \alpha + \sigma_h t_h$$

(34)

In the equations, $\sigma_\alpha$, $\sigma_h$, $t_\alpha$, and $t_h$ refer to stresses and thicknesses in helical and hoop directions, respectively.
When these equations are solved for a certain failure criterion, that is, the burst pressure $P_b$ and the composite material axial direction strength value $\sigma_f$, the layer thicknesses in the hoop and helical direction are expressed by the following equations:

$$t_\alpha = \frac{P_b R}{2\sigma_f \cos^2 \alpha}, \quad t_h = \frac{P_b R}{\sigma_f} \left( 1 - \frac{\tan^2 \alpha}{2} \right)$$

(35)

2.6. Cylindrical Region Design

After the front and rear dome winding angles are determined, the path and the winding angle of the fiber will be completed automatically on the cylinder region which joins the two domes. In the case of different front and rear openings, the fiber angle varies linearly on the cylindrical region. Due to the production criteria, the fiber angle has a limit on the cylinder region. It has been stated that the fiber can vary most by 10-12 degrees for the carbon fiber throughout the cylinder region [39]. This value is considered as a criterion in the design of the rocket motor case.

2.7. Polar Boss Design

The filament winding pressure vessels adhere to the metal fittings located at the dome openings as shown in Figure 13. These metal parts are called as polar bosses. In composite rocket motors, the polar bosses not only strengthen the dome opening area, but also create a connection interface for the igniter and the nozzle. When the
composite case is pressurized by the internal pressure $P$, the polar boss with the variable thickness distribution $h(r)$ is loaded with the pressure distribution as shown Figure 14. $P_f$ is the load distribution due to contact in the polar boss and composite case interface.

![Figure 13. Composite dome with a polar fitting](image1)

![Figure 14. Loadings on polar boss](image2)
The load distributions on the polar boss can be reduced as a bending moment and a transverse shear load. Vasiliev [40] modeled the bending moment on the polar boss according to the $r_f/r_0$ ratio, see Figure 15.

![Figure 15. Dependence of the maximum normalized bending moment on the normalized radius fitting [40]](image)

Two conditions have been proposed for calculating the thickness of the polar boss, $h(r_0)$. The first condition is the failure of the polar boss due to the bending moment. Internal pressure load $P$, material strength $\sigma_y$, the largest diameter polar boss $r_f$ and normalized bending moment dependent polar boss thickness equation is as follows [40];

$$h_0 = h(r = r_0) \geq 2.3r_f \sqrt{\frac{P}{\sigma_y} m^0(r_f)}$$  \hspace{1cm} (36)
The second condition is the failure of the polar boss due to transverse shear stress. In this case, according to the shear strength of the material, the minimum thickness of the polar boss is calculated as [40]:

$$h_0 \geq \frac{3Pr_0}{4\tau_y}$$  \hspace{1cm} (37)

As a result, the parameters necessary for the polar boss design are the burst pressure, $P$, the radius of the dome, $r_0$, and the largest radius of the polar boss. According to the selected material property, the maximum value of $h_0$ obtained from the above equations is selected and the design is completed.

2.8. Numerical Solution

There is no analytical solution of the fiber trajectory and meridian profile equations, (30) and (32). By the help of initial conditions, fiber paths could be calculated step-by-step using the fourth order Runge-Kutta method. It is necessary to make modifications in the equations to use this solution procedure. Applying reduction of order, the equation of the second order is replaced by the following differential system of first order.

$$\rho_1 = \rho$$

$$\rho_2 = \rho' = \rho'_1$$

$$\rho_3 = \rho'' = \rho''_1 = \frac{1-(1-k)\cos^2\alpha}{k+(1-k)\cos^2\alpha} - 2 \frac{(1+\rho_2^2)}{\rho_1}$$  \hspace{1cm} (38)

Then the first order system of differential equations to be solved are as follows:

1st equation, fiber trajectory:

$$\frac{d\alpha}{dt} = \lambda \left[ \frac{(k+2(1-k)\cos^4\alpha)}{\rho_1 \cos \alpha (k+(1-k)\cos^2\alpha)} - \frac{(\rho_2 \tan \alpha)}{\rho_1} \right] = f_1(\xi, \rho_1, \rho_2, \alpha)$$  \hspace{1cm} (39)

2nd equation, meridian profile:

$$\rho'_1 = \rho_2 = f_2(\xi, \rho_1, \rho_2, \alpha)$$  \hspace{1cm} (40)
3rd equation, slope of the dome profile:

\[
\rho_2' = \left[ \frac{1-(1-k)\cos^2\alpha}{k(1-k)\cos^2\alpha} - 2 \right] \frac{(1+\rho_2^2)}{\rho_1} = f_3(\xi, \rho_1, \rho_2, \alpha)
\]  

(41)

The starting point of the fiber is the dome-cylinder transition. Therefore, solution steps are started from the dome equator. At this point the non-dimensional axial distance \(\xi_0\) and the local slope of the dome \(\rho'_\text{eq}\) are equal to zero, while the non-dimensional radius \(\rho_\text{eq}\) is equal to 1. Thus, the initial conditions are as follows:

\[
\begin{align*}
\xi_0 &= 0 \\
\rho_\text{eq} &= \rho_1(0) = 1, \quad \rho'_\text{eq} = \rho_2(0) = 0
\end{align*}
\]

Under these initial conditions if the functions of \(\rho\) and \(\alpha\) have values \(\rho_i\) and \(\alpha_i\) at \(\xi = \xi_i\), the values of \(\rho_{i+1}\) and \(\alpha_{i+1}\) at the next step \(\xi = \xi_i + \Delta \xi\) are determined by performing the series of following calculations;

\[
\begin{align*}
\alpha_{i+1} &= \frac{1}{6} (K_1 + 2 K_2 + 2 K_3 + K_4) \\
\rho_{1,(i+1)} &= \rho_{n+1} = \frac{1}{6} (L_1 + 2 L_2 + 2 L_3 + L_4) \\
\rho_{2,(i+1)} &= \frac{1}{6} (Z_1 + 2 Z_2 + 2 Z_3 + Z_4)
\end{align*}
\]  

(42)

The formula basically computes next value at \(i + 1\) using current value at \(i\) plus weighted average of four increments;

- \(K_1, L_1\) and \(Z_1\) are the increment based on the slope at the beginning of the interval \(\xi_i\),
- \(K_2, L_2\) and \(Z_2\) are the increment based on the slope at the midpoint of the interval \(\xi_i + \Delta \xi/2\), using \(K_1, L_1\) and \(Z_1\),
- \(K_3, L_3\) and \(Z_3\) are again the increment based on the slope at the midpoint \(\xi_i + \Delta \xi/2\), using \(K_2, L_2\) and \(Z_2\),
- \(K_4, L_4\) and \(Z_4\) are the increment based on the slope at the end of the interval \(\xi_i + \Delta \xi\), using \(K_3, L_3\) and \(Z_3\).
The solution procedure of a typical integrated design procedure for filament-wound composite pressure tanks is outlined in the flow chart shown in Figure 16.

![Flow chart of the design procedure](image)

Figure 16. Flow chart of the design procedure

The application of non-geodesic fiber winding application considerably expands the design alternatives for composite structures. The formulation and consideration of these fiber paths however, is a rather complex problem. The critical parameter in the derivations presented here is the geodesic fiber path curvature. In the solution method
presented, it is enough to enter the coefficient of slippage as zero to obtain a geodesic solution.

Consequently, the shape of the meridian and winding pattern will be determined from the connecting planes between the domes and the cylinder to the dome openings (\(\alpha = 90^\circ\)) by the numerical integration described previously.
CHAPTER 3

COMPOSITE ROCKET MOTOR CASE DESIGN AND ANALYSES

In order to validate the identified design theory a filament wound rocket motor case is designed with specific requirements. Finite element analyses are performed using ABAQUS 2016. Then, the effects of friction coefficient and dome opening ratio on the design of the composite case are investigated.

3.1. Composite Motor Case Design

The main objective in the design of the composite motor case is to make the motor case as light as possible within the limits of cost and producibility. The motor cases designed for this purpose will have a higher motor mass ratio (propellant weight/total motor weight) and higher performance (acceleration, range, etc.). Motor cases with high-strength structural composites such as glass fiber, aramid and carbon typically have a lower weight than metal-case designs. The nature and behavior of composite materials require different design and quality verification methodologies than those required for the metal case.

The mechanical, thermal and geometric requirements in the design of the motor case are provided to the designer. The factor of safety to be applied for the design varies according to where the missile will be used (space, naval forces, army, air forces, etc.). The dominant load acting on the motor case is the internal pressure. A typical safety factor requires the case to have minimum burst strength. This is 1.25 times the maximum expected operating pressure (MEOP). The safety factor can be increased to 1.4 or 1.5 for man-portable missiles or air defense missiles [41].

Since composite materials start to degrade at much lower temperatures than metallic materials, it is necessary to pay attention to the thermal loads acting on the motor case.
To this end, it is required to determine the temperature limits that the composite case should not reach and to insulate the required thickness of thermal protection to avoid this temperature limit. However, it is necessary to know the structural strength and the stiffness of the case material as well as the environmental conditions to be exposed during the operation. Because the composite materials are sensitive to temperature, humidity and other environmental conditions, a detailed material characterization is very important in the composite case design phase.

In the cylindrical region, the ratio of the tension in the helical layers to the tension in the hoop layers is called the stress factor;

\[ SF = \frac{\sigma_{\text{allowable,}a}}{\sigma_{\text{allowable,}h}} \]  

(43)

where \( \sigma_{\text{allowable,}a} \) and \( \sigma_{\text{allowable,}h} \) are the allowable stresses in the helical and hoop layers respectively. The stress factor is an important design parameter used to control the burst mode of the motor case. It is almost guaranteed that the hoop layers will be more likely to fail at lower stress ratios than 0.9, while helical windings will fail at stress ratios 1.0 and above. The stress ratios between 0.9-1.0 generally result in mixed mode observations of failure (random failure of helix or hoop layers). Since this leads to high variability, stress ratios between 0.9-1.0 are not preferred. Figure 17 shows the different failure modes [40].

The failure of the hoop layers is more preferable because the structural strength is easier to estimate and the resistance values are less scattered. The failure of the helical layers resulting in the failure of the dome is mostly due to discontinuities in the polar boss-dome interface region. For this reason, the tensile strengths of helical windings are chosen to be lower than the hoop windings so that the required thickness of the helical layers will be higher. The stress ratio selected in the design of rocket motor cases is 0.6 to 0.85. This value could be provided by local dome reinforcements or by winding extra helical layers.
3.2. A Design Example: Design Parameters and Requirements

In this study, composite rocket motor case design will be performed according to the geometric and mechanical requirements given in the Table 1. As a design requirement, the front polar opening ratio (front opening radius/cylindrical region radius) is taken as 0.3, and the aft polar opening ratio (aft opening radius/cylindrical region radius) as 0.5.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Diameter [mm]</th>
<th>600</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Length [mm]</td>
<td>1200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Front dome opening (Diameter) [mm]</td>
<td>180</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aft dome opening (Diameter) [mm]</td>
<td>300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burst pressure [MPa]</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3.3. Material Properties

The material properties given in Table 2 and Table 3 will be used in the design of composite rocket motor case. Composite motor case shell is carbon-epoxy composite material with orthotropic linear elastic properties. The front and aft polar boss materials are chosen as Aluminum 7075-T6.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiber type</th>
<th>IM7</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Matrix</td>
<td>8551-7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fiber volume fraction [%]</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Longitudinal modulus $E_1$ [GPa]</td>
<td>167</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transverse modulus $E_2$ [GPa]</td>
<td>8.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shear modulus $G_{12}$ [GPa]</td>
<td>4.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poisson’s ratio $\nu_{12}$</td>
<td>0.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tensile strength [MPa]</td>
<td>2550</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. Mechanical properties of polar boss material, Aluminum 7075-T6

| Ultimate tensile strength [MPa] | 572 |
| Tensile yield strength [MPa] | 503 |
| Modulus of elasticity [GPa] | 71.7 |
| Poisson’s ratio | 0.33 |
| Shear modulus [GPa] | 26.9 |
| Shear strength [MPa] | 331 |

3.4. Dome Design

In this section, the aim is to design a carbon fiber reinforced composite rocket motor case which satisfies the design constraints given in Table 1 and can be produced by wet filament winding method. To determine the dome geometry, the winding angle and meridian profile must be determined simultaneously along the motor axis. The design constraints mentioned in Table 1 and 0.1 friction coefficient are given as input to the code that simultaneously solves the equations (30) and (32).
The solution is found as 11 degrees for the front dome and 25 degrees for the aft dome. However, the difference of 14 degrees winding angle is too much for producibility. It is described in the chapter 2.3 that the negative slippage coefficient can be used to increase the winding angle of the dome. Therefore, the slippage coefficient of the front dome design is -0.1 and the winding angle were found to be 24 degrees. An angle change of 1° in the cylindrical region is considered appropriate. Furthermore, the tangency required for fiber rotation in the pole region, i.e. the winding angle being 90° is also provided. Figure 18 and 19 present the obtained motor case profile and the winding angle distribution respectively.

![Motor case profile along the longitudinal axis](image_url)
Figure 19. Fiber angle distribution along the longitudinal axis

3.5. Case Thickness Determination and Cylindrical Region Design

After the design of the domes is completed, the case wall thickness must be determined for cylindrical and dome regions. The thickness of the cylindrical region consists of the total thickness of the hoop and helical windings. The theory known as netting approach is used to determine the wall thickness. In the theory it is assumed that the acting loads are carried only by the filaments and no load is present in the matrix material. Equation (35) is used to calculate the hoop and helical layer thicknesses.

The point to be considered here is that the opening ratios of the case are different. Since the opening ratios are different, the winding angles of the two dome-cylindrical conjunction regions are also not the same. The case wall thickness is calculated for the worst case because the winding angle used in the calculation of the composite case wall thickness is a parameter of the netting theory. In this case, the hoop winding
thickness is calculated by using the dome with small angle (24°) and the helical winding by the large angle dome (25°).

In the design procedure, taking the stress factor as 0.8, it is aimed to make the dome region more durable and the case will fail from hoop windings. Therefore, hoop layers’ tensile strength is taken 2550 MPa and helical layers’ tensile strength is taken as 2040 MPa. As a result, a total of 5.6 mm composite thickness is calculated, i.e., 2.8 mm thickness is for the hoop layer and 2.8 mm thickness for the helical layer. The 3-D model of the motor case is shown in Figure 20.

![Figure 20. 3-D model of the test case](image)

3.6. Polar Boss Thickness Design

Vasiliev [40] states that the ratio of \( r_f/r_0 \) should not exceed 1.3 in properly designed composite rocket motor cases and recommends that it should be greater than 1.225. Since weight is an important criterion in composite rocket motor cases, the polar boss, which is a metal part, should be as small as possible. Therefore, \( r_f/r_0 \) ratio is selected here as 1.225 in polar boss design. The outer diameters \( r_f \) are determined as 110 mm.
and 184 mm for the front and aft polar bosses. The thickness $h(r_0)$ for the front polar boss and aft polar boss are determined as 11 mm and 20 mm respectively by using equations (37) and (38). The final design of front polar boss and aft polar boss are shown in Figure 21 and Figure 22, respectively.

Figure 21. Front polar boss technical drawing
3.7. FEM Analysis of the Motor Case

For this study, the rocket motor case produced by filament winding with 1200 mm length (pole to pole), 600 mm diameter, 180 mm front dome and 300 mm aft dome opening diameter is considered. Burst pressure of 25 MPa is used in the design and analysis of the structure. Carbon-epoxy IM7 / 8551-7 composite material is selected in the development of the whole structure. The fiber volume fraction of the composite is 0.6. Tensile strength of 2550 MPa is used for the evaluation of the composite. The winding angle is calculated as 24° in the front dome region and 25° in the aft dome region in the cylindrical area, and the angle of the filament in the polar opening is 90° as shown in Figure 19.
3.7.1. Modelling and Solution Procedure

The calculated dome profile, winding angle and wall thickness are modeled using the commercial finite element program Abaqus 2016. Modelling is performed by following the steps below:

**The entire tank geometry definition:**

The modelling of the structure starts with the identification of the dome and cylinder geometry. The dome profile of the pressure tank can be modeled in several ways. Elliptic, spherical or geodesic shapes can be defined or entered into a table of individual points. In addition, geometry can be exported from a modeled part in other CAD programs. In this study, the geometry defining the polar boss and case is created by importing the required geometric parts to Abaqus / CAE. A section view of the ultimate model is shown in Figure 23.

![Figure 23. Sectional view of the FE-model](image-url)
Winding pattern modelling:

After determining the pressure tank profile, the fiber pattern is specified. A material, a fiber angle, and a thickness are assigned to each layer. The helical layers in a pressure tank are generally overwrapped to form an axially symmetrical layer. In other saying, for each helical tape oriented $+\alpha$, there is a corresponding tape $-\alpha$ to ensure that the overall stacking has a balanced angular layer ($\pm\alpha$) pattern. Therefore, only one positioning fiber angle given with respect to the dome conjunction tangent line should be specified for each layer.

Fiber winding angle distributions along the dome are shown in Figure 24 and Figure 25 for the front and aft dome, which are calculated in the design case and modeled in Abaqus 2016 environment, to examine whether the model is correctly constructed. As can be seen from the graphs, the winding angle value calculated in the dome cylinder transition region and the tangential condition in the pole region are successfully satisfied. That is, at the turnaround point the winding angle is equal to 90°.

Figure 24. Front dome winding angle comparison for calculated and modeled cases
The layers are arranged symmetrically with respect to the mid-plane. For axially symmetric structures, balanced symmetry is the best option because loading in one plane does not cause deformations in other planes. In this study, a layer thickness of 0.35 mm is assumed. The sequence of calculated 8 plies hoop and 4 plies helix layers are determined as shown in Figure 26.
After determining the mesh density and layer sequence, the appropriate boundary conditions are selected and the internal pressure load is applied. Since the dome regions of the case will move in both axial and radial directions, no boundary conditions are defined for this region. Similarly, since the cylinder region will also expand in the radial direction, the boundary condition in the radial direction is not applied. However, in order to avoid singularities in FE simulations, a displacement boundary condition is applied to roughly the midpoint of the case to prevent movement in the y-direction, see Figure 27. The design pressure is applied to the entire inner surface of the case.
Mesh convergence:

It is important to use different levels of mesh densities to ensure that FEM results are convergent. The analyses with a coarse mesh may cause inaccurate results. The results of finite element simulations should converge to a fixed value with mesh refinement. However, when the mesh is refined, the computer resources used will also increase. Therefore, has to find the coarsest mesh that is still accurate enough.

A mesh convergence study is carried out before proceeding to detailed analyses. For this purpose, four different mesh densities are used. For the present case, CAX4 (4-node bilinear) axisymmetric element type is selected. Figure 28 shows the models with four different mesh densities.
The location where the effect of mesh density is investigated is on the side closer to the cylindrical region than the dome cylinder transition region. The maximum stress results for each of the four mesh densities are compared in the Figure 29. As can be seen from Figure 29, after 27000 elements the stress values do not seem to change much.
From the mesh convergence study, it is decided to use the model with 27994 elements. The resulting mesh near the polar boss region is shown in Figure 30. In this figure only the polar boss and a portion of the dome with helical layers are presented.

![Figure 30. Polar boss region mesh](image)

The mesh around the dome-cylinder tangency region is shown in Figure 31. The distributed hoop layers are wounded around the cylindrical portion of the body. The void locations, where helical layers bridge across ends of hoop layers are meshed and assigned as matrix as well.
3.7.2. Analysis Results

The finite element model of the composite pressure tank has been developed to provide a detailed stress analysis under internal pressure load. The static structural analysis is conducted to calculate the material deformations and stress distributions. The deformed shape of the case is shown in Figure 32. The displacement on the case varies according to the location. The region where the most displacement is observed is the dome-cylinder conjunction zones. The main reason for this is the rapid change of the geometry in the dome-cylinder transition region. The cylinder region is thicker than the dome region. This creates a thickness transition in the junction area. This creates a stiffness discontinuity on the case and the dome flexes more, causing extra bending of the end of cylinder region. This behavior is more pronounced in the front
dome than the aft dome. This is because the opening of the front dome is smaller and therefore the pressure integral on the inner surface of the front dome is higher.

![Deformed structure relative to original contour with a scaling factor of 10](image)

*Figure 32. Deformed structure relative to original contour with a scaling factor of 10*

The fiber direction stresses that occur in the innermost helical layer along the case from the front pole region are shown in Figure 33. Sudden stress increases are observed in the dome-cylinder transition zones. This is, as expected, related to the sensitivity of this region to the thickness transition. A sudden decrease in fiber direction stresses is observed as expected in polar boss zones. The reason for this is that the composite layers originating from production accumulate towards the dome.
opening and this region has a thicker layer. In addition, metal polar bosses share the internal pressure load.

![Figure 33. Innermost helical layer fiber direction stress distribution](image)

Stresses in the fiber direction in the outermost helical layer are also shown in the Figure 34 along the axis of the case. Similar stress jumps observed in the innermost helical layer are also occurred in the outermost layer.
The highest fiber direction stresses in the cylindrical region are observed in the innermost hoop layer. The variation of stresses occurring in this layer along the cylinder region is shown in Figure 35.

As can be seen from the graph, stress changes are observed at the beginning and end regions of the layer. The rapid stress changes observed in the helical layers in dome-cylinder junction areas clearly affect fiber stresses in hoop layers. Note that, the fiber stress is constant almost away from the transition regions.
When the Mises stresses on the polar bosses are examined, it is seen that the stresses do not exceed the material strength used, as shown in Figure 36. In addition, such local stress concentrations can be eliminated by creating a fillet on the sharp regions. As a result, no failure is expected from polar bosses.

*Figure 35. Fiber direction stress distribution on innermost and outermost hoop layers*
As a result, the maximum stress value in fiber direction seen on helical windings in the analysis of internal pressure load of 25 MPa is 2218 MPa. On the other hand, the fiber direction maximum stress seen in the hoop layers is 2400 MPa. These values are very close to 2550 MPa. Considering these stress values, it can be said that the motor case is very close to the burst. In order to find out under which load the layers will fail, that is to find the motor case exact burst pressure, the internal pressure load is changed and the analyzes are repeated. At an internal pressure load of 26.4 MPa, the stresses in the fibers are almost equal to the material strength values. The case is expected to burst almost at this pressure value. The resulting stress values are shown in Figure 37.
In this section, the failure of the motor case from helical layers is examined. The requirements given in the Table 1 are used for the design procedure. The stress factor, SF determines the burst mode of the composite pressure tank. If SF is greater than 1.0, the strength of the hoop layers is considered to be lower and more hoop thickness is calculated. Thus, the tank fails from the helical layers.

In this design process SF is selected as 1.2. Thus, the strength of the helical layers is taken as 2550 MPa, while the strength of the hoop layers was taken as 2125 MPa.
Case thickness for 25 MPa burst pressure is recalculated using equation (35). As a result, hoop layer thickness is calculated as 3.5 mm and helical layer thickness is 2.1 mm. The total case thickness is calculated as 5.6 mm. Similarly, when the layer thickness is taken as 0.35 mm, the number of layers is determined as 3 and 10 for helical and hoop layers respectively. Layer sequence is modeled symmetrically as shown in the Figure 38.

Since the geometrical properties of the motor case such as polar opening and cylindrical region diameter do not change, the winding angle and the dome profile will not change. Moreover, since the design pressure value does not change, the thicknesses of the polar bosses are the same with the previous motor case. As a result, only helical and hoop layer thicknesses are changed in this design.

Figure 38. Ply sequence of the shell for 1.2 SF
An analysis model is created with the same load and boundary conditions using the analysis approach applied in Section 3.7. The displacement distribution of the case is shown in Figure 39. Compared to the previous results in Figure 32, it is observed that the both cases exhibit similar behavior in the dome transition zones. However, the displacement values observed in this case of helical failure are slightly larger. The reason for this is that the dome thickness transition in this design is higher than the former motor case design. In addition, displacement values observed in the cylinder region are lower than the results of the first analysis. This is due to the fact that more hoop layers are used in this design. As a result, in such a design, the cylinder region is more robust.

*Figure 39. Deformed structure for 1.2 SF*
The fiber direction stresses that occur in the innermost and outermost helical layers along the case from the front pole region are shown in Figure 40 and Figure 41 respectively. Similar to the Figure 33 and Figure 34 sudden stress increases are observed in the same transition regions. According to these results, it can be said that the stress distributions observed on the motor case is mainly caused by the thickness change occurring in the transition regions, independent of SF.

*Figure 40. Innermost helical layer fiber direction stress distribution for SF 1.2*
As can be seen from the Figure 42, similar fiber direction stress distributions on the outermost and innermost hoop layers are observed compared to Figure 35. In this case stress values on the outermost and innermost hoop layers are very close to each other. The reason of this is that the hoop layers are thick so that the motor case will burst from the helical layers. Thus, the stress values in hoop layers are reduced and become closer to each other.
The fiber direction stress values in the cylinder and dome transition zones are shown in the Figure 43. The maximum fiber direction stress observed in the cylinder region is approximately 1900 MPa. When the dome transition region is observed, the highest stress value observed in fiber direction is 2658 MPa. This value is seen in the innermost helical layer.

Compared to the first case analysis, it is observed that maximum stresses decrease in the cylinder region and stresses increase in the dome transition region. The main reason for this is the increase in the thickness of the hoop layer applied to the cylindrical region. The pressure bearing capacity of the cylinder region increased with increasing hoop thickness. In addition, as the dome wall thickness decreases, the bending effect increases in the dome transition zone. For the same reason, the maximum stress values observed in the first helical layer are higher.
The results of this analysis show that if the SF is 1.2, the motor case will fail from the helical layers. Moreover, it is expected that the failure will start from the dome cylinder transition region. It should be noted, however, that such a failure mode would have catastrophic consequences for the rocket motor cases.

Figure 43. Fiber direction stress values at 1.2 SF
CHAPTER 4

DOME PARAMETER STUDY

In this section, different meridian profiles are presented and examined by changing the design parameters to validate the applicability of the available approach to creating pressure tanks at different pole apertures. Dome opening ratio and friction coefficient are the most critical design parameters in determining dome profile and winding angle. The effect of different opening ratio and coefficient of friction on the employment of the fiber winding and dome performance are investigated.

Solving the system of differential equations (30) and (32) synchronously will eventually provide the geodesic and non-geodesic fiber paths and the associated section profiles.

4.1. Effect of Friction Coefficient

The objective of the numerical solution method is to employ the couple of design variables \( \{ \lambda, \alpha \} \) which ensure a 90° fiber angle around the pole opening. The slippage tendency \( \lambda \) should belong to a predetermined applicable friction range \([-0.5, 0.5]\). It should also be considered that the slippage tendency \( \lambda \) can be negative [36]. If \( \lambda \) is zero, the fibers follow the shortest path on the dome to form the geodesic dome profile, whereas non-geodesic dome profile is obtained when it is different than zero. Figure 44 shows that the non-geodesic based domes have a slightly larger volume and depth than the geodesic ones.
Figure 45 shows the development of the winding angle in the domes for various \( \lambda \) values, ranging from 0 to 0.5. The obtained data set shows that the filament angle increases from a comparatively small value in the equator to 90° in the polar aperture and decreases with increasing \( \lambda \). In all cases, the tangential requirement for the fiber paths in the pole opening region, i.e. \( \alpha = 90^\circ \), is perfectly met. The results show that the fiber angle distribution has an overall decrease with an increase in slippage tendency. This shows that as the friction coefficient increases, the tendency of the fibers to slip decrease and lower winding angles become applicable.
Figure 45. Effect of friction coefficient on the winding angle distribution with the 0.5 opening ratio

Table 4. Values of design parameters for various friction coefficients $\lambda$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Design parameters</th>
<th>$\lambda = 0$</th>
<th>$\lambda = 0.1$</th>
<th>$\lambda = 0.2$</th>
<th>$\lambda = 0.3$</th>
<th>$\lambda = 0.4$</th>
<th>$\lambda = 0.5$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\rho_0 [-]$</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\alpha [^\circ]$</td>
<td>30.36</td>
<td>25.06</td>
<td>19.75</td>
<td>14.35</td>
<td>8.89</td>
<td>3.35</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As the friction coefficient increases, the winding angle at the dome-cylinder conjunction region decreases, see Table 4. This reduces the thickness of the helical winding layer covering the entire body. Although the hoop winding thickness increases, the overall body weight will be reduced as the layers are applied only on the cylindrical region. The results show that the structural efficiency increases with increasing friction tendency. It is also concluded that non-geodesic domes perform better than geodesic domes.
Although the angle of winding reduces and the motor case performance increases, it should be remembered that the production method (wet or dry filament winding) should also be evaluated. Because the applicable coefficient of friction is highly dependent on the winding method.

The dome profiles and winding angle distributions obtained in case of a negative coefficient of slippage are shown in Figure 46 and Figure 47. As you can see, reducing the coefficient of slippage decreases the depth and volume of the dome. At the same time, winding angle values increase. Thus, a thicker composite case with a smaller volume will be obtained.

In this case, it is clear that the negative slippage tendency is a more inefficient design. The main purpose of using a negative coefficient of slippage in the design of motor case with different openings is to increase the winding angle in the dome cylinder region and to reduce the difference between the winding angle in the other dome-cylinder transition region. Thus, the angle change over the cylinder region will be less and the producibility will be increased.
Figure 46. Effect of negative friction coefficient on the dome profile with the 0.5 opening ratio

Figure 47. Effect of negative friction coefficient on the winding angle distribution with the 0.5 opening ratio
4.2. Effect of Dome Opening

To verify the applicability of creating pressure tanks having different polar apertures using the present method, fiber paths with constant friction coefficients in the range of $\rho_0$ (from 0.1 to 0.6) are presented in Figure 48.

The filament overwrap procedure requires full tangent positioning of the filaments as they pass through the polar areas of the dome structure to ensure the continuity of the filament winding. Therefore, this requirement must be met by applying a non-geodesic path. The fiber wound angle at the pole must close to 90°. Furthermore, the first derivative of the $z(r)$ equation in the dome field reflected in the generated meridian shape must be equal to zero. Thus, the fiber will be tangent in the pole region and continue the rotation cycle towards the other dome.

![Figure 48. Effect of dome opening ratio on the dome profile with the 0.1 friction coefficient](image-url)
When the effect of opening ratio on winding angle is examined at constant coefficient of friction, high winding angle gradients are observed in the dome region with increasing opening ratio. While this creates a production difficulty, the increase in the opening ratio leads to larger domes in terms of volume and depth. Volume increase may be an advantage for pressure vessels, but it is also necessary to evaluate the change in weight to evaluate the design clearly. This situation should be evaluated according to the actual goal of the design.

![Figure 49. Effect of dome opening ratio on the winding angle distribution with the 0.1 friction coefficient](image)

Assuming the permissible coefficient of friction is 0.1, Table 5 shows the values of the design parameters \( \{ \lambda, \alpha \} \) for non-geodesics of various dome opening ratio values. Since the fiber must be tangent with 90° in the dome pole region, the winding angle value increases as the dome opening ratio increases. This results in thicker helical windings that will provide the strength of the dome. It should be remembered that with
the increase of the dome opening ratio, the sizes of the metal polar bosses also increase and the motor case weight increases and therefore the structural efficiency decreases.

\[\begin{array}{cccccccc}
\text{Design variables} & \rho_0 = 0.1 & \rho_0 = 0.2 & \rho_0 = 0.3 & \rho_0 = 0.4 & \rho_0 = 0.5 & \rho_0 = 0.6 \\
\lambda \text{ [-]} & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 \\
\alpha \text{ [°]} & 0 & 5.02 & 11.32 & 18.0 & 25.06 & 32.77 \\
\end{array}\]
CHAPTER 5

CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE RECOMMENDATIONS

5.1. Conclusion

The main purpose of this thesis is to provide a design method for filament wound composite rocket motor cases. Using the specified design method, the motor case with different dome openings is designed for a given burst pressure value while satisfying given geometric constraints. The winding angle distributions are calculated utilizing the negative slippage tendency. Due to the working conditions of the rocket motor case type pressure vessels, the netting theory is used in the composite case thickness calculations. A finite element analysis is carried out at the design pressure value and the body is found to maintain structural integrity at this pressure value. In the burst pressure investigation analysis, it is found that the case would fail at a pressure value very close to the pressure used in the design of the composite motor case.

The netting approach is the easiest of the analytical methods applied in the design and evaluation of composite overwrapped tanks, but is sufficient for fiber winding pressure tanks. The netting method provides a relationship between internal loading and fiber stresses occurring in the composite layers of the pressure vessel. In this study, it is found that the use of netting theory determines the case wall thickness gives very accurate results and can be used in the design of composite rocket motor cases. In addition, it is shown that the presented dome profile and the winding angle determination approach can be used in the design of the general filament wound pressure vessels.

After verification of the design method and approach, the effects of design parameters are examined. Since the dome zones are the most sensitive places in terms of mechanical failure, the design of the domes is one of the most important aspects in a
pressure tank design. Starting with the stability analysis of the fiber paths, the design methods for the geodesic and non-geodesic paths forming the dome geometry of the pressure vessels are examined.

Even though the profile differences between the geodesic and non-geodesic solutions are comparatively small, the existing design area has been adequately expanded in the design of non-geodesic approach. The increase in the design space is particularly reflected in advanced mechanical performance, while being capable of meeting the conditions of the winding process.

In the filament winding industry, the most commonly used class of fiber curves are geodesic trajectories that connect two random points to each other via the shortest curve on any surface. In the geodesic paths mentioned herein, the fibers show great stability at the mandrel exterior face. After all, since geodesic paths are completely specified by stating winding conditions in terms of filament location and orientation, limiting the fiber paths as geodesics precisely reduces the freedom of design and the applicable performance advancement of pressure tanks.

Actually, a filament does not need to have geodesic pattern to be stable. The non-geodesic patterns could also be overwrapped with a particular diversion from the geodesic patterns, using friction tendency to keep the fibers in their original location. Therefore, it is necessary to utilize non-geodesic patterns determined through friction to extend the design area of fiber overwrapped pressure tanks.

Compared to geodesic patterns, the implementation of non-geodesic patterns considerably extends the design alternatives for pressure tanks. There is the possibility of changing the value of slippage tendency \( \lambda \) to give greater design freedom in determining winding trajectories. As a result, the ability to improve mechanical efficiency while meeting production requirements becomes a necessity here. Thus, non-geodesic trajectories can replace conventionally used geodesics and can be used to improve structural performance. Furthermore, it was shown that the current
approach based on non-geodesic winding can be very useful in the design phase of filament overwrapped pressure tanks with different dome openings.

5.2. Future Work

For the future improvements of the present study, following topics can be proposed:

- Since the netting approach accepts that all loads carried only by the filaments and neglects the structural contribution of matrix and interference between the filaments, the available approach can only be considered as a basic design solution for pressure tanks such as composite rocket motor case. In the further studies, a more accurate model based on the continuum theory might be created. However, it is believed that the available approach can be very advantageous and innovative in the preliminary design phase of fiber overwrapped domes.
- In this study only general dome profiles such as geodesic and non-geodesic are obtained. However, fiber angles that can be wound on spherical and elliptical profiles should be determined and compared with geodesic and non-geodesic domes in terms of structural performance.
- Loads caused by external factors such as moisture, external heating, vibration, etc. to which the composite rocket motor cases are exposed can be included in the structural calculations using knockdown factors.
- In this study, elastomeric rubber lined filament winding rocket engine cases are designed. In metal-lined composite rocket motor cases, the pressure load on the case is shared between the metal liner and the composite layer. Design of metal-lined composite pressure vessels could be made using the existing dome profile determination method.

In this study, the stacking sequence of the composite layers is determined as symmetrically distributed. The effect of the stacking sequence on the stresses and deformations should be investigated to find optimal lay-up. It is also recommended
that the proposed design methods for pressure vessels should be detailed and experimentally verified.
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