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ABSTRACT

RAKI GLASS PACKAGING DESIGNS INTRODUCED INTO THE TURKISH MARKET AFTER THE PRIVATIZATION IN 2004: A STUDY ON DESIGNERS’ PRACTICES IN RELATION TO DESIGN GOALS

Kadiroğlu, Selma
M.S., Department of Industrial Design
Supervisor: Assist. Prof. Dr. Fatma Korkut

February 2015, 178 pages

As of 2014, there are more than 70 raki brands in the Turkish market which emerged after the privatization following the abolishment of the state monopoly in 2004; only four raki brands were available beforehand. With the sharp increase in the number of brands, an intense market competition begun among the companies: They started extending their product lines and invested on packaging design by hiring design sources; therefore, packaging design has gained a significant role in product differentiation in the raki market. This study aims to investigate the designers’ practices for developing glass packaging designs for raki introduced into the Turkish market after the privatization in 2004, and to identify the design elements in relation to the design goals aimed to achieve. In this regard, the study surveys the glass packaging designs for raki before and after the privatization, documents the development of raki glass packaging historically, and presents the findings of the field study which covered five interviews conducted with the local designers of five different raki brands. The conducted research revealed that while label design was observed as more prominent and significant aspect of glass packaging design in the past, with the impact of privatization, structural design has gained more importance. The study also discusses shared practices of designers observed in the design process by dividing into phases and the use of structural design and graphic design elements.
in relation to the design goals, and contributes to the process of further glass packaging design for rakı.

Keywords: Glass packaging design, glass packaging for rakı, design process, design elements, Turkish designers
Anahtar Kelimeler: Cam ambalaj tasarımı, rakı için cam ambalaj, tasarım süreci, tasarım unsurları, Türk tasarımcılar
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CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

*Raki* is a widely known traditional Turkish alcoholic beverage. Although it seems an ordinary spirit to others, it is more than a drink for Turkish people; it is a dining ritual, and the most consumed spirit in Turkey. Rakı differentiates itself from other alcoholic beverages as the only drink that has built up its own food culture. Being produced with the distillation of grapes and raisins, flavored with aniseed, and the whitening when water is added make it distinctive. Rakı is also a registered geographical indication.

Rakı is one of the cultural symbols of Turkey and it is part of Turkish culture for more than six centuries. According to Kürkçü, it is hard to detach rakı culture from Turkish culture since rakı is a part of daily life, and affects sociological behavior of Turkish people; that is why when one thinks about Turkey, rakı is one of the first things which come to one’s mind (2005). Rakı was produced by various civilizations that lived in this geography and it became a shared cultural legacy as described in *Raki Ansiklopedisi* (2010).

Today, there are more than 70 brands in the rakı market which emerged after the privatization following the abolishment of the state monopoly in 2004, whereas only four rakı brands were available beforehand. With the sharp increase in the number of rakı brands, an intense market competition begun among companies. They started extending their product lines and invested on packaging design by hiring design sources and therefore, packaging design has gained a significant role in product differentiation in the rakı market.

Companies have used various promotional strategies such as advertising, sponsored organizations and events as well as launching new rakı sorts and packaging designs. This trend continued until the new alcohol legislation issued by the government in
2013, which restricted the communication and advertising in alcoholic beverage sector completely. Since then, it has been quite difficult to get news about rakı sector. With the impact of government regulations, the companies neither share any information about their products in their websites or media, nor sponsor events or organizations. Consequently, consumers become aware of new brands mostly when they come across glass packages of those brands on store shelves. Packaging design plays a more active role; currently, it is the only strategic tool remained to attract consumers’ attention and persuade them to change or maintain their purchasing habits.

Packaging for rakı has also an economic significance; according to Geleneksel Alkollü İçki Üreticileri Derneği (GİSDER), 94.3 million USD was used just for packaging including glass package, label, cap and other packaging materials as of 2013 (n.d.a). On the other hand, GİSDER also signifies the problems for the supply of glass bottles as follows: Limited number of suppliers that manufacture glass packages used for alcoholic beverages; low manufacturing capacity of suppliers; the high cost of import, and the inferior quality issues in small-scale manufacturers (GİSDER, n.d.b).

Despite the fact that there are many studies on the quality of rakı in terms of its chemistry, the studies on glass packaging designs for rakı are quite limited and they focus on specific designs of some well-known brands and designers only. A study by Kürkçü focuses on the branding rakı as a geographic identity for agrindustrial design (2005) and a thesis work which focused on women designers mentions a rakı glass package briefly (Eti, 2005). A comprehensive study by Kaygan (2007) focuses on Yeni Rakı bottle with an iconological analysis, and how its bodily curves were related with the tulip shape and oriental curves. Besides that Irmak’s dissertation titled “Development Patterns of Packaging Design in Turkey since 1945 ” includes a case study with one of the leading companies in the rakı sector (2011). Apart from this, Cartier and Akbulut (2012) conduct a study on the promotional glasses provided to restaurants and the reasons lie behind the preference of restaurants.
1.1 The Goal and Research Questions of the Study

The main goal of this research is to investigate the designers’ practices for developing glass packaging designs for rakı introduced into the Turkish market after the privatization in 2004, and to identify design elements in relation to design goals aimed to achieve from the designers’ perspective.

The research questions to be addressed in this study are as follows:

- What are the earlier examples of glass packaging designs for rakı in Turkey prior to 2004?
- What are the glass packaging designs for rakı introduced into the Turkish market after the privatization in 2004?
- What are the phases of design process for developing these glass packaging designs?
- What are the design goals aimed to achieve through these rakı glass packaging designs?
- What are the design elements employed by the designers to achieve these design goals?

1.2 Structure of the Thesis

This thesis includes four chapters. Chapter 1 presents a brief introduction pointing out the significance of the study, and the goal along with the research questions.

Chapter 2 presents the literature review. It starts with a brief overview of product properties of rakı, and documents the historical development of glass packaging designs for rakı before and after the privatization. Then, it continues with presenting packaging design, design process, design elements along with glass packaging design for alcoholic beverages.

Chapter 3 starts with the methodology of the field study which can be told as the major part of this study. It summarizes the research method for this study, focusing on qualitative research methods and technique used for the analysis of data along with findings and discussions.
Chapter 4 includes and summarizes the overall conclusions considering the findings from the field study and literature review by revisiting the research questions. Then, it discusses the implications of the study for design, and presents its limitations and recommendations for further research.
CHAPTER 2

LITERATURE REVIEW

Since this study mainly focuses on glass packaging designs for rakı which were introduced into the Turkish market after the privatization, it is useful to explore rakı itself, its early history, how glass packaging designs for rakı have evolved in time, and the companies and brands.

2.1 Introduction: Rakı

Rakı is a well-known traditional Turkish drink in the entire world. Although it seems a common spirit to others, for Turkish people, it is more than a drink; it is a cultural symbol as well. As described in Rakı Ansiklopedisi, it is a shared cultural legacy of civilizations who lived in this region, and the only drink that has built up its own food culture; whereas wine, for example, is selected based on the type of food will be eaten (2010). Rakı has a different consumption style, it is more than a drink in Turkish dining culture, and it has a significant value on tables compared to other alcoholic beverages.

Rakı has a significant place in Turkish culture. Kürkçü tells that rakı is peculiar to Turkish culture when its product properties, ingredient herbs, production process and history were considered (2005).

According to Turkish Food Codex (2005), it is defined as a twice distilled traditional spirit which is produced in 5000 litre or smaller sized copper alembics; produced from only suma and ethyl alcohol of non-agricultural origin, and flavored with aniseed (pimpinella anisum, Figure 2.1). The raw materials are grapes, raisins or a combination of both. In order to be called “rakı”, it must contain at least 40% alcohol and has to be produced from at least 65% grape suma. In addition to these, the most important provision of being “rakı” is to be produced only in the borders of
Turkey (Turkish Food Codex, 2005). Moreover, its raw materials must grow in Turkey, as well. According to a registration certificate by Turkish Patent Institute (TPE), Turkish Rakı is the protected geographical indication in the field of spirit drinks since 2009 (TPE, 2009).

On the other hand, rakı has a financial value for Turkey by making up 85% of the spirits supplied to the domestic market and 95% of the exported spirits (TPE, 2009). As of 2013, the value of rakı export is indicated as 25.3 million USD, while it is 3.2 billion USD for the domestic rakı market (GİSDER, n.d.a).

There are many drinks in global market which use aniseed as a flavor: Absinthe in France, sambuca and Galliano in Italy, aguadiente in South America, Herbsaint in New Orleans, allasch in Russia, ouzo in Greece, pastis in France and arak in Syria (Yücesoy, 2011; Alden, n.d.). They differentiate with each other by their production process and their consumption styles. As stated by Galip Yorgancıoğlu who is the CEO of Mey İçki Sanayi ve Ticaret A.Ş. (hereinafter referred to as Mey), the main difference of other anise-based drinks is the production technique in which grapes
are not processed in the first distillation, and the aniseed plant is used just as an essence; the distinction of rakı comes from the use of “Turkish grapes and Turkish-grown anise seed plants” for producing rakı (Yorgancioglu, 2013).

Concerning the consumption of rakı, it must be cold, and adding water or drinking nate is definitely up to the consumer’s preference. However, whereas rakı is transparent while drinking nate, its color changes when added water, and “becomes a milky white”, and so it obtains a distinct pleasant taste (“Turkish national drink, Raki,” n.d.). Its milky white color is also one of the reasons why rakı is called as “lion’s milk” (aslan sütü in Turkish). However, this milk seems to belong to “king of beasts, the lion”; therefore, “the metaphor lion’s milk” emerges (E. Zat, 2012). Rakı is known as giving courage to its drinker.

2.1.1. Production of Rakı

The production of rakı is described in several sources in detail (TPE, 2009; Gürsoy, 2007; the Ministry of Health, 2008). To explain briefly, the production process includes mainly two steps: suma production and rakı production. For the first step, fresh grapes, dried grapes or the combination of both are crushed and then mixed with water. Afterwards the mixture is pasteurized and left to fermentation. When the fermentation is done, the liquid is distilled and processed into suma. Secondly, after suma is flavored with anise and diluted with water, it is distilled one more time in copper alembics, diluted with drinking water, and sugar is added, and finally left to aging for at least one month (TPE, 2009; Gürsoy, 2007; The Ministry of Health, 2008).

Distillation of alcohol is a dangerous process for non-experts. It needs a professional knowledge, frequent control and care during process and if the requirements (proper equipment and controlled environment) are not fulfilled, its consumption may cause damage to organs or even death. In 2000s, Turkey witnessed a number of cases where people lost their ability to see and even their lives just because they consumed improperly and illegally produced rakı called as “counterfeit rakı”.
2.1.2. Types of Rakı

In today’s market, rakı varieties are based on several factors: the grape variety they have, production technique used and the additives preferred (Yücesoy, 2011).

Firstly, there is a classification based on the grape variety it contains: a) Fresh grape rakı which is produced only from fresh grapes, b) dried grape rakı which is produced only from dried grapes and also known as classic or regular, and c) the combination of both fresh grapes and dried grapes. As also explained by E. Zat, the preference of raisins or fresh grapes either alone or a combination of them affects the product’s characteristics (2012). In recent times, organic rakı emerged, which highlights being produced from completely organic raw materials.

Secondly, the production technique is considered as significant while defining distinctive features of a rakı brand. There are three parts of production processes as fermentation, distillation and dilution described in Turkish Food Codex in 2005; ageing, filtration and bottling are the important steps in order to enhance the quality of the rakı at the end (as cited in Yücesoy, 2011). It is observed that there are various brands which stress its distillation times as twice or triple and the aging in barrels since it influences the consumer about the taste and quality. It can be said that since distillation affects the levels of alcohol within rakı, distillation time can change the classification of brands as well. On the other hand, if a brand is aged for more than one month as specified in Turkish Food Codex (2005), then it stresses this feature while launching. Besides these, göbek rakısı also differentiates from others with its production technique which does not require the use of the first and the last distillates in production process (E. Zat, 2010).

Thirdly, rakı can include various additives: flavorful seeds, aniseed, sugar, mastic, and other sweetening products (Yücesoy, 2011). Since all of the additives can change the taste, it might change the classification of rakı. As mentioned earlier, rakı is flavored with aniseed and therefore obtains its distinctive taste; however, aniseed is not the only herb used in rakı production in history and rakı has been flavored also with different herbs since its beginning. Expressing that banana, pomegranate, cinnamon, carnation and mastic rakı types were produced, Gürsoy indicates that mastic-flavored rakı produced in Chios (Sakız Adası in Turkish) was one of the most
popular raki types and called as *mastika* (2007, p.23). Of course, mastika does not contain only mastic but anise as well. Therefore, stating that if only aniseed was used as a flavour in production, E. Zat point outs that it was called as *douziko* which means “straight raki” (düz raki in Turkish) and not containing any mastic, and after 1870s, douziko became popular and people started to call it simply as “raki” (2012). *Dusiko, douzico and duziko* were the terms also used for referring to straight raki, and hereinafter *duziko* will be used throughout this study.

On the other hand, stating that *Evliya Çelebi* mentioned about raki types in the 17th century, E. Zat (2012) explains: “Basil, cinnamon, firna, mustard, date, imamiyye, clove, mint, linden, sudina, süşnâr, and poloniyye arak” were used for raki production, and concludes: “The choice of anise came after many years of experience with different flavors” and “anise-emerged victorious”.

2.1.3. Raki Rituals

“First of all, you have to consider raki as a presence in your table - one that you need to respect. You need to respect the drink and the rituals of the drink. Unlike wine, the raki bottle never stays at the centre of the table. It has to be at the end of the table. You have to treat the raki bottle like a person sitting at the table” says Galip Yorgancioğlu, in his interview titled “‘The Spirit of İstanbul’ goes nicely with friends and meze” (Yorgancioğlu, 2013). This shows that raki has a significant value on the raki tables, like a person.

Raki is described by V. Zat as “a milestone for boys in the family,” and it is seen as a link between father and son: “For the father, he feels his son is ready to drink raki. And for the son, he feels respected by his father” (as cited in Mattis, 2014).

Drinking raki seems different from drinking other alcoholic beverages. There are several rules of raki table; additionally, where, when and with whom to drink raki are as important as how to drink.

The rituals of raki are described by many authors, raki drinkers and brand managers. But, at first, the place of drinking raki must be explained. Raki is consumed in homes, restaurants, clubs, hotels and outside, particularly in picnics and vacations. However, raki is known as being consumed in *meyhane*, Turkish drinking house.
Although meyhane is a place where rakı and also other sprits can be consumed, it is seen as a significant part of the rakı ritual. E. Zat explains in his book that the word “meyhane” is known as a place to drink rakı; in fact, it is a derivation of the word mey (wine) and hane (home) from the Persian language, and then the usage of word broadened and now meyhane turned to a place where all kinds of alcoholic beverages can be consumed (2012).

According to Yorgancıoğlu, “Rakı is a social drink”; which means that rakı is consumed with friends, and hours of conversation is one of the significant details in rakı drinking (Yorgancıoğlu, 2013). It can be said that drinking alone is not fit to the rituals of rakı. According to Gürsoy, while drinking, business cannot be the subject of conversation; calming topics are selected such as football, politics in particular “how to save the country” or just chatting (2007). Moreover, he points out that rakı is not consumed as fast or shot, and the rakı drinker should not leave the rakı table early; it must be consumed slowly in a time as long as possible. The appropriate time to consume rakı is specified as sunset by Gürsoy (2007).

Rakı is consumed as cold; however, water should also be cooled before drinking. If ice will be added, then water must be used first due to the fact that the anise suspended can be crystallized and cause spoilage of flavor (E. Zat, 2012). As described by Aydın Boysan, who is famous for his rakı knowledge and drinking, firstly water should be poured and then, rakı should be added; by doing so, the real taste of rakı can be understood (as cited in Kesmez & Aydın, 2013).

One of the rituals of rakı table is raising the rakı glasses. In general, the leader or the oldest person of the rakı table raises the first glass and says “Şerefe!” which is similar to “Cheers”, but has a meaning of “To honor!” and adds a wish about love, health or success; and then, all of the people join this ritual and raise their glasses and toast too (Arditi, 2013; E. Zat, 2012). As it can be seen in Figure 2.2, one of the rakı brands says goodbye to consumers after the new alcohol legislation issued by the government which restricted the communication and advertising in alcoholic beverage sector in 2013, by using the ritual of toasting the glasses, and saying “Stay always new my brother!” as a wish.
As emphasized by Aydin Boysan, rakı must be smelled before drinking in order to feel the aniseed odor, and then the drinker should take a sip and swallow it slowly (as cited in Kesmez & Aydin, 2013). In order to lessen the burning effect of rakı, water is drunk later. The main reason of this consumption style is the high alcohol content of rakı which can make a person drunk easily when consumed fast. In relation to this, Yorgancioğlu declares: “A good rakı drinker never gets drunk. He or she knows how to drink the beverage” (Yorgancioğlu, 2013).

After taking the first sip of rakı and water, the food on the rakı table is eaten. Consuming rakı with food is another important detail which differentiates it from other alcoholic beverages. In order to drink rakı, a rakı table is prepared firstly. This ritual is called setting a çilingir sofrasi which means “locksmith's table is renowned
for unlocking the secrets of any heart” (Arditi, 2013; E. Zat, 2012). Çilingir sofrası signifies a raki table prepared with various types of meze, appetizer (Figure 2.3). In fact, çilingir sofrası evolved from çeşitli sofrası; çeşitli is used as a metaphor in referring to personnel in the Ottoman palace kitchen, and also çeşitli means a mix of spices and flavors, as explained by E. Zat (2012). There are various types of meze in Turkish food culture: cheese, melon, seafood, Mediterranean herbs, vegetables with olive oil, humus and so on. Gürsoy mentioned that raki drinkers do not eat too much at the raki table and mostly meze is preferred (2007). Besides meze, fish is indispensable part of raki table, since it seems a complementary pair to raki. However, it seems important that any taste of food should not suppress the pleasant taste of raki. After drinking and eating all evening long, the night usually ends with a soup and Turkish coffee which are consumed in order to prevent upset stomach and to ease indigestion (E. Zat, 2012).

![Example of raki table with meze](http://gecce.com/restoran/haber/raki-meze-keyfine-hazir-misiniz)

Figure 2.3: An example to raki table with meze (retrieved on December 12, 2014, from: http://gecce.com/restoran/haber/raki-meze-keyfine-hazir-misiniz).

In the rituals of raki, music has a significant place. In generally, classical Turkish music is preferred with classical Turkish instruments such as ud and kanun, string instruments, and if a music band was hired for meyhane, traditional tunes are played (Arditi, 2013). Although Gürsoy (2007) says that if there is a live music program in a drinking house, it cannot be called as raki table; Yorgancıoğlu declares that music is an important part of the ritual only if it is not too loud, but cannot be said as
definitely required (2013). In today, it is possible to see these bands in each meyhane while rakı drinkers are enjoying the music as joining with their dances, or singing only.

2.2. Historical Background of Rakı and Rakı Industry in Turkey

2.2.1. Etymological Origin of Rakı

Although it is not very certain, there are various stories about where the word rakı comes from, its etymological origin. However, it is accepted that it emerged from Ottoman lands (Efe Rakı, 2013a).

Stating that there are many linguists who think that rakı was evolved from the Arabic word arak which means sweat, E. Zat explains as follows:

The word is a metaphor that alludes to their first experience in producing alcoholic beverages, with the final product dripping slowly from the still distillation likened to dripping sweat. (2012)

According to Gürsoy, this story is more logical since its production technique is close to this metaphor (2007, p.11). Pointing out the usage of arak, E. Zat also brings a new approach to the “lion’s milk” myth, and tells that it is emerged from this word: “Whoever drinks this liquor, sweats!” He also explains that arak refers in Turkish sources, to kumz which is a drink produced from mare’s milk in the Central and North Asian plateaus (E. Zat, 2012).

Indicating the same etymologic structure they have with arak, Gürsoy (2007) mentions about its derivatives used in Near East: araki, ariki and raki. There is another popular story about its origin that it might have been produced in Iraq and comes from the word “Iraqi” which refers to its geographical indication, and then extended the world (Kürkçü, 2005). However, Gürsoy (2007) objects to this claim by explaining that there was not any country named as Iraq in the 16th century (p.11).

Another claim related with raki is that it takes its name from an aniseed drink produced from Razaki grapes which are large, long and thick-skinned. Gürsoy states that there is a relation between Razaki and rakı in pronunciation (2007). Related with this, Vefa Zat indicates that its similarity in pronunciation gives a possibility to this story, as well (2012).
2.2.2. History of Rakı as a Drink

Rakı is known as the traditional alcoholic beverage of Turkish people for years and it has a significant place in Turkish culture. Although there is not exact information about the very first rakı production place and time, it is possible to find clues about the historical background of rakı from various sources.

According to E. Zat, rakı history extends back to 2000 B.C., and people distilled alcohol obtained from the fermentation of grapes in those times since pottery vessels used for producing small quantities of alcohol for perfume making were found in archaeological excavations (2012). In ancient times, Arabs were known with their art of perfumery, preserving the fragrances of plants in the alcohol distilled primitively; alcohol is an Arabic origin word which spread all over the world (E. Zat, 2012). However, he adds later that rakı evolved in time and formed into “today’s perfected product” in the 19th century.

In the 5th century, there was an alcoholic drink which was similar to rakı in the East-Roman Empire, and “the recipe of which the Turkish people learned in the 11th century; it was introduced to Anatolia and Rumelia by mostly Bektashi descent people” (Efe Raki, 2013a).

When the history of distillation technology was investigated, it is indicated by E. Zat that Arab and Persian chemists developed it first in the 8th and 9th centuries (2012). The first chemist worked on the distillation of alcohol from wine was Jabir ibn Hayyan who was a Persian chemist lived in Urfa in the 13th century and also known as the “Hippocrates of Chemistry”. In addition, there is a written source İmbik (derived from Arabic word al-ambic) by Hayyan (V. Zat, 2012). In the 11th century, a Persian poet Omar Khayyam (1048-1131) reflects his appreciation for rakı culture in his poems, and due to the similar last names of Khayyam and Hayyan and their shared interest area, a metaphor is popular: “Wine from Khayyam, Rakı from Hayyan” (E. Zat, 2012).

Considering the findings about the history of rakı, most of them extend six centuries back. In this point, alcohol prohibitions should be mentioned as significant incidents of the time. As stated by E. Zat (2012), before the 15th century, alcohol consumption was not restricted in the Ottoman society; however, after the adoption of Islam, it
was forbidden for a few short periods: Sultan Suleiman the Magnificent (1520-1566), Ahmet I (1602-1617) and, the last one, Murat IV (1623-1640). In these periods, drinking houses were closed; drunk people were caught and beaten. Gürsoy (2007) indicates that rakı was being consumed in İstanbul in 1573, considering a provision sent by 2. Selim who was the Sultan of the Ottoman Empire following his father Sultan Suleiman the Magnificent and lift the prohibition. In this period, Evliya Çelebi used the words arak and rakı in his famous travelogue Seyahatname, and mentioned about rakı as not only a drink called “lion’s milk” but also its high number of producers in İstanbul. In addition to this, another poet Aşık Kerem used the word rakı in his poem in the 16th century (Gürsoy, 2007).

In the late 19th century, mastika was indicated as a very popular drink in İstanbul due to its characteristic flavor and generic name; however, according to a writer of that period, everything changed in the 1870s and people started to consume more duziko than mastika, because of its harder taste and satisfying effect on consumers while drinking (E. Zat, 2012).

2.2.3. Early History of Rakı Industry

In the 19th century, industrial alcohol production of private brands was allowed by the Ottoman government which was under excessive pressure of debts, either domestic or foreign, as explained by E. Zat (2012). Therefore, they generated a solution for discharging as imposing a tax on alcoholic beverage consumption; however, the pressure of foreign governments increased on paying debts, and forced Sultan Abulhamit II to pay them particularly from a single center, and so the Turkish Tobacco Monopoly (Reji İdaresi) was established (E. Zat, 2012). Then, imposing taxes resulted with tax registration of rakı brands, and the first official rakı brand of Ottomans, Umurca Rakı was produced in the Umurca Rakı Factory. It was also the first rakı factory established in 1880 by Sultan Abdülhamit’s chief and the Finance Minister Sarıcazade Ragıp Paşa. Stating that consumption of alcohol was not supported in Ottomans due to being Muslim, E. Zat declares that the trade and consumption of alcohol was not prohibited except during the certain periods as mentioned before (2012).
Other than Umurca Rakı, there was another brand mentioned as important in the late 19th century: Fertek Rakı. According to E. Zat, Fertek Rakı which was a straight rakı (douziko) had the name of its production region, a town in Cappadocia (2012). He adds that Fertek Rakı was sold in a new format for rakı market with the competition, in containers which had a volume of 3.5 and 19 litres.

At the beginning of the 20th century, there was an increase in the number of brands including Zarakosta Rakı, Çavuş Rakı, Elif Rakı, Ağa Rakı, Dimitrakapula Rakı, and Tenedos Rakı (also known as Denizkızı). However, in 1920, the Republican period, the trade and consumption of alcohol were banned with a law by the first parliament of Turkey due to the conditions of Turkish War of Independence (V. Zat, 2012). With this ban, alcohol consumption were quite lowered down, but not completely stopped.

Six years later, when the law of alcohol prohibition was lifted; the state institution of Alcohol and Alcoholic Drinks of Turkey, (İnhisarlar İdaresi Türkiye İspirto ve Meşrubatı Küülüye İnsisari, later renamed as Tekel) was established in 1926 in order to manage the rakı market (E. Zat, 2012). It must be mentioned that this institution did not only govern alcohol production but also tobacco, salt, gun powder and so on (İrmak, 2011). In this period, another significant detail was that Paşabahçe Glass Factory was established by the state institution of Alcohol and Alcoholic Drinks of Turkey, and started to produce glass packages for alcoholic beverages (E. Zat, 2012).

The state institution of Alcohol and Alcoholic Drinks of Turkey was not only a control institution for private brands; it also marketed its own rakı brands: The first one was Türkiye İspirto ve Meşrubatı Küülüye İnsisari Rakısı which was a cheap rakı and also the carrier of the name of the institution, and the second one, Aliyul Ala Arakı Türki Hususi (renamed after the alphabet change in 1928 as Hususi Aliyulalâ); then, Hususi Alâ and Hususi Fevkalâde were added to the brands (F. Doğruel & S. Doğruel, 2000). It must be told that there were also private brands in the rakı market, other than the brands of the state institution. Zeybek Rakısı, Filurya Rakısı, Yaluva Rakısı, Ilgaz Rakısı and İnebolu Rakısı were some of the private rakı brands (Rakı Ansiklopedisi, 2010). E. Zat mentions that Atatürk, the founder of Turkey, sent many gifts to an American foreign policy journalist Grace Walvel who had visited Turkey in 1928, and these gifts included several rakı brands: Üzüm Kızı, Sefahat, Sulh, Şifa,
Sefa and Keyif (2012). In the 1930s, Kulüp Rakası was produced and today, it is the oldest brand in the raki market (Raki Ansiklopedisi, 2010).

In 1938, it has been recorded that there were 48 private raki manufacturers that produced raki under the control of the state (F. Doğruel & S. Doğruel, 2000). Before the establishment of the state institution of Alcohol and Alcoholic Drinks of Turkey, raki production was not seen as qualified enough. However, this control enhanced the raki quality since it affected private raki manufacturers and increased the quality of alcoholic beverage production in general (F. Doğruel & S. Doğruel, 2000).

On the other hand, the state institution of Alcohol and Alcoholic Drinks of Turkey increased the sizes of raki glass packages to two liters in order to lower down the consumption rates for a while prior to 1940 (F. Doğruel & S. Doğruel, 2000). In addition to this, beer consumption was promoted also against consumption of high content alcoholic beverages, as directly related with raki. In 1944, the state institution of Alcohol and Alcoholic Drinks of Turkey was renamed as Tekel, and raki started to be produced by only Tekel, known as the state monopoly (F. Doğruel & S. Doğruel, 2000). With this radical change, private raki manufacturers were not only under the control of Tekel, but also they had to buy suma from the state monopoly (E. Zat, 2012). Therefore, the quality of suma also became standard.

In the same year, a new area was opened with the launch of Yeni Raki. Yeni Raki, literally “New Raki”, was launched as targeted people in every sense with a slogan “the most consumed raki in Turkey” (E. Zat. 2012). According to F. Doğruel and S. Doğruel (2000), the brands produced by the institution of Tekel in the 1950s were as follows: İyi Raki, Yeni Rakı and Kulüp Rakısi. In the 1960s, İyi Raki was ended, and so Yeni Rakı and Kulüp Rakısi were the only brands remained in the market. In 1967, Altınbaş Rakı and Tek Rakı started to be produced; however, Tek Rakı which was a mastika was not found desirable by consumers and lifted in 1975 (F. Doğruel & S. Doğruel, 2000).

In 2000, Tekel launched its last brand before the privatization in 2004, Tekirdağ Rakısi which was only produced in Tekirdağ Rakı Factory (Raki Ansiklopedisi, 2010). Although Tekirdağ Rakısi was marketed in a higher price when compared to
other raki brands, it was in high demand due to its smooth taste, and it was preferred by women as well (Şen, 2000).

Stating that Tekel was a powerful state company, E. Zat indicates that it established many factories in Istanbul, Ankara, Izmir, Bilecik, Canakkale, Diyarbakır, Gaziantep and Tekirdağ, and even these do not include the factories which suma was produced as well (2012). He states: “The characteristic specifications of raki, today an internationally trademarked alcoholic beverage, were arrived at through many years of scientific efforts” (2012). So, it can be said that Tekel increased not only the mass production of raki, but also improved its quality and brought its distinctive recipe today. It has been a long journey for raki to obtain the significant value in our tables it has.

In 2003, the law governing the production of raki changed and privatized the alcoholic drinks division of Tekel, and so a new period has begun for raki industry (Superbrands Türkiye, 2005). The privatization opened the way to new private companies to produce their own raki brands. In this point, it is important to mention the dynamics that lie behind the privatization, and how packaging design was affected.

To begin with a general socio-economic view briefly, Turkish economy in the prior to 1980 was described as semi-controlled and mixed economy which included both public and private companies, and import was discouraged along with foreign investment, until 1980s (Boyacı & Tokatlı, 1998). However, a new period started with the neo-liberal policies in domestic market in 1980s. Firstly, a market-based and open economy was adopted, and constraints to trade were reduced substantially (Boratov & Yeldan, 2001). The main aim in these foreign trade reforms was to introduce domestic industrial products to global markets; therefore, in the presence of importing international brands, government subsidies were given to Turkish companies very generously to increase their exports of goods (Boratov, 2012) and insert their brands into the global market.

Due to the pressure on European and American companies to expand into new markets with a reason of the global competition and the support on Turkish companies to co-operate with international alliances after liberal policies and
outward-oriented industrialization strategy, a partnership between Turkish and international companies was established (Tokatlı & Eldener, 2004). Related with this period, Tokatlı and Boyacı mentions about the establishment of supermarkets, hypermarkets and shopping centers, the internationalization of Turkish retailers, the privatization and the encouragement of private investments as well (1998).

Following the first phase of liberalization reforms in the post-1980 period, Turkey implemented a customs union agreement with the European Union in 1995 (Boratov & Yeldan, 2001). Since this caused an increase in the expansion of global brands into domestic market, an intense competition started among global and domestic brands. Consequently, packaging design gained importance more than before and Turkish companies updated their packaging designs, considering consumer trends, shopping behaviors, social and aesthetic trends (Karamullaoğlu, 2005). As also Irmak declared, design became more important and, either outsourced or local, many design offices were established in the post 1980 period (2011). Design education has become popular, and universities started to opened design departments. Then, Industrial Designers’ Society of Turkey (ETMK) was established in 1988. Apart from this, in 2004 “Turquality design support program was initiated by the Republic of Turkey Ministry of Economy (n.d.) and it works for supporting “companies in their brand-building efforts and skills” and works for creating “awareness on the internationally accepted values like quality and novelty” as an accreditation system. This is one of the reasons for why packaging design gained importance in this period, as also pointed out by Karamullaoğlu (2005) and Irmak (2011).

In prior to 2004, promotion of rakı by Tekel was quite limited in the global market; however, this is changing now in order to increase the popularity of rakı. According to the results of a study conducted by Tobacco and Alcohol Market Regulatory Authority of Turkey (TAPDK), it can be said that the export of rakı is increasing since 2004, slowly but with a constant acceleration (GİSDER, n.d.c).

2.2.4. Companies and Rakı Brands Introduced into the Turkish Market after the Privatization in 2004

In 2004, Mey acquired Tekel; and therefore, it became the first private rakı manufacturer in Turkey. Following Mey, many private companies established and
launched various alcoholic beverage brands in a very short time. Correspondingly, total alcohol consumption increased in the post-2004 period; however, TAPDK expects consumptions to lower down in 2014 as reported in the webpage of GISDER (n.d.d).

Nevertheless, many company websites do not contain any information about their brands and launch dates due to the legal restrictions about alcoholic beverage promotions; therefore, previous sources in literature which included the names of rakı brands were used in this section, and they were updated based on newspaper articles and brands available on the stores, and if available, company websites.

2.2.4.1. Mey

Mey was established in 2004, right after the privatization of the alcoholic drink division of Tekel, and Diageo which is a top alcoholic beverage manufacturer of the world has acquired Mey in 2011 (Mey, n.d.). Since then Mey has been working under the umbrella of Diageo. Mey is the leading producer in the rakı market (Diageo, 2011), with a broad range of rakı brands as seen in Table 2.1.

The CEO of Mey, Yorgancıoğlu states that when Mey started business, sentiments about rakı were not the same as they are now, and the younger generation was finding rakı boring, mostly elderly people were consuming it (2013). As repositioned by Mey, rakı became revived and popular as it was in past. Besides that, Mey also worked for reinforcing its significance in the Turkish dining culture. He also describes target consumer groups of some Mey rakı brands as follows:

Yekta Rakı and İzmir Rakı target the price-conscious consumers who purchase the products frequently and want value for money. Yeni Rakı targets everyone, and is considered “the Rakı brand to buy”. Tekirdağ, Yeni Rakı and Yeni Seri target women, and is positioned as beverages with the “legendary smooth taste”. Kulüp, Tekirdağ Altın Seri, Altınbaş are premium labels for the wealthy consumers who want “heritage brands that offer the best rakı drinking pleasure”. (Yorgancıoğlu, 2013)

According to Yorgancıoğlu, Mey is not a company which cares for financial gain only, but also carrying on cultural traditions to coming generations is important for
Mey (Yorgancıoğlu, 2013). In order to achieve this, Mey helps investors to open drinking houses, makes organizations and festivals, and contributed to several books published about rakı.

Table 2.1: Mey rakı brands (adapted from Irmak, 2011; Cartier & Akbulut, 2012, and updated).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Manufacturer</th>
<th>Brand</th>
<th>Sort</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mey</td>
<td>Kulüp Rakı</td>
<td></td>
<td>1930</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yeni Rakı</td>
<td>Yeni Rakı</td>
<td></td>
<td>1944</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yeni Rakı Yeni Seri</td>
<td></td>
<td>2009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yeni Rakı Beykoz</td>
<td></td>
<td>2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yeni Rakı Âlâ</td>
<td></td>
<td>2011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yeni Rakı Rastgele</td>
<td></td>
<td>2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Altınbaş Rakı</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1967</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tekirdağ Rakısı</td>
<td>Tekirdağ Rakısı</td>
<td></td>
<td>2000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tekirdağ Rakısı Altın Seri</td>
<td></td>
<td>2005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tekirdağ Rakısı Trakya Serisi</td>
<td></td>
<td>2009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tekirdağ No10</td>
<td></td>
<td>2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yekta Rakı</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Herdem İzmir Rakı</td>
<td>Herdem İzmir Sakızlı</td>
<td></td>
<td>2006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Herdem İzmir</td>
<td></td>
<td>2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Herdem İzmir Yaş Üzüm</td>
<td></td>
<td>unknown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Birader Rakı</td>
<td>Birader Rakı</td>
<td></td>
<td>2006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Birader Yaş Üzüm Rakısı</td>
<td></td>
<td>unknown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mest Rakı</td>
<td>Mest Sultaniye</td>
<td></td>
<td>2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mest Misket</td>
<td></td>
<td>2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mest Boğazkere</td>
<td></td>
<td>2008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kadim Rakı</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2009</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.2.4.2. Elda

Elda İççek ve Enerji Hizmetleri A.Ş. (hereinafter referred to as Elda) was established in 1994 by 500 entrepreneurs from İzmir and led by the Chairman of the
İzmir Chamber of Commerce Mr. Ekrem Demirtaş, and then obtained a permission to produce alcoholic beverages in 2002 (Efe Rakı, 2013b). At first, it marketed Efe Rakı in August 2004 in Germany, and then in Turkey. In a local periodical article titled “Our meals delight: Efe Rakı” in 2007, Egemen Demirtaş who is the CEO of Elda evaluated the radical change in rakı market as follows:

For years Tekel was the only player in the market, deeming any kind of marketing activity unnecessary. Competition increased as the number of players increased. We had to work on our marketing activities in order to gain the upper hand in this competitive environment. (Behramoğlu, 2007a)

Efe Rakı has a significant role in rakı history since it was the first private rakı brand in the industry. After Efe Rakı was launched in December 2004, its extensions and new brands were manufactured later (Table 2.2).

Table 2.2: Elda rakı brands (adapted from Irmak, 2011 and updated).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Manufacturer</th>
<th>Brand</th>
<th>Sort</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Elda</td>
<td>Efe Rakı</td>
<td>Efe Klasik</td>
<td>2004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Efe Yaş Üzüm</td>
<td>2005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Efe 3 Distile</td>
<td>2006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Efe Organik</td>
<td>2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Efe 5 Yıllık</td>
<td>2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sarı Zeybek</td>
<td>Sarı Zeybek</td>
<td></td>
<td>2005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Çilingir Xtra</td>
<td>Çilingir Xtra</td>
<td></td>
<td>2005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Çilingir Xtra Yaş Üzüm</td>
<td>unknown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1907 Rakı</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alem Rakı</td>
<td>Alem Rakı</td>
<td></td>
<td>2008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Alem Yaş Üzüm Rakısı</td>
<td>2008</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.2.4.3. Burgaz

Burgaz Alkolü İçkiler Sanayi ve Ticaret A.Ş. (hereinafter referred to as Burgaz) was established in Lüleburgaz, Kırklareli in 2004, and started the production of the brands Burgaz Rakı, Burgaz Yaş Üzüm Rakısı, Ata Rakı and Rakı Turka
Other Burgaz rakı brands were introduced into the market in time (Table 2.3).

Table 2.3: Burgaz rakı brands (adapted from Irmak, 2011 and updated).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Manufacturer</th>
<th>Brand</th>
<th>Sort</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Burgaz</td>
<td>Burgaz Rakı</td>
<td>Burgaz Rakı</td>
<td>2004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Burgaz Yaş Üzüm Rakısı</td>
<td>2005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Burgaz Klasik</td>
<td>2008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Burgaz Klasik Yaş Üzüm</td>
<td>2008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Burgaz Göbek Rakısı</td>
<td>unknown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ata Rakı</td>
<td>Ata Rakı</td>
<td>Ata Rakı</td>
<td>2005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Ata Yaş Üzüm Rakısı</td>
<td>2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>İki Tek Rakı</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rakı Turka</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2006</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In 2011, Burgaz was taken over by one of the competitors, Antalya Alkollü İçcecek Sanayi ve Ticaret A.Ş. (“Rekabet Kurulu’ndan vize çıktı, Burgaz Rakı Antalya’nın oldu,” 2011).

2.2.4.4. Tariş-Tat

Tariş-Tat Alkollü İçkiler Sanayi ve Ticaret A.Ş. (hereinafter referred to as Tariş-Tat) started to produce rakı in 2005 in Manisa as the fourth private rakı manufacturer in the rakı market (“Tariş-Koç venture suspends production,” 2008). It was the partnership of Tariş and Tat (a branch of Koç Group); grapes were provided by Tariş, while the marketing of brands were done by Koç Group.

There were three brands produced by Tariş-Tat: Mercan Rakı, Fasıl Rakı (or Fasıl Türk Rakısı in some sources) and Fasıl Yaş Üzüm Rakısı (Table 2.4). Whereas Mercan had an intense taste and a higher price, Fasıl Rakı was launched with a slogan “Turkish Rakı with smooth draught” and in a smoother taste with a reasonable price (Yalçın, 2006). Then, Fasıl Yaş Üzüm Rakısı which was produced from Sultaniye grapes (known as the most qualified seedless grape) was added to the brands (“Tariş-Tat’tan yaş üzüm rakısı,” 2006).
Table 2.4: Tariş-Tat rakı brands (adapted from Cartier & Akbulut, 2012 and updated).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Manufacturer</th>
<th>Brand</th>
<th>Sort</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tariş-Tat</td>
<td>Mercan Rakı</td>
<td>Mercan Rakı</td>
<td>2005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fasıl Rakı</td>
<td>Fasıl Rakı</td>
<td>2005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fasıl Yaş Üzüm Rakısı</td>
<td></td>
<td>2006</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

It was aimed to position Fasıl Rakı to the second place as following Yeni Rakı which is the market leader (Tosyalı, n.d.). However, sales did not go as planned and Tariş-Tat stopped the production of rakı in 2008.

2.2.4.5. Sarper

Sarper İçecek Sanayi ve Ticaret A.Ş. (hereinafter referred to as Sarper) which was a well-known company in tobacco sector started to produce rakı in Manisa Akhisar in 2007, as the sixth private company (Sarper, 2007). At first, Beylerbeyi Rakı was launched as a triple distilled rakı with a slogan, “rakı of gentlemen” (Molla, 2007), and then other brands were launched in time (Table 2.5). It must be told that Sarper also produces rakı for Kipa supermarkets, with the Kipa Ege Rakı brand.

Table 2.5: Sarper rakı brands (adapted from Irmak, 2011 and updated).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Manufacturer</th>
<th>Brand</th>
<th>Sort</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sarper</td>
<td>Beylerbeyi Rakı</td>
<td>Beylerbeyi Rakı</td>
<td>2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>BeylerbeyiYaş Üzüm Rakısı</td>
<td>2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Beylerbeyi Göbek Rakısı</td>
<td>2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Beyoğlu Rakı</td>
<td></td>
<td>2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Baba Rakı</td>
<td>unknown</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Keyf-i Ege Rakı</td>
<td>Keyf-i Ege Rakı</td>
<td>unknown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Keyf-i Ege Rakı Yaş Üzüm</td>
<td>unknown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Eko Rakı</td>
<td>unknown</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Kipa Ege Rakı</td>
<td>Kipa Ege Rakı</td>
<td>unknown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Kipa Ege Rakı Yaş Üzüm</td>
<td>unknown</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2.2.4.6. Antalya

Antalya Alkollü İçceek Sanayi ve Ticaret A.Ş. (hereinafter referred to as Antalya) was founded in 2005 by 32 businessmen from Antalya and they started to produce *Topkapı Rakı* brand in 2008 (Topkapı İçecek Dağıtım Pazarlama, n.d.). After that many rakı, liquor, gin and vodka brands were added to production line of the company (Table 2.6). Besides Topkapı Rakı, *Abbas Rakı* and *7 Rakı* extensions, Antalya started to produce Burgaz brands, after the takeover of Burgaz in 2011 (“Rekabet Kurulu’ndan vize çıktı, Burgaz Rakı ‘Antalya’nın oldu,” 2011).

Table 2.6: Antalya rakı brands (adapted from Irmak, 2011 and updated).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Manufacturer</th>
<th>Brand</th>
<th>Sort</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Antalya</td>
<td>Topkapı Rakı</td>
<td>Topkapı Rakı</td>
<td>2008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Topkapı Rakı Yaş Üzüm</td>
<td>2008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Topkapı Mir Rakı</td>
<td>2008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abbas Rakı</td>
<td>Abbas Rakı</td>
<td>2008</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Abbas Yaş Üzüm Rakısı</td>
<td>2008</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 Rakı</td>
<td>7 Rakı</td>
<td>2008</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7 Rakı Yaş Üzüm</td>
<td>unknown</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.2.4.7. Anadolu

Anadolu Alkollü Alkolsüz İçceekler İthalat İhracat Sanayi ve Ticaret Ltd. Şti. (hereinafter referred to as Anadolu) was producing rakı in Manisa since 2006, and became partner with Livadi Group in 2013 (Anadolu Alkollü İçceekler, 2014). Anadolu rakı brands can be seen in Table 2.7.

Table 2.7: Anadolu rakı brands (adapted from Irmak, 2011 and updated).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Manufacturer</th>
<th>Brand</th>
<th>Sort</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Anadolu</td>
<td>Anadolu Rakı</td>
<td>Anadolu Rakı</td>
<td>2008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Anadolu Yaş Üzüm Rakısı</td>
<td>2009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Anadolu Rakı Sıfır Seri</td>
<td>2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sohbet Rakı</td>
<td>Sohbet Rakı</td>
<td>2008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rakı 2000</td>
<td></td>
<td>2008</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2.2.4.8. Alcosan

Alcosan İçcekle Sanayi ve Ticaret Limited Şirketi (hereinafter referred to as Alcosan) was established in Manisa, under the umbrella of Sezer Holding (Sezer Holding, 2015). Following vodka and liquor, rakı was produced and marketed in 2013. Alcosan rakı brands which are adapted from Wikipedia can be seen from Table 2.8 (“Saki Rakı,” n.d.).

Table 2.8: Alcosan rakı brands.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Manufacturer</th>
<th>Brand</th>
<th>Sort</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alcosan</td>
<td>Sâki Rakı</td>
<td>Sâki Klasik Rakı</td>
<td>2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Sâki Yaş Üzüm Rakısi</td>
<td>2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Sâki Siyah Üzüm Rakısı</td>
<td>2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Sâki Rakı Altın Seri</td>
<td>2013</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.2.4.9. Neva

Neva Alkollü İçkiler Sanayi ve Ticaret A.Ş. (hereinafter referred to as Neva) was established by six businessmen in Manisa in 2013 and started to produce rakı (Omur, 2013). Following the brand Demlen Rakı, they also produced Sırdem Rakı and its fresh grape extension (Table 2.9) besides vodka and liquor brands.

Table 2.9: Neva rakı brands.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Manufacturer</th>
<th>Brand</th>
<th>Sort</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Neva</td>
<td>Demlen Rakı</td>
<td></td>
<td>2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sırdem Rakı</td>
<td></td>
<td>2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Sırdem Yaş Üzüm Rakısi</td>
<td>unknown</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.2.4.10. Hürol

Hürol İçcekek Tarım Gıda İnşaat Taahhüt Sanayi ve Ticaret Limited Şirketi (hereinafter referred to as Hürol) was producing rakı in Dinar, Afyon, and it was estimated that it started to production in last year, 2014 based on a project report
prepared by Enpark Çevre Enerji Maden Müh. Dan. ve Müşavirlik (2013). Hürol rakı brands can be seen in Table 2.10.

Table 2.10: Hürol rakı brands.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Manufacturer</th>
<th>Brand</th>
<th>Sort</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hürol</td>
<td>Rakı 34</td>
<td>Rakı 34</td>
<td>2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Rakı 34 Yaş Üzüm Rakısı</td>
<td>2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yeniçeri Rakısı</td>
<td>Yeniçeri Rakısı</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Yeniçeri Rakısı</td>
<td>2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yedikule Rakı</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2014</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.3. Glass Packaging Designs for Rakı

When rakı packaging designs in history were investigated, it is observed that the differentiation of glass packages was achieved through graphic design. However, there are also remarkable structural design examples to present. Besides these, it is also possible to see some examples of packaging designs from brands’ advertisements in that period. In order to present a clear understanding, glass packaging designs were classified according to the time period they belong to.

2.3.1. Glass Packaging Designs for Rakı in Early History

While the structural design of rakı glass packaging was not considered as a differentiation tool for brands due to having no competition in the rakı market in the early history, it was seen that label design was used mostly in order to differentiate from competitors.

At the beginning of the 20th century, only two brands used sealed glass packages, and the other ones were sold in containers in several sizes and unsealed glass packages (E. Zat, 2012). Stating that the main reason of the other brands not preferring the sealed glass packages was the high cost, E. Zat explains that it changed in the first quarter of 20th century, and the brands generated a deposit system to reuse the glass packages (2012). Therefore, old style containers such as carboys (damacana) and and pot (testi) were used for years for distribution of rakı in this deposit system.
To illustrate glass packaging design examples in history, the label designs of duziko brands is indicated at first. As mentioned in the previous section, duziko (straight raki) became popular in the early 20th century, and there were many duziko brands not only in the Ottoman market, but also in the foreign market such as Kızkülesi in (Figure 2.4.) which was marketed in Germany as well (E. Zat, 2012). As can be seen in Figure 2.5, one Ottoman waiter who wears a fez is serving raki, with a mosque silhouette at the background in the “Mystique of the East” duziko brand, while Kızkülesi brand uses directly the figure of Kızkülesi.

Figure 2.4: Kızkülesi brand label (reproduced from E. Zat, 2012).

Figure 2.5: The “Mystique of the East” brand label (reproduced from E. Zat, 2012).

Figure 2.6: Elif Raki (reproduced from E. Zat, 2012).
In 1910, *Elif Rakı* (Figure 2.6) was produced by a Greek businessman, Konstantino Georgiadis and became popular; then, he produced another brand *Ağa Rakı* (Figure 2.7) which targeted low-income consumers (E. Zat, 2012).

![Image](http://zevkerbabi.blogspot.com.tr/2010/05/aliyul-ala-arak-turki-hususi-le.html)

Figure 2.7: Two different labels for Ağa Rakı (reproduced and adapted from E. Zat, 2012).

According to E. Zat (2012), after the establishment of the state institution of Alcohol and Alcoholic Drinks of Turkey, *Aliyü Alâ Arakı Türki Hususi* was produced as a prestigious rakı with an original glass packaging design which was noted as an example of Ottoman Turkish and French printed in gold leaf on its label. However, it was renamed as *Hususi Aliyü Alâ Rakı* after the language reforms, and then *Hususi Alâ Rakı* (Figure 2.8) was produced as another type, and in the 1930s, the brand *Hususi Fevkalâde Rakı* (Figure 2.9 and 2.10) started to be sold as a reasonable-priced rakı. These brands were produced in Paşabahçe Factory.

![Image](http://zevkerbabi.blogspot.com.tr/2010/05/aliyul-ala-arak-turki-hususi-le.html)

Figure 2.8: Hususi Alâ Rakı label (retrieved from: http://zevkerbabi.blogspot.com.tr/2010/05/aliyul-ala-arak-turki-hususi-le.html).
As mentioned before, Atatürk had sent many gifts to an American foreign policy journalist and these gifts included several antique raki brands. Stating that it was quite hard to find original and unopened glass packages and labels, E. Zat (2012) expresses that labels were mostly designed in both Ottoman and French since Latin alphabet had not been in use before 1928 and this made them rare pieces. He describes one of the elegant gifts, Üzüm Kızı glass packaging design (Figure 2.11), as “a masterpiece of the raki aesthetic” due to its conical glass package and “a thinly clad woman with a daringly low bust line for the place and time, sitting on a bunch of grapes” (E. Zat, 2012). As can be seen in Figure 2.12, it was a quite brave advertisement in accordance with the period’s conditions and there is a woman on each grape berry. Yalçın described the woman in the advertisement in his column as reminding of “the Freedom” painting created by Delacroix, and using eroticism very bravely (Yalçın, 2008).
One of the popular brands in the 1930s, Çavuş Rakı (Figure 2.13) was produced by Mihail Zarakosta in his manufacturing plant in Galata (E. Zat, 2012).
As seen in Figure 2.14, another brand of this period, Efendi Rakı had a design which reminds of water flasks (Rakı Ansiklopedisi, 2010).

Another well-known brand of the period, Dimitrakopulo Rakı (Figure 2.15) was produced in the late 1920s; however, it continued as a wine brand after the monopolization of rakı production. Latterly the Aral Family bought the company and carried on the business, when its Greek owners had migrated to Greece (Löle, 2009). E. Zat also mentions about the interesting promotions by Dimitrakopulo Brothers such as pocket mirror and coaster. It shows that the brand had used promotions strategies to compete with other brands in the early history.

Figure 2.15: Dimitrakopulo Rakısı glass packaging (retrieved on December 15, 2014, from: http://www.msa.com.tr/kampus/muze-galeri/1/demetrakolpulo-biraderlerin-doz-rakisi.aspx#img).

Another example to the brands that used this strategy was Bilecik Rakası. Besides being also known as “King of Rakı”, E. Zat (2012) points out that it was a luxury brand produced by Istepan Berberyan who was an Armenian businessman from Bilecik, and famous with its own beach umbrellas manufactured as a promotion (Figure 2.16). Although its alcohol content was 50%, it was known as a pleasure-draught drink since it was produced from Çeşme aniseed and aged later; however, its production was ended with the state monopoly (Rakı Ansiklopedisi, 2010).
Baküs Rakı was one of the leader brands in the luxury rakı category containing 50% alcohol, and had an eye-catching and elegant glass package (Figure 2.17) mentioned by E. Zat; it was a well-known brand because of its racist language used in the advertisements against Non-Muslims and its suggestion to use Turkish products with stressing Turkish nationality, in order to differentiate from others (2012). However, it had once been produced in French-Greek name, Douziko Bacchus which is ironic (E. Zat, 2012), and one of the advertisements of Baküs Rakı can be seen in Figure 2.18.

Besides these, it is also possible to see various glass packaging designs used for rakı in the early history in Figure 2.19. Although the label designs of most are not possible to recognize, it is seen that brands generally used the similar glass packages for containing rakı within.
Figure 2.17: Baküs Raki glass packaging (adapted from E. Zat, 2012).

Figure 2.18: Baküş Raki advertisement (retrieved from: http://buyukkeyif.com/etiketler/bakus-raki).

Figure 2.19: Various raki brands’ glass packaging from the early history (adapted from E. Zat, 2012).
In Figure 2.20, seven labels of some popular rakı brands of the period are presented.

Figure 2.20: Various rakı brands’ labels (adapted from Rakı Ansiklopedisi, 2010).
İhap Hulusi Görey (1898-1986) worked 35 years for Tekel, and the well-known label design of Kulüp Rakısı was created by him (“Biyografi,” 2009). He illustrated two elegant men who wear bowties and tuxedos, and drink raki at a table. One of the men was himself, while the other one was his close friend Fazıl Ahmet Aykaç (F. Doğruel & S. Doğruel, 2000). However, the label of Kulüp Rakısı was modified by Tekel for several times, as seen in Figure 2.21 as detailed, while Figure 2.22 provides to see its glass packages with its new name, Kulüp Raki, changed by Tekel.
As mentioned earlier, a new period started with the beginning of the state monopoly, Tekel. Irmak (2011) states about the general dynamics of this period that a packaging need emerged as a tool for preserving the product inside, rather than packaging design, and the industry was developing newly. The state took the lead in industrialization, and set a budget for design works. This reflected to alcoholic beverage sector and graphic designers were hired for label designs.

After the launch in 1944, the label design of Yeni Rakı was changed several times, and it was marketed in different glass packages. Nilay Yılmaz, a graphic designer who worked for Tekel between 1977-1998, states that when a new person started to manage Yeni Rakı brand, s/he changed the label design of Yeni Rakı firstly (personal communication, December 25, 2014). Yılmaz explained about the light blue label of Yeni Rakı, which is also the last label design of the Tekel period: “I started the label design off with ‘the lion’s milk’ word, and took reference from a winged lion motif that I saw on a belt buckle which belongs to the Seljuks” and added that the lion figure designed seemed a female lion a bit, and so it was modified to become more masculine by herself and Prof. Dr. Sinan Baykurt, and in 1988 the light blue label was launched.

Stating that the gray lines on the label are silver-gilt, Yılmaz indicates the reason of its simplicity and not being gold-gilt as its reasonable price when compared to the labels of Altınbaş Rakı and Kulüp Rakı. She defines Yeni Rakı as a cheap and masculine drink that is consumed by everyone (Yılmaz, personal communication, December 29, 2014). She added that this design was not selected at first when asked to consumers, and Tekel decided not to change the existing one, and sustained the claret red and gold gilded label launched in 1983.

It can be seen in the Figure 2.23, the last glass packaging design of Yeni Rakı before the privatization in 2004 was with a light blue label. The label was designed to reflect the color change in rakı when added water, the whitening, as also declared by Yılmaz (personal communication, December 29, 2014).
In this period, there was another rakı brand produced in the same year with Yeni Rakı: İyi Rakı (Figure 2.24 and Figure 2.25). According to V. Zat, it was launched with a reasonable price; however, it was not preferred by consumers and so, its production was stopped in the 1950s (as cited in Rakı Ansiklopedisi, 2010).
The second graphic designer mentioned by Irmak (2011) was Atıf Tuna who started to work for Tekel in 1945. He designed the labels of Tek Rakı (Figure 2.26) and Altınbaş Rakı (Figure 2.28). Altınbaş Rakı was planned to be the highest quality and the top brand of the rakı category in 1967 symbolizing the power, the superior quality and the selectivity (Rakı Ansiklopedisi, 2010). The label of Altınbaş Rakı was designed by Atıf Tuna and then its glass package in Figure 2.28 was produced in Paşabahçe glass manufacturer. Besides that, he also designed another label design (Figure 2.27) for glass packaging of Tek Rakı which was sold as mastika until 1975 (Rakı Ansiklopedisi, 2010).
Figure 2.26: Tek Rakı label design (adapted from: Irmak, 2011).

Figure 2.27: Tek Rakı glass packaging (adapted from: Rakı Ansiklopedisi, 2010).

Figure 2.28: Altınbaş Rakı glass packaging (Image courtesy of Ümit Başyazgan Collection).

Figure 2.29: Altınbaş Rakı label design (adapted from: F. Doğruel & S. Doğruel, 2000).
The last rakı brand launched by Tekel, Tekirdağ Rakısı was designed by Oya Akman and Emre Günal in 2000 (Figure 2.30).

![Figure 2.30: Tekirdağ Rakısı glass packaging, designed in 2000 (Image courtesy of Ümit Başyazgan Collection).](image)

In the following section, glass packaging designs for rakı which were introduced into the Turkish market after 2004 are presented.

2.3.2. Glass Packaging Designs for Rakı Introduced into the Turkish Market after the Privatization in 2004

As declared earlier, in order to increase competition in market, government left the monopoly structure of alcoholic division, and it was the beginning of a new era for private rakı manufacturers, packaging manufacturers and designers. Today there are more than 70 brands in the rakı market although it is not possible to see all of them in one place. They differentiate from each other with not only their taste but also their packaging designs. As a simple example, whereas some of them target women with a tall and slim form of the package, some of them want to have a modern image with cornered form (Çelebi, 2008). Companies give attention to their glass packaging designs since they understood its significance in the competitive market, and so they take design solutions from local design sources or prefer to use foreign design sources.
Packaging for raki has also a financial significance; according to GİSDER, 94.3 million USD was used just for packaging including glass package, label, cap and other packaging materials by 2013 (n.d.a).

For this section, glass packaging designs for raki introduced into the Turkish market in the post-2004 period were categorized based on their companies. While presenting the glass packaging designs, unfortunately, some of them were not presented below, because some of them were not available in the market at the time of the study, and did not have any images available on the internet. In addition to this, designer information of some, again, was not available and it was not possible to learn through contacting companies due to either the companies or brands not being available anymore or that they did not want to share any information. If a company does not have design source information for its all brands, the glass packages of that company were given together with other companies’ glass packages with no design source information.

2.3.2.1. Glass Packaging Designs of Mey Brands

After the state monopoly Tekel was ended, many private companies emerged and launched their brands after working on their glass packages and labels; and this caused Mey to consider packaging design as a marketing strategy. According to Irmak (2011), one of the reasons of this was also the previous marketing director of Mey, Cihan Kırımlı, who has a design education background.

Kırımlı says that Tekel was using the same three glass packages which were bought from Şişe Cam (the biggest glass manufacturer, mentioned previously as Paşabahçe which is a sub-brand of Şişe Cam now) for all kinds of products (as cited in Irmak, 2011). He also points out that packaging design is one of the most important tools for alcoholic beverage marketing strategies, since the liquid form of raki takes the shape of its container which is glass package. Then, he stresses that with the impact of the restrictions on communication in the alcoholic beverage marketing, packaging gains a more important role; therefore, this was the main reason behind Mey to give importance to packaging design and use as a strategy. After investigating the brands which consumers mostly preferred, the brands to redesign were specified, and started with Yeni Rakı which was seen as the most critical one due to its history. After that,
it was seen that consumers found glass packaging of Yeni Rakı as classic and not having a unique form; so, Mey determined to redesign it and prepared a detailed brief. Stating that the most significant issue stressed in the brief was “a raki packaging design”, not “Yeni Rakı glass packaging design”; Kırımlı points out that the new design would give its character to raki, by giving vodka, wine and gin glass packaging design examples (as cited in Irmak, 2011).

The glass packaging of Yeni Rakı (Figure 2.31) was redesigned by Gamze Güven and Mete Ahıska in 2004, after a design competition organized by Mey. Yorgancıoğlu stresses that the redesign of Yeni Rakı glass packaging was a revolutionary and risky move but it was resulted with achievement at the end (Yorgancıoğlu, 2013). The design was defined as follows:

**Strong but modest, marked by a thin waist, making the bottle easy to hold while giving it an elegant appearance. The simple graphic design and the transparent and label-free view highlight the translucency of the product. Preservation of the brand’s unpretentious aesthetic was the most important design criteria. At last, Yeni Rakı takes its place at the head of the table in a more aesthetic and modern bottle while preserving its familiar and welcome look. (Superbrands Türkiye, 2005)**

Yeni Rakı glass packaging design is seen as an example for an exotic form which is used to “reflect the local color while the materials, production techniques and the aesthetics of these objects relate to a modern or Western context qualifying as a cross-cultural design” (Emgin, 2007). The structural design of Yeni Rakı glass packaging latterly used for its extensions, as seen in Figure 2.31.

In 2013, graphic design of Yeni Rakı was redesigned by Landor Associates Dubai in 2013 in order to remind consumers of its being the best and the leader in the rakı category (Landor Associates, 2014). Besides stressing its being “an icon of Turkish culture and a powerful symbol of tradition”, it was described as a representation of “truth, sincerity, sharing, togetherness, and uniting the generations”. In this new design (Figure 2.32), its heritage which includes stories and craftsmanship of rakı peculiar to Turkish culture was reflected through an illustration that depicts local ingredients and the distillation process of rakı (Landor Associates, 2014).

![Figure 2.32: Yeni Rakı graphic design by Landor Agency (retrieved and adapted from: http://www.wearealive.me/yeni-raki--wearealive!.html).](image)

For Yeni Rakı Yeni Seri brand, Pearlfisher which is a design agency from England is responsible for the graphic design in Figure 2.31 (The Dieline, 2010).
Kulüp Rakı glass packaging design (Figure 2.33) was redesigned by Oya Akman in 2007 (MediaCat, 2007) and its label which was designed by İhap Hulusi Görey was maintained.

For Altınbaş Rakı, the calligraphic gold logo was designed by Atıf Tuna and its existing glass packaging were maintained for its historical value, while redesigning it to an innovative, modern and strong character in 2010 (“Altınbaş Rakı - Rakı Şişesi Ambalaji,” n.d.). Starting transparent from the base in order to show the pure color of rakı within, it was painted to organic black color as degraded, and so it reflected the distinctive taste of rakı which comes from containing 50% alcohol. Gamze Güven and Kayhan Erdeğirmencı are the responsible designers for Altınbaş Rakı glass packaging design as seen in Figure 2.33.

Mest Rakı (Figure 2.34) was known as “the first monosepaj rakı” which has a meaning of being produced from a single type of grape. In an article, it was expressed by Yorgancıoğlu that Mest Rakı preserves the smooth taste and aroma of the grape used, and so they aimed to reflect this distinctive feature to its packaging design (“Tek üzümle rakı yaptık, kadınlar ‘Mest’ olacak,” 2007). In another article, its target consumer group was declared as women and young consumers between 20-30 years old, and its glass packaging design was created in France as “slender blue-
and-white” with a cork stopper, instead of a usual cap like other rakı brands (“Red grape rakı on the shelves”, 2008).

Figure 2.34: Mey brands’ glass packaging designs I (Image courtesy of Ümit Başyazgan Collection). From left to right: Mest Rakı Sultanıye, Herdem İzmir, Herdem İzmir Sakızlı, Herdem İzmir Yaş Üzüm.

Figure 2.35: Mey brands’ glass packaging designs II (Image courtesy of Ümit Başyazgan Collection). From left to right: Kadim Rakı, Yekta Rakı, Birader Rakı, Birader Yaş Üzüm Rakısi.
Glass packaging of Tekirdağ Rakısı was also redesigned; Gamze Güven designed its glass packaging, and İpek Torun worked for its graphics. All extensions of Tekirdağ Rakısı were redesigned to maintain a family resemblance, as seen in Figure 2.36. It was mentioned to have superior quality, prestige and luxury image which was achieved through its sandblasted glass and gold leaf printing of the logo, and all other graphic design elements. The glass packaging was defined in an explanation published by Tasarmüssü as follows:

The innovative bottle design has been achieved by the transparent (not sandblasted) elliptical cavities which support a user comfort by leading to easily handling and a firm grip as well as a sophisticated production technique. Different from its culture, on purposely with this design, “raki” drink has succeeded to become a part of the bars and nightclubs like the prestigious whiskey or vodka brands”. (“Gamze Güven DDN final,” n.d.)

Yeni Rakı Beykoz was designed for the celebration of İstanbul-2010 Europe Capital of Culture, by Gamze Güven and Fahriye Özbey (“Yeni Rakı Beykoz,” n.d.). For its graphic design, Fahriye Özbey created various motifs, and three of these gold motifs (Figure 2.37) were hand painted on 50000 glass packages. The reason of using Beykoz glass decors on design was related with Yeni Rakı which was filled in Beykoz factory in the past, and Beykoz was one of the regions where the art of glass developed. Again, the same structural design of Yeni Rakı glass packaging was used.

In 2014, two new brands were launched (Figure 2.38): Yeni Rakı Rastgele and Tekirdağ No10. Glass packaging designs for these two brands were created by Gamze Güven and her design office, as revealed in the portfolio in the website of Tasarmüssü (Tasarmüssü, 2014).

Mey also redesigns the graphics of its brands for limited editions or exports. As seen in Figure 2.39, graphic design of Yeni Rakı was redesigned for several times with specific themes: New Year, opening of fish season, Kapadokya and so on.
Figure 2.38: Yeni Rakı Rastgele and Tekirdağ No10 glass packaging designs (Image courtesy of Ümit Başyazgan Collection).

Figure 2.39: Yeni Rakı graphic redesigns for limited editions (Image courtesy of Ümit Başyazgan Collection).
Besides these limited editions, it is also possible to see old glass packaging designs from the early history on store shelves. Described as nostalgia series, 45 Derecelik Yeni Rakı was launched in 2012 as a limited edition which maintains its graphics design as in 1950s (Figure 2.40).

![45 Derecelik Yeni Rakı, nostalgia series](http://www.dijimecmua.com/collection/7995/index/1460681_yeni-raki-yani-raki-sise-koleksiyonu-nostaljik-sizeler/)

Figure 2.40: 45 Derecelik Yeni Rakı, nostalgia series (retrieved and adapted from: http://www.dijimecmua.com/collection/7995/index/1460681_yeni-raki-yani-raki-sise-koleksiyonu-nostaljik-sizeler/).

### 2.3.2.2. Glass Packaging Designs of Elda Brands

Graphics of Efe Klasik, Efe Yaş Üzüm, Efe 3 Distile, Efe 5 Yıllık, Sarı Zeybek Rakı and 1907 Rakı were designed by Taylor International Brand which is a British design agency, as declared by Tuncay Yalçınkaya who works as a designer for Elda (personal communication, December 1, 2014). He also pointed that secondary packaging designs were created in the internal design department of the company.

Although Efe brand extensions share the same structural design of glass packaging, they vary with their graphics designs, as can be seen in Figure 2.41.
For glass packaging design of Sarı Zeybek, it was inspired by Seljuk motifs and it had a luxurious capsule which looks similar to the ones used in Scotch whisky boxes, and it can be given as a gift, as mentioned in a newspaper article titled “Rakıcılar bölünecek!” (Yalçın, 2006).

1907 Rakı was marketed as a limited edition rakı brand for the celebration of 100th anniversary of Fenerbahçe Sports Club in 2007 containing fresh grape rakı inside (İzmir, 2007). Therefore, it was designed in the colors of club while the same structural design was used (Figure 2.42).
Lastly, Efe 5 Yıllık was marketed with a limited number as it was aged in barrels for 5 years and planned not only as a drink on the raki table but also as an aperitif drink like whisky or cognac as seen in Figure 2.43 (Kara, 2013). Its distinctive label was designed by Taylor International Brand, and the clear-cut glass bottle was brought from France. This column also contains comments of several raki authorities about the glass packaging design of Efe 5 Yıllık. To illustrate, it was found similar to whisky bottle and Vefa Zat expresses that conventional raki drinkers do not like radical changes, and although the whisky bottle appearance is not appropriate for raki, Efe 5 Yıllık generates a new presentation style. On the other hand, a restaurant owner explains that since raki is not purchased only by conventional raki drinkers and only in the boundaries of Turkey; therefore, he supports the innovative ideas for presentation of raki (Kara, 2013).
2.3.2.3. Glass Packaging Designs of Burgaz Brands

In 2004, glass packaging for Burgaz Rakı was marketed firstly with a different graphic and structural design than the existing one today; the graphics of glass packaging was redesigned by Orhan Irmak in 2006 (Figure 2.44). Then, the structural design of glass packaging was changed; however, it still maintains the graphic design which is created by Irmak, as can be seen in Figure 2.45. Other brands’ glass packaging designs can be seen in Figure 2.45 and Figure 2.46.
Figure 2.44: The first glass packaging design and the redesigned glass packaging for Burgaz Rakı by Orhan Irmak (Image courtesy of Orhan Irmak Design Archive).

Figure 2.45: Burgaz brands' glass packaging designs I (Image courtesy of Ümit Başyazgan Collection). From left to right: Burgaz Klasik Yaş Üzüm Rakısı, Burgaz Klasik Rakı, Burgaz Yaş Üzüm Rakısı, Burgaz Rakı, Burgaz Göbek Rakısı.

Concerning designs, it must be mentioned that Burgaz used same glass package for other rakı brands and products as well. The structural design of Burgaz Rakı was
previously used for Ata Rakı (Figure 2.47); then it was changed to the current one (Figure 2.46). Secondly, the structural design of Rakı Turka glass packaging was also used for another product, Burgaz Vodka.

Figure 2.46: Burgaz brands’ glass packaging designs II (Image courtesy of Ümit Başyazgan Collection). From left to right: Ata Rakı, Ata Yaş Üzüm Rakısı, İki Tek Rakı and Rakı Turka.

Figure 2.47: The first glass packaging of Ata Rakı (retrieved from: http://www.cnnturk.com/2004/ekonomi/sirketler/12/20/ata.raki.yilbasinda.piyasada/59534.0/index.html).
2.3.2.4. Glass Packaging Designs of Sarper Brands

As explained by a company employee, a Dutch design firm was hired for the structural design and the label design (Nagihan Bulut, personal communication, December 12, 2014). Although the name of design firm was not shared on purpose by company, it is available from the website of design firm and open to the public. While graphic design and strategy for Beylerbeyi Rakı extensions (Figure 2.48) belongs to KesselsKramer which is a communication agency, structural design of the glass package was designed by Tjep, an Amsterdam based design firm (Tjep, n.d.).

![Figure 2.48: Sarper brands’ glass packaging designs I (Image courtesy of Ümit Başyazgan Collection). From left to right: Beylerbeyi Yaş Üzüm Rakısı, Beylerbeyi Rakı, Beylerbeyi Göbek Rakısı, Beyoğlu Rakı, and Beyoğlu Rakısı.](image)

As seen in Figure 2.48, Beyoğlu Rakı was redesigned, and the brand name is appearing as Beyoğlu Rakısı on its new label. Glass packaging design for other brands of Sarper can be seen in Figure 2.49. As easily recognized, they all use same glass packaging with slightly different graphics, but Baba Rakı and Eko Rakı differs from others in their labels.
Figure 2.49: Sarper brands’ glass packaging designs II (Image courtesy of Ümit Başyazgan Collection). From left to right: Keyf-i Ege Rakı Yaş Üzüm, Keyf-i Ege Rakı, Baba Rakı, Kipa Ege Rakı, Kipa Ege Rakı Yaş Üzüm and Eko Rakı.

2.3.2.5. Glass Packaging Designs of Antalya Brands

Although there is not any information about the designer of Abbas Rakı and 7 Rakı glass packaging designs, Topkapı Rakı (Figure 2.50) was designed by Eda Yılmaz who works for Anadolu Cam (one of the major glass packaging producers in Turkey) as an industrial designer (“Topkapı Rakı,” n.d.). As indicated in the description for Design Turkey 2010 Awards, Topkapı Rakı glass package was designed to have a classic but innovative form inspiring by Topkapı Palace. It also shows that a mass-produced glass can be used as an elegant design example in spite of all problems faced with in the design, manufacturing, filling, printing, marketing and sales processes (“Topkapı Rakı,” n.d.).
Figure 2.50: Topkapı Rakı family glass packaging designs (retrieved and adapted from: http://topkapi.playground.frumatic.com/). From left to right: Topkapı Mir Rakı, Topkapı Rakı and Topkapı Rakı Yaş Üzüm.

Figure 2.51: Abbas Rakı brands’ glass packaging designs (retrieved and adapted from: http://topkapiicecek.en.ecplaza.net/product.asp).

Figure 2.52: 7 Rakı glass packaging design (retrieved and adapted from Antalya Alkolü İçce, n.d.).
Another brand of Antalya, 7 Rakı is only produced in 100 cl glass package for marketing to on trade consumers which are hotels and restaurants in touristic regions, as explained in the company website (Antalya Alkolü İçecek, n.d.). The brands of Burgaz belong to Antalya now; therefore, they were not indicated here since they were presented earlier.

2.3.2.6. Glass Packaging Designs of Anadolu Brands

As declared by Çağıl İmre who is a member of board and the daughter of the founder of Anadolu, glass packaging designs of Anadolu rakı brands (Figure 2.53) belong to Rubin Investment JSC, a glass manufacturer in Bulgaria (personal communication, December 15, 2014). She explained that they work with an advertising agency from İzmir, Konseptiz Advertising Agency and Brand Consultancy for all graphic design works.

Figure 2.53: Anadolu brands’ glass packaging designs (retrieved and adapted from: http://foto.bilgibende.com/raki_resimleri/21289). From left to right: Anadolu Rakı, Anadolu Rakı Yaş Üzüm, Rakı 2000 and Sohbet Rakı.
2.3.2.7. Other Companies’ Glass Packages with No Design Source Information

**Tariş-Tat.** As mentioned before, Tariş-Tat launched three brands: Mercan Rakı, Fasıl Rakı and Fasıl Yaş Üzüm Rakısı. Glass packaging designs of brands can be seen below in Figure 2.54; however, there is not any information about their designers.

![Figure 2.54: Tariş-Tat brands’ glass packaging designs (Image courtesy of Ümit Başyazgan Collection). From left to right: Mercan Rakı, Fasıl Rakı, Fasıl Yaş Üzüm Rakısı.](image)

Mercan Rakı was planned to target conventional rakı consumer, and so the company took the conventional rakı consumer into consideration for each stage of production and at the end a classic rakı was produced. Consumers would find anything they wanted by drinking Mercan Rakı, but the hardest part was persuading them to drink this brand (Seyhan, 2005).

**Alcosan.** Alcosan brands which are Saki Siyah Üzüm Rakısı, Saki Rakı Altın Seri, Saki Rakı Yaş Üzüm and Saki Rakı can be seen in Figure 2.55.
Figure 2.55: Alcosan brands’ glass packaging designs (Image courtesy of Ümit Başyazgan Collection). From left to right: Saki Rakı Siyah Üzüm Rakısı, Saki Rakı Altın Seri, Saki Rakı Yaş Üzüm, Saki Rakı.

Neva. Glass packaging designs of Neva brands which are Demlen Rakı, Sırdem Rakı and its fresh grape extension can be seen in Figure 2.56.

Figure 2.56: Neva brands’ glass packaging designs (Image courtesy of Ümit Başyazgan Collection). From left to right: Demlen Rakı, Sırdem Rakı 70cl, Sırdem Rakı 100cl, Sırdem Yaş Üzüm Rakısı.
Hürol. It is possible to see glass packaging designs of Hürol brands in the Figure 2.57. While green and blue degraded glass packages were used for Yeniçeri Rakı and Rakı 34, Yedikule Rakı glass packaging is available in market with a sandblasted texture.

![Figure 2.57: Hürol brands' glass packaging designs (Image courtesy of Ümit Başyazgan Collection). From left to right: Rakı 34 Yaş Üzüm Rakısı, Rakı 34, Yedikule Rakı, Yeniçeri Yaş Üzüm Rakısı, Yeniçeri Rakı.](image)

2.4. Glasses and the Karafaki as Accoutrements of Rakı

Rakı is mainly consumed in a tall, cylindrical glass which has 18 cl volume. For many years, consumers drank their rakıs in the only type of glass and therefore this cylindrical glass is matched with rakı by consumers. The main reason of rakı’s being matched with cylindrical glass is actually a historical habit. In those times, there was only one glass manufacturer, Paşabahçe and the only mass produced glass was lemonade glass which was cylindrical and tall. Since every drinking house bought these glasses, rakı was served in them; and so, consumers got used to see their rakıs in these glasses.
It is important to mention that raki glasses are called as goblet (kadeh in Turkish) which is used for drinking any alcoholic beverage in general. Before using cylindrical glasses, there were plenty of glasses types used for drinking raki in history. In the Ottoman period, small and fragile glasses, nightingale glass (bülbülü in Turkish) were used for drinking raki. It is also possible to see these glasses in Figure 2.58.

In the beginning of the 20th century, stork’s neck (Leylekboynu in Turkish and also called as Bade and Tiryaki, which are similar to each other) which was one of the popular glasses, was two times greater in volume when compared to another glass used, Yüksük (E. Zat, 2012). Its shape is frustum of cone, and its base is narrower than its mouth. In addition, tek kadehi (single goblet) and cur’adan were used as tiny shot glasses, like yuksük. The main reason of using small glasses was related with its being cold in snow in past which could cause a loss of flavor and aroma. These all can be seen in Figure 2.58.

Figure 2.58: Various raki glasses (retrieved and adapted from: http://buyukkeyif.com/dunden-bugune-raki-kadehleri/9670). From left to right: Bülbülü, Bade, Bade with a slightly different form, Leylekboynu, Tek kadehi, Yüksük, Cur’adan and modern raki glass.

According to E. Zat, classic meyhanes obtained a new dining style with the westernization (2012). Stating that while porcelain plates, services, table cloths were used, he adds that karafaki which was a small raki pitcher was seen as the “final touch” since it was identified with raki completely. Karafaki was mentioned as a cultural synthesis, like raki; because the word karaf (carafe) comes from the French, whereas the suffix –aki comes from the Greek. E. Zat adds that there were various
karafaki used which were “designed as aesthetic objects with a distinct character” (2012). Besides that, he explains that there was also another piece, snow bowl (karlık in Turkish), designed for holding snow to cool the raki glasses; and, when all these accoutrements come together in a service set, it is defined as a harmonious design. Nevertheless, the usage of snow bowls ended in the late 19th century due to the invention of electricity which caused the development of cooler technology (E. Zat, 2012).

![Figure 2.59: A modern karafaki used by a brand: The ehlikeyif (retrieved and adapted from: http://buyukkeyif.com/gunun-bilgisi-ehlikeyif/5077).](image)

Today, E. Zat reported that Paşabahçe glass manufacturer produces modern designs in order to “meet the needs of raki culture, putting an end to the monotony of the cylindrical glass with the presentation of a variety of new glass design in various size”, and these carry either nostalgic or neo-classical cues (2012). He gives a modern but also nostalgic karafaki example as the ehlikeyif which means “one devoted to pleasure”, in Figure 2.59.

Recent times, brands prefer to apply same design on raki glasses which were used mostly as cylindrical and straight for years. They either adapt the graphic design of glass packaging on these glasses (Figure 2.60) or use several cues from form of the design (Figure 2.61 and Figure 2.62). Moreover, these cues are also applied on other
accoutrements of rakı, concerning a promotion strategy, and given to specific restaurants or sold in stores.

Figure 2.60: Anadolu Rakı and its glass (retrieved and adapted from: http://volpeypir.com/wallpaper.asp?id=3672).

Figure 2.61: Yeni Rakı and its glass (retrieved and adapted from: http://www.tasarimussu.com.tr/portfolyo_amb_01.php).

Figure 2.62: Tekirdağ Rakısı and its glass (retrieved and adapted from: http://www.etsm.org.tr/etsm/c_images/107_03.jpg).
In Figure 2.63, karafaki, ehlikeyif, napkin holder and glass are seen with sharing the same visual cues with the glass packaging design.

According to a research conducted by Cartier and Akbulut, in a restaurant, which brands’ glasses will be used is decided by considering “the customers’ attitude and expectations, and the restaurant’s financial resources and agreements” (2012, p. 139). Usually, the glasses are given as promotion with an agreement which does not let competitors’ promotional glasses.

According to Cartier and Akbulut (2012), the consumers’ preference of glasses is mostly related with their ages; while classical and old style glasses preferred by elder consumers, younger consumers do not give importance to design so much. They mention that consumers regard the thickness of the base, texture and the shape of the glasses as significant, and the glass of Tekirdağ Rakısı is popular due to its ergonomics. The design of the logo, emblem, form, texture of glass is changed in
time by brands in accordance with the consumers’ preferences (Cartier & Akbulut, 2012).

Companies also prefer to use secondary packaging to sell their products within. As seen in Figure 2.64, there are various boxes designed in accordance with the glass packaging design within.

![Secondary packages for raki, boxes](Image courtesy of Ümit Başyazgan Collection).

2.5. Packaging Design

According to Packaging Manufacturers Association in Turkey (ASD), “packaging is a precious material that protects the product within, allows for it to reach you in the most hygienic and safest conditions and makes it easier to transport and store it” (ASD, n.d.). As described also by ASD, functions of packaging are as follows: Containment, protection and storage, transportation and handling, and information and promotion.

With the increase in the competition in the market, packaging became a strategic tool for brands. It is not just containing and preserving the product within, it also promote in on store shelves. According to Ambrose and Harris, recently, packaging design joined the marketing mix which consists of the activities to increase the product
awareness and sales (2011). It is also known with the ‘four Ps’: Product, price, promotion and place, and now packaging is the fifth P’ (Ambrose & Harris, 2011).

Brands give importance to packaging in order to highlight the product qualities more than ever since it is one of the key factors in purchase decisions which “establish a point of difference” from the competition in the stores, the first point where consumers meet with the brand (Ambrose & Harris, 2011). As described by Irmak, brands should concern shared factors between packaging design and branding as follows:

- Aesthetic values: style, form, character, color and etc.
- Technical values: manufacturing method, cost, material and etc.
- Functional values: usage, containment, display and etc.
- Emotional values: emotion, communication, loyalty, cultural differences and etc. (2011).

According to Karamullaoğlu (2005), packaging should attract consumers’ attentions in 3 seconds, transmit the essential information about the product within and create an emotional bond with consumers in order to persuade them to purchase. Packaging is defined as the “silent salesman” by Meyers and Lubliner, since it works for selling the product within and providing brand appeal on the market shelf (1998, p. 250). The package is the medium through which a product image is created; therefore, “the package is the product” (Meyers & Lubliner, 1998, p. 1). Packaging design can be used for new products, redesigning an existing brand and brand line extensions. Next, packaging design process is presented.

**2.5.1. Packaging Design Process**

Design is described by Ambrose and Harris as a “process that turns a brief or requirement into a finished product or design solution”, and design process mainly consists of several stages (2011, p. 60). There are different models of design process in the literature. One of them provides a four-stage model of the design process which includes exploration, generation, evaluation and communication (Cross, 2005), while another four-stage model describes it as gathering information,
Like any other design project, packaging design process starts with research in order to collect information about the product, the market conditions, the target consumer group and the competitive brands’ packaging designs, and design problem is explored in detail. Then, the marketing team prepares a brief which consists of several details such as demographics and socioeconomic profile, age group, gender, purchasing behavior, lifestyle, personality, brand awareness and loyalties (Stewart, 1996), and then that this information is given to design sources they hired. Companies can select one of the various design sources: design consultancies, individual designers, and internal design departments of themselves (Meyers & Lubliner, 1998). Advertising agencies and packaging suppliers are also seen as design sources.

Following the brief, the design team analyzes the client’s and competitors’ packages, explores the history of design problem, conducts user research and interviews. After brainstorming, the design team generates ideas and develops design concepts. These
ideas and concepts are selected for further development, and best of them are developed into prototypes which can be used for consumer feedback from either interviews or focus groups, followed by a presentation to the client. As also expressed by Meyers and Lubliner, the client reviews the proposed design solutions against the design brief and selects the final concepts for further development (1998).

Based on the feedback taken from the review of the client and consumers on the design concept, the design team makes modifications and develops prototypes. The prototypes can be used for market research in order to take feedback for selecting the final design. As described by Meyers and Lubliner (1998), when the final design is selected, production test is conducted with package suppliers, color separators and printers, and later it is adapted to additional packaging sizes and produced, then transported to the distributors.

According to Ambrose and Harris (2011), when the design project is completed, the feedback obtained from the client and consumers about the package design become a valuable source for designers to improve their skills and performance.

### 2.5.2. Packaging Design Elements

“Design is a composite of the designer’s vision and the expression of that vision using some or all of the elements of design”, Calver says (2004, p. 68). The appearance of a package consists of several design elements. These various design elements can transmit different messages to the consumers; however, their goal can be said to be similar to each other at the point of purchase which is to communicate the brand image which is created by the company, designers and features for the product inside.

Despite the fact that there is not an exact grouping for design elements, some sources basically divide design elements into two categories; however, there are also different approaches such as a simple list. Stewart suggests that there are only five design elements which designers can manipulate: Material, shape, color, texture and graphics (1996). On the contrary, according to Meyers and Lubliner (1998), package design consists of two parts: structural design and graphic design. While structural design includes physical packaging elements such as form, materials, dimensions, and weight; graphic design includes logo, symbols, shape, product descriptors,
typography, photography and illustrations. Calver also suggests a similar categorization as structural elements and surface graphics, but adds that this categorization is artificial, because it is not possible to isolate one from the other. This study adopts the usage of Calver (2004) and Meyers and Lubliner (1998), and takes packaging design as a combination of structural design and graphic design (surface graphics).

*Structural design.* In spite of stating that everything about the package has a significant role, Meyers and Lubliner (1998) express that the package form communicates images which affect consumer perception and emotions and create a desire before consumers notice and read the label. Unique packaging shape and ergonomics are thought as significant for manipulating “the imagery and positioning of a product”. As a part of structural design, they can influence consumer perception and their purchase decisions, and can create strong brand recognition. It is possible to create packaging in many diverse forms with various materials and technologies available and its form or shape helps to communicate the brand narrative; to illustrate, “curves suggest sensuality, while straight lines suggest modernity” (Ambrose & Harris, 2011, p. 140).

Apart from these, another design element, material must be considered at the beginning of design process since it contains the products within, and also affects the use of other design elements. While selecting the material, designers firstly consider the preservation, protection, transportation, display of the product (Calver, 2004). There are various materials such as paper, cardboard, steel, aluminum, plastics and glass; these are selected based on their strength, cost and their ease of application (Ambrose & Harris, 2011).

As declared by Meyers and Lubliner (1998), packaging creates various perceptions through its structural design. For example, a short, squat beer bottle creates a different perception than a tall, long-necked beer bottle; rice in a carton creates a different image than rice in a film pouch.

*Graphic design.* Graphic design is described as “the visuals that decorate the surface of the package” by Meyers and Lubliner (1998). Brands can communicate their informative and emotional messages. Whereas the informative messages on the label
are indicated as brand identity, product name, product description, flavor or variety identification, promotional messages, size and contents, warning or caution statements and so on; the emotional messages are described as more subliminal which can be communicated through logo and copy styling, symbols, icons, colors, textures, photography and illustrations (Meyers & Lubliner, 1998).

Color as a significant design element can have different roles on packaging; it can be a background color or a complete package color, or identify specific flavors or a distinctive feature of the product inside (Meyers & Lubliner, 1998). In addition, it can be a part of illustrations and photographs used. There are many generalizations about color such as identifying mood and feelings, indicating product varieties and flavors, and associating specific meanings. With the consistent use of a color and over time, it “becomes ‘owned’ by a brand to such an extent that when a consumer sees the color, they immediately associate it with the brand” (Calver, 2004, p. 146). Apart from this, one of the brands can generate a color-coding system to differentiate its products and this system can be a norm for the category.

According to Ambrose and Harris, photography is seen as one of the most significant design elements since it directly shows consumers the product or its usage; however, it provides more sophisticated appearance and affects their emotions (2011). Photography is described as being immediate, powerful and long-lasting (Calver, 2004). It can differentiate one brand from another through the selection of the content, style of photography, cropping of the image, selection of color or black-and-white reproduction.

For featuring an image on packaging, illustration is the primary method historically; however, the use of illustrations was reduced with the invention of photography and the developments in printing technologies lasting (Calver, 2004). On the other hand, Meyers and Lubliner (1998) explain that illustration is mostly used for products which do not have any appeal or self-explanation such as instant soups or washing powders. Besides that, illustration is defined as a tool which makes the product more desirable, and which informs consumer as none of the other elements can do.

Typography is an essential element for packaging design. It is described as the selection and arrangement of typeface in accordance with the specific goals (Roth,
The name of product, descriptors, benefits, ingredients, warnings and ownership details need to be presented on the package in a legible manner so that consumers can read and understand all details (Calver, 2004). The pack size, information extent and the printing method are the factors which affect the selection of the typeface. Information layout and hierarchy are also important factors to consider while designing.

When the design of surface graphics is completed, the next step becomes transferring them to the packaging surface via several methods. According to Sonsino, basic label types and methods are divided into two groups: Applied labelling and direct labelling methods (1990). While applied labeling methods include plain paper and wet gluing, pressure sensitive labelling, heat seal labelling, glued labeling, in-mould labeling and shrink sleeving; direct labelling methods consist of colour printing, embossing and enameling (1990). It must be told that although enameling method includes many types, the most used one is screen-printing, or sometimes called as serigraphy. On the other hand, Ambrose and Harris (2011), calls these methods “print finishing methods” which include burning, screen-printing (serigraphy), hand painting, embossing, foil blocking, shrink-film labels, adhesive labels are just a few of them (2011). As stated by Calver (2004), designers can employ foil blocking, varnishes, laminates, embossing, die-cutting which are called as ‘finishes and effects’; these can make consumers feel the quality, exclusivity and luxury when touched by hands or just seen.

2.5.3. Glass Packaging Design for Alcoholic Beverages

Despite not being the lightest material for packaging, glass is usually selected for its benefits, which include strength, a shiny and clean appearance, and its being reusable. Besides that, it provides a form for liquid products which do not have a form by themselves. There is a great variety of glass packages used for cosmetic, medical, food and beverage, and so on. However, glass bottles are the most extensively used type among glass packages (Roth, 1990). Due to health issues, marketing purposes and government regulations particularly, companies usually use glass bottles for alcoholic beverages. Companies can prefer either to use stock bottles or a customized shape, an original concept (Roth, 1990).
Concerning the requirements of the product like light sensitive products, material can be preferred as colored glass other than flint glass while designing. Besides that glass texture can also be preferred as frosted or sandblasted by designers.

Glass bottle is described through terms which identify specific parts of the structural design such as heel, base, neck, shoulder and body. The terminology given in Figure 2.66 is the one commonly used to describe the physical attributes of a typical bottle, and it is also called as the morphology of a bottle, as mentioned by Lindsey (2014).

Figure 2.66: Bottle morphology (retrieved on December 5, 2014, from: http://www.sha.org/bottle/morphology.htm).

In general, glass manufacturers produce standard glass packages, and so brands basically use similar forms. Whereas there is not a shared set of design characteristics within the raki category pointed out in the literature, glass packaging for other alcoholic beverages such as wine, vodka, whisky, brandy and gin carry some common characteristics. Wine bottles have more limited variety than other alcoholic beverages in general since they have mostly cylindrical and tall forms;
square or rectangular forms are not conventional, and so they mostly differentiate from each other through their labels. On the other hand, there seems to be a classification based on the form of glass bottles and the type of wine. Specific glass bottles are matched with particular types of wines such as Bordeaux Style, Burgundy Style and Champagne Style (Lindsey, 2014). Bordeaux Style glass bottle is defined with straight sides and tall stature with high rounded shoulders, while Burgundy Style, which can be also matched with a cognac bottle, has “gently sloping shoulders and a slightly wider body than the rest” (Wine Ponder, 2012). According to Lindsey, in spite of being morphologically quite similar to the Burgundy Style, Champagne bottle is wider and heavier because of its being carbonated which affects its internal pressure (2014). Besides these, most of wine and champagne bottles are produced in similar colors such as olive green, amber and aqua/colorless.

![Figure 2.67: Wine glass packaging](http://www.sha.org/bottle/wine.htm#Bordeaux Shape). From left to right: Bordeaux Style, Burgundy Style, and Champagne Style.

In the Glassrite database, a list is provided to define the shapes of bottles, and the generic shapes are listed as follows: “Round, square, rectangular (including book shape), oval (sometimes referred to as an Oval Flask) and flask (sometimes referred to as Concave)” (Glassrite, n.d.).

Although it is not strictly dominated, there are several bottle shapes which are typically associated with certain spirits. While classic whisky and vodka are known
with round bottles, rectangular bottles with square book-shaped shoulders are known with gin (Glassrite, n.d.). Despite both having round bottles, whisky and vodka bottles differentiate from each other with the closure detail. While vodka has a screw top closure, whisky bottle has a cork closure (Figure 2.68).

![Figure 2.68: Bottle shapes (retrieved and adapted from: http://glassrite.wrap.org.uk/documents/GlassriteBottleShapesAndDefinitions.pdf).](image)

Apart from these, sometimes competitor brands adopt design characteristics of a brand and replicate its design. As told by Ambrose and Harris, “copycat or me-too” brands often mimic or replicate the shape and/or colors of brand leader” because they want to attract consumers attention more and even accidentally buy their products while shopping (2011). A well-known cognac (a type of brandy) glass packaging is given as an example to this (Figure 2.69); its curved shape is typically associated with the brandy category and competitor brandy brands use its shape.

Sometimes, a popular brand stands out although its packaging design does not share the category cues. In the whisky category, there is an iconic example with its square glass packaging design which stands out more than others. The well-known whisky brand Johnnie Walker created a global icon through its form launched in 1860, as seen in Figure 2.70 (John Walker & Sons, 2014).
Although there are specific bottle types corresponding to specific alcoholic beverages, there is no bottle type peculiar to rakı in the literature. However, when we look at the glass packaging designs for rakı introduced into the Turkish market after the privatization in 2004, it can be seen that most of the examples are cylindrical bottles, and since nearly all of them have universal anti-counterfeit caps, the cap details are similar.

Since the competition among the companies has a 10 years of history after the privatization in 2004, packaging design as a strategy is quite new for the rakı market. In this ten-year period, the companies have used packaging design as a strategy for achieving product differentiation, and hired design sources in order to develop attractive structural and/or graphic designs.

At the beginning of the design process, companies set design goals in reference to packaging design considerations; these considerations are related with aesthetic values, functional values, technical values and emotional values of the package. In order to achieve design goals, structural design elements and graphic design elements are employed in accordance with these design considerations; therefore, it is important to further investigate design considerations in relation to design goals. The
following chapter presents the field study conducted for this purpose. The field study covers five interviews conducted with local designers in order to understand the designers’ practices for developing raki glass packaging designs for five different brands.
This chapter presents the aim and methodology of the field study firstly, and then explains design and the conduct of the field study. After that the procedure followed for data analysis is described, and the findings and discussions are presented.

3.1. Aim and Methodology of the Field Study

As it was mentioned in the introduction chapter of the thesis, the main goal of this research is to investigate the designers’ practices for developing glass packaging designs for raki introduced into the Turkish market after the privatization in 2004, and to identify design elements in relation to design goals aimed to achieve from the designers’ perspective.

A qualitative approach was adapted for the field study since it investigates the subjective experiences of designers. It aims at a better understanding of behaviors and experiences, and also meaning making process (Bogdan & Biklen, 1998, p. 38). Amongst the qualitative research methods, interview was selected for this field study, since it supports collecting first hand and in-depth data which there is not an alternative way to do (Gilham, 2000).

Interviews can be conducted in three types: structured, semi-structured and unstructured as mentioned by Gilham (2000); and for this study, semi-structured interview was selected, and a set of open-ended questions were prepared. It is known that open-ended questions explore in detail and provide comprehensive information. Besides that, they do not restrict the interviewee, and obtain to hear interviewees’ own voices (Crounch & Pearce, 2012).
According to Gilham (2000), this study can be defined as an elite interview, with a focus on a particular type of interviewee, because all the participants were professionals on the subject of this study, and they could give answers with insight.

### 3.2. Selection of the Designers to be Interviewed

All rakı brands which are available in the Turkish market after the privatization in 2004 were listed in Chapter 2. After listing the brands, the information about their design sources were listed with the results of the literature survey. Some of the information was missing, and therefore the researcher tried to gather them by contacting the companies. However, mostly they were not reached due to the fact that companies gave up on the business; some of the companies did not prefer to share any information or considered them confidential.

Availability was the main consideration while identifying the designers to be interviewed with. The other selection criterion was the availability of packaging design in the market; the brands introduced after the privatization but ended later were not considered for the field study. Another criterion was that if there was a specific design consultancy responsible from the packaging design, the head designer of the consultancy was contacted. The foreign design sources which are located abroad were not contacted for interview due to practical considerations.

The designers who were interviewed with were Gamze Güven, İpek Torun, Orhan Irmak, Oya Akman and Eda Yılmaz. The designers and the related designs are given in Table 3.1 and Figure 3.1. İpek Torun and Orhan Irmak were the graphic designers of Tekirdağ Rakısı and Burgaz Rakı respectively. There was no information concerning the graphic design sources for Yeni Rakı and Topkapı Rakı.¹ The graphic design for Kulüp Rakı was based on the original one developed by İhap Hulusi Görey.

There was one local graphic agency, Konseptiz, which developed graphic designs for Anadolu rakı brands, but since this information was reached after the completion of the field study, this graphic agency was not included in the field study.

---

¹ During the field study Gamze Güven indicated Rafineri as the graphic design agency of Yeni Rakı. No information was obtained during the field study concerning the graphic design agency of Topkapı Rakı brands.
Table 3.1: Interviewees and brands included in the field study.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Interviewee</th>
<th>Brand</th>
<th>Design Year</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Gamze Güven      | Yeni Rakı (structural design)  
                        (Graphic design source was not known prior to the interview) | 2004        |
| Orhan Irmak      | Burgaz Rakı (graphic design)  
                        (Structural design source not known) | 2006        |
| Oya Akman        | Kulüp Rakı (structural design)  
                        (Original graphic design by İhap Hulusi Görey) | 2007        |
| Eda Yılmaz       | Topkapı Rakı (structural design)  
                        (Graphic design source not known) | 2007        |
| Gamze Güven      | Tekirdağ Rakısı (structural design) | 2008        |
| İpek Torun       | Tekirdağ Rakısı (graphic design) | 2008        |

Figure 3.1: Glass packaging designs which were analyzed in the field study.
3.3. Interview Schedule

The researcher prepared open-ended questions in order to ask them to the designers in the interviews conducted. The interview consists of seven parts that focus on the design process of glass packaging designs for rakı which can be seen in Appendix A.

The first part focuses on the designer’s professional experiences and investigates his/her experiences with packaging design in general, and alcoholic beverage packaging design in particular.

The second part focuses on how the first contact with the client happens, and explores the brief. Here the designers are asked to indicate the design goals and expected outcomes specified in the brief.

The third part focuses on the initial stages of the design process: Design research, concept development, elimination of design alternatives for proposing to the client. Questions in this part aim to explore the initial ideas.

The fourth part focuses on the later stages of design process which is finalization, production trial and problems they faced with.

The fifth part focuses on the structural design and the graphic design of packaging, how the design elements were used in order to achieve design goals.

The sixth part focuses on the time after the design was launched. Here the designers are requested to tell the feedback taken from consumers, the design community and the business world, and if possible, what the designers would change in their designs.

And the last part focuses on the differences between designing rakı packaging and other alcoholic beverage packaging, and anything they want to add.

3.4. Conduct of the Interviews

After specifying the designers to be interviewed with, they were contacted by telephone or email firstly. First, the researcher introduced herself then she explained the aim of the study and the product subjected to the interview and after all asked for an appointment if they accepted to be interviewed with. Some of the designers preferred contacting and confirming through email in order to discuss the interview
details (i.e. place, time) and some of them wanted to learn detailed information about
the interview focus.

It was quite difficult to arrange interview appointments with designers since they
were extremely busy and it was summer period. The appointment dates were set to a
date which was at least two weeks later, after the first contact with designers. The
exact time of some of the interviews needed to be confirmed by telephone the day
before the appointment. On the other hand, some of the appointments were
postponed several times.

At first, a pilot study was conducted with one of the designers. According to
feedback taken the interview schedule was reevaluated. No significant changes were
made.

Three of the interviews were conducted at the designers’ own offices as they
preferred it to be so. Two of the interviews were conducted in a public place. The
interview with İpek Torun was conducted at the Bahçeşehir University where she
works as a lecturer, and the interview with Eda Yılmaz was conducted at a cafe in
Mecidiyeköy, İstanbul. Two of the designers preferred to be interviewed after the
working hours.

At the beginning of each interview, the handout (Appendix B) was given to the
designers in order to introduce the researcher and explain the aim of the study. This
handout was also a consent form since their permission was requested for using
information gathered through interviews by citing the names of designers.

Each interview session was voice recorded by a mobile phone and audio visually
recorded by a video camera simultaneously in case of any data loss. The interviews
were planned to last 60 to 90 minutes; however, the shortest duration of the
interviews was 1 hour 20 minutes and the longest duration was nearly 4 hours.

The interviews which were conducted during working hours were interrupted several
times with colleagues and/or phones. During these interruptions recording was
stopped and it was observed that designers had difficulty in concentrating.

One of the interview sessions was an extreme case since it took far longer time than
recordings due to the number of packaging designs discussed; thus, a small break
was given. In this break, memories about the design period were discussed mostly and then the interview continued.

The interviews were conducted in the designers’ native language, Turkish. It must be stated that additional questions were asked depending on the flow of the interview. All parts of the interviews were completed despite the interruptions.

3.5. Data Analysis

Qualitative data analysis was defined by Bogdan and Biklen as follows:

Working with data, organizing it, breaking it into manageable units, synthesizing it, searching for patterns, discovering what is important and what is to be learned, and deciding what you will tell others (1982, p. 145).

In order to transcribe verbatim, the records were listened to more than ten times. While typing, the record was paused at the end of a sentence and listened again for the completion of the sentence. After the transcriptions were completed, the records were listened once again in order not to miss any words. It lasted two to three days to decipher each interview.

The transcriptions were printed out and read carefully for two times. Then, the parts which were thought to be significant were highlighted (Figure 3.2). Hence, this helped to determine the keywords and sub-headings to ease the analysis.

Then the significant parts were labeled with these keywords, key phrases (brief, goals, research, design elements, constraints, feedback, problems, the state monopoly period, the privatization period, designers’ general evaluation about their designs, and etc.) and presented under the specified headings.

It is significant to specify that sometimes the designers got ahead of the question asked and some of the answers given for the question were related with other questions in the same time. The main reason of this was because of the open ended questions asked to them. The researcher did not interrupt this kind of chain of arguments and evaluated them under not only for the question asked, but also for the related question. During the data analysis, designers were contacted again via email if the researcher needed any additional information or clarification.
3.6. Findings and Discussion

In this part, findings from the field study will be presented and discussed. Since the interviews were conducted in Turkish; the researcher translated the quotes into English. The findings are going to be given in the order in which the brands were introduced into the market. Discussions are going to be presented at the end of each section.

Only the last names of designers were used while presenting the findings. In order to avoid any misunderstanding, it is important to tell here that while answering questions, designers used “us” in their words, mostly by referring to their team. While explaining, designers also mentioned other glass packaging designs which belong to the same product line extension (they called as segments of the same brand) in question.
3.6.1. Background of the Designers

At the beginning of the interview, designers were asked to give some information about their backgrounds.

Gamze Güven graduated from METU Industrial Design Department in 1987 and completed her MSc in the Faculty of Architecture at the same university in 1990. She worked for several projects and designed office furniture, lighting, kitchen appliances, etc. She founded İdol Tasarım which was a design consultancy in 1996 with her associate İnci Mutlu. Güven took over the company and renamed it as Tasarımüssü in 2000. Güven and Tasarımüssü have many international and national awards. She served as the vice president of ETMK (Industrial Designers Society of Turkey) İstanbul Branch. Güven did not work on a packaging design project before designing Yeni Rakı. Then, she continued to work for the client, Mey and designed Altınbaş and Tekirdağ No10. For Yeni Rakı Yeni Seri, Yeni Rakı Ala, Yeni Rakı Beykoz and Yeni Rakı Rastgele, the structural design of same glass packaging was used with different graphic designs.

Orhan İrmak graduated from METU Industrial Design Department. He studied for his master degree at Bilkent University, Department of Interior Architecture and Environmental Design. Then, he founded Orhan İrmak Design with his brother in 2004. After that, he continued his academic study on packaging design and got his PhD degree from İstanbul Technical University Industrial Design Department on packaging design. Currently, he is continuing to work at Orhan İrmak Design, an accredited design office by Turquality. The design team has many international and national awards. He stated that he worked for packaging design projects before Burgaz Rakı.

Oya Akman graduated from Istanbul State Academy of Fine Arts, Industrial Design and Interior Design Department (İstanbul Devlet Güzel Sanatlar Akademisi Endüstri Tasarımı ve İç Mimarlık Programı) with a master’s degree, and then studied on semiology and corporate identity for her dissertation at the same institution (renamed as Mimar Sinan Üniversitesi in 1981 and later as Mimar Sinan Güzel Sanatlar Üniversitesi in 2004). She has been working as a professional designer for more than 40 years and for different industries such as textile, ceramics, plastics and glass. In
addition to this, she also worked as an academician in İstanbul Technical University Department of Industrial Product Design for 12 years. Currently, she is working as a consultant for Anadolu Cam and also managing her own design company, Oya Design. As stated by her, she had many experiences in packaging design: Tekirdağ Rakısı glass packaging design (2000), milk and fruit juice bottles, Tekel whisky glass packaging design.

*Eda Yılmaz* graduated from Anadolu University Industrial Design Department in 2005. After graduation, she first started to work as a freelance designer for Anadolu Cam and after six months, she started to work as a full time designer there. Since 2011, she has been working as the chief of product design department. She mentioned that she had many experiences in packaging design before designing the glass packaging for Topkapı Rakı.

*işpek Torun* graduated from Bilkent University Graphic Design Department. Then she started the MA program at Anadolu University Animation Department. Now, she is working as a lecturer at Bahçeşehir University Faculty of Communication, Visual Arts and Visual Communication Department. In addition to this, she works as a freelance designer. She reported that she had no experience in packaging design before designing the graphics of Tekirdağ Rakısı.

### 3.6.2. An Overview of the State Monopoly Period

In this section, an overview of the state monopoly period was presented from the designers’ point of view. During the interview, designers mentioned about the state monopoly period and how the need for new designs emerged after the abolishment of the state monopoly.

Yılmaz told that rakı glass packaging designs were mostly cylindrical and straight and not elaborated, and there was no need to change the existing packaging design until Yeni Rakı. Likewise, Güven explained that before redesigning Yeni Rakı, it was possible to see nice labels designed from time to time; however, same glass package had been used for different alcoholic beverages products: rakı, vodka and ethyl alcohol. Because of the state monopoly, there was no need for packaging design or any other marketing activities. As also pointed out by Irmak, there was not a matter of consumers’ brand, product or quality preference. Then, a design need
emerged due to the strong competition in the alcoholic beverage market that grew rapidly after the state monopoly ended. In this period, consumers were conservative about the brand they were used to, Yeni Rakı, and did not want to change their purchasing habits at first. As stated by most, brands needed to analyze consumers, their competitors and rakı consumption ritual deeply.

3.6.3. The First Contact with the Client

In this section, the designers were requested to mention about how the first contact with the client happened.

Yeni Rakı

Güven stated that Cihan Kırımlı, who was the marketing director in 2004, called her to tell that Mey had established in 2004 after the state monopoly ended; and they decided to redesign Yeni Rakı glass package. He invited Gamze Güven to the design contest with four other designers. Although she had not worked for a packaging design before, she accepted the invitation.

Burgaz Rakı

İrmak expressed that the printing house gave their contact details to the client. He added that Burgaz had not been satisfied with their existing packaging design and seeking for a new designer to redesign it. After the client got in touch with Orhan İrmak Tasarım, they started to work on Burgaz Rakı packaging design in 2006.

Kulüp Rakı

Akman was called for redesigning the glass packaging for Kulüp Rakı by the client, Mey and invited for a meeting in 2007. She did a presentation and introduced her previous projects, and then they started to work together.

Topkapı Rakı

Yılmaz told that the client Antalya applied to Anadolu Cam Design Center where Yılmaz works, and they started to work on Topkapı Rakı glass packaging design in 2007.
Tekirdağ Rakısı

Mey organized a design contest for one of its brands, Tekirdağ Rakısı, in 2008. Two designers were invited to this contest and one of them was Güven. The other designer of Tekirdağ Rakısı, Torun joined the team as a project based graphic designer.

3.6.4. The Brief Given by the Client

Concerning the question of whether a brief was provided by the client, all designers said “yes”, but not all of the given briefs had been detailed, except for the one for Topkapı Rakısı. Most of the designers expressed that briefs were verbal mostly, included some keywords about the client’s goals and expected outcomes. The briefs also included the glass packaging’s sizes (mentioned by Akman), colors to be used (mentioned by Torun), cap details and the product line extensions to be designed (mentioned by Yılmaz and Güven).

In some cases, the design features which were definitely undesired were also specified in the briefs, Irmak mentioned that the technical problems related to the competitors’ packaging designs and the “undesirable values” for the new graphic design were specified in the Burgaz Rakı brief. Yılmaz mentioned that the Topkapı Rakı brief had been a result of high-detailed analysis, and the client had explained what they wanted precisely.

Almost all designers think that the clients’ briefs for rakı packaging design have detailed information more than other design briefs in Fast Moving Consumer Goods (FMCG) sector which they worked for previously. On the other hand, alcoholic beverage sector was quite new in marketing and the brands were not successful about expressing what they aimed correctly through briefs, as stated by Irmak.

When the designers were asked if possible for them to share the brief with the researcher, they did not prefer to, due to the strict contracts with their clients or not keeping it anymore.
3.6.5. Target Consumer Groups

Yeni Rakı

Güven said that the target consumer group of Yeni Rakı was specified as everyone at every age from all social classes who drink classic rakı. She added that she had thought a man with wide shoulders, highly confident, standing strong and someone who knows rakı culture including rituals (*raki adabı*).

Burgaz Rakı

Irmak asserted briefly that the target consumer group of Burgaz Rakı was people who drink Yeni Rakı.

Kulüp Rakı

Akman stated that the target consumer group of Kulüp Rakı was people who were older than 40 mostly, mature; qualified and classic people who drink rakı with pleasure, people who know rakı and its culture were considered as the target consumer group. She gave an example for the target consumer group: Men who wear tuxedos and bowties as shown on the label of Kulüp Rakı (Figure 2.21).

Topkapı Rakı

Yılmaz said that Topkapı Rakı targeted the classic rakı drinkers. She also gave information about the target consumer group of Topkapı Mir Rakı (known also as “Altın Seri”): Men who have sophisticated taste, give importance to quality and prestige, and tourists were also mentioned as an additional target group of Topkapı Mir Rakı.

Tekirdağ Rakısı

Güven stated that due to the high number of competitors in the market, Tekirdağ Rakısı aimed at not losing its existing consumers, and also it targeted the consumers of the competitors which produce fresh grape rakı. Torun stated that people who were price insensitive were the target group of Tekirdağ Rakısı. Güven also mentioned that Tekirdağ Rakısı Trakya Serisi was gourmet, delicious and easy to drink, and it targeted younger consumers in particular, and Tekirdağ Rakısı Altın Seri was a high segment rakı with a specific target consumer group.
Discussion

All designers described the target consumer as significant for conceptualizing the design. When they specified the gender they all referred to men as the target consumers. Additionally, there is a “classic” raki consumer to whom designers often gave reference.

It is also observed that designers stereotyped their target consumer groups as in Yeni Raki and Kulüp Raki cases. Whereas Güven described a strong man with wide shoulders and high confidence, and someone who knows raki culture, Akman characterized a mature man who is qualified, classic and knows raki culture, as illustrated on the label. The common point in Güven’s and Akman’s descriptions is the emphasis on men who know raki culture.

The designers mostly defined target consumer groups socio-economically. Thus, it can be said that being price insensitive, gourmet or having a sophisticated taste imply a consumer from a higher socio-economic class, as expressed by Torun, Güven and Yılmaz. Akman’s descriptions, being “qualified and classic” can also be included in this group. On the contrary, in the case of Yeni Rakı and Topkapı Rakısı, the target consumer groups described as “everyone”, “classic raki drinkers” correspond to the middle and lower socio-economic class consumers who care for affordable price.

It is also possible to say that the target consumer group was defined in reference to the competitors in the market. As both Güven and Irmak mentioned, a competitor brand was selected, and its consumer group was targeted; since Yeni Rakı was the market leader, Burgaz Rakı aimed to reach the competitor’s consumer group, and Tekirdağ Rakısı targeted the consumers of the competitors which produce fresh grape raki.

3.6.6. The Goals and Expected Outcomes the Client Planned to Achieve through Packaging Design

In relation to the brief given by the client, the designers were asked what the goals and expected outcomes stated in the brief were. In order to talk about this deeply and also as a reminder, three sub-questions inquired whether there were any goals such as creating brand loyalty, reflecting taste, and price and quality.
Yeni Rakı

According to Güven, after the state monopoly ended, the competition started among brands, and Mey did not want to lose its market share to new competitors, and decided to redesign it.

As she expressed, it was mentioned in the brief that the design was expected to become a symbol for the product itself; when “rakı” was said, a specific unique form should come to mind. Additionally, she gave an example of wine and whisky glass packages; whisky is associated with square bottles, and wine with sloped shoulders and cylindrical glass bodies. In a similar way, the main goal was to design a rakı glass package with a unique shape and identity in order to differentiate it by its form from other alcoholic beverages. While explaining the desired outcome, she particularly used the words “iconic” and “cult”. Creating brand loyalty was considered to be related with the goal of an iconic and unique design, as stated by Güven. She asserted that creating a norm for the category was aimed to achieve, and added that it was not written in the brief, but she aimed it personally. Creating a norm meant that other brands’ packaging designs were expected to accept the norm and follow the visual cues that Yeni Rakı created.

She mentioned about the plans of creating a self-confident, strong but modest male character with wide shoulders. Being moody or feminine was specified as undesired since rakı is a manly drink. Moreover, this male character had to know rakı culture. She continued by saying that the packaging design was to reflect this male character like sitting around a table in a meyhane; befitting rakı culture was a concern. Young-looking or a premium appearance was specified as undesirable for Yeni Rakı, considering its target consumers; it was expected to be affordable, as she declared. She had also considered this design as an opportunity to introduce a local product from our own culture, peculiar to us and this geography to the global market. She asserted finally that the existing glass package of Yeni Rakı had not given the value to rakı it deserved.
Burgaz Rakı

Irmak told that packaging design of Burgaz Rakı was expected to stand out on the shelves and have a memorable visual identity in order to remind itself to the consumer through its distinguishable design.

Another significant goal aimed at was to persuade consumers about the quality of Burgaz Rakı. Consumers should perceive that Burgaz Rakı had the same quality as the brand they are used to, and he added: “Maybe even higher quality”. In addition to this, breaking the brand loyalty of Yeni Rakı was aimed so that it could be possible to take a piece of the pie (market share) from the market leader.

The design was expected to communicate the localness (that is, its being Turkish rakı and a traditional Turkish drink) visually. He declared that it had to stress its Turkish identity and its being something which comes from the Turkish essence. In addition, it aimed to take over the “image of being Turkish Rakı” which Yeni Rakı had.

He declared that the goal of reflecting “taste” had not been an issue while redesigning it: “Market was not segmented as it is today” implying the limited types of rakı in that period.

Additionally, he asserted that packaging design for alcoholic beverages was quite new for Turkey and it was a good experience for them to work in a project with one of the powerful actors in the market.

Kulüp Rakı

Akman stated that the client wanted a classic and elegant design, and a modern style was specified as definitely undesired. The design had to stand as a premium glass body at the rakı table and persuade consumers about its premiumness and superior quality by reflecting its being high-priced rakı. Thus, reflecting price and superior quality was asserted by the client as a design goal.

The client especially wanted the glass package to be taller than other competitors on the shelves. By doing so, consumers would think that there was more rakı inside; however, Akman criticized here that she never believed its accuracy; and added that consumers look at the quantity of rakı within, not its length.
Since the label design has a characteristic and historical value for brand, the client wanted to keep it same. Therefore she had to take the label into consideration, and design a glass packaging in harmony with the label.

For the question of brand loyalty, she said that it must be the first factor to consider. Although she told that she had not exactly been remembering the details, she commented that there was a certain sales expectation declared by the client.

In addition to these, she mentioned about her personal goal, which was declared as her way of working in general, that the design had to look like a global product, and it should not look awkward and even that it must stand out everywhere in the world.

Topkapı Rakı

For the Topkapı Rakı brand, the main goal was to design a packaging which reminds of Topkapı Palace. When consumers saw the bottle, they were expected to feel the splendour of the Palace (saray esintisi) and majesty. Yılmaz continued that people who live in İstanbul could easily visualize Topkapı Palace in their minds; but, it was hard for people who have never seen İstanbul and Topkapı Palace. While carrying several cues from Topkapı Palace, it must have modern lines, and should not create a perception of mosque which can be a problematic issue.

Topkapı Rakı was not planned to be sold at an expensive price; therefore, it was expected to reflect its price and in the meantime also look elegant at the rakı table. Changing the existing purchasing habits was also aimed with this design.

Yılmaz also added that she aimed personally to have minimum problem in the production or filling line to complete the packaging design project successfully.

Tekirdağ Rakısı

For Tekirdağ Rakısı glass packaging design, both of its designers, Güven and Torun, mentioned that it was expected to have a strong and prestigious image. It was expected to have a modern style, and looking completely traditional and simple was definitely undesired. Torun criticized that simplicity was always matched with modern style, but it was not right.
As mentioned before, Yeni Rakı aimed at creating a norm with its design in the rakı category. Following the norm created was important for both Güven and the client while designing glass packaging for Tekirdağ Rakısı.

According to its designers, announcing and stressing its being a fresh grape rakı was significant; the main reason for this was the strategy of the competitor, Efe Yaş Üzüm which was launched as “the first fresh grape product” in the rakı category. As Güven said, the first fresh grape rakı was Tekirdağ Rakısı, but consumers were not aware of that.

Güven pointed out that they wanted the glass package to look like a woman who belongs to an aristocratic family from Istanbul having their origins in Ottomans and, and added that everyone admired that woman.

For Tekirdağ Rakısı Altın Seri glass packaging design, reflecting its distinctive smooth taste and yellowish color which comes from the distillation process and oak barrels was declared as a design goal. In addition, superior quality, prestigious and luxury image was aimed. For Tekirdağ Rakısı Trakya Serisi, on the other hand, reflecting its gourmet, smoother taste, which comes from the Semillon and Papaz Karasi grapes of Trakya Region, was aimed in order to widen its consumers by influencing younger consumers.

Standing out on the shelves was also important, but it also had to preserve the existing values of old design, because consumers were used to seeing it since 2000 and they could find the new design odd, and alienate easily.

Güven declared that the client had wanted the body to have a larger label area for communication. Related with the label, Torun stated that the client had wanted a typographic design solution on the label since the beginning of the design process. Technical considerations like manufacture, filling, ergonomics, and increasing sales were important factors in the design process, as Güven expressed.

Discussion

Brand image. Three of the brands (i.e. Yeni Rakı, Tekirdağ Rakısı and Kulüp Rakı) which were available in the rakı market before the privatization, did not want to lose their market share and increase; whereas the new introduced brands wanted to
increase their market shares. Since Yeni Rakı was the mainstream brand for years, as Irmak implied, “the brand consumers were used to” was Yeni Rakı; therefore, Burgaz Rakı wanted to become one of the market follower, and this might be the reasons of the similar strategies they used: They both aimed to stress their local identity (Turkishness), targeted everyone with an affordable price. In addition to this, it seems that the product quality of Yeni Rakı was considered as important and so, Irmak also took into account the reflection of its product quality for the competition. It can be inferred that this competition was a factor contributing to increase the quality of the product itself and its packaging design, or at least pushed the brands to improve their product qualities.

*Taste differentiation.* All of the brands aimed to stand out on the shelves, create brand loyalty, and increase their market share. Only one of the brands aimed to reflect the taste and color that the product has, which is related with the time it was redesigned. Whereas there were not so many types of rakı at first after the privatization, new brands were introduced to the market in time, and they provided a taste difference by producing various types of rakı; as a marketing strategy, this taste differentiation was reflected to the packaging design to announce product qualities as well.

*Iconic design.* Güven aimed at developing an iconic design which will set a new standard or “norm” for the structural design in the rakı category. Developing an iconic design for rakı glass packaging was considered as a significant goal for achieving product differentiation through form, not only in comparison to the competitor brands, but also in comparison to other alcoholic beverages.

### 3.6.7. Design Research Process

For this section, it was aimed to find out which topics designers did research on, and which methods, sources and tools they used for exploration and idea generation. When it was asked to designers which topics they did research for, almost all of them mentioned about similar topics.

Güven told that while designing; the origin of rakı, rakı culture and similar drinks to rakı (i.e. arak, uozo, sambuca and other anise-based products) was researched. The other questions were researched as follows: Whether similar drinks’ packaging
designs had norms, which drinks were identified with which countries, how the brands became cult. She scanned all the sources about raki such as books and several internet platforms. Participant observations were conducted at drinking houses. Besides that, she also asked people around which brands they preferred, with reasons, and what they cared about mostly while purchasing. In addition to these, she also conducted research on other alcoholic beverages’ packaging designs such as vodka and whisky, and packaging design in general. While developing the packaging design of Tekirdağ Rakı, she used the feedback received from the packaging design of Yeni Rakı and the focus group studies conducted for Tekirdağ Rakı; additionally, comments about the existing Tekirdağ Rakı packaging design was also investigated.

Irmak expressed that the research topics for Burgaz Rakı design had been packaging design in general; vodka, whisky, gin packaging designs; how a gin package differentiates from a vodka package. In addition to these, the sector dynamics, the global competition, how global brands and foreign designers work were explored by his design firm. Besides that, they conducted observations and store visits out of Turkey, and used printed sources about drinking culture, packaging design, and particularly alcoholic beverage packaging along with research data provided by firms.

Akman mentioned that she had gathered information about glass packaging for raki and ouzo, alcoholic beverage packaging design in general, and how they evolved in time along with their weights and volumes. She also investigated who drinks raki, how raki is preferred in drinking ritual, and additionally the raki ritual in general by asking around. Moreover, the origins of raki, its product qualities, ingredient herbs, production steps were stated as significant research topics. She mentioned about using a magnifying glass as a research tool to observe the tiny particles of herbs used as ingredient in the raki production. She expressed that she did not attend any focus group studies conducted for Kulüp Rakı, since she thought that focus group studies were quite misleading for the design decisions based on her previous experiences.

Yılmaz told that since the client had given them a detailed brief about glass packaging design for Topkapı Rakı, there was no need to conduct research for same topics. It was told that they had taken information from Anadolu Cam marketing
department and investigated for people’s perceptions about Topkapı Palace and rakı separately, and what elements evoke rakı. In particular, how to describe Topkapı Palace to someone who has never seen was explored. Besides that, store visits, observations were conducted, and ordinary tools such as internet and books were used.

Torun told that she had investigated on Ottoman patterns and how they could be used for surface graphics. In addition to these, sophisticated, vintage and calligraphic typographies were investigated for the graphic design of Tekirdağ Rakısı. Moreover, she thought about how the graphics of the old packaging design could be changed, and investigated on the competitors’ packaging designs and who drinks rakı. While investigating, books about packaging design, suppliers’ catalogues, internet and particularly “The Dieline” (an internet database about packaging design), and market research data provided by the client were used.

Discussion

Answers show that designers focused on several topics while doing design research and these can be categorized as follows: Research into rakı which consists of the origin of rakı, rakı culture and rakı production process and ingredients (which can be told as related with product qualities of rakı), similar drink to rakı (other anise-based products); research into packaging design which includes the packages of the client, the packages of the competitors, other alcoholic beverages’ packaging designs (i.e. vodka, whisky and other anise-based products), and research into consumers’ preferences. It was seen that observations and informal interviews with rakı drinkers at the drinking houses were found important to explore with an aim to understand the ritual of rakı and the reasons of consumers’ purchase decisions and preferences by all designers.

All of the designers mentioned that they had analyzed rakı and other aniseed based products’ and the competitors’ packaging designs. Market research data provided by firms (e.g. the client, research firms or their own firms’ sectorial knowledge) were valuable resources for designers, and so they did not investigate the same topics as Yılmaz and Torun declared. Güven was the only designer who attended focus group studies, and expressed that she had found them valuable for achieving a successful
design, whereas Akman did not think so. This is an important detail to mention since it shows how designers’ previous experiences affect their way of working in the design research phase.

3.6.8. Concept Development and Visualization

In this part, the designers were asked about how the initial design ideas were developed and what the leading themes were. Then, two additional questions inquired about the number of the alternatives they designed and how the design alternatives were eliminated before presenting them to the client, in order to investigate the selection process of alternatives.

Yeni Raki

Güven declared that:

When “wine” is said, a smoother shoulder comes to mind. When “whisky” is said, the square bottle section comes to mind, probably created by Johnny Walker. We looked for the form which will create a norm or when “tequila” is said, a fancy, embossed rectangle comes to mind. These are the cult glass packages of some specific brands which are mostly advertised and sold.

She thought about how to create a norm for raki like those alcoholic beverages have for their packaging designs, and conceptualized the body which would be a norm for the raki category.

As declared earlier, Güven had imagined a modest male character with wide shoulders, and wanted to reflect it through the glass packaging design. In order not to create an exaggerated and fancy look for this character, print finishing details were considered in the beginning stages of the design process: It was thought that serigraphy could match with raki.

As one of the initial design ideas, they used colors in glass: Çeşmi Bülbül (a glassware type with a meaning of "nightingale's eye" in Turkish) patterns could be matched with the packaging design for raki, she thought; however, this idea was not developed for the finalization process.
In order to explain how she developed concepts, she told: “While questioning other countries’ forms, I thought of a form which they did not use, and which reflects our half-Eastern identity”, and then expressed that a concave form could create a norm for Turkish traditional drink, as she found out subconsciously. While thinking about the concave forms, she realized that she was influenced by traditional products such as carafe (gügün) in Figure 3.3, and copper bucket (bakraç) in Figure 3.4 that have concave forms.

She emphasized that while designing, she did not consider the tulip shaped glass in Figure 3.5 (ince belli bardak) as her source of inspiration, although it was stated otherwise in the press.

---

Figure 3.3: Copper carafe (retrieved and adapted from: http://urun.gittigidiyor.com/antika-sanat/antika-bakir-kucuk-boy-gugum-130432358).

Figure 3.4: Copper bucket (retrieved and adapted from: http://www.rugandrelic.com/Products/Antique-Copper-Bucket__HA0507-044-2.aspx).
Initial ideas were developed into sketches and then modeled. For this stage, she told that she had received feedback from her team. In order to select alternatives for presentation to the client, firstly they evaluated themselves within the team. However, she added that it was preferred to present alternatives as many as there were so that the client could select what they wanted exactly.

**Burgaz Rakı**

Irmak told that since the glass packaging design was cylindrical, it was difficult to use horizontal logotype for a powerful and stressed design area. They wanted to keep it simple, but also eye-catching on the shelf. Hence, they decided to use the logotype vertically, and on a larger area. He added that the design must not keep distance with people, and people should think that it was the rakı which they have consumed for years. On the other hand, reflecting the whitening of rakı when water is added was considered, and solutions were generated for this.

In order to create brand recognition, they worked with colors and typographic solutions. As he said, they had to own a color first for Burgaz Rakı which was not commonly used in the rakı category, and then developed typography alternatives to reflect Turkishness. He underlined that there were not so many elements to use on the label design referring the previous Yeni Rakı glass packaging design; there were not various visual elements on its label, just the Yeni Rakı logotype. It was also a
concern that consumers could refuse the design if any unfamiliar element was used for the design.

They developed sketches for the concept development phase, and then they prepared mock-ups in order to see how they look on the shelf. There were four or five alternatives designed. When he was asked from whom they received feedback in this phase, he answered that they were in contact with the marketing team and the company owner all the time.

**Kulüp Rakı**

Akman declared that she had considered harmonizing rakı culture with the quality, since a classic and superior quality glass packaging design was aimed. As explained by her, for the design of Kulüp Rakı glass packaging, the client had brought several examples for glass packaging from a French supplier at the beginning of the design process. Baccarat crystals of France were also a source of inspiration for Akman. To explain in more detail, she told: “The perception of classic and superior quality is provided by crystal cuts in accordance with a circular form which reflects light well.” She also mentioned about the crystal glass producers such as Moser, Saint Louis, Val Saint Lambert, and gave examples about the usage of these crystals for the old alcoholic beverage glasses such as wine and whisky glasses which have wide cuts, carves and gold details (Figure 3.6 and Figure 3.7).

![Figure 3.6: Moser glass products as a source of inspiration](Image courtesy of Oya Akman).
As she expressed, raki production process and its ingredient herbs were explored firstly; then, she worked on geometric solutions: Whether different geometries fit goals and meanings in silhouettes of geometric transitions were initial ideas in the concept development stage.

Even though the client did not demand, she also designed more elegant, modern, different alternatives. They included various forms other than cylindrical body: A rounded square, a twisted square and a form that starts as cylindrical and then turns into a square.

Figure 3.7: Glass products as a source of inspiration (Image courtesy of Oya Akman).
After modeling 15 or 20 alternatives with her team, she presented all of them as “ready to manufacture”. She underlined that she always used parametric modeling because of its being functional for applying any revisions. The design alternatives were categorized for presentation to the client as “modern”, “ultra-modern”, “classic”, etc., as each category had two or three alternatives. At this point, Akman pointed out that the alternative chosen by the client to produce was not the one she preferred; she criticizes that other alternatives were modern, but still classic and elegant when they were compared to the one selected.

Akman also expressed her way of working while mentioning about the concept development process: For Kulüp Rakı glass packaging design, she had worked with a mechanical engineer, and she did not prefer to have full time members in her team since she needed members with different specialties for each project concerning technical calculations such as pressure analysis, thickness, and weight of the specific product. As a feedback source in the design process, she mentioned about her designer sister.

Topkapı Rakı

Since one of the significant goals for glass packaging design was to remind of Topkapı Palace, Yılmaz explained that the client had given them a few illustration examples of Topkapı Palace at the beginning of the process, but also told them not to focus on those examples only, and feel free for concept development. She worked on visualizing her ideas with an aim of making people feel the Topkapı Palace when they read the brand name so that people could visualize Topkapı Palace when they look at the glass package.

She declared that the design had to look integrated not only with the product within, but also the brand. According to her, there were quite limited elements that can be used to achieve design goals: It was because the usage of a universal cap, the base and the cylindrical body were already certain; therefore, there were only the shoulder and the neck structure left.

For the concept development, firstly she thought to use four cuts on the shoulder as a stylization of Topkapı Palace; however, it did not give the look she wanted. Then, she developed another alternative by increasing the number of cuts (Figure 3.8).
After initial ideas were developed, all sketches and initial design alternatives were shared with the marketing department, the manufacturing department and the mold design group of Anadolu Cam, and other units related with the production process (i.e. cooler, quality) were also asked for their feedback. Prior to presenting them to the client, the alternatives were evaluated firstly by these groups at Anadolu Cam Design Center based on the criteria of technical constraints and the brief, and then reduced to seven or eight alternatives. After this evaluation, essential modifications were done; the alternatives were modelled parametrically and presented to the client with all details as they would look “on the shelf”.

Tekirdağ Rakısı

Güven asserted that the design process of Tekirdağ Rakısı had been longer and more difficult than the design process of Yeni Rakı, since everyone had expected better for that time, and there were many parameters to be fulfilled.

Güven told that they had worked on the application of colors both in glass and label while developing initial design ideas. They developed several alternatives which have concave cavities because she wanted a place for grabbing body easily by hand and share similar cues with the glass packaging design of Yeni Rakı.
The initial design ideas were sketched and modeled in order to present to the client for their feedback; although there were many successful ones among 50 design alternatives; the client was not persuaded with most, and eliminated them because of finding them quite similar to Yeni Rakı glass packaging design, as Güven told. She, on the contrary, expressed that since they had wanted to maintain the norm they created for Yeni Rakı, the same neck structure was intentionally used for Tekirdağ Rakısı glass packaging design.

The client also wanted the glass package to have a label and so, the form and the surface were conceptualized as straight and label-applicable. In the meantime, she continued to develop new design alternatives. Different than her previous design method, she took a styrofoam and started to sculpt it without sketching beforehand. When she generated a new concept with this method, she thought that it could fulfill all design goals, and this was the one selected by the client.

Güven also explained that graphic design agency was working on the graphics, at the beginning; however, none of them were appreciated by participants of a focus group study. She stated that “The participants thought that the graphic design did not fit glass package, and then Mey told us to do it (the graphic design) after that point”. Thus, this was the time Güven realized that they should start to work on graphic design along with structural design, and then they started to develop concepts not only for structural design but also the graphic design for Tekirdağ Rakısı.

The concept development stage had started with logotype for the graphic design, as Torun expressed; firstly, typographic solutions were generated for logotype and she also worked on a concept similar to “laces” by changing the original form of the typeface, and adding some ornaments which reminds of aniseed, and while doing it, she did not want it to look feminine at the same time. She also used modern typefaces and tried to give them a sophisticated look (Figure 3.9).
For the shape of the label, Torun said that the space between the elliptical cavities was used as a reference in order to develop label alternatives. According to her, the glass body was bringing a centered block design to mind already. They had developed different shaped alternatives such as semi-circle and drop shape (Figure 3.10), and then printed out in order to see the shape of the design on the styrofoam prototype. By doing so, the limits of the readability on the packaging were investigated. She told that there had been more than 10 or even 20 alternatives for tested by printing out. Many of them were not proposed to the client but she uploaded some of them to her website.
Other types of Tekirdağ Rakısı were also mentioned. For Tekirdağ Rakısı Altın Seri, it was planned to use a sandblasted glass since the beginning of the process. However, the sandblasted glass could cause a problem for consumers since they would want to see its inside and the color of raki, as Güven stated. So, she generated a solution by leaving elliptical cavities as transparent. In relation to Tekirdağ Rakısı Altın Seri, Torun mentioned that Ottoman motifs had been used on the sandblasted glass in reference to Ottomans. Design alternatives by Torun can be seen in Figure 3.11)
Torun defined Tekirdağ Rakısı Trakya Serisi as a “greener” version, and told that she had developed “a poison concept” (*iksir konsepti*) inspired by computer games (Figure 3.12).

Güven mentioned about their usual elimination process: Before proposing to the client, the first evaluation was made within the design team, and if she strongly liked a design alternative which was eliminated by her team, she could present it to the client anyway. After the eliminating process of Tekirdağ Rakısı, they had the
alternatives manufactured as plastic, transparent prototypes as a first for Güven’s design office, and these prototypes were used for the presentation to the client.

Figure 3.12: Tekirdağ Rakı Trakya Serisi graphic design alternatives by Torun (retrieved and adapted from http://www.ipektorun.com/2010/category/packaging).

During the design process, Torun mentioned that she had taken feedback directly from Güven, and communicated with the client indirectly, since she did not like to be in contact with the client as always.

Discussion

In this section, designers were requested to show their initial sketches and models if possible; however, they did not want to share their sketches, models or documents due to their agreements with the client. Another reason for this was also not keeping them anymore; only Torun told that some of the design alternatives were available to
see on her website. On the other hand, Yılmaz and Güven mentioned about the website of Turkish Patent Institute in order to see other registered alternatives besides the selected one. It is important to mention that almost all of the designers did not remember the exact numbers of alternatives and they all gave a range for the number of alternatives; of course, it can be related with the time passed.

It was observed that designers cared about the packaging requirements, the design goals and expected outcomes, the rituals of rakı and ergonomics in the concept development phase. They employed contextual scenarios and visualized the glass packages on the rakı table and during serving as part of the rakı ritual. It was observed that three of the designers (i.e. Akman, Güven and Yılmaz) who created the structural designs of glass packaging were concerned about interaction between product and consumer while pouring rakı and grasping the glass body comfortably.

There are various sources of inspiration and themes used by the designers for idea generation. Local, traditional and historical elements such as traditional copper buckets (Güven), Topkapı Palace architecture (Yılmaz), and Ottoman motifs and laces (Torun); and the “classic” examples in glass, the Baccarat crystals (Akman) were among the ones indicated by the designers. “Turkish rakı” and “Turkishness” were stressed by both Irmak and Güven.

3.6.9. Finalization Process

For the finalization of the design, how the process was developed was asked to the designers. Then, an additional question inquired about whether they did a production trial. If they did, they were requested to specify if any technical problems occurred during this production trial. If yes, the designers were requested to mention about what kind of modifications they did with reasons after this trial. The designers’ general comments were obtained about the design process if they mentioned.

Yeni Rakı

As mentioned previously, Mey invited five designers to the design contest they organized and each designer presented the alternatives they had developed and selected for presentation. Then, all of the presented alternatives were evaluated by the client and reduced to a limited number for the final evaluation. In this manner,
Güven told that they had presented ten alternatives, and the client had selected three among them. After that, focus group studies were conducted for the final evaluation. She declared that focus group studies were very helpful to explore the subconscious of consumers, by asking them if the glass packaging design was a person, how it would be, and the alternative emerged from the focus group studies were declared as the most favorable alternative for rakı, which was one of Güven’s designs.

Then, the client arranged a meeting with Güven and agreed to work on other sizes of glass packaging design as well; then, another meeting was held with Anadolu Cam, the glass packaging supplier for receiving feedback about its manufacture. Since the glass bodies would proceed in production line with a high rate, it was possible to encounter several problems such as clashes, tensions, cracks and outages; therefore, she was suggested to modify the shoulder and the heel of the design as a solution for all technical constraints. However, she did not want to modify the design in the first place, and showed them several examples of similar shoulders from other countries. In addition, she explained her concerns about whether the character of the design would change if the shoulder and the heel became rounded. Although the packaging supplier did not want to take any risk, it was agreed not to do a radical modification on the design considering both sides’ demands; the shoulder and the heel radii were increased slightly and rounded accordingly. Güven pointed out that modification on the shoulder had not caused a change in the character of the glass packaging design, and even made it more characteristic.

After modifications applied, a production trial was done to see whether any problem would occur. As she noted, there was not any significant problem in the production trial, since the supplier had already taken all limits into account, and guaranteed each detail. However, she did not exactly remember if any changes happened later, and told that they might have increased the heel radius more.

When she was asked whether any problem was encountered with, she answered positively and mentioned about the label design. While designing the glass body, they also thought about the graphic design, and expressed their opinions about the serigraphy as the printing method to the client. However, the client did not like the idea of serigraphy at first, and met with several label manufacturers in order to take their feedback on labelling instead, but none of the label manufacturers accepted to
print a label to the concave form of the glass body due to the fact that it was not easy to print a label without any shrinkage or wrinkling. Consequently, it was decided to use the serigraphy method, which was what Güven hoped for.

**Burgaz Rakı**

For the presentation to the client, Irmak told that they had developed and presented four or five alternatives. While talking about the alternatives if possible to share, he told that the files were not kept for the projects older than 3 years, except the photos of the final designs.

He pointed out that when they presented the alternatives at the first place, the concept development stage had not finalized, and they continued to work on alternatives by applying revisions. At this point, he expressed that although the client already selected one of the alternatives proposed at the first presentation; they wanted to be sure about their choices at that time in order not to face with any problem, as he learnt later.

When the revised alternatives were proposed, the client evaluated very carefully, and the graphic design currently used in the market for Burgaz Rakı (Figure 2.44) was selected among the proposed alternatives. After the selection, they specified the exact print area and bleed requirements by considering technical constraints of the supplier, and then the selected design was tested in a production trial. In addition, they continued to work on the other packaging sizes to be designed.

After this trial, the only problem they have encountered was related with the color adjustment as pointed by Irmak. The density of white color on the label appeared as quite transparent, and did not provide opacity to cover enough; therefore, they decided to increase the density of paint. After testing different chemicals in order to solve that problem, they finally obtained the density they wanted. As the next step, the back label of the glass packaging was designed. After finalizing the placing of the back label information, the legal approval was taken.

During this period, they had worked not only for packaging design but also POP (point of purchase) elements used in the retail shops for Burgaz Rakı.
Kulüp Rakı

Akman stated that she had presented all the alternatives she designed to the client since she had found all of them were appropriate to manufacture and fitting the brief. She did not remember the exact number of alternatives, but told to be about 15 to 20. The client selected the final design according to the criteria mentioned in the brief. While mentioning about the final selection, Akman stated that she had found it too classic, but not modern, and she would prefer another alternative over the selected one.

For the glass packaging design of Kulüp Rakı, Akman reported that they had not needed a production trial since they had designed by considering all technical factors such as pressure, weight, technical constraints, and calculated all the required data for sending the glass packaging design directly to the manufacture without any trial. She added that she always worked in a similar way, because if the required calculations were not done, the suppliers would calculate them and do some modifications, and it would not be the exactly her planned design anymore.

Topkapı Rakı

Yılmaz reported that seven or eight alternatives were proposed to the client. Then she highlighted that all of the alternatives proposed were “manufacturable”. The client took time to evaluate themselves, and then informed Yılmaz which design alternative was selected.

For the question about whether any modifications were done after the selection, she told that they had done a small modification and explained it in detail. Since there were several mandatory texts such as the mandatory health warnings, information about the company, the production and expiration date, and information about the product inside the packaging, they had to provide all of them on the label. However, the client did not like the placement of these texts since it was thought that the placement of these texts affected the overall image of the glass package too much and asked for placing them elsewhere; therefore, a small panel was opened at the lower body to carry these texts. Then the client did not want to use it for texts, but it stayed still. As Yılmaz said, this panel worked to prevent clashes between glass
packages on product line, and the labels were not damaged due to the friction (Figure 2.50).

For the graphic design of Topkapı Rakısı glass packaging, an advertising agency worked at the same time. The advertising agency gave the dimensions they need for the communication. Yılmaz explained that they did small adjustments in order to give the area the agency wanted. Furthermore, the agency was informed about the meaning of each detail related with the glass packaging design concept by Yılmaz, and also the agency informed Yılmaz about the graphic design concept. It was a co-operative work, she said, so the graphic design and the structural design worked in a harmony.

When it was asked to her whether any production trial was done, she answered as they did, but they did not encounter any problem with the design; they only added that panel after the final design was selected.

She highlighted that the glass packaging design for Topkapı Rakısı was “a successful process” since they had produced the same glass packaging design which the client selected among the proposed alternatives.

Tekirdağ Rakısı

Güven expressed that they had prepared more than 50 design alternatives and eliminated within the design team, and then eight or ten of the alternatives were presented to the client. After that, the client eliminated the alternatives in order to use for the focus group studies. The first focus group conducted ended with a negative result since the selected alternatives by participants were not proper for labelling. Then, Güven continued to work on developing new alternatives by taking the feedback into consideration from the first focus group. After the second focus group was conducted, one of the proposed alternatives was selected as the final design.

For the graphic design alternatives prepared by Güven and Torun, another focus group study, the fourth one, was conducted; at this focus group, there were three or four alternatives proposed for the evaluation.

Güven explained that she had attended most of the focus groups, and listened to the participants; since she believed that the feedback received from focus group studies
was an important source, and she learnt much about rakı culture and packaging design owing to the focus group studies. She declared that she had listened to the consumers and watched the video records for more than 200 hours. In addition to these, consumers’ perceptions about glass packaging for rakı were also learnt from these focus groups by inquiring whether the design discussed fit the rakı category. As she said, the participants had expressed that rakı glass package would be just as the glass packaging design of Yeni Rakı. However, she told that focus group discussions continued by asking other questions:

If it fit the raki category, this time, was the glass packaging a woman or a man, young or old? Then, there are many analyses related with personification. It is not just this, for example, is it cultured or educated, working lady or housewife? And etc. Or is it a strong character? Or a young and dynamic character?

After selecting the graphic design and structural design, the technical constraints were discussed with the supplier. The supplier wanted to make the shoulders and the heel more rounded by increasing the radii of them.

When it was asked whether they did a production trial, both Güven and Torun answered positively. However, as Torun said, there were no major changes done after this production trial, and added that they had thought about printing Ottoman motifs onto the sandblasted glass package; but after trial, they gave up the idea since it did not result as they expected. Güven told that they had conceptualized the elliptical cavities onto the design as transparent (not sandblasted) like a window through which consumers could see the inside of the glass packaging; however, the supplier did not accept, and the transparent cavities were manufactured as sandblasted like all body.

For the finalization of process, Torun told that the process was not finalized actually and added: “Whereas one series was printed, we were also working on the export packaging designs. While working on the export packaging designs, the client liked an idea there and wanted to apply to the original packaging. So, we never thought as it ended.” She added that she could still see the small changes on the packaging design.
Discussion

When the concept generation and the finalization process were interpreted together, it can be observed that there are many similarities between designers’ practices. Firstly, it was seen that designers gave importance to not only the aesthetics of the design but also production methods and technical constraints. Secondly, they did not prefer to present a quite limited number of alternatives. As Güven explained, this was preferred in order to fulfill the expectations of the client completely, whereas Akman proposed all the alternatives she designed because they were all proper to manufacture, and so none of them was eliminated by her.

The results signify that the final design was selected either by the client directly, or by the help of focus group studies conducted. Then a production trial was done. After the production trial, some of the alternatives were not successfully manufactured, and so they were modified based on the received feedback from the production trial. The only designer who did not do a production trial was Akman, because all the necessary calculations were done by her team member, they did not need to do a trial.

3.6.10. The Design Elements Employed by the Designers to Achieve Design Goals

In this part, the designers were asked to mention about the glass packaging design firstly. If s/he is the designer of the glass packaging, s/he was asked how this form was developed and whether s/he worked in collaboration with the graphic designer. If s/he is the designer of the graphics, s/he was asked how this graphic design was developed and whether s/he worked in collaboration with the designer of the glass packaging. Then, another question inquired how the design goals influenced the design process.

Designers were also requested to explain how the design elements were employed in accordance with the design goals and the expected outcomes. There were sub questions which were asked to remind the design elements. Lastly, designers were asked how they achieved the design goals.
As mentioned in Section 2.5.2., the structural design refers to the form of the packaging design for this study. Therefore, the answers of the designers were categorized under separate headings as “structural design” and “graphic design”.

3.6.10.1. Structural Design

Yeni Rakı

Güven stated that the glass body of Yeni Rakı had wide shoulders with a thin waist body, and a look of standing firmly, and it reflected the male character: A strong, modest man who knows rakı culture, and its being a manly drink. By doing so, the design was prevented to be moody and feminine which was told as undesired in the brief.

According to her, the glass packaging design has a concave body form which is a traditional, local feature “peculiar to our own culture” and she added:

I designed it considering that these concave forms belonged to us. A little bit “Eastern” but simple, I mean, both modern and Eastern content, without being fancy or exaggerated. I thought this identity like that. Both Eastern and Western. It has the simplicity of the West and the dynamic lines of the East, about the concave lines.

In order to explain in detail what was obtained with a concave form, she pointed out that this form had not been used for any glass packaging design until then, firstly. Secondly, it was a synthesis of Eastern and Western qualities of Turkish culture. Thirdly, the body form created a “macho perception” implying “a woman held by a man from her waist” (belinden kavranlan kadın). Since the body had a thin waist, it helped to make it easy to hold by hand and created a haptic perception. Güven asserted that another woman designer, one of the five designers competed for the design contest, had said: “You developed a very macho design”. According to Güven, it was related with the thin waist since it supported “the macho image of a woman held by a man from her waist”. In addition to these, the form was defined as “modern”, “simple” and “modest” by Güven. She also used concave lines for the neck structure of the glass package, and told that the neck had been matched with raki, and later also used by another rakı brand, Mercan Rakı as seen in Figure 3.13.
In addition to these, she emphasized that creating a norm for rakı had been achieved through the concave form of the glass package, and consequently become a unique and cult object, which was the design goal.

**Burgaz Rakı**

When the structural packaging designer of the Burgaz Rakı was asked to Irmak, he told that the structural design was designed at the supplier by following the directions of the company owner.

Irmak expressed that it was an advantage for them to have a quite wide area on the glass body left for the label. He explained about form as: “There exists a cut on the body, planned or unplanned, but turns into a sharp pointed moon” (Figure 2.44). According to him, similarly there were also sharp pointed elements in the Burgaz logotype that Irmak designed, and therefore it created a harmony between the graphic design and the structural design; despite not conveying a direct visual message to the consumers, they could perceive it subconsciously.
Kulüp Rakı

As mentioned in previous sections, the client brought several examples from a French supplier at to Akman at the beginning of the process. When she was requested to tell how the form developed (Figure 3.14), she mentioned about forms of crystals that she was inspired from (Figure 3.6 and Figure 3.7), and expressed that she had designed the body which has wide cuts in reference to Baccarat crystals. Then, she added that these wide cuts provided elegance, luxury and a classic style as one of the goals of the design. These cuts also reflected its quality since the client had wanted a classic and high quality glass packaging design.

As she explained, the glass packages were proceeding side by side in a production line and there were points on the shoulders which touch others. These points had to be smooth; otherwise, bottles could be broken due to a clash among them. She indicated that the edges of the cuts were the thinnest points of the glass and easy to break. Therefore, a smooth shoulder line provided a “secure touch” among bottles.

Figure 3.14: Smooth shoulder line of Kulüp Rakı glass packaging design (retrieved and adapted from: https://m1.behance.net/project_cover?proj_id=11690791&size=404).
Related with a cylindrical body form, she told that it was easy to grab by hand and fit consumers’ palms. She left a larger label area on the straight body in order to ensure readability of the label.

**Topkapı Rakı**

According to Yılmaz, it was obvious that they would not develop a square section form such as vodka, since it was not possible to deviate from habits that the existing consumers had. Besides that, they also did not want to encounter any problems with the design on the production line; therefore, a cylindrical form was developed.

Since the main goal was to design a package reminding of Topkapı Palace, the shoulder was developed as a spherical, dome form in accordance with the dome of Topkapı Palace. She explained that the sphere form consisted of six cuts; indeed, these cuts were a stylization of Topkapı Palace (Figure 3.8). They provided dynamism and shining to the design by generating refractions.

She defined the glass packaging design to have a modern style; avoiding an old fashioned and retro look with “Arabic lines” was stressed. She added that it had to look modern while reminding of the cues from Topkapı Palace. According to her, the glass packaging design (Figure 2.50) achieved its major goal, reminding of Topkapı Palace. Apart from this, the panel created at the lower body minimized the label damage considering the friction in the production line.

The design also achieved to reflect its price; since the client specified the segment of the product as economy, the manufacturing cost was taken into account as a significant factor and after all, the glass packaging of Topkapı Rakı did not have a look of an ultra-premium package and it did not create a perception of expensiveness.

She pointed out that the elements such as the design’s shoulder detail, narrow body and a total harmony reflected its distinctive character. The narrow body also provided an ease for holding and pouring raki, and the shoulder detail reflected its inspiration source which is Topkapı Palace.
Tekirdağ Rakı

Güven explained that she had continued to work on the female body metaphor by giving weight to the character of “the woman held by a man from her waist”, which started in the Yeni Rakı glass packaging design; they wanted the body looked like a woman who has a sophisticated look. Therefore, she conceptualized the collar detail, nearby the shoulder, as having a unique movement. Besides that, the inlaid areas formed by the collar nearby the shoulder (Figure 3.15), described as elliptical cavities by her, also reflected that sophisticated look, as she stated.

Since she planned to maintain the norm created for the rakı category with Yeni Rakı, the same neck structure with concave lines was used for Tekirdağ Rakısı glass packaging design. In addition to this, a sharper shoulder detail and a collar detail integrated with the label design developed.

Figure 3.15: The inlaid areas formed by the collar detail and aniseed stylization and adapted from: http://www.diageo.com/en-sc/newsmedia/pages/resource.aspx?resourceid=738).

According to Güven, providing an easily handling was significant; therefore, she improved the substantial grip through its inlaid areas and a narrow body. Hereby, the glass packaging design provided a strong haptic feeling for consumers.
She said that being proper for labelling was a significant detail specified in the brief and the client wanted them to take into consideration technical constraints. Although Güven wanted to maintain the norm by designing a concave body as she did for Yeni Rakı, the glass body of Tekirdağ Rakısi was designed as straight. The inlaid areas (elliptical cavities) were not used at the waist particularly; she preferred to use them nearby the shoulder in order to provide a larger label area as desired by the client. According to her, this innovative form made the glass packaging of Tekirdağ Rakısi an iconic design, as desired.

3.6.10.2. Graphic Design

Yeni Rakı

Güven told that the graphic design of Yeni Rakı became integrated with the structural design. Since one of the main considerations about Yeni Rakı was reflecting its price, it was not designed as a high-cost glass packaging. As she described, it could not have any coatings or patterns printed on the surface so that its cost would not become high and everybody could afford to buy it. Güven expressed that Rafineri Agency had worked for the graphics of Yeni Rakı.

For the printing method, serigraphy was preferred by Güven. According to her, serigraphy matched up with rakı more compared to labelling. She added that serigraphy printing had given lightness to the design and become integrated with the glass body as well.

Güven also mentioned about the story of Yeni Rakı Beykoz glass packaging design which has the same glass body with Yeni Rakı. When Mey wanted to launch a limited edition premium rakı for The European Capital of Culture in 2010, Güven thought about using Beykoz motifs (local motifs) on design, and found Fahriye Özbey who is a traditional decorative (handicraft) artist. Ten different design alternatives were prepared in gold color as hand-painted, and proposed to the client; three of them were launched (Figure 2.37). As she declared, rakı had been produced in Beykoz in the past, and also there had been a glass manufacture factory in Beykoz; so these all became integrated and the story of Yeni Rakı Beykoz emerged. Many consumers liked it and used it as a collection piece in their glass cases.
She also mentioned about the new graphic design of Yeni Rakı which was redesigned by Landor Associates Dubai in 2013 (Figure 2.32). She told that it had been targeting global markets as the reason for redesign so that the new design could reveal the heritage of rakı. She commented that glass packaging design had not lost its essence, which was important.

**Burgaz Rakı**

Irmak told that they aimed to stress the Turkish culture, its being traditional Turkish drink, and something which comes from Turkish essence through its graphic language. In this manner, they prominently used the red color for the logotype along with black, and used white for the background. These colors were used only for small details like lion details on Yeni Rakı previously; however, red was not a color owned and used dominantly in the rakı category. After that, he asserted: “We had to own a color first, as Burgaz Rakı. So, we chose red. It was a conscious choice in order to empower the Turkish image.”

![Figure 3.16: The moiré effect on the label of Burgaz Rakı (Image courtesy of Orhan Irmak Design Archive).](image)

Then, he expressed that they wanted to reflect the whitening of rakı when water was poured to the glass and the “the moiré” (*hare*) on the label. As mentioned by him, the moiré was identified with the color transition of rakı from transparent to white. They
believed its attractiveness and in order to achieve this, a transparent label was used and the moiré effect was visualized through a smooth transition in color from transparent to white (Figure 3.16).

In addition to this, they used the white color at the background considering the readability problem, and made the white color opaque in order to prevent appearing of posterior labels on the store shelf. This was because the competitor had encountered a readability problem with its serigraphy printed glass packaging design. He indicated that Burgaz Rakı had never faced with the same readability problem.

He continued that oriental, curved elements had been preferred for typography so that the design could stress Turkishness. According to him, there were not various elements to use on the packaging design, and they had only the brand name; therefore, “Burgaz Rakı” text was turned into the logo design completely, and he pointed out: “This was why the name ‘Burgaz’ was curved this much and trying to tell something.”

Since the glass body was cylindrical, a vertical logotype was preferred for a powerful and stressed design area. In order to keep it simple but also eye-catching on the shelf, a larger area was used for the vertical logotype. This was also for reminding itself to consumers and attracting their attentions. According to him, the logotype was the most significant design element with its placement, proportions and vertical usage and it had a power to achieve all design goals on its own.

They used a typeface which is pointed and having a reference to handwriting along with the red and white color choice on the label design. According to him, these all were related with the major design goal which aimed to emphasize its Turkish identity more; consequently, this goal was achieved. He also thought that the other design goals had been achieved: Reminding itself to the consumers, standing out on the shelves and attracting consumers.

**Kulüp Rakı**

Akman explained that the label of Kulüp Rakı was classic, and that wide label was telling a story circumferentially which included a rakı table and two men sitting there (Figure 2.21 and Figure 3.14). According to her, its wideness caused a problem for
consumers since it was not possible to see the label at a glance. In this point, she had suggested that the brand name could have been closer to the side of men, and the background could have been changed in order to make it sharp, but not resulted.

**Topkapı Rakısı**

Although Yılmaz did not mention about the graphic design in detail, she declared briefly that the graphic design of Topkapı Rakı fit to its structural design. The glass packaging was launched as serigraph printed. Colors, its cap and all design elements did not distort the key concept of the packaging design. According to her, the graphic design and the structural design were talking in the same language.

When requested to talk about the texture, she explained briefly that the body had been designed as flint, and then secondary operations had been applied later (Figure 2.50). For the glass packaging of Topkapı Rakı Yaş Üzüm, the same glass body was manufactured from a green degraded glass. The reason for this was highlighting its being a fresh grape rakı. For the design of Topkapı Mir Rakı, a sandblasting operation was applied. According to Yılmaz, the packaging design had positioned itself in the right place and become distinctive among rakı brands and provided a difference to rakı culture and markets.

**Tekirdağ Rakısı**

Torun told that they had taken the triangle space (Figure 3.17) emerged with the collar form nearby the shoulder as a reference point. As stated by her, they worked on most of the elements by considering the arches on edges and the tiny triangle space emerged, and they mostly worked on this space. Following the outline defined by the collar form, they designed the shape of the label. Torun added that form of the glass packaging was also a reference for them which associated with a centered block design.

Güven mentioned that there was an undefined space when the two cavities met with the logo, and she decided to integrate the logo with that space, and suggested Torun to use something to make the triangle meaningful; thus, a symbol (Figure 3.17) was placed in the one corner of the triangle. According to Güven, that symbol reminds of aniseed prominently along with the sun and the sunflower fields of Trakya. She
stressed that if that symbol was not used, the design could not have been completed visually.

Güven told they designed the logo between two cavities and added that:

Actually, this was not the first logo. There is a kind of a mountain stylization, a triangle space in the first logo. Above it, there is a snow particle or a character symbolizing the sun. I wanted this particularly, because it was defining this triangle space. Logo, that mountain, a move for symbolization of the mountain of Tekirdağ and, kind of stylization of the sun, aniseed, etc., defined it in the middle of those two cavities and ending the story.

As mentioned by Güven, the client wanted particularly a bigger grape illustration when compared to its previous design due to the goal of stressing its being a fresh grape raki. In addition, Torun told that the detailed illustration of a bigger grape (Figure 3.17) fit the identity of label design.

Güven mentioned that the current graphic design in the stores was redesigned in 2011. Before that, in 2008, the glass packaging of Tekirdağ Rakısı was designed with a label and flint glass, whereas the glass packaging of Tekirdağ Rakısı Altın Seri was designed as sandblasted and serigraphy printed. As told by Güven, there were a curve above “K” letter and an ornament -the mountain stylization- above the logo (Figure 3.17), which made the design look extremely traditional; on the contrary, a modern and younger look was desired by the client. After designing the packaging of Tekirdağ Rakısı Trakya Serisi as sandblasted and serigraphy printed, they decided to revise each of them, and create a family resemblance among all Tekirdağ Rakısı extensions. During this revision, the curve ornament above “K” and the stylization were removed from the label, while changing the labelled flint glass bodies to serigraph printed sandblasted glass ones (Figure 3.17). She added that during this redesign process, she never considered removing the aniseed stylization in spite of the client’s suggestion, because it defined the triangle space which was integrated with the cavities. The logo was purified and enlarged.
In order to mention about typography, Güven told that typography had both calligraphic and modern, characteristic elements since the design was planned to have an Ottoman and aristocratic look. Related with this, Torun declared that typography had a rooted reference, and reminded.

According to Torun, the triangle space emerged with elliptical cavities was not appropriate to use a huge typeface horizontally. She declared that she had used a serif typeface, Gaudi Old Style, which had two-weight letter styles in order to give a sophisticated vintage prestigious look, as design goal. Torun declared that graphic design had a quite modest and traditional look, not a remarkably modern look. She had used two-weight letter styles which have curves getting thinner and thicker within typeface, Gaudi Old Style; as mentioned before, she wanted to make it to look similar to laces.

The other typeface used in the front label was sans serif, and she explained the reason that two typefaces could work better in a contrast, whereas it could cause a complexity when two similar ones were used. For the back label, she preferred a condensed, sans-serif typeface for communication since the back label had a limited
area for placing mandatory texts. In addition to this, the font size was selected as five or six by considering the constraints of printing and readability problems (Figure 3.18).

![Image of Tekirdağ Rakısı back label]

Figure 3.18: The back label of Tekirdağ Rakısı.

For Tekirdağ Rakısı Trakya Serisi, Torun told that there were three different handwritten typefaces used on the label; she admitted that although she did not want to use these typefaces together, the client insisted on using them. She added that it did not become a problem since the design was addressing the target consumer group as planned.

Torun said that the client wanted to maintain the same navy blue color used on the old packaging design of Tekirdağ Rakısı (Figure 2.30), and so the same pantone color was given in order to use while designing. As she said, navy color might have been used because of its association with masculinity and it had not been associated with femininity, based on the client’s research.

Güven explained that regular rakı brands used the blue color; fresh grape rakı brands used green color. The reason of using green color was because of the categorization created by the competitor; therefore, the same categorization was followed. According to Güven, economy segment rakı brands preferred the red color based on
the competitors’ brands which were sold at an affordable price launched with the red color on their designs, on particularly their caps.

For the design of Tekirdağ Rakısı Altın Seri, they aimed to reflect the superior quality, prestigious and luxury image, and Güven declared that they achieved it through the gold leaf printing, and the black and gold colors used on the label (Figure 3.19). She stressed its being actual gold print. The shining and prestigious appearance of gold reflected its being a premium drink.

![Figure 3.19: Tekirdağ Rakısı Altın Seri and Tekirdağ Rakısı Trakya Serisi glass packaging designs (retrieved and adapted from: http://www.diageo.com/en-sc/newsmedia/pages/resource.aspx?resourceid=738).](image)

For Tekirdağ Rakısı Trakya Serisi, it was aimed to reflect its gourmet, smoother taste which comes from the *Semillon* and *Papaz Karası* fresh grapes of Trakya Region. In order to reflect its taste, different colors were proposed, and so the graphic design of Tekirdağ Rakısı Trakya Serisi had three tons of green color (Figure 3.19). According to Torun, they achieved to emphasize its more fresh grape taste than other Tekirdağ Rakısı brands by using a darker green color.

According to Torun, there was a hierarchy among the three extensions of Tekirdağ Rakısı and this hierarchy was described through color, and the price and quality were also parallel to this description. She added that:
If you say that we compared this with other brands, again, particularly the gold color and its derivatives were preferred for expensive products mostly. Pale colors are preferred for cheaper products. So do the other brands. At that point, I guess, the material and color used become a code for that.

According to Güven, the all extensions’ designs achieved to look more prestigious through their colors as aimed so, “The holistic design of the packaging” was stated as important, and she highlighted to solve each design problem as three dimensional, to complete the design process successfully. The logo became integrated with body form and the inlaid areas, and the design was completed through the aniseed symbol which defined the space as a triangle. Güven told that she could not think of the structural design and graphic design separately and all of design elements were in a harmony.

About the surface finish, Güven told that they firstly designed glass packaging for Tekirdağ Rakısı as flint, without sand-blasted. In addition to this, the client also wanted labelling for the design, not serigraphy printing; so, the form and the surface were conceptualized as straight and label-applicable (Figure 3.17). On the other hand, Güven designed the glass packaging of Tekirdağ Rakısı Altın Seri as sandblasted in order to create a smoother effect and look sophisticated for a high segment, premium rakı. When the sandblasted glass met with the yellowish color, which comes from the distillation process and aging in oak barrels, it achieved to reflect the smooth taste, delicious draught and the color of rakı within, which was a design goal.

Although Tekirdağ Rakısı Altın Seri was planned to be the only sandblasted glass package, the client decided to make all of the Tekirdağ Rakısı types sandblasted and serigraphy printed, after the design of Tekirdağ Rakısı Trakya Serisi sandblasted and serigraphy printed; thus, the new Tekirdağ Rakısı family was created in 2011 (Figure 3.20).
Discussion

It can be said that all designers took into consideration the design goals and expected outcomes, and thought at the end that they were successful in achieving design goals. They all aimed to fulfill aesthetic, emotional, functional and technical values which were set as design goals.

Structural and graphic designs. All of the designers gave importance to the harmony between the structural design and the graphic design. Two designers (Akman, Yılmaz) mentioned the order in which the structural and graphic design should be developed; according to these designers, structural design should precede graphic design in the design process, not only because of technical and economic considerations, but also not to limit designers’ creativity while designing a critical design element, form.
Yılmaz stated that concerning the structural design, possibilities were limited. According to her, there seems to be only shoulder and neck design left since the other elements were either universal or strongly dominated by the category or client. It was observed that the base, cap details (i.e. the finish, lip and collar), and the *cylindrical* glass body remains similar in the structural designs. The only non-cylindrical alternative designed by Akman had not been selected by the client in the case of Kulüp Rakı.

*Communicating product qualities.* It can be seen that reflecting taste of the product only came up in the case of Tekirdağ Rakısı, and the designers of other brands did not mention about the taste of the product. The main reason of this was that the market was not diversified yet with various tastes of rakı immediately after the privatization; accordingly, only Güven and Torun mentioned about the goal of reflecting taste through design. The use of a bigger grape illustration when compared to the previous design was related with the goal of stressing its being fresh grape rakı, and also targeting the competitors’ consumers. In addition, the use of gold color and sandblasted glass texture was related with the goal of reflecting its smooth taste and delicious draught of Tekirdağ Rakı Altın Seri. For Tekirdağ Rakısı Trakya Serisi, its gourmet, smoother taste was reflected on the design by using three tons of green color and it differentiated from other segments of Tekirdağ Rakısı. Designers also used references to its ingredient herb, aniseed, and the color transition (the whitening of rakı). In the case of Burgaz Rakı, the whitening of rakı was reflected through a “moiré effect” in color on the label. In the case of Tekirdağ Rakısı, there are aniseed stylization and grape illustration in reference to the ingredients of rakı.

*Communicating aesthetic values: Reflecting style.* Designers also mentioned about the aesthetic values that the client requested. As Güven and Torun mentioned, “having a modern look” was stressed as a goal whereas looking completely traditional and simple was told as undesired, and they achieved this through form and surface graphics in the case of Tekirdağ Rakısı. On the contrary, the glass packaging design of Kulüp Rakı was avoided to have a modern style, while aiming at having a classic and elegant look; therefore this was achieved through form which has wide cuts like crystals. Yılmaz also pointed out that the client wanted Topkapı Rakısı to
have a modern look while carrying several cues from Topkapı Palace; and this was achieved through the shoulder detail of form.

*Communicating aesthetic values: Developing an iconic design.* The goal of developing an iconic design or a norm for the rakı category was declared only in the case of Yeni Rakı. Additionally, sustaining the iconic design developed for Yeni Rakı was aimed while designing the glass packaging of Tekirdağ Rakısı. Yeni Rakı has a concave body which reminds of traditional forms; concave lines were also used for the neck structure of the design. The same neck structure was used for the Tekirdağ Rakısı for sustaining the norm. Güven believes that the neck matched with rakı since a competitor brand used it as well. As she emphasized, serigraphy printing was believed to fit rakı culture and was used for not only Yeni Rakı packaging design but also for Tekirdağ Rakısı packaging design.

*Maintaining a family resemblance for product line extensions.* For product line extensions of Tekirdağ Rakısı, while form, sandblasted glass and serigraphy printing creates a family resemblance, surface graphics helps differentiation within the brand family.

*Communicating emotional values: Character and product gender.* Designers created their packaging designs by conceptualizing it on the rakı table. Firstly, the glass packaging was designed to have a presence at rakı table in the cases of Kulüp Rakı and Yeni Rakı. Akman wanted the Kulüp Rakı glass packaging look elegant on the rakı table, while Güven conceptualized Yeni Rakı as a manly drink and as a character which stands firmly on the rakı table. This also implies that genderedness was an issue in design; female body metaphors and male body metaphors were employed in the cases of Tekirdağ Rakısı and Yeni Rakı respectively.

*Communicating emotional values: Reflecting brand value and segment.* Reflecting price through design was mentioned as a significant factor by all designers except Irmak. In the cases of Yeni Rakı and Topkapı Rakısı, it was aimed to reflect its price in order to look affordable; therefore, the packaging design of Yeni Rakı did not have any coatings or patterns printed as Güven told. The manufacturing of Topkapı Rakı packaging design did not cost high, as stated by Yılmaz. The packaging design of Kulüp Rakı, on the other hand, had the aim of reflecting its high price, and Akman
believes that the form with wide cuts provided a luxury and superior quality appearance.

Furthermore, price hierarchies and product segments are defined with color codes. For the packaging design of Tekirdağ Rakısı Altın Seri, the actual gold shining leaf printing, the black and gold colors on the label were determined in order to achieve the goal of reflecting its being a premium, luxury and superior quality drink as Güven declared. As it can be seen in the cases of Tekirdağ Rakısı Altın Seri, Topkapı Mir Rakı and Yeni Rakı Beykoz; gold colors and its derivatives are used on premium and high-priced packaging designs. The green color was used in Efe Rakı to highlight being “fresh grape” rakı for the first time in the rakı category as mentioned by Güven and Torun. The economy segment brands, on the other hand, preferred the red color, particularly for their caps as indicated by Güven. Red color was used for Burgaz Rakı packaging design which is a lower-priced brand; however, since its designer did not mention this directly, it is not clear whether using the red color has a relation with reflecting the economy segment. As Irmak told, red was not a color used dominantly in the rakı category before they redesigned the Burgaz Rakı graphic design in 2006; but, it might have led the category and coded “red” as an economy segment color, and so the other economy segment brands, which were launched after Burgaz Rakı, might have followed this coding system and use the red color in reference to the economy segment.

Communicating emotional values: Reflecting local identity. Another design goal mentioned by two of the designers can be told as related with the notion of “Turkishness” since they stressed “Turkish culture”, “Turkish drink”, “Turkish essence”, and “this geography”. In the cases of Yeni Rakı and Tekirdağ Rakısı, there were concave lines used as told by Güven as “peculiar to our own culture” and a synthesis of Eastern and Western qualities of Turkish culture. In the Burgaz Rakı case, Irmak used oriental, curved elements for logotype and red-white colors in order to emphasize its Turkish identity. The shoulder of Topkapı Rakısı glass packaging was designed as a spherical, dome form in reference to Topkapı Palace.

Functional values: Easy handling. It was seen that all of the designers, who work on the structural design of packaging, took user-product interaction into account. In the Topkapı Rakı case, the narrow body was designed to provide an ease in holding and
pouring rakı, as Yılmaz declared. Akman told that the glass body of Kulüp Rakı must be grabbed by hand easily and fit consumers’ palms. On the other hand, in the case of Yeni Rakı, the thin waist glass body was designed to be grabbed easily and so created not only a haptic perception but also a “macho perception” by implying “a woman held by a man from her waist”.

*Functional values: Ensuring readability and a larger label area.* In order to stand out on the store shelf, designers followed various strategies. In the Burgaz Rakı case, Irmak preferred a vertical use of logotype for a larger label area, and adhesive label for ensuring readability. In the case of Tekirdağ Rakısı, the elliptical cavities nearby the shoulder provided a larger label area and small font, condensed, sans-serif typeface used for ensuring readability. In the case of Kulüp Rakı, a larger straight area was used for label and ensuring readability.

### 3.6.11. Problems Encountered by the Designers during the Design Process

In this part, it was investigated to learn the problems that designers had encountered. Different to the previous section, brand categorization was not used here. Instead of that, their responses were categorized and presented under the headings of technical problems, client and marketing team related problems, graphic design and structural design related problems, and other problems.

Four of the designers, except Yılmaz, mentioned about the problems they have encountered with during design process. Yılmaz told that there had not been any problem; it was a successful example in all manners. According to her, the client was very conscious, the advertising agency was successful; hence, they did not have any problem.

#### 3.6.11.1. Problems Related with Technical Constraints

As previously mentioned, Irmak declared that they had a color adjustment problem. Although it was planned to reflect the whitening of rakı by using a color transition in the label design, they had to try several times until they reached a smoother color transition. The reason was that the white paint looked too much transparent than they planned to have and it was not enough opaque to cover. They investigated “how to do the color denser” and tried to obtain a smoother transition between colors.
Güven pointed out that the shoulders and the heel of the Yeni Rakı glass packaging design had been rounded by increasing the radii of them. The main reason for this was also the supplier’s technical constraint for manufacture in those days. She added that more sharp shoulders had been manufactured for the following glass packaging design projects (Tekel Cin and Tekel Votka) after the manufacture of Yeni Rakı glass package. She said that “The suppliers are open to all innovations and can exceed the limits a bit now”.

In addition, Güven mentioned that persuading a supplier to manufacture the design with all details was difficult both in the design process of Yeni Rakı and Tekirdağ Rakısı. The inlaid areas nearby the shoulder (elliptical cavities) which was planned to be transparent could not be manufactured due to the technical constraints of the supplier.

Güven told that one of the Yeni Rakı glass packaging sizes, 50 cl, had been manufactured at the supplier by the client without informing her, and this caused a failure in its proportions and the 50 cl became the plumpest one of the family.

Akman also criticized that the supplier had not done successfully the transitions between the shoulder and the cuts for the glass packaging of Kulüp Rakı.

3.6.11.2. Problems Related with Clients and Marketing Teams

Irmak asserted that the client had not been successful about the design management and added that it might not also be true to expect the opposite, since it was a Turkish firm and their first experience in this sector; on the contrary, it could be different if it was a food or personal care brand. Irmak reported that the client’s limited experience was normal for them; however, after the end of project, they had also faced with another problem related with the payments. Thus, it was stated that they had proceeded against the client and it had been resulted positively for Irmak.

Güven told that although they considered serigraphy as the printing method of Yeni Rakı packaging design, the client wanted to change it to labelling. After all, none of the suppliers could do labelling onto the concave form of the glass packaging.

Most of the designers mentioned that the marketing teams of the clients were changing very fast in a short time. Whereas Güven told that it brought dynamism to
the firms, Akman stated that she did not believe that the marketing teams gave right decisions because of this fast change; their limited experience and interference could affect the designer negatively. To exemplify, Torun declared that when agreed on a detail with a marketing team member, another one could want to change it. Akman declared that they could not have given either a right brief or a right decision while selecting among the design alternatives.

Torun stated that she would have never used three similar handwritten typefaces on a small area by implying the label of Tekirdağ Rakısı; however, she had to do it since the client insisted on having those typefaces in particular. She added that since her specialization was on typography, she was more sensitive about that. She pointed out that all designers had this problem in the sector.

3.6.11.3. Problems Related with Graphic Design and Structural Design

Only one of the designers, Akman, mentioned about a problem she faced with. According to her, using an existing label was a significant constraint while designing a new glass packaging. She told that the label was previously used on a wider glass body and it had specific dimensions which could not be changed even slightly. Since the label was circumferential and had a story of two men around a rakı table, the details of the label should have been perceived all at once; therefore, it needed a wider area on the glass body. On the other hand, the widening of the glass packaging meant to be shorter which was told as definitely undesired in the brief.

3.6.11.4. Other Problems

Güven mentioned that consumers started to complain about the anti-counterfeit cap as it was not easy to pour rakı after the launch of the Yeni Rakı glass packaging design, although it was a universal cap used for many alcoholic beverages; some of the consumers even broke the glass packages while trying to pour. As she explained, Mey had investigated the reason and found that the plastic type of the cap had a chemical interaction with rakı particularly. When another plastic type was used for the cap, the problem was fixed.

It is observed that there were several problems in all of the design processes, except Topkapı Rakısı design process. Three of the designers (i.e. Torun, Irmak and
Akman) were uncomfortable about the client’s way of working. Marketing teams’ having limited experience and knowledge were observed as the main reason for these problems, and this can be summed up as the clients’ not having a successful design management.

Technical constraints that designers faced with also affected designers by restricting their creativity, and caused several modifications on their designs.

3.6.12. The Feedback Received after the Launch of the Product

In this section, the designers were asked to mention about the feedback received from consumers, the design society, and the business world.

Yeni Rakı

Güven answered the question as the feedback was mostly positive, and added that there was a small group of people who did not like it as well. Then, she explained that most of the people said: “Rakı deserved this and it attained a more characteristic glass body.” She asserted that she read comments at social platforms such as ekşisözlük, itüşözlük and so on. In addition to this, she took positive feedback from the client and the results of focus group studies conducted. The participants of the focus group for Tekirdağ Rakısı told that a rakı glass packaging design for rakı became like Yeni Rakı, with a thin waist.

Güven repeated that the glass body was designed as a male character to be perceived as a woman held by a man from her waist; however, this was perceived as a macho design. There were also lots of articles published in several magazines and newspapers; one of them included a comment of Deniz Gürsoy (an author who writes on rakı and also Turkish cuisine): “The previous glass body was our wife, this became our mistress.” In addition to this, some of the comments in press had highlighted that Yeni Rakı had a thin waist glass packaging design in similar to the tulip shaped tea glass, as mentioned previously.

Burgaz Rakı

Irmak told that the feedback received for the aesthetics of Burgaz Rakı had been positive, the client had never encountered a problem with its communication; the packaging design explained and reminded itself to the consumers, and the red color
code was used as the main color for other communication tools as well, which showed the appropriation of the design.

**Kulüp Rakı**

Akman declared that one of the newspaper articles she read was congratulating her on Kulüp Rakı glass packaging design which fit its nostalgic label. Besides that, she had learnt from the client that the design goals specified in brief were succeeded, and after all, the design was appreciated by everyone.

She stated that she had seen the poster of Kulüp Rakı in another client’s office: The head of office hanged the poster without knowing its designer, and he would not have ever hanged an ordinary poster on, according to Akman.

**Topkapı Rakı**

According to Yılmaz, the design was appreciated, because she attended the “40 Designers Under 40” exhibition with Topkapı Rakı glass packaging design. Besides that, an interview conducted with her on Topkapı Rakı and its design process was broadcasted in a TV program. She also said that the client had been pleased and they had not changed anything on the design till then.

**Tekirdağ Rakısı**

Torun expressed that she had never heard any negative criticism and added; family and friends had commented positively. According to her, people liked the new design since its previous design was bulky a bit. Not only consumers but also designers appreciated the design, and glass packaging design of Tekirdağ Rakısı received many design awards. As stated by her, when the client stopped requesting any changes, it was seen as a proof that the client appreciated the design. However, she also pointed out that the only person who felt uncomfortable about the design was her herself due to its typographic selections.

**Discussion**

It was understood that when the client do not want revisions anymore, it means successes for the designer. Besides these, columns, internet platforms, exhibitions and
the feedback received from family and friends were significant for designers in order to understand whether they succeeded.

3.6.13. Designers’ Current Evaluations of Their Designs

Designers were asked to tell what they would change on the packaging design if they had a chance now.

**Yeni Raki**

Güven declared that she would not change anything about the structural design of Yeni Raki; however, she would want to work for its graphic design as well which might result better. On the other hand, she would have wanted to design its 50 cl size, which was manufactured without asking her.

**Burgaz Raki**

Irmak declared: “Today, consumers are used to seeing various elements on the rakı packages now; they accept dynamic elements more, they accept novelties more, they are open.” If it was a new project, he could turn the moiré into a figurative form, add dynamism, and the Burgaz Rakı logotype would not be that much bigger, because rakı brands do not have any concerns as they had beforehand.

**Kulüp Raki**

Akman criticized that she would have changed the glass packaging design completely and design it to look modern. She added that she had already developed modern design alternatives; but, the client did not select them. According to her, the design could have both classic and modern style which would result better.

She also added that she had a totally new perspective now, and the new design could generate a new way of using and new additional functions, if it had been possible to design it. She also implied that instead of conventional thin and tall rakı glass, a new glass could be designed.
Topkapı Rakı

According to Yılmaz, manufacturing a product without applying radical changes meant the achievement for a designer. She asserted that it was a successful design process in every manner and explained:

It has been six years; we can reinterpret again many designs which were designed six years ago, but this fit well, another could not be done, it was positioned correctly, designed correctly. I would not do anything since it includes all elements correctly. I would make it manufactured as same if it was today.

Tekirdağ Rakısı

Güven declared that before its turning into serigraphy print in 2011, she had always wanted to change labelled glass body to the printed one; thus, there is no need to change anything now since it happened already.

As previously mentioned, Torun expressed that there were three different handwritten typefaces used on the Tekirdağ Rakısı Trakya Serisi label design since the client had wanted to use them on the label particularly. According to Torun, using three handwritten typefaces on a small area was not a correct choice from her design point of view, and if any changes could be done now for graphic design of Tekirdağ Rakısı, she would change the typographic selections.

Discussion

It can be summarized that three of the designers (i.e. Irmak, Torun and Akman), answered as positively on whether they would apply any changes to their designs. Two of the designers, Torun and Irmak, mentioned that they would change some parts of the designs, typography and logotype; whereas Akman would change the design completely, and prefer another alternative she designed. Irmak mentioned about the changed market conditions as the reason behind this desire; however, the other two would change their designs due to their current evaluations about their designs which are negative, and this was mostly related with the preference of the clients.
CHAPTER 4

CONCLUSION

In this chapter, firstly the conclusions of the study are presented through revisiting the research questions. Secondly, the limitations of the study are stated together with the implications of the study and recommendations for further research.

4.1. Research Questions Revisited

As declared before, the main goal of this research is to investigate the designers’ practices for developing glass packaging designs for rakı introduced into the Turkish market after the privatization in 2004, and to identify design elements in relation to design goals aimed to achieve from the designers’ perspective.

What are the earlier examples of glass packaging designs for rakı in Turkey prior to 2004?

To answer this question, the literature was reviewed in detail and the findings on the earlier history of rakı were presented along with glass packaging designs prior to 2004 in Chapter 2. It was seen that while many label designs for rakı brands are available in the literature, the same thing cannot be said for structural designs of them. The number and diversity of structural designs in the literature are quite limited.

On the other hand, the cost and size of glass packaging was always an important consideration for rakı manufacturers. As explained by E. Zat, the high cost of glass packaging was the reason for using a deposit system in the early 1900s, and the manufacturers reused glass packages along with the old style and large size containers (2012). With regard to size, the state institution of Alcohol and Alcoholic Drinks of Turkey increased the volume of rakı glass packages to two liters in order to lower the consumption rates for a while prior to 1940.
During the Tekel period, graphic design was regarded as a more important tool for differentiation than structural design of glass packaging. As also stated by Irmak (2011), it is the identity of graphic designers (i.e. İlşap Hulusi Görey and Attı Tuna), rather than the brand identity of Tekel itself, which dominated the early history of design in the rakı market. Instead of using an original design peculiar to one brand, three generic glass packages were used for all rakı brands and even for some other products of Tekel as explained by Kırlılı (as cited in Irmak, 2011).

Therefore, it can be said that in comparison to structural design, label design was a more prominent and significant aspect of glass packaging design for rakı in the early history. Cost considerations, technological limitations, demand of the market and the governmental regulations all contributed to the imbalance between the number and diversity of label designs, and the limited number and variety of structural designs in glass packaging design in the early history.

**What are the glass packaging designs for rakı introduced into the Turkish market after the privatization in 2004?**

As discussed in the literature review, with the impact of privatization in 2004, a rapid transformation was experienced in the rakı market; several private companies were established, and they launched a great variety of brands in a short span of time. In order to show the radical change in the rakı market after the privatization, 10 companies (as opposed to one, Tekel) and 74 rakı brands (as opposed to four) were identified and most of the glass packaging designs were presented in Chapter 2. Therefore, it can be said that glass packaging design gained more importance due to the increased competition among the brands. According to the literature review and the field study, design consultancies, individual designers, advertising and communication agencies, and packaging suppliers’ design offices have been responsible from these glass packaging designs for rakı in the post-2004 period (Table 4.1).
Table 4.1: Rakı brands launched after privatization in 2004, and their designers. The text in blue shows foreign design sources used and the text in italic shows the designs that belong to the period before 2004.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Company</th>
<th>Brand</th>
<th>Designed By</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mey</td>
<td>Yeni Rakı</td>
<td>Gamze Güven, Mete Ahıska (structural design, 2004), Rafineri (graphic design, 2004), Landor Agency (graphic design, 2013)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yeni Rakı Yeni Seri</td>
<td>Pearlfisher (graphic design, 2009)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yeni Rakı Beykoz</td>
<td>Fahriye Özbey, Gamze Güven (graphic design, 2010) (same structural design)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yeni Rakı Ala</td>
<td>Dragon Rouge (graphic design, 2011) (same structural design)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yeni Rakı Rastgele</td>
<td>Gamze Güven, Tasarımüssü (graphic design, 2014) (same structural design)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tekirdağ Rakısı</td>
<td>Gamze Güven, İpek Torun (structural design, graphic design, 2008)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tekirdağ Rakısı Altın Seri</td>
<td>Gamze Güven, İpek Torun (graphic design, 2008) (same structural design)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tekirdağ Rakısı Trakya Serisi</td>
<td>Gamze Güven, İpek Torun (graphic design, 2009) (same structural design)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tekirdağ No 10</td>
<td>Gamze Güven, Tasarımüssü (structural design, graphic design, 2014)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Altınbaş Rakı</td>
<td>Gamze Güven, Kayhan Erdeğirmenci (graphic design, 2010) Atif Tuna (Altınbaş logo design, pre-privatization period)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Kulüp Rakı</td>
<td>Oya Akman (structural design, 2007) İhap Hulusi Görey (graphic design, pre-privatization period)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mest Sultaniye (2007), Mest Misket (2007), Mest Boğazkere (2008)</td>
<td>Same structural design with different graphic designs Structural and graphic design sources not known</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Herdemi İzmir Sakızlı (2006), Herdemi İzmir (2007), Herdemi İzmir Yaş Üzüm (not known)</td>
<td>Same structural design with different graphic designs Structural and graphic design sources not known</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yekta Rakı (2006)</td>
<td>Structural and graphic design sources not known</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Kadim Rakı (2009)</td>
<td>Structural design and graphic design sources not known</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elda</td>
<td>Efe Rakı</td>
<td>Structural design source not known Taylor International Brand (graphic design, 2004)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Efe Yaş Üzüm</td>
<td>Taylor International Brand (graphic design, 2005)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Efe 3 Distile</td>
<td>Taylor International Brand (graphic design, 2006)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Efe Organik</td>
<td>Taylor International Brand (graphic design, 2007)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Efe 5 Yıllık</td>
<td>Taylor International Brand (graphic design, 2013)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sarı Zeybek</td>
<td>Taylor International Brand (graphic design, 2005)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Çilingir Xtra</td>
<td>Taylor International Brand (graphic design, 2005)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Çilingir Xtra Yaş Üzüm</td>
<td>Taylor International Brand (graphic design, 2005)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1907 Rakı</td>
<td>Taylor International Brand (graphic design, 2007)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Alem Rakı (2008), Alem Yaş Üzüm Rakısı (2008)</td>
<td>Structural and graphic design sources not known</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 4.1: Rakı brands launched after the privatization, and their designers (Cont’d).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Brand</th>
<th>Designer(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Burgaz</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burgaz Rakı</td>
<td>Orhan Irmak (graphic design, 2006)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burgaz Yaş Üzüm Rakısı</td>
<td>Structural design source not known</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burgaz Klasik (2008), Burgaz Klasik Yaş Üzüm (2008), Burgaz Göbek Rakısı (not known)</td>
<td>Same structural design with different graphic designs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Structural design and graphic design sources not known</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ata Rakı (2005), Ata Yaş Üzüm Rakısı (2007)</td>
<td>Same structural design with different graphic designs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Structural design and graphic design sources not known</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>İki Tek Rakı (2006)</td>
<td>Structural design and graphic design sources not known</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rakı Turka (2006)</td>
<td>Structural design and graphic design sources not known</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Tariş-Tat</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mercan Rakı (2005)</td>
<td>Structural and graphic design sources not known</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sarper</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beylerbeyi Rakı</td>
<td>Kesselskramer (structural design, 2007)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tjep (graphic design, 2007)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beylerbeyi Yaş Üzüm Rakısı</td>
<td>Tjep (graphic design, 2007)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beylerbeyi Göbek Rakısı</td>
<td>Tjep (graphic design, 2013)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beyoğlu Rakı (2007)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baba Rakı (not known)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Keyf-i Ege Rakı, Keyf-i Ege Rakı Yaş Üzüm (not known)</td>
<td>Same structural design with different graphic designs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Structural design and graphic design sources not known</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eko Rakı</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kipa Ege Rakı, Kipa Ege Rakı Yaş Üzüm (not known)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Antalya</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Topkapı Rakı</td>
<td>Eda Yılmaz (Anadolu Cam) (structural design, 2008)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Topkapı Mir Rakı</td>
<td>Graphic design sources not known</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Topkapı Rakı Yaş Üzüm</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abbas Rakı (2008), Abbas Yaş Üzüm Rakısı (2008)</td>
<td>Same structural design with different graphic designs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Structural and graphic design sources not known</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 Rakı (2008), 7 Rakı Yaş Üzüm (not-known)</td>
<td>Same structural design with different graphic designs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Structural and graphic design sources not known</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Anadolu</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anadolu Rakı</td>
<td>Rubin Investment JSC (structural design, 2008)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Konseptiz (graphic design, 2008)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sohbet Rakı</td>
<td>Konseptiz (graphic design, 2008)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rakı 2000</td>
<td>Konseptiz (graphic design, 2008)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anadolu Rakı Yaş Üzüm</td>
<td>Konseptiz (graphic design, 2009)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anadolu Rakı Sıfır Seri</td>
<td>Konseptiz (graphic design, 2014)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 4.1: Raki brands launched after the privatization, and their designers (Cont’d).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Company</th>
<th>Brands (Launch Years)</th>
<th>Design Information</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alcosan</td>
<td>Saki Klasik Rakı (2013), Saki Yaş Üzüm Rakısı (2013), Saki Siyah Üzüm Rakısı (2013), Saki Rakı Altın Seri (2013)</td>
<td>Same structural design with different graphic designs, Structural and graphic design sources not known</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neva</td>
<td>Demlen Rakı (2013)</td>
<td>Structural and graphic design sources not known</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sırdem Rakı (2013), Sırdem Yaş Üzüm Rakısı (not known)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hürol</td>
<td>Rakı 34 (2014), Rakı 34 Yaş Üzüm Rakısı (2014)</td>
<td>Same structural design with different graphic designs, Structural design and graphic design sources not known</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yedikule Rakı (2014)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yeniçeri Rakısı (2014), Yeniçeri Yaş Üzüm Rakısı (2014)</td>
<td>Same structural design with different graphic designs, Structural design and graphic design sources not known</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As it can be observed in Table 4.1, the companies predominantly preferred working with local designers or agencies for structural designs in general, and in some cases with foreign design agencies for graphic designs in particular. It can also be noted that using the same structural design with new graphic designs is the most common strategy used for product line extensions, or in some cases the companies with low market share used the same strategy for all the brands they have.

**What are the phases of design process for developing these glass packaging designs?**

In the literature, there are different models to describe the phases of design process (Ambrose & Harris, 2011; Cross, 2005; Roth, 1990); however, they mainly address actions and phases (sometimes with different terms) similar to the ones indicated in Figure 4.1. The findings of the field study indicates that the design process for glass packaging for raki can be described as consisting of the following phases: Receiving brief, design research, concept development, presentation to the client, revisions and finalization, and production (Figure 4.1).
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phases</th>
<th>Practices</th>
<th>Actors involved</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Receiving Brief</td>
<td>• Client contact&lt;br&gt; • Understanding the need, target consumer groups, design goals and expected outcomes</td>
<td>• Client’s marketing team&lt;br&gt; • Design team</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Design Research</td>
<td>• Research into raki itself (product qualities, ingredients and production process, origin, culture and rituals, similar drinks to raki)&lt;br&gt; • Research into packaging design (the glass packages of the client, the competitors, other alcoholic beverages, and packaging design in general)&lt;br&gt; • Conducting store visits, observations, interviews and focus group studies</td>
<td>• Design team&lt;br&gt; • Client’s marketing team&lt;br&gt; • Consumers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Concept Development</td>
<td>• Generating initial design ideas&lt;br&gt; • Visualization (sketching, mock-ups, technical drawing, models, prototypes)&lt;br&gt; • Generating a broad range of design alternatives&lt;br&gt; • Critical analysis and selection of design alternatives</td>
<td>• Design team (in touch with client’s marketing team)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Presentation to the Client</td>
<td>• Proposing the design alternatives&lt;br&gt; • Evaluation and selection of design alternatives&lt;br&gt; • Receiving feedback from the client&lt;br&gt; • Receiving consumer feedback (i.e. focus group studies)</td>
<td>• Design team&lt;br&gt; • Client’s marketing team&lt;br&gt; • Consumers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Revisions and Finalization</td>
<td>• Revisions of the selected design alternatives based on the feedback received&lt;br&gt; • Selection of the final alternative&lt;br&gt; • Production trials&lt;br&gt; • Adaptation to other sizes</td>
<td>• Design team&lt;br&gt; • Client’s marketing team&lt;br&gt; • Packaging supplier</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Production</td>
<td>• Production and printing</td>
<td>• Packaging supplier&lt;br&gt; • Design team</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 4.1: The phases of design process for raki glass packaging.
The first phase of the design process, receiving brief, starts with the first contact with the client, and then the brief, either verbal or written, is given to the designers. The brief mainly contains the target consumer group information, the goals and expected outcomes. The second phase, design research, starts with the research into raki itself. Designers focus on the product qualities, the main ingredients and the production process of raki along with its origin, culture and rituals. Similar drinks to raki are also considered as an important topic to explore. Apart from these, research into packaging design and conducting store visits, observations, interviews and focus group studies in order to understand consumers’ preferences are observed in this phase. The third phase, concept development, includes generating initial design ideas and concepts, and visualization of them, and then selection of the design alternatives within the design team. The fourth phase which is presentation to the client consists of proposing the design alternatives, evaluation and the selection of the alternatives and receiving feedback from the client and/or consumers. The fifth phase, revisions and finalization, includes revisions of the selected design alternatives based on the feedback received and the selection of the final alternative along with production trial and adaptation to other sizes. The last phase includes production and printing.

Concerning the actors involved in the design process, the marketing team had the most dominant role on the client’s side; however, in rare cases the company owner, engineers or sales team were involved as well.

**What are the design goals aimed to achieve through these raki glass packaging designs?**

As discussed in the literature and the field study, the design goals were found related with the aesthetic, emotional, functional, technical values of packaging, and the product qualities, and these are presented in Table 4.2 to show the relation between packaging design considerations and design goals.

As declared earlier, many companies were established after the privatization in 2004 and launched new brands. The brands (i.e. Yeni Rakı, Tekirdağ Rakısı, Altınbaş Rakı and Kulüp Rakı) which were available in the raki market before 2004 did not want to lose their existing market share, and aimed to increase it; whereas the new launched brands wanted to take market share from the leading companies. Product
Differentiation and influencing consumers’ purchase decisions are the major goals for all glass packaging designs discussed in the field study. They all aimed at standing out on the market shelf, attracting consumers’ attention and creating brand recognition. Besides these shared goals, there are many design goals observed which were found related with design considerations as seen in Table 4.2.

Table 4.2: The design goals aimed to achieve for glass packaging designs for rakı. The texts in italic are the design goals identified in the literature review.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Packaging design considerations</th>
<th>Design goals</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **Product qualities (PQ)**      | • Reflecting the product qualities, qualities of rakı as a drink  
                                 |   - whitening, color, taste, ingredients  
                                 |   - *translucency*  |
| (Raki production process, ingredients, etc.) |              |
| **Aesthetic values (AV)**       | • Preserving a brand’s previous aesthetic values  
                                 | • Maintaining a family resemblance for product line extensions  
                                 | • Developing an iconic design  
                                 | • Reflecting designers’ sources of inspiration  
                                 | • Reflecting style  
                                 |   - modern, classic or traditional style  
                                 | • Avoiding a retro, old-fashioned look  
                                 | • Reflecting a sophisticated or vintage look  
                                 | • Achieving a harmony between structural design and graphic design  
                                 | • “Owning” a color  |
| (Style, form, character, color, etc.) |              |
| **Emotional values (EV)**       | • Reflecting local identity (Turkishness, Turkish culture)  
                                 | • Reflecting or fitting product (i.e. rakı) culture  
                                 | • Reflecting product gender, reflecting the quality of manly drink  
                                 |   - Male body metaphor  
                                 |   - Female body metaphor  
                                 | • Reflecting brand value (segment)  
                                 |   - Luxury, premiumness, superior quality, elegance, prestige  
                                 |   - Affordability  
                                 | • A *collectible package*  |
| (Emotion, communication, loyalty, cultural differences, etc.) |              |
| **Functional values (FV)**      | • Easy handling  
                                 | • Ensuring readability  
                                 | • A larger label area  |
| (Usage, containment, display, etc.) |              |
| **Technical values (TV)**       | • Minimum problem in production and filling lines  
                                 | • Label-applicable structural design  |
| (manufacturing method, cost, material, etc.) |              |
Although a categorization was used to present the relation, there are not exact, strict boundaries between these categories; in some cases, the same goal was found matched to other categories as well.

In the literature, there is not any study which matches design goals with packaging design considerations; therefore, this categorization can be assessed as a contribution to the literature for packaging design considerations.

Under the packaging design considerations, there are categories such as aesthetic values, emotional values, functional values and technical values, but there is not any category as product qualities concerning the product within the package. The main ingredients, the production process and the product properties of rakı are also crucial for rakı packaging design; in this manner, this study presents a new category for packaging design considerations. Table 4.2 was developed particular to a specific product, rakı, but all the entries in this table can also be used and enriched for other products.

**What are the design elements employed by the designers to achieve these design goals?**

For this question, Table 4.2 was adapted to present the relation between design goals and design elements based on the findings from literature and the field study. In Table 4.3, to provide a comprehensive understanding on relations, design considerations were also attached by using their abbreviations, and related figure numbers were given.

It was observed that structural design plays a significant role to achieve a broad range of design goals by itself. As a structural design element, form is manipulated by designers to reflect aesthetic values like styles and sources of inspirations, and emotional values such as Turkishness, culture, manly drink, male or female body metaphors, luxury or superior quality. Designers conceptualize the form of body concerning the user-product interaction, since holding bottle for serving rakı is a part of drinking ritual. Besides that, form directly affects the selection of labelling or printing method in the design process; spherical forms are not preferred due to the difficulties in the application of labelling or serigraphy printing, instead of a cylindrical and straight body. It can be seen in Table 4.3 that the body, the shoulder,
and the neck structure reveal as the most used parts of glass package. Overall form or silhouette of the glass package is a strategic tool in product differentiation. The design goals related with the product qualities, on the other hand, were not observed to be achieved through structural design.

Table 4.3: Design elements in relation to design goals. The text in italic is the design goals and elements identified in the literature review.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Design elements</th>
<th>Design goals</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>The neck with wide shoulders</em></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Thin waist body</em></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Concave lines</em></td>
<td>(EV) Reflecting the quality of manly drink: Male body metaphor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Figure 3.13</td>
<td>(EV) Reflecting local identity, Turkishness, Turkish culture</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(AV) Developing an iconic design</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(AV) Reflecting designers’ sources of inspiration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>“Collar” nearby the shoulder</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Figure 3.15</td>
<td>(EV) Reflecting the quality of manly drink: Female body metaphor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Body with wide cuts</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Figure 3.14, Figure 2.33</td>
<td>(AV) Reflecting a classic style</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(EV) Reflecting luxury, elegance, superior quality</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(AV) Reflecting designers’ sources of inspiration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Dome form with (six) cuts around the shoulder</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Figure 3.8, Figure 2.50</td>
<td>(AV) Reflecting designers’ sources of inspiration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(AV) Reflecting modern style Avoiding not having a retro and old-fashioned look</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cylindrical body</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Inlaid areas formed by the collar nearby the shoulder</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Figure 3.15</td>
<td>(FV) Easy handling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Smooth shoulder line providing “secure touch” among bottles as they proceed side by side in product filling line</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Figure 3.14</td>
<td>(TV) Minimum problem in production and filling lines</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Straight body</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Figure 3.15</td>
<td>(TV) Label-applicable structural design</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Elliptical cavities nearby the shoulder</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Figure 3.15</td>
<td>(FV) A larger label area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>A larger straight area on the body</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Figure 3.14</td>
<td>(AV) Maintaining the iconic design</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(FV) A larger label area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(FV) Ensuring readability</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 4.3: Design elements in relation to design goals (Cont’d).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Graphic design</th>
<th>Color</th>
<th>Using green and its tones on the label</th>
<th>(PQ) Reflecting the fresh grape taste</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Moiré effect (transition from transparent to white) on the label</td>
<td>(PQ) Reflecting the whitening of rakı</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Maintaining previous color on the label</td>
<td>(AV) Preserving a brand’s previous aesthetic values</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Red and white colors on the label</td>
<td>(EV) Reflecting local identity, Turkishness, Turkish culture</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Gold and black colors on the label</td>
<td>(EV) Reflecting premiumness, prestigious, superior quality</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Sharp pointed typeface, curved typography</td>
<td>(EV) Reflecting local identity, Turkishness, Turkish culture</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Old style, two-weight letters</td>
<td>(AV) Reflecting a sophisticated or vintage look</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Small font size, condensed, sans-serif typeface</td>
<td>(EV) Reflecting prestige</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Vertical use of logotype</td>
<td>(FV) Ensuring readability</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Grapes</td>
<td>(PQ) Reflecting the fresh grape taste</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Aniseed stylization</td>
<td>(PQ) Reflecting aniseed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Distillation process, ingredients of rakı, cultural elements such as musical instruments</td>
<td>(PQ) Reflecting the product qualities of rakı</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Serigraphy, transparent and label-free view</td>
<td>(AV) Maintaining a family resemblance for product line extensions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Adhesive label</td>
<td>(FV) Ensuring readability</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Actual gold leaf printing, which is shining</td>
<td>(EV) Reflecting luxury, superior quality</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>The label form follows the outline defined by the “collar” nearby the shoulder</td>
<td>(AV) Achieving a harmony between structural design and graphic design</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Surface finish (flint or sandblasted) or texture</td>
<td>Sandblasted surface</td>
<td>(AV) Maintaining a family resemblance for product line extensions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Hand painting</td>
<td>(EV) A collectible package</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Having no coating</td>
<td>(EV) Reflecting affordability</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Illustrations or photographs</td>
<td>Grapes</td>
<td>(PQ) Reflecting the fresh grape taste</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Aniseed stylization</td>
<td>(PQ) Reflecting aniseed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Distillation process, ingredients of rakı, cultural elements such as musical instruments</td>
<td>(PQ) Reflecting the product qualities of rakı</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Labelling and printing (adhesive label or serigraphy)</td>
<td>Serigraphy, transparent and label-free view</td>
<td>(AV) Maintaining a family resemblance for product line extensions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Adhesive label</td>
<td>(FV) Ensuring readability</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Actual gold leaf printing, which is shining</td>
<td>(EV) Reflecting luxury, superior quality</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>The label form follows the outline defined by the “collar” nearby the shoulder</td>
<td>(AV) Achieving a harmony between structural design and graphic design</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Sandblasted surface</td>
<td>(AV) Maintaining a family resemblance for product line extensions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Hand painting</td>
<td>(EV) A collectible package</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Having no coating</td>
<td>(EV) Reflecting affordability</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Compared to structural design, graphic design elements were observed to be employed by designers to reflect more specific values about the product within. While structural design does not aim at reflecting any product qualities of raki, the graphic design stands out as a strategic tool and particularly the main ingredients, taste, color and whitening of raki are emphasized by graphic design elements. This may be due to the variety of graphic design elements that designers can manipulate: illustration and photography, color, labelling and printing, and surface finish. These elements, actually, are used in a composite way to achieve design goals, and cannot be isolated from each other.

Illustration was used for the goals related with the product qualities of raki stressing the main ingredients through stylizations; on the other hand, it was also used to depict a certain emotional value which is reflecting raki culture through indicating production process and cultural elements like musical instruments.

Color was used in various ways. It can stress local, cultural values and brand values which can affect directly consumers’ purchase decisions. The most observed visual cues among designs are the use of green to stress fresh grapes and the use of gold to stress luxury which depicts luxury, superior quality, premiumness; it can be inferred that there are color codes in the category which designers follow.

There is a balance between the number of design goals aimed by structural design and graphic design (surface graphics, and finishes). Form is the only element of structural design working for creating overall impression itself; graphic design, on the other hand, consists of several elements that designers can employ in various compositions in order to achieve specific goals. If a harmony between the structural design and label is achieved, it gives a strong character to package and makes it stand out.

It was found significant that surface finish and printing creates a family resemblance among product line extensions, whereas color and illustration enhance the product differentiation.

Although this study explored the shared cues among glass packaging designs, it is important to emphasize that all design elements play an active role in achieving design goals.
4.2. Implications of the Research

The post-2004 period is quite remarkable in terms of design. Before the privatization in 2004, there was not any significant development observed in the design of rakı glass packaging; the same glass package was being used for all products Tekel had, and there was no demand for design, due to the monopoly conditions. However, a new period begun with the privatization. Whereas only four brands were available in the rakı market prior to 2004, it extended rapidly, and today there are more than 70 brands. It can be said that the strategic use of packaging design has added value to the rakı brands, repositioned them and increased their sales.

This study also provides a chance to see various designers’ way of working on glass packaging design for a specific product. Findings from the field study and the phases of the design process developed here can be used as a guide or learning tool for designing a glass package for a new brand or redesigning an existing package. Additionally, it can be also valuable for people who have a special interest to rakı culture.

It was observed that there are several problems observed among marketing teams, designers and manufacturers. In order to improve the design process and glass packaging designs for rakı, several points can be noted as follows:

- It was important to mention than the detailed design briefs simplified the designers’ works and enhanced the design process. Therefore, marketing teams should analyze their needs in depth and provide a detailed brief for designers, so they do not face with any problems including disagreement with designers and the design concepts proposed; otherwise, revisions or creating totally new concepts instead of proposed ones can result in loss of time for both designers and the company.

- Particularly, the communication problems between designers and marketing teams should be solved, and since marketing teams do not have expertise in design, caution is necessary when interfering with design decisions. If a marketing team member has a design background, on the other hand, the significance given to design increases.
• Industrial designers should take part in glass packaging design projects more; graphic design is not the only tool for product differentiation through packaging design.

• Industrial designers and graphic designers should be in touch with each other and understand each other’s goals starting from the beginning of design process.

• Since glass is the most widespread packaging material for rakı, the constraints or the possibilities suppliers have are also important. Technical constraints may play a negative role on the qualities of structural design. Although new designs bring new challenges to suppliers, they may have a significant impact on product differentiation in the market.

Visual cues from glass packaging designs are directly used in the design of accoutrements of rakı offered as promotional items to consumers and restaurants, and they are on the rakı table together with the glass package itself. Accoutrement design can be considered an extension of packaging design.

4.3. Limitations of the Research

Problems related with confidentiality were an important limitation. Companies were rather reluctant to share information about their brands, glass packaging designs and design sources they used. Similarly, designers did not want to share some information due to the confidentiality agreement they had with their clients.

It was not possible to reach all of the brands’ glass packaging designs at retail stores and the images available on the internet were limited due to the communication restrictions imposed by the national regulations put into force by 2013.

The field study provided rich data based on the designers’ opinions only. This study does not cover the opinions of suppliers, manufacturers, or consumers. Another limitation was related with the fact that the interview questions was about the past assignments designers did; sometimes they had difficulty in remembering in details or the sequence of events. In some cases, the total lack or poor documentation of the design process was another challenge, and had a negative impact on designers’ memory.
Another important limitation was the language in which the interviews were conducted. Since all the interviews were conducted in the designers’ native language, Turkish, the data obtained from the interviews had to be translated into English by the researcher later, which caused the lack of designers’ original voice in the manuscript.

4.4. Recommendations for Further Research

Considering the findings and conclusions of this study, several recommendations for further research can be mentioned.

Firstly, the field study was conducted with five designers about five different glass packaging designs. This research can be extended as to investigate practices of more designers and more packaging designs for rakı.

This study focuses on the designers’ practices in reference to glass packaging design for rakı. Consumers’ perceptions of glass packaging designs for rakı are another area for further research. Similar studies can also be conducted to understand the suppliers’ or the manufacturers’ opinions and perspectives.

Turkish rakı is an exported local product and communicates geographical and cultural values as well. Therefore the findings of this study can also be beneficial for investigating packaging designs for products with similar qualities. For example, glass packaging design for olive oil may be another potential area for further research.
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APPENDIX A

INTERVIEW GUIDELINE

English Version

Table A.1: Interview guideline in English.

Introduction: Glass Packaging Designs for Rakı

I am Selma Kadiroğlu, a M.Sc. student at the Department of Industrial Design, METU. Before the study, I would like to give you information about the aim of the research I conduct within the context of my master’s thesis. This study aims to understand designers’ practices in relation to design goals in the process of glass packaging designs for rakı. The data received during the interview will only be used for educational purposes, thesis work and journal publications and presentations. It will be beneficial to discuss the findings of the study with using designer’s name; however, if you wish, your personal information will be kept confidential. The sessions will be audio-visually recorded in order to remember exactly what is told throughout the sessions. The session will take approximately half an hour. Thank you for your time. Do you have any questions before starting the session?

1. Designers professional background
   - Would you mention about your professional background briefly? Until today, in which sectors, on which kind of designs have you worked on?
   - Did you have any experience on glass packaging design prior to the glass packaging for (Name of the brand)?
     - Would you tell me please about for which products you designed glass packaging?
     - Which alcoholic beverage brands did you design glass packaging for until today?

2. The first contact with the client and briefing
   - How was the first contact with the client happened?
   - Have they prepared a brief for (Name of the brand)?
     - Which information was specified in the brief?
     - What were the goals and the expected outcome of the client through this packaging design?
       - Was there any goal related with brand loyalty?
       - Was there any goal related with reflecting taste, price and quality?
       - What were the goals of yours through this glass packaging design as a designer?
3. Design process

- How was the design process started?
  - On which topics did you conduct research and which methods did you use?
  - Did you conduct any research on target consumer group?
- How was the initial design ideas developed?
  - What were the leading themes?
  - How many alternatives did you create in this process?
  - Is it possible to look at your sketches or models together and discuss on the basis of them?
- How did you evaluate the concepts you created? Would you tell me how the process continued?
  - Which methods did you use while evaluating the concepts?

4. Later stages of design process

- In which stages, from whom did you receive feedback?
  - Did you conduct any consumer research?
  - Was the feedback received reflected on design after consumer research?
- How was the finalization process developed?
  - What were the radical revisions and what were the reasons of them?
  - Did you conduct a production trial?
  - Did you apply any revisions on the design after this production trial?
- What were the problems that you encountered with during the design process?
  - Problem related with production
  - Problems related with the harmony between structural design and graphic design
  - Problems related with communication with the client
  - Other problems

5. Structural design and graphic design

- Did you work with graphic designer/industrial designer together? If did, how was the process developed, what kind of decisions did you take together?
- How did the graphic design/structural design affect your way of working?
- Would you mention about the glass body (form)/graphic design of the package?
  - Was there any information related with form/graphic design in the brief?
- How did you employ the design elements in relation to design goals?
  - How was the form developed?
  - How did you decide on label shape and labeling method?
  - What factors were important in choice of color?
  - What were the design goals that you aimed at with this typography design?
- How was the design goals related with brand loyalty, reflection of taste, price and quality, and your specific goals achieved through design elements?
- Would you want to tell anything about the relation between graphic design and structural design of packaging?
Table A.1: Interview guideline in English (Cont’d).

6. Post evaluations

- What kind of feedback was received from consumers, the design society and the business world after the launch of glass packaging design? What are your general impressions?
- Was there any research conducted in order to understand consumers’ perceptions about this packaging design?
  - Who conducted this research and which methods were used?
- When looking back now, if you wanted to revise the glass packaging design, what kind of revisions would you apply? Why?

7. Additional information

- When you consider other alcoholic beverages that you designed, what would you say about their design process? What were the main differences?
- Is there anything on (Name of the brand) that you think it was not mentioned in a detail manner?
- Is there anything that you want to add?
- Would you suggest anyone who can contribute to my research that I can talk?

Would you want me to send a summary of findings of this study?

e-mail:

or

Address:

Thank you for your contributions to this study. If you want to ask or add something related with this study, you can reach me from my e-mail or telephone.
**Giriş: Rakı Cam Ambalaj Tasarımaları**


Başlamadan önce sormak istediğiniz bir şey var mı?

1. **Tasarımcıların mesleki deneyimleri**
   - Biraz mesleki deneyimlerinizden bahsedefebilir misiniz? Bugüne kadar hangi sektörlerde ne gibi tasarmlar üzerinde çalıştınız?
   - (Marka ismi) için yaptığınız cam ambalaj tasarımından önce ambalaj tasarımını konusunda bir tecrübeiniz var mıydı?
     - Hangi ürün gruplarında ambalaj tasarımını yaptığınızı anlatır mısınız?
     - Bugüne dek hangi alkollü içecek markaları için cam ambalaj tasarımınız?

2. **Müşteri ile ilk iletişim ve iş tanımı**
   - Müşteri ile ilk iletişim nasıl geçikleştği?
   - (Marka ismi) için iş tanımı hazırlamışlar mıydı?
     - İş tanımında neler vardı?
     - Müşterinizi bu ambalaj tasarımını ile ilgili hedefleri, beklentileri nelerdi?
     - Marka bağlılığı ile ilgili bir hedef var mıydı?
     - Tat, fiyat, kalite gibi unsurların tasarımına yansıtırması hedeflenmiş miydı?
     - Sizin tasarımınız olarak bu tasarımla ilgili ne gibi hedefleriniz vardı?
3. Tasarım süreci

- Tasarım sürecinde hangi konularda hangi metodlarla araştırmalar yaptınız?
- Hedef kitleye dair araştırmalar yaptınız mı?
- İlk tasarım fikirleri nasıl gelişti?
- Bu fikirlerde öne çıkan temalar nelerdi?
- Bu süreçte kaç değişik alternatif tasarladınız?
- Mümkünse çizimlere veya modellere birlikte bakıp bunların üzerinden konuşabilir miyz?
- Sonrasında, bu hazırlanmış olduğunuz alternatifleri nasıl değerlendiriniz?
- Sürecin nasıl devam ettiği anlatabilir misiniz?
- Alternatifler arasından seçim yaparken ne gibi yöntemler izlediniz?

4. Tasarım sürecinin ilerleyen aşamaları

- Tasarım sürecinde hangi aşamalarda kimlerden geribildirim aldınız?
  - Kullanıcı araştırması yaptıınız mı?
  - Kullanıcı araştırması sonrası alınan geribildirimler tasarımına yansıtıldı mı?
- Tasarımın finalize edilmeye aşamasında nasıl bir süreç izledi?
  - Bu süreçte yapılan en önemli değişiklikler nelerdi? Nedenleri nelerdi?
  - Deneme üretimi yapıtıınız mı?
  - Bu üretim sonrasında değişiklik yaptınız mı?
- Tasarım sürecinde karşılaştığınız en büyük güçlükler, sorunlar neler oldu?
  - üretim teknikleri ilgili güçlükler
  - Yapısal tasarım ve grafik tasarımın uyumu ile ilgili güçlükler
  - müşteri ile iletişim sorunları
  - diğer sorunlar

5. Yapısal tasarım ve grafik tasarım

- Bu süreçte grafik tasarımçı/endüstriyel tasarımçı ile birlikte çalıştım mı?
  - Birlikte çalıştıkınızı süreç nasıl işlemi, birlikte ne gibi kararlar aldınız?
- Grafik tasarım/yapısal tasarımın sizin çalışmanızı nasıl etkiledi?
- Ambalajının yapısal tasarımından (formundan)/ grafik tasarımından biraz bahsedebilir misiniz?
  - İş tanımında gövdenin formunda/grafik tasarımına dair bahsedilen konular var mıydı?
- Öngörülen tasarım hedefleri doğrultusunda, tasarım unsurlarını nasıl kullanınız?
  - Bu form nasıl ortaya çıktı?
  - Etiketin şekline ve metoduna nasıl karar verdınız?
  - Renk seçiminde önemli olan faktörler nelerdi?
  - Yazı karakterini tasarımırken ulaşılmak istediğinize hedefler nelerdi?
- Marka bağlılığı, tat, fiyat ve kaliteyi yansıtmak ve de kişisel hedefleri tasarım hedefleri tasarım unsurlarını kullanarak nasıl elde edildi?
- Ambalajın yapısal tasarım ile grafik tasarımını arasındaki ilişki konusunda neler söylemek istsiniz?
Table A.2: Interview guideline in Turkish (Cont’d).

6. Sonraki değerlendirme

- Piyasaya çıktıktan sonra tüketicilerden, bayilerden, tasarım camiasından ya da iş dünyasından ne gibi geribildirimler aldınız? Genel izlenimlerinizi neler?
- Bu cam ambalaj tasarımının tüketiciler tarafından nasıl algılandığı konusunda herhangi bir araştırma yapıldı mı?
  - Bu araştırmayı kim yaptı ve araştırma için hangi yöntemler kullanıldı?
- Şimdi geriye dönüp baktığınızda; bu tasarımda bazı değişiklikler yapmak isteseğiniz, bunlar neler olabilirdi? Neden?

7. Ek bilgiler

- Tasarradığınız diğer alkollü içecek ambalajlarını düşünüyorsunuz da onların tasarım süreçlerine dair neler söyleyebilirsiniz? En belirgin farklılıklar nelerdi?
- (Marka adı) tasarım ile ilgili olarak yeterince konuşmadığınızı düşünüyorsunuz bir şey var mı?
- Eklemek istediğiniz başka bir şey var mı?
- Araştırmaama katkı sağlayabilecek başka kimlerle görüşmemi önerirsiniz?

Araştırma tamamlandıktan sonra sonuçların bir özetini size iletmemi ister misiniz?
Elektronik posta:  
veya  
Adres:  

Araştırmağa katkıda bulunduğunuz ve zaman ayırırdığınız için çok teşekkür ederim. Eğer daha sonra görüşmeye ilişkin herhangi bir şey sormak ya da söylemek isterseniz, bana mail ya da telefonomdan ulaşabilirsiniz.
**APPENDIX B**

**CONSENT FORM**

*English Version*

Table B.1: Consent form prepared in English for interviews.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Informed Consent Form</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| This research is conducted within the context of the master’s thesis in the Department of Industrial Design at METU. The aim of this research is to investigate the designers’ practices for developing glass packaging designs for raki introduced into the Turkish market after the privatization in 2004. Data received during the interview will only be used for educational purposes, thesis work and journal publications and presentations. The participants’ personal information will be kept confidential, if they wish. The sessions will be audio- visually recorded in order to remember exactly what is told and performed. The session will take approximately half an hour.  
Your signature on this form indicates that you have understood the information regarding the aim of this research study and agreed to participate as a research subject. Signing this form does not mean that you waive your legal rights. Your participation is voluntary. The research does not contain any risk for participants. You may ask for any explanation or more detail at the beginning of interview or any time. You may withdraw from the study at any time without any excuse. Thank you for your contribution to the study. |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Participant</th>
<th>Signature</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Researcher**
Selma Kadiroğlu  
selmakadiroglu@gmail.com  
M.Sc. student  
Middle East Technical University  
Tel: 0543---

**Thesis Advisor**
Assist. Prof. Dr. Fatma Korkut  
korkut@metu.edu.tr  
METU, Faculty of Architecture  
Department of Industrial Design  
Tel: 0312 210 22 14
**Table B.2: Consent form prepared in Turkish for interviews.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Katılımcı İzin Formu</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Araştırmacı</th>
<th>Tez Danışmanı</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Selma Kadıroğlu</td>
<td>Yrd. Doç. Dr. Fatma Korkut</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><a href="mailto:selmakadiroglu@gmail.com">selmakadiroglu@gmail.com</a></td>
<td><a href="mailto:korkut@metu.edu.tr">korkut@metu.edu.tr</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yüksek Lisans Öğrencisi</td>
<td>ODTÜ, Mimarlık Fakültesi Endüstri</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ODTÜ</td>
<td>Ürünleri Tasarımı Bölümü</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tel: 0543---</td>
<td>Tel: 0312 210 22 14</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>