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ABSTRACT

OPTIMIZATION OF FERRONICKEL PRODUCTION FROM SİVRİHİSAR NICKEL LATERITE ORE

Pournaderi, Saeid
Ph.D., Department of Metallurgical and Materials Engineering
Supervisor: Prof. Dr. Ahmet Geveci
Co-Supervisor: Prof. Dr. Yavuz A. Topkaya

May 2014, 173 pages

In this study, pyrometallurgical treatment of limonitic laterite ore from Sivrihisar region was investigated. The run-of-mine (ROM) ore contained 1.26 wt.% Ni but was initially upgraded to 1.405 wt.% Ni by screening out the +50 mm particles. Finer than 50 mm ore was crushed to -1 mm and used in the experiments. Then, the ore was calcined at different temperatures. The optimum calcination conditions were determined as 700°C and 40 min. which resulted in 10% weight loss.

At the next (prereduction) stage, the calcined ore was reduced at 700-1100°C by the addition of 5.74, 8.61 and 11.48 wt.% coal under argon atmosphere. It was found that the metallization of iron was limited until 900°C but increased rapidly at higher temperatures. The metallization of nickel and cobalt initiated at much lower temperatures and increased with temperature. The results also showed that increased coal additions did not affect the iron metallization up to 900°C. At 1000°C the metallization of Fe became slightly better, but its effect was more pronounced at 1100°C. The increased coal addition increased the nickel reduction at all temperatures, while it had no effect on the metallization of cobalt. The usage of 70%N₂-20%CO₂-10%CO gas mixture as reducing atmosphere substantially improved the reduction process below 1000°C.

Finally, the reduced ore was smelted to produce ferronickel. No coal was added at the smelting stage and the reduction reactions were completed by the unreacted coal from
the previous prereduction stage. Conditions were adjusted to produce a ferronickel containing ~15% Ni. The physical and the chemical losses of nickel in the slag were directly proportional to the ferronickel grade. Colemanite was added to the charge to decrease the physical losses in the slag and to increase nickel recovery but no positive effect was observed.

Keywords: Laterite, pyrometallurgy, characterization, calcination, reduction, smelting, nickel losses in slag, colemanite
ÖZ

SİVRİHİSAR NİKEL LATERİT CEVHERİNDEN FERRONİKEL ÜRETİMİNİN OPTİMİZASYONU

Pourmaderi, Saeid
Doktora, Metalurji ve Malzeme Mühendisliği Bölümü
Tez Yöneticisi : Prof. Dr. Ahmet Geveci
Ortak Tez Yöneticisi: Prof. Dr. Yavuz A. Topkaya

Mayıs 2014, 173 Sayfa

Bu çalışmada, Sivrihisar bölgesinde alınan limonitik laterit cevherinin pirometalurjik işlemi incelenmiştir. Madenden gelen cevher ağırlıkça %1,26 Ni içermiştir, başlangıçta nikel yüzdesi +50 büyük parçaların elenmesi sonucu ağırlıkça %1,405'e yükseltilmiştir. Parça boyutu 50 mm'den küçük cevhere ise kırılarak -1 mm'ye indirildikten sonra deneylerde kullanılmıştır. Daha sonra, cevher farklı sıcaklıklarda kalsine edilmiştir. En uygun kalsinasyon şartları 700°C ve 40 dakika olarak belirlenmiştir. Bir sonraki aşamada (önindirgeme), kalsine edilmiş cevhere %5,74; %8,61 ve %11,48 kömür ilave edilerek 700-1100°C sıcaklık aralığında argon atmosferi altında indirgenmiştir. Demirin metalleşmesinin 900°C’nin altında sınırlı olduğu ve daha yüksek sıcaklıklarda hızla arttığı belirlenmiştir. Nikel ve kobaltın metalleşmeleri ise çok daha düşük sıcaklıklarda başlamış olup, sıcaklığın yükselmesi ile artmıştır. Demirin metalleşme sonuçlarına fazla kömürün ilavesinin 900°C altında bir etkisi olmamıştır. Fazla kömürün ilavesi 1000°C de demirin metalleşmesini biraz iyileştiştir ama bu etki 1100°C de daha belirgin olmuştur. Fazla kömürün ilavesi nikelin metalleşmesinin tüm sıcaklıklarda artırmış, ama kobaltın metalleşmesini etkilememiştir. İndirgeyici gaz karışımı olarak %70N₂-%20CO₂-%10CO kullanımı 1000°C’nin altında metal indirgemesini artırılmıştır.

Anahtar Kelimeler: Laterit, pirometalurji, karakterizasyon, kalsinasyon, indirgeme, ergitme, căruftaki nikel kayıpları, kolemanit.
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<td>Fe</td>
<td>93.0%</td>
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<tr>
<td>Ni</td>
<td>5.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>0.002%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td>0.005%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 4-27. Entrapped and dissolved metal in the slag for the experiment with 2 wt.% colemanite addition

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
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<th>Value</th>
</tr>
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<tr>
<td>Fe</td>
<td>4.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
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<td>C</td>
<td>0.001%</td>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
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<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fe</td>
<td>93.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni</td>
<td>5.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>0.002%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td>0.004%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 4-29. XRF analysis of the Larco slag

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fe</td>
<td>89.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni</td>
<td>8.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>0.01%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td>0.005%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 4-30. Entrapped and dissolved metal analyses in industrial slag from Larco smelter

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fe</td>
<td>3.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>0.001%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td>0.003%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 4-31. Weight of the product ferronickel settled at the end of the experiments done with industrial ferronickel and slag

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fe</td>
<td>92.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni</td>
<td>5.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>0.002%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td>0.004%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table A-1. General ferronickel specification and delivery requirements [93]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Requirement</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fe</td>
<td>93.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni</td>
<td>5.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>0.005%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td>0.01%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table A-2 specific ferronickel classification and delivery requirements

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Classification</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>93.0% Fe, 5.0% Ni, 0.005% C, 0.01% S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>92.0% Fe, 5.5% Ni, 0.003% C, 0.004% S</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Nickel plays an important role in modern infrastructure and technology. It is used in a wide range of products and various applications because of its superior properties such as low thermal and electrical conductivities, excellent strength and toughness at elevated temperatures and high resistance to corrosion and oxidation [1].

The world nickel consumption has increased steadily over the last century. Only during the last 20 years, it has increased from 900 000 tonnes to more than 1.8 million tonnes [2]. The demand for nickel is greatly connected to economic growth in China [3]. In 2011, China produced 9.69 million tons of austenitic stainless steel as the world’s premier producer [4].

Today, nickel is used in more than 300 000 products. Primary nickel is consumed mainly in stainless steels, alloy steels, nonferrous alloys and superalloys, and only minority is used in electroplating, batteries, chemicals and catalysts [1, 4]. End uses of nickel includes transportation, fabricated metal products, electrical equipment, petroleum industry, chemical industry, construction, household appliances, and industrial machinery [4].

Economically important Ni resources are sulfide and oxide (called as laterite) ores. The early nickel was extracted from laterite ores by smelting of the rich laterite deposits from New Caledonia in the iron blast furnace in 1879. After that, in 1886, sulfides deposits of Sudbury (Canada) were mined. Sulfide ores became the world’s major nickel supply by the early part of the 20th century [5, 6, 7] and retained its position in nickel industry for years.

Identified land-based resources (referred to as Ni resources in this text) averaging greater than 1% nickel contain at least 130 million tonnes of nickel. 60% of these
resources is contained in the oxide ores with the remainder being in the sulfide ores [4]. Although laterites form the majority of Ni resources, historically the bulk of Ni has been produced from sulfide ores [8]. This difference is related to political, geographic, technical and economic factors as follows [5, 9]:

- Sulfide deposits are generally located in politically stable countries and close to the main nickel markets.
- In contrast to oxide ores, the nickel content of sulfide ores can be concentrated relatively easily and cheaply by common methods.
- The energy costs for laterite processing are 2-3 times higher than that for sulfide ores. Laterite treatment is therefore very sensitive to energy costs.
- The possibility of recovering some valuable byproducts also favors exploitation of sulfide ores.

Despite, depletion of high grade sulfide ores and increased cost of underground mining, especially labor costs together with the improvements in laterite technology attracted attentions towards laterites [6, 10]. The share of laterites from nickel production has increased from less than 10% to 42% during the second half of the last century. In 2004, it was predicted that this share will rise up to more than 50% by 2012 [7]. However, by virtue of wide failures in laterite industry and global economic recession since the year 2008, another study in 2010 cast doubt on laterites becoming the dominant resource within the coming few years [8].

At the present time, some successful laterite projects are being continued to be implemented. The Barro Alto and Onca Puma laterite projects in Brazil have been producing ferronickel since early 2011. The Goro hydrometallurgical complex in New Caledonia began producing a mixed nickel-cobalt hydroxide precipitate (MHP) for export in 2010 [4] and reached full production in 2013. This situation denotes that laterite technology is still continuing to grow and the coming decades promise to be an attractive one for nickel laterites.

During the last decades, a number of laterite reserves have been discovered in Turkey, but not a considerable effort has been made to commercially process these reserves until a few years ago. Laboratory researches, meanwhile, were also conducted during the last years [11, 12, 13]. However, almost all of them addressed either mineralogical
aspects or hydrometallurgical processing of these ores. Being the owner of Sivrihisar laterite reserve, META Nickel and Cobalt Company is recently searching for an appropriate processing method to extract nickel from this reserve. This thesis study aimed, in parallel, to research pyrometallurgical nickel extraction from Sivrihisar limonitic laterite ore. The ore was fully characterized as the important first stage. Then, its calcination, reduction and smelting behaviors were studied.
CHAPTER 2

LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1 Nickel Resources
Nickel is 24th element in abundance and its concentration in the Earth’s crust is estimated as 0.008 %. Although nickel is more abundant than copper, zinc and lead, commercially important nickel ore bodies are fewer [5]. Economic Ni resources are spread across many regions of the world, mostly in Canada, Russia, Australia, the Caribbean and the western Pacific archipelago [8]. It has been reported (in 2006) that reserves with 1.5-3 wt.% Ni contain 64 Mt of metal which can supply for around 40 years assuming no recycling. This image, however, can change, since economical metal reserves are closely linked to changes in metal prices and technological developments. Increased metal prices and/or advancements in processing technologies lower the cut-off grade and hence raise the amount of resources [14].

In addition to sulfide and laterite type ores, nickel is also found in deep-sea nodules. Sea nodules were discovered in the late 1800s on extensive area of the ocean bed, but nevertheless their exploitation has not occurred until today. This has not been due to the shortage of practical recovery methods. Exploitation has been delayed by the world geo-political situation, environmental issues and the important fact that the present land-based resources (sulfide and laterite types) meet the current market need [15].

Sulfide and laterite ores are very different in terms of composition:

- Sulfide ores have up to 2% Ni and usually are accompanied by Cu, Co and Fe sulfides. Precious metals and undesirable minor constituents such as As, Se, Te, etc. are also present. Sulfide ores can be concentrated in a product of ~6-20% Cu-Ni by mineral dressing techniques.
- Oxide ores contain up to 3% Ni, typically with some Co. They contain considerable amounts of free water (moisture) and chemically bound water (as hydroxides). Sometimes its water content can reach 45 wt.%. In spite of many efforts to concentrate laterites, desired technique has not been developed yet and only minor upgrading by screening can be applied [16, 17]. Concentration of laterites for Ni has been tried by magnetic separation but the method showed a low nickel recovery at 50 – 70% range [18]. The reason for quite difficult concentration is the fine-grained nickel bearing minerals which are finely incorporated and distributed throughout the ore [17].

Coexistence of laterite and sulfide-based reserves in the same geographic area is unlikely due to main geological differences. An exception is a high-grade nickel sulfide deposit (9.5–14% Ni) in Western Australia at the Silver Swan mining property which is close to several laterite operations near the goldfields in the Kalgoorlie area [15].

In this thesis, processing of a laterite type ore has been studied. Accordingly, the next section will provide just a short information on the sulfide ores and their processing and the reminder of the text will focus on laterites.

### 2.1.1 Sulfide Ores

Sulfide ores form through volcanic or hydrothermal processes and usually include copper and/or cobalt, and often minor amounts of precious metals such as gold, platinum, palladium and rhodium [8]. They typically contain 0.4-2% Ni, 0.2-2% Cu, 10-30% Fe and 5-20% S. The balance consists of SiO₂, MgO, Al₂O₃, and CaO. The principal nickel-bearing mineral is pentlandite (Fe₉S₈). In addition, pyrrhotite (Fe₇S₈), pyrite (FeS₂) and chalcopyrite (CuFeS₂) may be present but they are uncommon. The nickel sulfides are normally intergrown with the iron sulfides or dissolved in crystal lattice of iron sulfides. As a result, rejection of iron sulfides and rock, and production of high grade nickel concentrates are not achieved. Typical grades of concentrates range from 6 to 20% Cu-Ni [5, 16].

Sulfide ores have been the principal source of metallic nickel [19] and a large number of valuable byproducts including the platinum group metals (PGMs), gold, silver, selenium, tellurium, copper, cobalt, sulfur, and iron [5].
With the exception of Sherritt-Gordon pressure leaching, sulfide concentrates are treated using pyrometallurgical methods. Pyrometallurgical processing of nickel sulfide ores is very close to the other base metals and includes roasting, smelting and converting [10]. Depending on the grade of ores, copper is obtained as an important by-product or co-product. Sulfide ores are mined by either open-cut or underground mining. The ore is concentrated via flotation [8]. The concentrate is roasted in air above 700°C to adjust its sulfide content. The roasted product is smelted in a reverberatory, electric or flash smelting furnace at 1250-1300°C. Siliceous fluxes are also added to the furnace. At the end of the smelting step, a molten matte containing nickel, copper and iron is obtained. In the converting step, the iron is oxidized and removed in the slag. The remaining high grade Ni-Cu matte is refined hydro- or electrometallurgically to produce pure (up to 99.95%) nickel [10]. World’s nickel sulfide smelters and their feed compositions (1988) are given in Table 2-1 [16].

\[\text{Table 2-1. Nickel sulfide smelters and their feed compositions (wt%)}\]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Smelter</th>
<th>Ni</th>
<th>Cu</th>
<th>Co</th>
<th>Fe</th>
<th>S</th>
<th>SiO₂</th>
<th>MgO</th>
<th>Moisture</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Falconbridge</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>30.5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inco</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sudbury</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>40.8</td>
<td>30.9</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thompson</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>12.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Western Mining</td>
<td>11.4</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BCL-Botswana</td>
<td>2.77</td>
<td>3.16</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>43.5</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outokumpu</td>
<td>8-9</td>
<td>2-4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>24-27</td>
<td>19-22</td>
<td>15-17</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>9-10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bindura</td>
<td>10.6</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>21.3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>13-15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.1.2 Laterites

Laterites have been generated by the prolonged weathering of “ultramafic” rocks under moderately high temperatures and enough rainfall to keep a rather high, but fluctuating water table [20]. Through the weathering process (or laterisation process),

---

1 It is worth noting that there are three types of laterites; aluminum laterites, manganese laterites and nickel laterites. The current study addresses the pyrometallurgical processing of nickel laterites and therefore throughout this thesis the word laterite refers to nickel laterites.
magnesium, iron, nickel, and colloidal silica are leached from these rocks by groundwater containing carbon dioxide. Main part of the iron rapidly oxidizes near the surface in contact with air and precipitates as goethite and hematite. The dissolved nickel and magnesium, and the colloidal silica, percolate downwards until the acidic solution is neutralized reacting with rock and soil. At this point, they precipitate as hydrated magnesium silicates [5, 6].

The only exception to the laterisation process, is the Greek laterites. Greece has extensive nickel laterites representing 90% of laterite deposits in the European Union (the rest being in Finland) [1], but they are majorly low-grade limonitic type (a type of laterites that will be explained in the coming paragraphs). The Greek laterites are sedimentary and have been formed by transport and sedimentation of material which has been generated through the laterisation elsewhere [21].

Depth of laterite profiles are typically 6 to 15 m, but sometimes it can reach up to 60 m [20]. Laterisation rate has been assessed at 20 mm per 1000 years (Nahon and Trady, 1992; cited in [22]) and therefore it may take more than one million years for a laterite deposit to form. Because of the shallow nature and the large area of laterites, they are mined by open-cut method [8]. Figure 2-1 depicts the distribution of laterites worldwide.

Figure 2-1. Distribution of the world nickel laterites according to contained nickel (Adapted from [7]).

Due to the nature of the laterisation process, laterites are of a layered structure. These layers are never separated as distinct zones and there are gradational boundaries
between them [5, 16]. The chemical and mineralogical composition of these layers differ considerably, especially with respect to SiO₂/MgO and Fe/Ni weight ratios as well as physical and chemical water contents [6]. A simplified nickel laterite profile is depicted in Fig. 2-2. Laterite profiles are more complex and thickness of each individual layer may vary from one deposit to the other depending on the composition and structure of the parent rock [20] and climate of the region. Figure 2-3 illustrates that these profiles are affected by climate [7].

![Schematic Laterite Profile](image)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Common Name</th>
<th>Approximate Analysis (%)</th>
<th>Extractive Procedure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hematite Cap/Red Limonite</td>
<td>&lt;0.8 &lt;0.1 &gt;50 &lt;0.5 &gt;1</td>
<td>Overburden to Stockpile</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nickeliferous Limonite/</td>
<td>0.8 to 1.5 0.1 to 0.2   40 to 50 0.5 to 5 2 to 5</td>
<td>Hydrometallurgy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yellow Limonite</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transition</td>
<td>1.5 to 1.8 0.02         25 to 40 5 to 15 1 to 1</td>
<td>Hydrometallurgy or Pyrometallurgy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saporlite/Garnierite/Serpentine</td>
<td>1.8 to 3 0.1 to 0.2 10 to 25 15 to 35 2 to 2</td>
<td>Pyrometallurgy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fresh Rock</td>
<td>0.3 to 0.02 0.01 to 0.02 5 to 35 0.2 to 1</td>
<td>Left in situ</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Figure 2-2. A simple presentation of nickel laterite profiles (adapted from [5, 23]).*

![Laterite Profiles](image)

*Figure 2-3. Laterite profiles under wet and dry climate conditions.*
Laterites are classified into three main types based on the composition and the mineralogy of these layers [5, 8, 17, 24]:

(i) Limonite type which is enriched in iron, but depleted in silica and magnesium (15–32% or higher Fe, MgO < 10%). Limonite mainly consists of iron oxides of which goethite (α-FeO·OH) is the major constituent. It is also relatively rich in cobalt and chromium. Iron oxides in laterites are pretty poorly crystalline with nanometric crystal sizes and large surface areas. These characteristics may result in substantial absorption of number of ions, in particular Al³⁺, from soil. Iron oxides are seldom present in laterites in their pure form, because these ions substitute for iron [25].

(ii) Saprolite type, which is also called as garnierite or serpentine, contains lower iron and higher magnesium (Fe < 12% and MgO > 25%). The most important Ni-bearing minerals belong to the garnierite group which are hydrous nickel-magnesium silicate minerals. NiO content of these minerals imparts green color to them. According to (Mg+Ni)/Si ratio, they are divided into three subgroups; 3/2 corresponds to serpentine and chlorite groups, while 3/4 corresponds to clays.

(iii) Nontronite which is an intermediate type and lies between limonitic and saprolitic types (12–15% Fe and 25–35% or 10–25% MgO).

Laterites are made up of composite and single phase particles of varying sizes. Ore particles have complex structures including fine grain mixture, intergrowth, vein-like/plate-like, porous and dense microstructures [26]. This micro-scale, heterogeneous and complex mineralogy of the laterites together with the fact that the value elements (nickel and cobalt) are present in solid solution rather than as definite minerals, make it extremely difficult to upgrade the ore by common concentration methods (e.g. flotation) [3, 23, 24]. Therefore, every tonne of laterite must be processed to extract valuable metals, resulting in high capital and operating costs [3, 27].

The variability in composition and lack of an applicable concentration method also means that various ores must be blended to keep the feed composition varying over a
narrow range. This is essential for most processes and, under these circumstances, selective mining must be applied to produce the required blend [23].

The only practical process to upgrade laterites is rock rejection. Laterite ores commonly contain Ni-deficient particles which are typically surrounded by tiny and loosely attached nickeliferous particles. Thus, a gentle abrasion may detach the soft nickel-bearing material from the surface of the hard particles. Rejection of these particles by screening can result in significant upgrading. This can be done in a “trommel” rock rejection device or in an autogenous grinding drum [21].

In one study, high gradient magnetic field has been applied to a lateritic ore for the preparation of a suitable leaching feed (for hydrometallurgical processing). The high gradient magnetic field takes the advantages of slightly magnetic nickeliferous minerals such as limonite and serpentine to separate them from nonmagnetic quartz and calcite. The process would be effective provided that a high intensity magnetic field has been used and a satisfactory mineral liberation has been previously attained by grinding. It was reported that, under the optimum conditions, more than 95% of Ni can be recovered while up to 37% of CaO is removed and 18% of feed weight is reduced [21].

2.2 Laterite Processing

The mineralogy and type of the lateritic ore impact the processing method for the extraction of nickel. Complex mineralogy of these ores has given rise to a number of pyro- and hydrometallurgical extractive techniques of which four are commercially attractive: matte smelting, ferronickel smelting via the rotary kiln-electric furnace (RKEF) process, high pressure acid leaching (HPAL) and the Caron process [7, 23]. Each process leads to a different product as shown in Table 2.2. Figure 2.4 shows the generalized flow chart for these processes.

It is almost universally accepted that saprolite type is suitable for smelting, whereas limonite type is best treated by hydrometallurgical processes [6, 16, 27, 28]. The first reason for this division is the heterogeneous chemical and mineralogical composition of saprolites compared to limonites. The heterogeneous saprolitic ore necessitates a more flexible processing method, i.e. pyrometallurgy. The other reason is the high
magnesia content of the saprolitic ores which considerably increases acid consumption in hydrometallurgical processing. Besides, saprolites have desirable slag forming minerals that are not present in limonites. On the contrary, limonites with more homogeneous mineralogy and composition, and less acid consumption are preferred to be treated hydrometallurgically [5, 23]. Processing of the ores containing comparable amounts of the two types can be problematic [23].

Table 2-2. Processing methods of nickel laterites and corresponding products [1]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Processing method</th>
<th>Product</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Matte smelting</td>
<td>Nickel matte</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ferronickel smelting</td>
<td>Ferronickel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPAL</td>
<td>Briquettes and nickel powder</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caron process</td>
<td>NiO</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

![Figure 2-4. Flow chart for various methods of processing of laterites [7].](image)

Although it has been reported that about 40% of the laterites are appropriate for pyrometallurgy [6, 17], the current situation in nickel industry draws a quite different image of the processing configuration. The main nickel laterite projects worldwide
and processing configuration is depicted in Fig. 2-5. According to this figure, about 70% of laterite is being processed by the RKEF process.

**Figure 2-5. Nickel laterite projects and processing configuration (2008) (data derived from [8]).**

In spite of the fact that RKEF and HPAL are well proven and most common methods for the processing of laterites, there is a big interest in alternative methods with less energy requirement. Heap leaching (leaching under atmospheric pressure) [29] and selective reduction followed by physical upgrading (either flotation or magnetic separation) have been two avenues [27]. Heap leaching, although an old method, has emerged newly in nickel industry. Two heap leach projects are targeted at Çaldağ in Turkey and Murrin Murrin in Australia [8].

Low capital costs and reduced engineering problems are the two main advantages of heap leaching over HPAL and RKEF. But lower recoveries, higher acid consumption and extended leaching time are the obstacles to atmospheric leaching being a commercial processing method [8, 23].

In the following sections, HPAL, Caron process and smelting to matte will be described briefly. Then RKEF will be reviewed in more detail as the subject of this study.
2.3 Hydrometallurgical Processes

The two major hydrometallurgical methods for the processing of laterites are HPAL and the Caron process. The Caron process is actually a combination of both pyro and hydro methods, but it is customarily classified as a hydrometallurgical process.

2.3.1 The HPAL Process

The HPAL process utilizes high temperatures (245-270°C) and high pressures (up to 5.4 MPa) to leach the limonitic ore in sulfuric acid. The solid residue is separated from the solution by Counter-Current Decantation (CCD). There are several ways for purification of the solution. Final product can be electrolytic nickel, nickel oxide or nickel briquettes. Some plants produce mixed hydroxides or mixed sulfides as an intermediate products which should be refined elsewhere [7, 8].

The ore to be treated with HPAL needs to contain as low saprolite as possible since extraction of the nickel from silicate structure is more difficult [26]. Furthermore, it should preferably contain Mg + Al less than 5% as their compounds are high acid consumers [7, 28].

The first HPAL plant was constructed at Moa Bay (Cuba) in 1959 [8]. In fact there were no further commercial PAL operations until the 1990s when three new plants were constructed in Western Australia in 1998. Although, at the outset, the low capital costs became the incentive for the establishment of the new plants, maintenance costs was far beyond the assessments [3]. Two of the Australian plants have been closed and only one remained in operation (Murrin Murrin plant) [8].

Usage of titanium lined autoclaves and other improvements in technology minimized the processing costs and encouraged new projects. Coral Bay (Philippines) was later built in 2005 which seems to be the most successful plant to date. Currently, some new large HPAL laterite projects are under development [8] including Ambatovy (Madagascar), Ramu (PNG), Taganito (Philippine) and Gördes (Turkey) but they are not yet in operation. Nevertheless, some engineering problems, particularly scale formation on the walls of autoclaves and pipes [23], still exist and should be overcome.
2.3.2 The Caron Process
Developed in 1940s, the Caron process is the oldest for processing low grade limonitic ores [3]. It includes drying and reduction roasting followed by leaching in a buffered ammoniacal solution. During the roasting which is done at about 700°C, the nickel and cobalt oxides (and some iron oxide [7]) in the ore are selectively reduced to form alloy (metallic) particles. These particles are then leached away with an ammonium carbonate solution [30]. The leached liquor is purified and nickel carbonate is obtained. This intermediate solid product is then reduced in solid state by a reducing gas to form nickel metal [26]. The leaching residue is a suitable source for iron [31].

The Caron process can be applied to a mixture of limonitic and saprolitic ores, but the recovery decreases as the saprolite proportion is increased. Nickel and cobalt in the silicate matrix are difficult to reduce at temperatures used in this process [7].

Comparing with HPAL, the Caron process can tolerate higher Mg amounts [7] and uses atmospheric leaching. The other advantage is that lixiviant\(^1\) in the Caron process is recycled [3]. The process has also some significant disadvantages that have restricted its commercial success. It is energy intensive and requires various reagents in the leaching step [7]. In addition, the nickel and cobalt recoveries are typically ~80 and ~55% respectively, which are lower than those for smelting or HPAL [3].

Only five Caron plants have ever been developed, with four in operation until 2004 [3, 7]. A more recent review in 2010, reported only two Caron plants (see Fig. 2-5) [8].

2.4 Pyrometallurgical Processing of Laterites
Historically, saprolitic ores have been treated by smelting processes. Pyro methods include smelting to a Ni-Fe-S matte by adding sulfur, and smelting to ferronickel. Owing to the much lower free energy of nickel, its separation from the gangue components such as silica is relatively simple. A controlled reduction allows the reduction of entire nickel oxide while restricting the degree of reduction of iron oxide,

---

\(^1\) A liquid medium used in hydrometallurgy to selectively extract the desired metal from the ore [93].
but a complete separation of nickel from iron cannot be achieved by selective reduction [5, 7, 16].

It is proposed that matte smelting is suitable when the smelting produce a slag with low melting temperature where the Ni containing phase should also have low melting temperature [7]. The liquidus temperature of S-deficient mattes (i.e. PT Inco) is essentially controlled by the S content. Typically the liquidus temperature of a matte with 8-10% S is ~1300°C [16]. Ores that produce slags having high melting temperatures are more appropriate for ferronickel smelting [7].

2.4.1 Smelting to Matte

Saprolitic ore was first smelted in blast furnace in 1870s. The process was developed by Societ´e Le Nickel (SLN) to produce ferronickel, but they ran into difficulties with eliminating sulfur from the alloy and switched the operation to produce Fe-Ni sulfide matte [5].

The process is based on the larger affinity of nickel for sulfur compared with that of iron and the larger affinity of iron for oxygen compared with that of nickel [23]. The ore is mixed with a flux, a reductant and a source of sulfur. The source of sulfur can be a sulfide mineral or elemental sulfur. Almost all of the nickel and a large fraction of the iron are reduced and react with sulfur to form a matte. Fluxing and selective oxidation in a converter oxidize the iron and eliminate it in the slag to yield a nickel matte with more than 75% Ni, 20 – 22% S, and less than 1% Fe. This matte is cast, ground, and roasted in fluidized-bed roasters to remove sulfur. It is then chloridized via roasting in a rotary kiln, followed by acid leaching to eliminate copper. Finally, the nickel oxide product is smelted and reduced with coke and metal is obtained. Today, laterites are smelted in electric furnaces, and matte smelting is practiced only in former Soviet Union [5], and Inco’s Sorowako operation (Indonesia) [6].

2.4.2 Smelting to Ferronickel

In this case, the ore is smelted to yield a ferronickel product via the Rotary Kiln-Electric Furnace (RKEF) process. RKEF is a two stage process which involves drying, calcination and partial reduction of the ore in a rotary kiln, followed by smelting in an electric arc furnace. Depending on the ore grade, the ferronickel product can contain
20-40% nickel [27]. Ferronickel production accounted for 19% of the annual new nickel output in 2002 [15]. This value reached to more than 35% in 2010 (derived from the data in [8]) indicating the growing importance of ferronickel smelting in nickel industry. Two typical ferronickel classifications, one based on the nickel grade and the other based on the carbon content of ferronickel product, are given in Appendix A.

Electric furnaces were introduced to nickel industry in 1958 by SLN and replaced blast furnace operations [5]. It has also been reported that the RKEF process is a modification of LM process which had been previously invented by Professor L. Moussoulos and implemented in Larco during the 1960s [32]. Regardless of its origin, there has been an unbroken technology refinement over time, especially in the late 20th century. Improved productivities of kilns and furnaces have given rise to high powered and smaller units which can fulfil production goals at much lower capital and operating costs per tonne of ferronickel. Figure 2-6-a [33] shows kilns and furnace capacities over the past 45 years and Fig. 2-6-b [34] shows the increase in furnace power in the meantime. A single RKEF line consisting of one kiln (240 t/h of calcine) and a 120 MW furnace can process 2 million tonnes of dry ore per year [33].

Main developments in ferronickel smelting have been borrowed from iron and steel metallurgy. Furnace power density (power input per unit hearth area) has profoundly increased by the operation switched from the conventional immersed electrode mode to the shielded-arc mode (Fig. 2-7), and by the installation of water-cooled copper panels in the sidewalls. The shielded-arc mode allows the power to be transferred directly to the calcine, while at the same time the cooling system forms a frozen slag layer on the sidewalls and arrests refractory wear by the hot slag [33, 34].
Figure 2-6. (a) Capacity of a RKEF line, (b) increase in furnace power over the years.

Figure 2-7. (a) shielded-arc, (b) immersed electrode operating modes.
New technologies for rotary kilns have been adopted from the cement and lime industries. One of the important improvements is increasing the feed end diameter which leads to a lower discharge gas velocity and less dust losses [33]. Other efforts have focused on improving/adopting computerized process control/monitoring and dependable kiln on-board instrumentation, and achieving higher energy efficiency and ore throughputs. Replacing refractory bricks by monolithic castable lining has resulted in longer kiln life [6].

The RKEF process as applied today is a fully developed and reliable one with high environmental standards [35], and pyrometallurgical treatment of laterites is achieved almost universally via this process. Popularity of the process can be elucidated by the following technical advantages [36]:

- short process flowsheet for obtaining marketable product;
- environmental-friendly technology;
- absence of marked dependency of the process parameters on the variability of the treated ore in terms of mineralogy and composition;
- possibility of treating ores with high MgO and SiO$_2$ contents.

Although different approaches were adopted in various ferronickel plants [16], all the RKEF flowsheets include the following steps in common each with a certain goal [1, 6]:

- pretreatment: crushing of the ore and mixing with solid reductant and recycled-dust agglomerates;
- drying: removal of a large portion of the moisture (free water) from the ore;
- calcination-prereduction: removal of the rest of the moisture as well as crystalline water, preheating of the ore, and solid state reduction (prereduction) of a considerable portion of the nickel and a controlled fraction of the iron;
- smelting: completion of reduction process, smelting of the roast and separation of the product ferronickel from the discard slag;
- refining: elimination of minor impurities and enrichment of the ferronickel.

Some other technologies have been tried as an alternative to the conventional RKEF process, two of which are fluid bed calciner - DC furnace smelting (FB-DC furnace
process) [28] and smelting to ferronickel in a two-zone Vaniukov Furnace (VF) [36]. Both of these processes have been launched in a pilot scale. It is also suggested [34, 37] that DC furnaces can be used to treat dust and fines to produce a salable ferronickel after refining.

The following sections will focus on the steps involved in the RKEF process.

2.4.2.1 Pretreatment (Dressing) & Drying

The run-of-mine ore usually contains 25-30% moisture (sometimes up to 40% or more) [37]. Water needs much energy to evaporate and heat up and therefore drying is an essential first step. Most plants use direct fired rotary dryers for this purpose. The ore is dried at ~250°C and the moisture-laden off-gas leave the dryer at about 100°C. The ore is partly dried to yield an easy-to-handle product with 15-20% moisture. This controlled residual moisture prevents inordinate dusting [6, 16].

As mentioned before, laterites are not amenable to concentration by the conventional methods and only a minor upgrading is normally achieved by screening out the large Ni deficient lumps [6].

In most of the cases, plants receive ores from various mining sites which should be blended to maintain a relatively constant feed composition [6]. This blend is next mixed with a solid fuel and recycled dust before being charged to the rotary kiln.

2.4.2.2 Calcination & Prereduction

2.4.2.2.1 General description

The ore from the dryer has 15-20% residual free water and 10-12% crystalline water. This high amount of water present in the ore necessitates some pretreatments (drying and calcination) before smelting [10, 37]. Rotary kilns are almost universally used to dry and preheat the ore before smelting. The exception is Falcondo Smelter (Dominican Republic) where briquettes of partly dried ore is charged to rectangular shaft furnaces for drying and calcination [6, 16]. Lurgi Metallurgie has also developed and tested a process in laboratory scale where fluidized bed reactor is used for this purpose [38].
Function of rotary kilns (RKs) in the earliest plants was restricted to drying and calcination and operating temperature did not transcend 700°C. As time passed and the process was developed, several improvements in design and operating technique were made. The operating temperature was raised to 900-1000°C and reductant was added to the feed to allow a partial reduction of the ore in the kiln [5]. These improvements enabled energy conservation in the electric furnace and replacing fossil fuel for more expensive electrical energy [5, 16, 33]. The maximum energy conservation can be achieved via a precise control of the conditions in the RK. Figure 2-8 reflects the findings of a study on the effect of reductive roasting (prereduction) in the RK on the energy consumption in the EF [1].

In the RK, fuel is burned at the discharge end so as to generate the desired reducing atmosphere which travels counter-current to the charge. The ore moves forward in the slightly sloped RK and is consecutively dried, preheated, calcined and reduced in solid state [6]. Depending on the moisture content of the feed, 15-25% of the heat and half of the kiln length are needed for drying and preheating of the charge to the calcination temperature. Towards the end of the kiln, the ore is partially reduced.

The feed enters the kiln at ambient temperature and exits it at 750-950°C [33]. Higher temperatures are avoided since the ore is likely to agglomerate and create accretion on the kiln walls (ringing) [5, 16, 32]. Hot calcine is poured into a surge bin, which is discharged into insulated containers periodically. The containers are transported to a crane, which lifts and places them above the electric furnace where they are discharged into the feed bins [33].

The off-gas leaves the kiln from the feed end at 250-350°C. A scrubber or an electrostatic precipitator is used to remove dust from the flue-gas before it is discharged to air [33]. Dusting rates are commonly 10-20% [6]. Kiln sizes varies in a wide range from 90 to 185 m in length and 4.2 to 6.1 m in diameter. Figure 2-9-a shows the typical temperature and gas flow rate profile in a ferronickel rotary kiln. There is always 150-250°C difference between the charge and the kiln gas temperatures [33]. Figure 2-9-b shows charge temperature and degree of reduction along a RK reported in another study [32].
Figure 2-8. Effect of (a) reduction (roasting) temperature and, (b) and (c) reduction time (at 860 and 900°C respectively) on the energy consumption in the smelting step.
2.4.2.2 Phenomena in RK

- Calcination

Upon heating, the ore is calcined and its crystalline water is removed (This process is also called dehydroxylation). Calcination has an appreciable influence on the
reducibility of the ore, particularly in saprolitic ores [27, 30, 39]. Phases which are developed during calcination depend on the mineralogy of the original ore. Limonitic ores are mostly composed of goethite (FeOOH) which, in an oxidizing condition such as air, is transformed to hematite (Fe$_2$O$_3$) according to the reaction below. This transformation usually occurs at about 300°C with 10.1 wt.% water release [27, 40].

$$2\text{FeOOH} (s) \rightarrow \text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3 (s) + \text{H}_2\text{O} (g)$$ (R2-1)

It is suggested that, under the reducing conditions, goethite directly transforms to magnetite by the reaction below [17, 26]:

$$6(\text{Fe, Ni})\text{OOH} (s) \rightarrow 2(\text{Fe, Ni})_3\text{O}_4 (s) + 3\text{H}_2\text{O} (g) + 1/2\text{O}_2 (g)$$ (R2-2)

Calcination behavior of the saprolitic ores, however, is more complex. The main hydrated mineral in the saprolitic ores is serpentine which exist as three polymorphs: chrysothile, lizardite and antigorite. It is suggested [27, 41] that serpentine decomposes to forsterite (Mg$_2$SiO$_4$) and enstatite (MgSiO$_3$) according to the following reaction:

$$\text{Mg}_3\text{Si}_2\text{O}_5(\text{OH})_4 (s) \rightarrow \text{MgSiO}_3 (s) + \text{Mg}_2\text{SiO}_4 (s) + 2\text{H}_2\text{O} (g)$$ (R2-3)

But it has been indicated by numerous researches that an intermediate amorphous and highly reactive phase is formed before the formation of crystalline forsterite and enstatite.

Iron and nickel in saprolitic laterites substitute for Mg within the serpentine [42] but the mechanism of dehydroxylation reaction is not altered by these substitutions. The only difference is the formation of olivine and pyroxene instead of forsterite and enstatite [27]. Based on the MgO-NiO-SiO$_2$ phase diagram [43], olivine is MgO enriched phase [(Mg, Fe, Ni)$_2$SiO$_4$] and pyroxene is SiO$_2$ enriched phase [(Mg, Fe, Ni)SiO$_3$]. Pyroxene is easier to reduce than olivine during the subsequent solid state reduction [44].

In another study [26], it was suggested that serpentine is transformed to olivine upon reduction roasting following the reaction below:

$$2(\text{Mg,Fe,Ni})_3\text{Si}_2\text{O}_5(\text{OH})_4 (s) \rightarrow 3(\text{Mg,Fe,Ni})_2\text{SiO}_4 (s) + \text{SiO}_2 (s) + 4\text{H}_2\text{O} (g)$$ (R2-4)
DTA analysis of a saprolitic ore gives an endothermic peak at 550-650°C followed by an exothermic peak at 800-850°C. The endothermic and exothermic peaks are associated with dehydroxylation of serpentine and crystallization of olivine/pyroxene, respectively [17, 27, 45]. Figure 2-10 depicts typical DTA/TGA curves for both limonitic and saprolitic laterite ores. DTA analysis of the limonitic ore gives an endothermic peak at about 300°C which belongs to the dehydroxylation of goethite. Although the peak sizes can vary among different samples, their positions are almost the same.

![Figure 2-10. Typical DTA/TGA of (a) limonitic, (b) saprolitic ores [33].](image)

- **Prereduction**

Reduction reactions begin when the temperature of the charge reaches 500-600°C and continues at higher temperatures [10, 16]. Under the optimum conditions, up to 40%
of the nickel oxide is reduced to metallic state (Ni°) in the kiln product. At the same time, the main portion of the iron is reduced from Fe³⁺ to Fe²⁺ [5, 10]. Limited amount of the iron oxide should be reduced to metallic form to ensure a high grade ferronickel in the subsequent smelting [27]. The main reactions during the coal based reduction of laterites will be given in section 4.3.1.

2.4.2.2.3 Factors affecting the reduction process

Different factors affect the reducibility of laterites in RKs. Ore grain size is one of the important factors. Reduction reactions occur on the surface of the ore particles and their rate increases by decreasing ore particle size as the reacting surface area increases consistently. Much higher degrees of reduction are achieved by gradual decrease of feed size from -40 to -12 mm. In addition, a finer ore corresponds to a higher mean temperature of the calcine which in turn leads to more energy saving during the smelting in EF. On the other hand, finer ore particles raise dusting and corresponding problems [24].

The type of the solid fuel is another essential affecting factor. Solid fuels are used for both heating and reduction [24]. Lignite, coal, anthracite, coke and graphite are the most common fuels. Among these, lignite and coal are the most reactive ones. Reductants with higher volatiles are more reactive than those with low volatiles [5]. However, excessive volatile matter in the RK charge results in high temperature of the flue gases and additional thermal losses. It also increases fire risk in the dedusting equipment. Accordingly, a controlled mixture of volatile and less volatile fuels should be used for the best result [24].

The reducibility of laterites depends on the mineralogy and type of the ore. An ore which is composed mostly of nickel-bearing goethite will react differently from that in which the nickel is in a complex hydrosilicate [23]. Nickel in saprolitic ores is more difficult to reduce than the limonitic ores. The poor reducibility of nickel in saprolitic ores, especially at high temperatures, has been ascribed to the formation of olivine [26, 39]. Due to the very similar ionic radius of Ni and Mg ions (0.068 and 0.065 nm for Ni²⁺ and Mg²⁺, respectively), nickel can easily swap places with magnesium in silicates. Entrapped nickel in the silicate structure cannot be readily reduced [19, 30]. In addition, olivine is a dense phase with low surface area [27] which decreases
effective reaction surface and hence the reaction rate. Therefore, prevention of olivine formation during calcination is critical to achieve high degree of reduction in saprolitic ores.

Temperature and reducing potential of the kiln atmosphere are also two critical parameters that affect the maximum degree of the reduction achieved. These parameters will be discussed in detail in the results and discussion part as they are addressed in this study.

2.4.2.3 Smelting

The prereduced calcine from the RK is mixed with coal or coke and is charged into the electric furnace for smelting. In the electric furnace, the final reduction takes place and resulting ferronickel and slag are separated. All of the nickel and part of the iron oxides are reduced to form ferronickel. There is a large difference between the formation energies of the nickel oxide and the other refractory oxides. This enables the complete reduction of the nickel oxide while restricting the reduction of the other oxides [5]. The grade of the ferronickel can vary in a relatively wide range depending on the nature of the ore. For saprolites, 60-70% of the iron oxides are typically reduced to yield a ferronickel containing about 25% Ni, whereas lower percentages (10-15% [5]) of iron oxides in the high iron containing limonites are reduced to give a product with 15-20% nickel [10]. The crude ferronickel from smelting also contains carbon and other minor impurities. A high carbon ferronickel is obtained from the ores with a Fe/Ni weight ratio less than 6 while a low-carbon ferronickel is obtained from the ores with higher Fe/Ni weight ratios [6].

Depending on the type of the ore and its composition, various ternary systems, such as FeO-SiO$_2$-CaO [1], SiO$_2$-CaO-MgO [46] and SiO$_2$-MgO-Fe$_3$O$_4$ [47] have been used to predict the melting behavior of the ferronickel slags. However, it is very common to use the FeO-SiO$_2$-MgO system [48] for saprolitic ores. Figure 2-11 shows the FeO-SiO$_2$-MgO ternary phase diagram and the slag compositions for the main ferronickel smelters. Figure 2-12 [7, 16, 34, 48] shows a well-known presentation of liquidus temperature as a function of SiO$_2$/MgO for these slags.
Figure 2-11. SiO$_2$-MgO-FeO ternary phase diagram showing slag composition for laterite smelters (adapted from [28]).

Figure 2-12. Liquidus temperatures as a function of SiO$_2$/MgO indicating slag tapping and liquidus temperature pairs for various ferronickel smelters.
Liquidus temperature of the metal phase (ferronickel) mainly depends on C and Si contents. Typically, for low carbon ferronickel (C < 0.2%) the liquidus temperature is ~1400°C. Liquidus temperature is much lower for higher C and Si levels [16]. Figure 2-13 shows the variation of melting temperature for Fe-Ni-C system [34].

Figure 2-13. Liquidus temperatures for Fe-Ni-C system.

Owing to the high liquidus temperatures of both metal and slag, laterite smelting is an energy-intensive operation. However, introduction of the high-voltage shielded-arc operation to ferronickel industry has increased power density of the furnaces resulting in higher specific processing rates and lower specific energy consumptions. The minimum electrical consumption, 379 kWh/t of calcine, according to Falcondo, Dominican and the maximum, 620 kWh/t of calcine, to PFK, Ukrine [6].

Furnace operation is governed predominantly by the smelting temperature of the slag. Large differences in the slag compositions have resulted in unusual differences in operating temperatures [10]. Ferronickel furnaces are usually operated at 1400-1700°C to enable separation of the metal and slag. Slag is skimmed at 50-100°C above its liquidus temperature. Most of the heat for smelting is generated in the slag and should be transferred to the ferronickel by convection and conduction [5]. Temperature of the ferronickel is connected to many factors such as depth and temperature of the slag, operating voltage and furnace power. Operating conditions
are selected to provide the necessary superheat for the refining step. Usually tapping temperatures of more than 1400°C are required for the crude ferronickel from EF, as the liquidus temperature of the refined ferronickel is about 1450°C [16].

Slags from limonite smelting can be best expressed by the FeO-Fe$_2$O$_3$-SiO$_2$ system (Fig. 2-14). In most cases, e.g. Larymna plant in Greece, melting temperature of these slags is comparable to or lower than that of the ferronickel. This operation uses the open-bath smelting method with low furnace voltages and deep immersion of the electrodes. These conditions permits ferronickel tapping at 100°C above the temperature of the slag. Electrode consumption (4-6 kg/ton of dry ore) is, however, considerably greater than that is consumed at other operations using high-voltage covered-bath practice. The two different smelting practices for saprolite (garnierite) and limonite ores are illustrated in Fig. 2-15 [49].

![Figure 2-14. Liquidus diagram and oxygen isobars for FeO-Fe$_2$O$_3$-SiO$_2$ ternary system.](image-url)
2.4.2.4 Refining of Ferronickel

Crude ferronickel from the electric furnace contains S, C, Si and P as impurities which must be eliminated so as to meet market requirements. A variety of methods including electric arc furnaces, low-frequency induction furnaces, ladle furnaces and oxygen blown converters can be used for this purpose. The level of impurities in the crude ferronickel determines the sequence of refining. If C and Si contents of the ferronickel are very low (<0.04%), the alloy is first dephosphorized and then S and C are eliminated. For higher percentages of C and Si, which is the case in most ferronickel plants, the alloy is first desulfurized and then its C, Si and P are removed [5, 16]. Typical composition of the refined product and the refining sequence for some operations are given in Table 2-3.

Elimination of sulfur is achieved by the addition of lime, soda ash or calcium carbide to the molten alloy. Good dispersion of the reagent in the alloy is maintained by its addition to a shaking ladle and during tapping, or by electromagnetic stirring. Some plants utilize greenwood poles to provide extra agitation and reductant. Sulfur...
combines with the reagent to form calcium or sodium sulfides which are removed in the slag layer [5].

\[
3\text{Na}_2\text{O} + 2\text{S} + \text{Si} \rightarrow 2\text{Na}_2\text{S} + \text{Na}_2\text{SiO}_3 \tag{R2-5}
\]

\[
\text{CaC}_2 + \text{S} \rightarrow \text{CaS} + 2\text{C} \tag{R2-6}
\]

**Table 2-3. Composition of the refined ferronickel for some operations (wt.%) [16]**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Plant</th>
<th>Ni+Co</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>S</th>
<th>Si</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>Refining Sequence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Falcondo</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>P, S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cerro Matoso</td>
<td>42-47</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>S, C, Si, P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morro Do Niquel</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>0.017</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>Trace</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>S, Si, C, P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P.T. Aneka Tambang</td>
<td>HiC &gt;18%</td>
<td>&lt;3</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>&lt;3</td>
<td>&lt;0.03</td>
<td>S, Si, C, P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LoC &gt;20%</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hyuga</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>0.015</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>S, C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SLN</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.022</td>
<td>0.024</td>
<td>0.023</td>
<td>S, C, Si, P</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Temperature of the melt falls during this treatment and oxygen is injected into the melt to heat it up. To attain a quite low levels of S (0.02%), elimination of sulfur is often carried out in two stages [5]. However, addition of a combination of ferrosilicon, aluminum, lime and fluorspar is nowadays known to be an economic and faster practice [35].

\[
2\text{Al} + 3(\text{FeNi})\text{S} + 3\text{CaO} \rightarrow 3\text{FeNi} + 3\text{CaS} + \text{Al}_2\text{O}_3 \tag{R2-7}
\]

Carbon, silicon, and phosphorus are removed by blowing oxygen in a converter or an oxygen lanced ladle. Carbon reacts with oxygen and leaves the system as carbon monoxide. Silicon oxidizes and combines with lime and fluorspar to form slag. Phosphorus is oxidized either by blowing oxygen or by adding iron ore and lime:

\[
6\text{P} + 5\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3 + 12\text{CaO} \rightarrow 10\text{Fe} + 3\text{Ca}_3\text{P}_2\text{O}_9 \tag{R2-8}
\]

Slags are skimmed after each refining step. A significant amounts of Co, Cu and As may be present in the alloy which are not removed during the refining [5]. The final
product is either cast into ingots or granulated. Granular ferronickel was first produced by GMM SA Larco in 1976 and, nowadays, 70-80% of ferronickel worldwide is granulated. Significant advantages of the granular ferronickel over the ingot ferronickel are lower production costs and easy handling. In addition, gradual feeding of granular ferronickel (instead of batches) in stainless steel production, avoids temperature peaks in the converter and increases lining lifetime [32].

2.4.2.5 Nickel Recovery

Complicated metallurgical interactions such as slag composition, slag viscosity (impacted by its chemical composition), alloy grade and partial pressure of the oxygen control the nickel losses in the slag [35, 50]. Normal laterite smelting practice yields a ferronickel with 15-25% Ni depending on the ore type. Under these conditions, slag usually contains about 0.1% nickel [5]. Nickel recovery lies between 90 and 95% while the recovery of cobalt is about 50% [7]. Nickel content of the slag increases to 0.15-0.2% when the ferronickel grade reaches 35-45%. Nickel losses rapidly increases for higher grades [5]. Results of a pilot-scale smelting of three different laterite ores are presented in Fig. 2-16 [28].

![Figure 2-16. Grade-recovery relationship for smelting of three different laterite ores.](image)

Ni losses in the slags are two types: physical (or mechanical) loss which is the loss by way of entrapped ferronickel in the slag, and chemical loss which is the loss by way
of dissolved NiO in the slag [16]. Two dissolution mechanism were suggested [50] for chemical loss of nickel:

Nickelate: \[ [Ni] + \frac{1}{2} O_2 (g) + (O^{2-}) = (NiO_2^{2-}) \] (R2-9)

Nickelous: \[ [Ni] + \frac{1}{2} O_2 (g) = (Ni^{2+}) + (O^{2-}) \] (R2-10)

Where the nickelate is the nickel-oxide bonded to oxygen ion in the slag and the nickelous is a divalent state of nickel.

Figure 2-17 shows the theoretical relation between Ni/Co and Fe recoveries. It also shows Ni recovery obtained from the experimental results. It is seen that the higher Fe recovery in ferronickel favors higher Ni and Co recoveries. However, there is a large difference between the experimental curve and the curve from thermodynamic calculations. The difference is ascribed to the physical loss in the slag. The experimental results include both physical and chemical losses whereas only chemical loss is included in the calculated results [36].

![Figure 2-17. Dependency of nickel and cobalt recovery on iron recovery (exp.: experimental results; calc.: calculated results)](image)

2.5 Laterites of Turkey

History of nickel in Turkey goes back to the late 1970s when the first laterite deposit was discovered at Manisa/Çaldağ [22, 11]. Nevertheless, no serious research was conducted for years. In the first decade of the millennium, coinciding with globally
expansion of nickel production from laterite resources, studies began to be implemented and some new resources were also discovered.

The other commercially important lateritic deposits of Turkey are Manisa/Gördes, Uşak/Banaz, and Eskişehir/Sivrihisar (Yunusemre). A relatively new deposit (Sarıçimen) [11] has also been discovered in the eastern part of the country near the Iranian border and another new one in Osmaniye province (Figure 2-18).

Manisa/Çaldağ and Manisa/Gördes reserves have been the subject of numerous studies [22, 51, 52, 53, 12] whereas there is limited information on the other more recently found reserves. Manisa/Çaldağ region, with about 33 Mt proven reserve [22], was the first lateritic Fe-Ni deposit being mined in Turkey [11]. Until 2011, it was owned by the European Nickel PLC (Sardes Nickel) and it was expected to be processed by the world's first commercial nickel laterite heap leach operation (European Nickel PLC, 2011; Oxley, 2006) leading to the entrance of Turkey in nickel industry, but it was sold to VTG Holding recently. This company is now planning to build an atmospheric tank leaching plant for this ore. Furthermore, META Nickel Cobalt Company is building a pressure acid leaching plant for the processing of Manisa/Gördes lateritic nickel/cobalt ore at the moment.

Since 2009, the drilling and trenching have been continued at Eskişehir/Sivrihisar by META Nickel Cobalt Company in order to determine the tonnage and grade of the lateritic ore reserve. The company is currently investigating an appropriate
hydrometallurgical or pyrometallurgical processing technique for this reserve. The current situation in Turkey implies that the nickel extraction from the lateritic sources will be a hot topic in the near future of the country.

2.6 The Aim of the Study

All of the previous studies on Turkish laterite ores were concerned with geological and mineralogical aspects of these ores [22, 11, 51, 54, 55] as well as their hydrometallurgical processing [29, 52, 53, 56, 57, 13]. This thesis is the first study on the pyrometallurgical extraction of nickel from Turkish laterites located in Eskşehir/Sivrihisar region.

- The Reasons for Choosing Ferronickel Smelting

It is generally accepted that limonitic laterite ores are suitable for hydrometallurgical treatment (HPAL in particular) while saprolitic laterites are suitable for pyrometallurgical treatment. Despite this categorization, this is changing and new projects are aiming to treat low-grade limonitic ores by pyrometallurgical routes [28].

Today’s research is directed toward the development of processes through which a wider variety of ores can be treated. In pursuit of this end, pyrometallurgical methods are at an advantage because they are more flexible and are less influenced by the mineralogical and compositional changes in the ore. In the processing of laterites, specifically, the pyrometallurgical methods have other significant advantages over the hydrometallurgical methods. Nickel is incorporated in the minerals containing other elements like Fe, Co and Mg. Hydrometallurgical methods basically handle the separation of nickel from these elements through a complex process. In the contrary, a simpler and shorter process is used in ferronickel smelting as the product contains Ni, Fe, Co and Cu all at once.

The other reason for ferronickel smelting from limonitic laterites is the nickel market. About 70% of the nickel output is used in iron-nickel based alloys like stainless steels where Ni is added to the bath of molten alloy as ferronickel rather than high-priced metallic nickel. Therefore, separation of nickel from iron through the longer and complicated processes (hydrometallurgy) is not necessary. In addition, a gradual decline in the high-grade saprolitic reserves will shift the industry towards the usage
of low grade limonitic ores, and inevitably, the share of these ores in ferronickel production will increase.

Furthermore, recent advances in furnace technology have remedied, to a great degree, difficulties related to the smelting of limonites such as unfavorable slag composition and higher CO₂ emission. Energy costs per tonne of the metal has decreased which can compensate for the low grades of limonitic ores. The current nickel technology and market permit to economically treat the ores with higher than 1% Ni. In the future, however, higher Ni prices and new advances can lead to lower cut-off grades.

The principal part of this thesis study has focused on the optimization of ferronickel production from the low grade limonitic laterite ore. It includes a complete mineralogical analysis of the run-of-mine (ROM) ore and investigation of its calcination, reduction and smelting behaviors.

- **The Reasons for Colemanite Addition**

  Ferronickel slags typically contain 0.1-0.2% Ni and nickel partition ratio (%Ni in the ferronickel divided by %Ni in the slag) is about 200 or above. Nonetheless, the recovery does not exceed 95% at the best. The problem arises from the high volume of the slag. Laterites are not amenable to concentration and whole of the ore consisting of mainly gangue minerals has to be processed. Therefore, regardless of laterite type, ferronickel smelting generates large volumes of slag in comparison with the alloy; about 10-15 tonnes of slag per tonne of ferronickel.

  Physical loss, which is suspended ferronickel particles in the slag, comprises the main part of the losses and accounts for the recoveries which are much less than theoretically expected levels (see Fig. 2-17). Although many studies have been conducted on smelting of laterites, the nickel losses in the slag has not been addressed enough in the literature and still remain as a challenge for this industry. A part of the current research work has addressed this issue and investigated the effect of processing parameters and colemanite addition on the nickel recovery. The main idea of colemanite addition was to decrease slag viscosity. Colemanite was anticipated to decrease the slag viscosity and to facilitate metal and slag separation.
Colemanite has been used in the iron and steel industry as well as in the copper metallurgy. In the iron and steel industry, colemanite was tested to be used as flux instead of CaF$_2$ during production of steel in basic oxygen furnace (BOF) furnace and also it was used as an additive material in sintering stage [58, 59, 60, 61]. According to these studies, the advantages of colemanite usage are as follows; it decreases melting point of the slag thereby increasing slag fluidity. It also increases the solubility of lime and magnesia. Furthermore, no significant damage was noticed in refractory materials. The only possible and objectionable result could be the entrance of boron to the metal phase under reducing conditions. So, the negative aspect was that some boron dissolved in the steel and increased its hardness which would cause hot tearing in rolling or forging. Most of the time, more than 30 ppm boron in the steel product cannot be tolerated in iron and steel industry.

In the copper metallurgy, the effect of colemanite and boric acid addition on the reverberatory furnace slag was investigated in 1975 [62]. It was reported that the matte-slag equilibrium condition was obtained very rapidly by the addition of boric acid or colemanite. Results from a recent laboratory scale study on the effect of colemanite addition on copper losses in matte smelting slag [63] also showed that it was possible to decrease the copper losses from 0.88% to about 0.3–0.4% by the addition of colemanite. The colemanite addition was industrially tested by EBİ smelter (Samsun, Turkey) to lower the copper losses in the slag during matte smelting [64]. It was reported that colemanite addition resulted in a considerable fuel saving. The benefit was somewhat higher than the cost of colemanite used. It was also reported that, the fluidity of slag dramatically increased by the addition of colemanite. However, the copper content of the slag did not decrease significantly in the EBİ smelter due to deficiencies in furnace design.
CHAPTER 3

EXPERIMENTAL

3.1 Ore Supply

Four barrels (~100 kg each) of limonitic laterite from Sivrihisar region were supplied by META Nickel Cobalt Company. These samples were thoroughly blended to form 400 kg of run-of-mine (ROM) ore. The ROM ore was sampled by “coning and quartering” method until batches of about 50 kg were obtained. One batch was used for dry screen analysis and tumbler test. Second batch was screened by 50 mm. Undersize fraction (-50 mm) was crushed to finer than 1 mm and was used in the experiments. Particle size distribution of the -1 mm ore, which was determined by dry screen analysis, is shown in Fig. 3-1.

![Particle size distribution of the ore used in the experiments.](image)

Figure 3-1. Particle size distribution of the ore used in the experiments.
3.2 Ore Characterization

3.2.1 Dry Screen Analysis & Tumbler Test
Dry screen analysis was used to determine particle size distribution of the ROM ore. This analysis was carried out separately for the two <4.75 mm and >4.75 mm size ranges according to ASTM E389-03 and ASTM E276-03 standards. Tumbler test (ASTM E279-97) was used to measure the resistance of the ore to degradation by impact and abrasion. 10-50 mm fraction was used for this test. A flow chart showing detailed stages of screen analysis and tumbler test is given in Appendix B.

3.2.2 Chemical Analysis
After the screen analysis, the retained ore on each sieve was crushed (if necessary) and finely ground. A disc grinder was used to grind the ore. Chemical composition of each fraction was determined by Inductively Coupled Plasma - Atomic Emission Spectrometry (ICP-AES) and variation of the major elements with the particle size was studied. In addition, a representative amount of the ROM ore was prepared and analyzed by the same method. ICP-AES analyses were done by ALS Chemex Company.

3.2.3 Qualitative Mineralogical Analysis
In order to identify the minerals present in the ore, a small amount of the ROM ore was subjected to X-Ray Diffraction (XRD) analysis. XRD analysis was carried out on a Rigaku SA-HF3 diffractometer with Cu Kα (λ=1.54 Å) radiation (40 kV and 40 mA). Spectra were acquired from 5 to 70° 2θ at a scanning speed of 0.2°/min. All non-clay minerals were identified by using the Print 2000PC & Multiflex Ver3004 software and ICDD database. Clay minerals, which could not be identified by the routine sample preparation methods, were determined by the clay mineralogy technique as briefly described below.

A 10 g representative ore sample was placed in a 1000 ml beaker. It was filled with distilled water and a small quantity (a pinch) of sodium pyrophosphate was added as a dispersant. The mixture was stirred until a good dispersion was achieved. In order to separate the clay-sized fraction (<2 µm), the dispersed suspension was then allowed to settle for 8 hours. Following this, a 10 cm high column containing particles with
finer than 2 µm size was obtained. The upper portion of the suspension containing clay minerals was pumped into another container. The beaker was filled with distilled water again, and the same procedure was repeated until a clear liquid was obtained after 8 hours settling. The sediment was removed, dried and weighed to calculate the clay minerals fraction within the ore. At the same time, the clay containing suspension was settled by using a centrifuge apparatus. The supernate was decanted and the precipitate (wet clay residue) was spread on four petrographic slides and left to air-dry. The first sample was then treated in an ethylene glycol saturated atmosphere at 60°C for 3 hours. To create the required atmosphere, some ethylene glycol was poured into a desiccator including the sample which was tightly closed and placed in the furnace. The second and third samples were heated and kept for 1 hour at 300 and 550°C, respectively. The last sample was maintained as it had been air-dried. All four prepared samples were immediately examined by XRD.

3.2.4 Quantitative Mineralogical Analysis
To determine the concentration of the minerals present in the ore, a Rietveld method-based mineral quantification was performed with GSAS [65] using the EXPGUI graphical interface [66]. Crystal structure data of the components were downloaded from the American Mineralogist Crystal Structure Database [67]. The best-fitting crystallographic information files were selected to be used for the refinement. In order to measure the instrumental contribution to peak shape, ultra high purity silicon and lanthanum hexaboride \( \text{LaB}_6 \) standard samples (NIST 640d and 660b, respectively) were used. Background was modelled by a six-term Shifted Chebyshev function.

3.2.5 Thermal Analysis
Differential thermal analysis (DTA) and thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) were performed at Central Laboratory of METU. Twenty milligrams of the ore was heated from ambient temperature to 1000°C at a rate of 10 °C/min. in air. Simultaneous DTA and TGA were also carried out for clay-sized fraction of the ore. Thermal analysis of clays helped to identify their types.
3.3 Calcination Experiments

An externally controlled muffle furnace was used in the calcination experiments. In each run, 100 g of dry -1 mm ore was charged into a chamotte tray and was placed into the furnace. Photograph of the muffle furnace and the tray are shown in Fig. 3-2. The furnace had been previously heated to and fixed at the desired temperature between 250 and 800°C.

![Chamotte Tray](image)

Figure 3-2. Photograph of the muffle furnace and chamotte tray used in the calcination experiments.

Two different approaches were followed in the calcination experiments. The aim was to minimize the number of the experiments. In the first approach (called “continuous” approach), the sample was heated to constant weight. During the calcination, weight of the sample was recorded every 5 minutes by getting the tray out of the furnace, weighing it and putting it back into the furnace. The scale was placed just near the furnace and weighing was carried out as quickly as possible to minimize heat loss effect. In order to establish the effect of heat loss while weighing in the first approach, some experiments were conducted by a second approach. In the experiments performed with the second approach, the tray was left in the furnace for a predetermined time (20, 40, 60 and 80 minutes). The second approach will be referred to as “fixed-time” approach in this text. In both approaches, the ore was mixed at specific intervals during the calcination.

After each experiment, the calcine was split by Jones riffle sampler. One fraction was ground and analyzed by XRD to determine which transformations occur during the
calcination. The calcine obtained under the optimum conditions was ground and assayed by ICP-AES.

3.4 Prereduction Experiments

3.4.1 Experimental Set-up
The experiments were conducted under different atmospheres including argon (Ar), nitrogen (N₂) and 70%N₂-20%CO₂-10%CO gas mixture. The gas supplying system is schematically presented in Fig. 3-3. Argon was simply passed through a column of silica gel, a flowmeter and a gas washing bottle before it is sent to the furnace. As for the gas mixture, it was generated by a gas mixing system. The system was a combination of three manometers and capillary tubes. A manometer is shown in detail in Fig. 3-4. The height of the color liquid (aqueous solution of KMnO₄) in the column was used to control the gas flow through the capillary tube.

![Figure 3-3. General view of the gas supply for both Ar and N₂-CO₂-CO mixtures.](image)

High-purity (99.99%) nitrogen and carbon dioxide gases were used but the purity of the carbon monoxide gas was lower (99.5%). Carbon monoxide gas was cleaned by
passing it over copper chips at 500°C. Oxygen impurity in the gas combined with copper according to the reaction below to give copper oxide:

$$2Cu + \frac{1}{2}O_2 \rightarrow Cu_2O$$  \hspace{1cm} \Delta G^o = -169.46 + 0.0737 T \text{ (kJ)} \hspace{1cm} \text{(R 3-1)}$$

The equilibrium oxygen partial pressure for this reaction was calculated to be $6.26 \times 10^{-16}$ atm. at 500°C assuming that the activities of Cu and Cu$_2$O were unity.

The gas from the gas supply was sent to the furnace. A horizontal tube furnace, as schematically shown in Fig. 3-5, was used in the prereduction experiments.

![Schematic presentation of a gas manometer.](image)

*Figure 3-4. Schematic presentation of a gas manometer.*
3.4.2 Experimental Procedure

A certain amount of dry calcine was thoroughly mixed with 5.74, 8.61 and 11.48 wt.% coal to obtain batches of about 20 g by weight. 5.74 wt.% corresponds to the theoretical coal amount required to reduce all of the ferric iron (hematite) to the ferrous state (wustite) and all of the Ni and Co oxides to the metallic form. The other 8.61 and 11.48 wt.% additions correspond to 50 and 100% excess coal, respectively. Net calorific value and chemical analysis of the coal are given in Table 3.1. In the calculations (Appendix C), it was assumed that the reduction took place in the solid state with carbon rather than in the gaseous state with CO.

Table 3-1. Calorific value and chemical analysis (wt.%) of the Ukrainian coal used in the present study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ash (mainly SiO₂)</th>
<th>Volatile Matter</th>
<th>Sulfur</th>
<th>Moisture</th>
<th>Fixed Carbon</th>
<th>Net Calorific Value (kcal/kg)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ASTM D3174</td>
<td>ASTM D3175</td>
<td>ASTM D4239</td>
<td>ASTM D3302</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>ASTM D5865</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>17.64</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>1.77</td>
<td>balance</td>
<td>7752</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Mixtures of limonitic ore and coal were charged into the alumina crucibles with 20×60×30 mm width, length, height respectively (see Fig. 3-5) and heated to specified temperatures in the range of 700-1100°C. After keeping for 40 min. at the chosen temperature, they were cooled to room temperature. Heating and cooling rates were chosen to be 7°C/min. which is the maximum permissible rate without damaging the alumina tube of the furnace. To investigate the effect of duration, in two series of experiments, the mixtures were kept at the chosen temperature for 60 or 80 min.

All of the experiments explained above, were conducted under argon atmosphere. The system was flushed with argon for 5 min. at the beginning and then a flow rate of 10 ml/min. was maintained until the end of the tests. However, in another set of experiments, 70%N₂-20%CO₂-10%CO gas mixture (total of 50 ml/min.) was passed through the furnace. Prior to heating, the furnace was flushed with nitrogen for 30 min. at 100 ml/min. and then the main gas mixture (70%N₂-20%CO₂-10%CO) was passed for 1 hour at room temperature to ensure that it filled the furnace completely. The furnace was turned on and the sample was heated to the desired temperature. The main gas mixture was passed at a rate of 50 ml/min. throughout the experiment.

3.4.3 Characterization Methods

Partially reduced samples (referred to as ‘reduced sample’ hereafter) were finely ground in an Agate mortar for characterization. Metallic iron, nickel and cobalt contents of the reduced samples were determined by extraction of the metallic phases with bromine-methanol solution [68] and then measurement of the dissolved metals by atomic absorption spectrophotometer (AAS). The following is the detailed description of the analysis procedure:

- 1 g of dry reduced ore was placed into a 100 ml Erlenmeyer flask;
- 50 ml of bromine-methanol solution (5 ml Br₂ + 95 ml CH₃OH) was added and the flask was closed with a glass stopper or a watch-glass;
- the solution was stirred with a magnetic stirrer for 1 hour (heating and contacting with water was avoided);
- the solution was filtered through a filter paper and the residue was washed with methanol;
- the filtrate was collected in a 600 ml beaker and 25 ml of H₂SO₄ (20 vol.%) was added;
- the solution was boiled until almost the whole liquid was volatilized (all the methanol and bromine was removed);
- 1 ml of hydrogen peroxide (H₂O₂, 30%) was added while the solution was boiling;
- after volatilizing the whole liquid, a solid residue was left behind which was dissolved by adding 200 ml of distilled water and 25 ml of 37 vol.% HCl;
- the solution was boiled for about 30 minutes until the residue was dissolved completely and then cooled down to ambient temperature;
- the solution was filtered into a 500 ml measuring flask and filled up with distilled water;
- the dissolved iron, nickel and cobalt contents were analyzed with AAS.

Small amounts of the ground samples were analyzed by XRD to study the transformations during the reduction. To measure unreacted carbon in the reduced samples, a few selected samples were dried at 60°C and analyzed by ELTRA CS-800 combustion analyzer. In a series of experiments, some particles were collected from the sample before grinding and their metallographic sections were prepared for microscopic investigation by SEM/EDS. Cold mounting technique was used for the preparation of the metallographic samples.

3.5 Smelting Experiments

3.5.1 Experimental Set-up
Two sets of smelting experiments were carried out. In the first set, experiments were conducted in the horizontal tube furnace and the previous set-up and crucibles which were utilized in the prereduction experiments was utilized for smelting. In the second set, experiments were conducted in a vertical tube furnace (Fig. 3-6). Cylindrical alumina smelting crucibles were used instead of the previous rectangular prism-shaped alumina crucibles. The gas mixing system being used in the prereduction experiments was used in the smelting experiments as well.
Figure 3-6. Schematic diagram of the vertical tube furnace and the crucible used in the smelting experiments.

3.5.2 Experimental Procedure

3.5.2.1 Experiments in the Horizontal Tube Furnace
12.5 g prereduced ore was thoroughly mixed with a specific amount of MgO, charged into an alumina crucible (W:20×L:60×H:30 mm) and smelted in a laboratory-scale horizontal tube furnace. The sample was heated to a predetermined temperature and was kept at that temperature for a predetermined time. Heating and cooling rates were 7 °C/min. From the start of the experiment until the shutdown of the furnace, argon gas was passed through the furnace with an average flow rate of 10 ml/min.

The effects of smelting temperature, coal amount, retention time and MgO addition on the nickel content of the ferronickel and the slag were investigated.

3.5.2.2 Experiments in the Vertical Tube Furnace
Prereduced ore was charged into a cylindrical alumina crucible (purchased from MTC Haldenwanger) of 100 mm height, 38 mm inner diameter and 45 mm outer diameter.
and smelted at the desired temperature. The sample was retained at this temperature for a predetermined time (retention time) and then cooled to the room temperature. A typical heating and cooling regime used in the smelting experiments is depicted in Fig. 3-7. At the end of each experiment, slag and metal were obtained after crushing the crucible.

![Figure 3-7. Heating and cooling regime in the smelting tests.](image)

Three series of experiments were carried out. In the first series, the parameters including coal amount, temperature and retention time were investigated and optimized. During these experiments 20 ml CO + 10 ml CO₂ gas mixture was passed through the furnace. Prior to heating, the furnace was flushed with nitrogen for 30 min. at a rate of 100 ml/min. and then the main gas (20 ml CO + 10 ml CO₂ gas) was passed for 1 hour at room temperature to ensure that the furnace was completely filled. After that the furnace was turned on and heated according to the regime shown in Fig. 3-7.

In the second series of experiments, the effect of colemanite addition on the physical losses in the slag was investigated. Ground colemanite with <75 µm particle size was supplied by Eti Mine Works General Management. The received colemanite was calcined at 400°C in a muffle furnace. The sample was kept for 24 hours at this temperature. During calcination, the sample was mixed occasionally to ensure the complete removal of chemically bonded water. Calcined colemanite whose chemical composition is given in Table 3-2, was used in the experiments.
Table 3-2. Chemical composition of the calcined colemanite (wt.%)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>B$_2$O$_3$</th>
<th>CaO</th>
<th>CaCO$_3$</th>
<th>SiO$_2$</th>
<th>Al$_2$O$_3$ + MgO + SrO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>51.7</td>
<td>27.7</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>4.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In the third series of experiments, industrial slag and ferronickel from Larco smelter were smelted. Powdered industrial slag was mixed with ferronickel filings and smelted at 1550°C. The objective was to investigate the effect of colemanite directly on the metal-slag separation in the absence of reduction reactions.

More detailed procedural information will be given in the “Result and Discussion” section.

3.5.3 Characterization Methods

3.5.3.1 Experiments in the Horizontal Tube Furnace
At the end of the experiments, the crucibles were broken and the solidified metal and slag particles were collected. Ferronickel was obtained as some small round particles. Only a few grams of slag could be separated and collected which were finely ground with a disc grinder. Chemical composition of both the metal and slag were obtained with EDS. The detailed and more accurate analyses were conducted in the laboratories of Ereğli Iron and Steel Works Co. (ERDEMIR). Nickel content of the metal was determined by ICP while the chemical composition of the slag was determined by XRF.

3.5.3.2 Experiments in the Vertical Tube Furnace
In these tests, the monolithic button-shaped metal was readily obtained after breaking the crucible. It was embedded in bakelite (a kind of resin) by a hot mounting press. The mounted sample was prepared by the standard metallographic methods and then was subjected to XRF analysis to determine its chemical composition.

Slag was firmly bonded to alumina crucible and a complete separation of the slag was not possible. However, the sample was crushed by a hammer and lumps of slag were collected. Great care was taken to get as much slag as possible. On the average, 80-85% of the slag (weight of the slag collected/exact weight of the slag obtained from
mass balance) could be collected. Metallographic sections of some slag lumps were prepared and investigated under SEM. Point EDS analysis was utilized to determine the chemical composition of the phases present in the slag. These lumps were next crushed and ground for XRD and chemical analyses.

Chemical composition of the slag was determined by XRF. However, the XRF analysis gives only a general elemental analysis and it is not possible to distinguish between the same elements of different oxidation states, e.g. Fe$^{3+}$ and Fe$^{2+}$. This is particularly crucial, in the current study since it is required to separately measure physical (Ni$^{0}$) and chemical (Ni$^{2+}$) losses of the nickel in the slag. Therefore, wet chemical techniques were used for this purpose. A two-step procedure was employed to the finely ground slag. A well grinding ensured complete liberation of the entrapped metallic particles from the silicate matrix of the solidified slag.

Physical losses of Fe, Ni and Co in the slag were determined by the bromine-methanol analysis [68]. In this method, the entrapped metallic particles are selectively dissolved in a bromine-methanol solution without affecting the silicate matrix. This method has been explained in the preceding section. The same method was applied here, but 4 g of the powdered slag was used instead of 1 g of slag. The bromine-methanol solution was filtered. The filtrate was used to determine the physical losses while the residue was used to determine the chemical losses in the slag.

For the determination of chemical losses, 0.1 g of the residue was placed in a special Teflon container. 2 ml of nitric acid, 4 ml of hydrochloric acid and 2 ml of hydrofluoric acid were added. A standard microwave oven was used to dissolve the sample in the acid mixture. The solution obtained was then heated to vaporize all of the liquid. The solid deposit was dissolved in 10 ml of deionized water + 5 ml of hydrochloric acid. Resultant solution was poured in a 25 ml volumetric flask which was filled with deionized water and then analyzed with AAS for Ni and Co.

In the cases that a microwave oven was not available, an alternative procedure was utilized. 0.1 g of the sample (residue) was placed in a Teflon beaker. 1 ml of deionized water, 1 ml of sulfuric acid and 5 ml of hydrofluoric acid were added. It was heated until the whole liquid evaporated. Then, 8 ml of regal water (2 ml of nitric acid + 6 ml of hydrochloric acid) were added and again it was heated until the whole liquid
evaporated leaving a solid residue behind. 15 ml of deionized water and 5 ml of hydrochloric acid were added and boiled until the whole residue was dissolved. The solution was filtered into a 25 ml volumetric flask which was filled with deionized water and then analyzed by AAS.
CHAPTER 4

RESULTS & DISCUSSION

4.1 Mineral Dressing & Characterization
Characterization of the ore is the significant first stage in the design of a processing method. In this study, dry screen analysis, chemical analysis, qualitative phase analysis (XRD), quantitative phase analysis (Rietveld analysis) and thermal analyses were employed to fully characterize the ore.

4.1.1 Dry Screen Analysis
The moisture content of the run-of-mine (ROM) ore was found to be 13.6 wt.% which was lower than 25-30% range reported in the literature [37]. The ore might have partly dried during the transportation and also during the sample preparation, especially coning and quartering. Figure 4-1 shows the particle size distribution of the ROM ore. According to this diagram, about 50% of the ore was finer than 10 mm and a considerable fraction of the ore (about 16 wt.%) was present as dust (<600 µm). A large fraction of fine particles is typical of laterites which greatly affects operation of both the RK and EF. It is intimately connected with the high dusting rate in the rotary kilns and also with the lower height of calcine self-lining in the electric furnace. The calcine self-lining protects furnace walls from the chemical attack by slag [69].

Figure 4-2 shows the particle size distribution of the ore sample before and after tumbler test. About 70% of the ore was decrepitated into particles finer than 600 µm indicating the low resistance of the ore against abrasion and impact.

High moisture content, large amount of dust and low mechanical properties of the ore were ascribed to its porous nature. The morphology of a typical limonitic particle is presented in Fig. 4-3-a. It is seen that the fine particles stick to the surface of the larger ones and form agglomerates with a large surface area. In addition, these fine particles
can easily detach by a relatively weak abrasion and cause dusting. It is also seen in Fig. 4-3-b that the larger monolithic particles are of porous structure which leads to a low resistance to the impact and high dusting rates. The described morphology and microstructure hold water inside and account for the high moisture content of the ore. On the other hand, this porous structure together with its small particle size facilitates good contact with the reducing agent in the reduction stage, thereby maximizing the nickel and cobalt recovery from the ore.

*Figure 4-1. Particle size distribution of the ROM ore.*

*Figure 4-2. Particle size of the sample before and after tumbler test.*
Figure 4-3. SEM micrograph of limonitic ore particles, (a) morphology and (b) metallographic section.

4.1.2 Chemical Analysis
Variations of the main elements/compounds with the ore particle size can be seen in Fig. 4-4. It was observed that the concentration of the valuable metals, especially nickel, was inversely proportional to the particle size, whereas SiO$_2$ showed an opposite trend. A decrease in the nickel concentration with increasing the particle size was also reported by other researchers [21].

Drastic changes in Fe, SiO$_2$ and CaO contents within 40-50 mm size range indicated that there was a critical size range exceeding which led to a major alteration in the chemical and physical properties of the ore. Moreover, the calculation-based quantitative analysis revealed that it was possible to upgrade the ore by excluding the low-grade +50 mm particles. The results showed that screening from 50 mm had the advantage of decreasing SiO$_2$ content by an amount of 18%, but about 10% of Ni, 9% of cobalt, 11% of Fe and 5.5% of Cr were also lost. The overall effect was an increase in Ni content from 1.26 wt.% in the ROM ore to 1.405 wt.% in the -50 mm ore fraction, whereas silica showed a decrease of about 5 wt.% (Table 4-1).

Chemical analysis of the ore showed that it was poor in MgO and high in silica and thus fell out of the MgO/SiO$_2$ range which was ordinarily accepted for the ferronickel smelting. On the other hand, this study aimed to demonstrate that this siliceous ore could be successfully smelted to produce an acceptable nickel-containing alloy.
Figure 4-4. Variation of (a) low concentration and (b) high concentration elements/compounds with particle size.

Table 4-1. Chemical composition of the ROM and -50 mm ores (wt.%)  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Ni</th>
<th>Co</th>
<th>Fe</th>
<th>SiO₂</th>
<th>MgO</th>
<th>Cr</th>
<th>CaO</th>
<th>Al₂O₃</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ROM</td>
<td>1.26</td>
<td>0.082</td>
<td>32.6</td>
<td>30.3</td>
<td>1.03</td>
<td>1.01</td>
<td>1.69</td>
<td>2.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-50 mm</td>
<td>1.405</td>
<td>0.093</td>
<td>33.7</td>
<td>25.8</td>
<td>1.29</td>
<td>1.26</td>
<td>1.65</td>
<td>3.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MnO</td>
<td>As</td>
<td>P₂O₅</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>Cu</td>
<td>TiO₂</td>
<td>Zn</td>
<td>K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROM</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>&lt;0.02</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-50 mm</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4.1.3 Qualitative Phase Analysis

XRD pattern of the ROM ore is displayed in Fig. 4-5. It was found that the ore consisted mainly of quartz (SiO$_2$) and goethite [FeO(OH)]. There was also a considerable amount of hematite (Fe$_2$O$_3$) and some calcite (CaCO$_3$) and dolomite [(Mg,Ca)(CO$_3$)]. The two low intensity peaks, recorded at low degrees (2θ<15°), belonged to clay minerals. It was not possible to judge the nature of these clays simply by routine XRD methods. Therefore, the clay mineralogy methods, as discussed previously, were employed to identify these minerals.

![Figure 4-5. XRD pattern of Sivrihisar limonitic ore.](image)

XRD patterns for the different preparations of the clay-sized ore fraction are shown in Fig. 4-6. Identification of clay minerals can be accomplished by a careful consideration of the peak positions and intensities. Starting with the peak at 7.05 Å, both kaolinite and chlorite can be appropriate choices. Distinction between these two can be difficult when only weak reflections exist at 12.5 and 25.2°, the exact case encountered here.

In order to overcome this situation, the sample was heated and kept at 550°C for 1 hour. At this temperature, kaolinite becomes amorphous and its diffraction pattern disappears [70]. Re-examination of the sample showed that these peaks were eliminated at this temperature (see Fig. 4-6), suggesting that kaolinite (Al$_2$Si$_2$O$_5$(OH)$_4$) was present and chlorite was absent.

Comparing the first peak positions in various diffraction patterns led to the conclusion that they belonged to the smectite group. The glycol-treated preparation for smectite, gives a strong reflection at about 16.4 Å which, in the air-dried condition, shifts to
about 14.8 Å. Confirmation of this identification was accomplished by drying the sample at 300°C. This treatment collapses smectite to about 10 Å [70].

![XRD patterns of different preparations of the clay-sized fraction.](image)

*Figure 4-6. XRD patterns of different preparations of the clay-sized fraction.*

The word smectite covers a number of different smectites, each of which has a defined range of compositions [71]. To distinguish the type of smectite present in the ore, a clay containing sample was taken from the mine site and examined by thermogravimetric and differential thermal analysis (TG/DTA). Thermal behavior of clays offers valuable information about their composition. The sample was a brown rock with plenty of green veins. The XRD pattern of this sample (Fig. 4-7) suggested that it was composed of only quartz and smectite. TG/DTA curves for this sample are presented in Fig. 4-8. There are two main endothermic peaks at about 100 and 550°C. The first peak at 100°C caused by evaporation of sample moisture. The other peak at 550°C indicates that a transformation took place at this range. Considering quartz does not undergo any mass-losing transformation below 800°C, it was connected to smectite.
Depending on their composition, dehydroxylation temperatures of smectites vary within a wide range. However, as a general feature, for the aluminum smectites (montmorillonites and beidellites), dehydroxylation typically commences at temperatures above 700°C, whereas for the iron rich smectites (ferruginous smectites and nontronites), it occurs at lower temperatures (around 500°C) [72, 73, 74]. Therefore, the endothermic peak at 550°C revealed that the smectite in the present sample was iron rich type.

Figure 4-7. XRD pattern of the smectite containing rock taken from the mine site.

Figure 4-8. DTA/TG curves of the smectite containing rock taken from the mine site.

Chemical composition of the smectite sample was determined by EDS. Results of forty point analysis were averaged out. The average analysis is given in Table 4-2. According to the EDS examination, an iron rich smectite, either of ferruginous
smectite or nontronite, existed in the sample which confirmed the results obtained from DTA/TG. Ferruginous smectite, called as Fe-rich montmorillonite, contains not more than 33% Fe₂O₃ (excluding structural water) [73]. Consequently nontronite type smectite with around 40% Fe₂O₃ and general formula of Na₀.₃Fe₂(Si,Al)₄O₁₀(OH)₂·n(H₂O) was present in the Sivrihisar limonitic laterite ore.

Table 4-2. Average composition of the smectite sample (wt.%)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Na₂O</th>
<th>MgO</th>
<th>Al₂O₃</th>
<th>SiO₂</th>
<th>Fe₂O₃</th>
<th>NiO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>11.7</td>
<td>41.7</td>
<td>39.9</td>
<td>2.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.1.4 Quantitative Phase Analysis

The Rietveld method was employed to quantify the minerals percentages. It should be noted that the method is valid only when using the diffraction data collected with fixed slits. The present data, however, were collected with a variable slit. The problem was solved by multiplying the data by $1/\sin \theta$ conversion factor to obtain pseudo-fixed slit data [75]. The method was first applied to the five main non-clay minerals after excluding the peaks of clay minerals from the pattern. Figure 4-9-a shows the fitted profile.

From the clay mineralogy methods, the clay-sized fraction was determined to be 4.9%. Nontronite to kaolinite ratio in the clay-sized fraction was found to be 3.6 by fitting a profile to the diffraction pattern of the air-dried clay sample (Fig. 4-9-b). Accordingly, nontronite and kaolinite fractions in the ROM ore were calculated as 4.5 and 1.2 wt.%, respectively. Finally, the weight percentages of non-clay minerals were normalized by multiplying with 0.951 [((100-4.9)/100]. Table 4-3 presents the weight percentages of the minerals in the ore.

As a check, the total volatile constituents of the ore were calculated theoretically and compared with the empirical one. Considering that 10.14 wt% of goethite, 18.16 wt% of nontronite and 13.96% of kaolinite is water (as OH group), and 43.97 wt% of calcite and 47.43 wt% of dolomite is CO₂ (data from www.webmineral.com), the total volatiles were calculated as follows:

$(0.533\times10.14\%) + (0.038\times18.6\%) + (0.011\times13.96\%) + (0.039\times43.97\%) + (0.031\times47.43\%) = 9.45\%$
This value was reasonably close to 9.9% volatile fraction obtained experimentally. The volatile fraction was obtained by heating 100 g of the dried ore at 800°C for 1 hour. 9.9 g weight loss was recorded at the end of this treatment.

![Image](image1.png)

**Figure 4-9.** The calculated (red line) and observed (bold dotted black line) X-ray patterns, their difference (blue line) and fitted background (green line) for (a) non-clay and (b) clay-sized fractions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Goethite</th>
<th>Hematite</th>
<th>Quartz</th>
<th>Calcite</th>
<th>Dolomite</th>
<th>Nontronite</th>
<th>Kaolinite</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>53.3</td>
<td>14.0</td>
<td>20.8</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4-3. Mineralogical composition of Sivrihisar limonitic ore (wt.%)

A combination of EDS and quantitative phase analyses was used to determine nickel distribution among the various minerals. It is worth noting that, during the weathering process (laterization), Ni substitutes for Mg and Fe in the lattice of other oxides and is
not concentrated in its own specific mineral. EDS analysis of different samples collected from the mine indicated that the dominant Ni-bearing minerals were goethite, hematite and nontronite with 1.80, 1.59 and 2.07 wt.% Ni, respectively. In addition, a trace amount of Mn-rich mineral (asbolane) with around 15 wt.% Ni and 2.5 wt.% Co was detected. Distribution of the nickel among Ni-bearing minerals is presented in Fig. 4-10. Asbolane and other trace nickel containing minerals that might be present are neglected here.

![Distribution of the nickel among the various minerals.](image)

4.1.5 Thermal Analysis

DTA and TGA curves for the ROM ore are shown in Fig. 4-11. Five main endothermic peaks and corresponding weight losses were recorded at about 60, 110, 290, 500 and 700°C. The two low-temperature endothermic peaks at 60 and 110°C were related to the evaporation of free water. A considerable amount of free water has been removed at ~ 60°C, while the remaining, being entrapped in pores, has evaporated at higher temperatures. The next highly endothermic peak and substantial weight loss at around 290°C were related to the dehydroxylation of goethite and formation of hematite according to reaction below:

$$2 \text{FeOOH (s)} \rightarrow \text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3 (s) + \text{H}_2\text{O (g)}$$  \hspace{1cm} (R4-1)
The other two peaks at 500 and 700°C were attributed to the dehydroxylation of clays and decomposition of calcite (and dolomite), respectively. Calcite and dolomite decompose according to the reactions R4-2 [76] and R4-3 [77]. A more detailed information on the thermal behavior of the ore will be provided in the following section.

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{CaCO}_3 (s) & \rightarrow \text{CaO} (s) + \text{CO}_2 (g) \quad (\text{R4-2}) \\
\text{CaMg(CO}_3)_2 (s) & \rightarrow \text{CaO} (s) + \text{MgO} (s) + 2\text{CO}_2 (g) \quad (\text{R4-3})
\end{align*}
\]

### 4.2 Calcination

In the calcination experiments, the effects of temperature and time were primarily investigated. List of the experiments are given in Table 4-4.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Exp. No.</th>
<th>Objective</th>
<th>Experimental Parameters</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Approach</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>To investigate the effect of temperature and time</td>
<td>Continuous</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>To check the reliability of the results from the experiments done with the continuous approach</td>
<td>Fixed-time</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>An experiment to investigate the reversibility of the dehydroxylation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4.2.1 Effect of Temperature and Time

Initially, the calcination experiments were conducted at 250, 300, 400, 500, 600, 700 and 800°C. Samples were heated to constant weight. Weight loss of these samples with time are illustrated in Fig. 4-12. From this figure, at 250°C for instance, the constant weight was achieved after 115 min. losing 5.88% of sample weight. The final weight loss (weight loss after reaching the constant weight) was determined for each temperature and plotted against the corresponding time (time required to reach the constant weight) as presented in Fig. 4-13.

![Figure 4-12. Weight loss as a function of time at various temperatures.](image)

It can be seen that the final weight loss steadily increased with increasing temperature up to 700°C and then remained constant and became independent of temperature between 700 and 800°C. This result indicated that the removal of chemically bound water and other volatiles was completed at a temperature between 600 and 700°C. Variation of the time with temperature is also given in Fig. 4-13. It decreases until 400°C and then alternates at the higher temperatures. It is accepted that an increase in temperature favors an endothermic reaction and, at the same time, increases the reaction rate. Therefore, the anomalous increased time at 500 and 700°C implied that some new reactions had begun before these temperatures were reached. These results are in agreement with thermal analysis of the ore (see Fig. 4-11).
Figure 4-13. Variations of the final weight loss and time with temperature.

To have a better understanding of the nature of these reactions, the calcined samples were analyzed by XRD. The XRD patterns of the samples are shown in Fig. 4-14. According to these results, not the whole but a main part of goethite transformed into hematite at 250°C. The remainder of goethite transformed at 300°C as its characteristic peaks completely disappeared. No other change was observed until 600°C where a relatively intense peak, designated by number 7, appeared. In fact, this peak existed in the lower-temperature patterns but it was very weak. It has not been related to any phases yet and is still unknown. In spite of the fact that any considerable change was not detected in these XRD patterns until 600°C, from the previous findings (see Figs. 4-6 and 4-8), it was clear that dehydroxylation of nontronite and kaolinite took place in this range. Dehydroxylation of nontronite is complicated and depending on its composition different phases such as hematite, magnetite, fayalite and Fe-Si spinel can be formed at the end of dehydroxylation [78]. Dehydroxylation of kaolinite at these temperatures (500-600°C) leads to the formation of metakaolinite. The transformation may simply be represented as below [79]:

\[
\text{Al}_2\text{Si}_2\text{O}_5(\text{OH})_4 \rightarrow \text{Al}_2\text{Si}_2\text{O}_7 + 2\text{H}_2\text{O} \tag{R4-4}
\]

At much higher temperatures (e.g. 900°C), the metakaolinite decomposes to mullite, \(\gamma\)-alumina and silica according to the reaction below:

\[
3\text{Al}_2\text{Si}_2\text{O}_7 \rightarrow \text{Al}_4\text{SiO}_8 + \text{Al}_2\text{O}_3 + 5\text{SiO}_2 \tag{R4-5}
\]
Figure 4-14. Room temperature XRD Patterns of -50 mm ore after calcination at different temperatures for 40 min.

At 700°C calcite peak totally disappeared while a weak peak of dolomite continued to exist. It disappeared at 800°C indicating that the decomposition of dolomite terminated.
at a temperature between 700 and 800°C. In the calcination experiments, however, additional weight loss had not been recorded above 700°C. It should be noted that these samples were calcined for 40 min. before XRD analysis, while the constant weight had been reached in 55 min. at 700°C. Therefore, maybe the weak dolomite peak would have disappeared if longer time had been provided. Following these observations, the weight loss and the reaction between 600 and 700°C were attributed to the decomposition of calcite and dolomite (R4-2 and R 4-3).

In order to study the calcination behavior of the ore more precisely, two more experiments were conducted at 350 and 650°C. Weight loss for these samples are also shown in Fig. 4-15. The run conducted at 350°C produced a weight loss of 6.7%, which was nearly the same as that obtained at 300°C (6.6%). The time to reach steady state was 60 min., which was shorter than that of the run conducted at 300°C. So, it was concluded that goethite to hematite transformation had happened almost completely at 300°C.

Calcination of the ore at 650°C resulted in a weight loss of 9.6%. This value was less than that was obtained at 700°C (10%), suggesting that 650°C was inadequate for complete decomposition of calcite and dolomite even after extended periods of time.

![Figure 4-15. Weight loss as a function of time at various temperatures.](image_url)
So, a further experiment was carried out at the mid-point of 650-700°C. This time, a weight loss of 10% was recorded which was equal to the one obtained at 700°C. However, the time to reach constant weight was 75 min., which was longer than the time required at 700°C (55 min.).

In summary, it was found that the full removal of chemically bound water and other volatiles necessitated 75 min. at 675°C, 55 min. at 700°C, and 35 min. at 800°C. Calcination temperature was preferred to be kept as low as possible to save energy and also to avoid extra decrease in specific surface area of the ore. It has been reported that the narrow pores are filled by diffusion and the specific surface area of the ore decreases as temperature increases [69, 80]. The decreased specific surface area inhibits the effective contact between the ore and reducing atmosphere in the subsequent reduction step. On the other hand, it takes a fairly long time to remove all volatiles at 675°C. Under these circumstances, 700°C and 40 min. were chosen as the optimum calcination conditions. 40 min. was suggested instead of 55 min., which was necessary for a complete calcination of the ore. In this way, 2% of the volatiles was left in the ore, which, in turn, led to 30% saving in time, i.e. 98% of the process terminated within 40 min.

As mentioned in the previous chapter, two approaches, continuous and fixed-time, were indicated to be used in the calcination experiments (refer to section 3.3). All of the experiments discussed so far were conducted by the first approach. To check the results obtained from the first approach, some experiments were performed with the second approach, called as “fixed-time” approach. Results of the two approaches are presented and compared in Fig. 4-16. The differences were calculated as written below:

\[
\text{Difference} \, (\%) = \frac{W_{L_{\text{fixed}}} - W_{L_{C}}}{W_{L_{C}}} \times 100
\]

(E4-1)

where \(W_{L_{\text{fixed}}}\) and \(W_{L_{C}}\) are weight losses recorded with the fixed-time and continuous approaches, respectively. For temperatures above 350°C, weight losses obtained from the second approach were found to be nearly the same as those recorded in the first approach. The difference was found to stay in the \(\pm 2\%\) band indicating that the heat loss during weighing did not affect the results and the continuous approach yielded reliable results above 350°C. However, the weight loss difference was
prominent for the temperatures below 350°C, and reached the maximum of ~11% for the lowest temperature (250°C, 40 min.). Redrawing of the weight loss vs. time curves for these temperatures using the new points, which were obtained from the fixed-time approach (see Fig. 4-16), could provide more accurate results.

This situation was abnormal because, if the problem had arisen from the heat loss effect, the difference should be larger at higher temperatures (>350°C) due to the steeper temperature gradient between the hot sample and air. In order to find out about this problem, an experiment was conducted as follows: 100 g of the dried -50 mm ore was kept at 300°C for 2 hours. The hot calcine was immediately weighed and was left in air for one day. It was then dried overnight at 105°C to totally eliminate absorbed moisture. The dried sample was weighed again and the difference with the weight recorded just after calcination (in hot state) was determined. There was a permanent increase in the weight of the dried sample compared to that of the sample just after calcination (in hot state). Similar cycles were carried out at 500°C and 800°C for the same sample. The process is schematically illustrated in Fig. 4-17.

![Figure 4-16. Weight loss during calcination for continuous and fixed-time approaches and their difference in percent.](image-url)
The results showed that 1.16% of the sample weight (approximately 11% of the total weight loss) was regained after cooling from 300°C. This amount increased to 1.24% after the second treatment at 500°C and remained unchanged after the third treatment at 800°C. It was concluded that a reaction(s) occurs in the reverse direction and resulted in the permanent weight increments after cooling. The main reaction below 300°C is dehydroxylation of goethite while the main reactions at 300-500°C and 500-800°C temperature ranges are dehydroxylation of clays and decomposition of calcite, respectively. This experiment, of course, did not yield much information about the nature and types of these transformation(s), but the 1.16% regained weight after the first treatment at 300°C could be indicative of the fact that dehydroxylation of goethite is partly reversible. This idea was supported by the change in the color of the calcined ore. The hot calcine was dark red in color but altered to a lighter red in a short time upon cooling. This color change occurred homogeneously throughout the sample which could be observed easily with the naked eye, and was the probable sign of a certain reverse reaction. Considering that hematite was the main phase present and imparted the red color to the calcine, the color change and the reverse reaction below 300°C were connected to the probable reabsorption of water by hematite. The 0.08% additional increment (1.24%-1.16%) after the second treatment at 500°C can also be attributed to the reabsorption of water by clays.

Figure 4-17. Schematic diagram of the experiment conducted to determine degree of reversibility.
4.2.2 Chemical Analysis of the Calcined Ore
A batch of calcined ore was analyzed with ICP-AES. The analysis was done by ALS Chemex Company. Considering that 10% of the ore weight is lost as structural water and CO$_2$ during the calcination, theoretically, concentration of an element in the calcined ore should equate to its concentration in the ore divided by 0.9. Chemical analysis of the calcined sample is given in Table 4-5. Although analysis of some elements such as Ca and Si deviated from the expectation, it was true for most of the elements particularly Ni, Fe and Co which are of critical importance in this study. Chemical analysis of the calcined ore is the base of all mass-related calculations hereafter.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ni</th>
<th>Co</th>
<th>Fe</th>
<th>SiO$_2$</th>
<th>MgO</th>
<th>Cr</th>
<th>CaO</th>
<th>Al$_2$O$_3$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.575</td>
<td>0.103</td>
<td>37.1</td>
<td>31.6</td>
<td>1.44</td>
<td>1.38</td>
<td>1.65</td>
<td>3.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MnO</td>
<td>As</td>
<td>P$_2$O$_5$</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>Cu</td>
<td>TiO$_2$</td>
<td>Zn</td>
<td>K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.3 Prereduction
List of the experiments and corresponding experimental parameters are tabulated below in Table 4-6.

The experiments at the prereduction stage were composed of four main categories. In the first category, experiments No. 1-15, the effect of temperature and coal amount were investigated. In the second category (No. 16-18), a few more experiments on the effect of coal amount were carried out. The aim was to investigate the remaining coal in the reduced samples. In the third category (No. 19-22), some experiments were carried out to investigate the effect of time. In the last category, (No. 23-32), different atmospheres were utilized.

In the following sections, initially the basic thermodynamics of the process will be considered and then the experimental results will be discussed.
Table 4-6. List of the prereduction experiments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Exp. No.</th>
<th>Objective</th>
<th>Experimental Parameters*</th>
<th>Atmosphere</th>
<th>Temperature (°C)</th>
<th>Excess Coal</th>
<th>Time (min.)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>To investigate the effect of temperature and coal amount</td>
<td></td>
<td>Argon (10 ml/min.)</td>
<td>700</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>800</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>900</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1100</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>700</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>800</td>
<td></td>
<td>50%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>900</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1100</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>To investigate the effect of coal amount</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>To investigate the effect of time</td>
<td></td>
<td>1000</td>
<td></td>
<td>12.5%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1000</td>
<td></td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1000</td>
<td></td>
<td>37.5%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>To investigate the effect of time</td>
<td></td>
<td>1000</td>
<td></td>
<td>100%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1100</td>
<td></td>
<td>Excess</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1100</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>To investigate the effect of atmosphere</td>
<td></td>
<td>Nitrogen (50 ml/min.)</td>
<td>700</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>800</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>900</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1100</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>70%N₂-20%CO₂-10%CO (50 ml/min.)</td>
<td>700</td>
<td></td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>800</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>900</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1100</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Samples were calcined at 700°C for 40 min. prior to prereduction.
4.3.1 Thermodynamic Considerations

The Ellingham diagram is plotted in Figure 4-18 for all the elements present in the Sivrihisar limonitic ore (All $\Delta G^\circ$ in this thesis were extracted from Ihsan Barin’s book [81]). Considering this diagram, copper, arsenic, sulfur, nickel, cobalt and iron oxides are thermodynamically the easiest oxides in the ore to be reduced. Chromite and the other oxides below it in the Ellingham diagram are not reduced during the reduction roasting of laterites [82]. Copper, arsenic and sulfur are not of any importance for discussion here since their concentrations in the ore are very low to affect the reduction process. Accordingly, the discussion here will proceed with nickel, cobalt and iron.

While a variety of gaseous and condensed reducing agents such as CO/CO$_2$ mixture [30], H$_2$/CO$_2$ mixture [83], CO/H$_2$ [10], graphite (95.3% fixed carbon) under N$_2$ atmosphere [84], methane [85] anthracite (solid reductant) [45] and oil reductant under hydrogen [26] are used in the literature, coal is the most common reductant in the RKEF process. In this study, coal was used as the main reductant.

It is generally accepted that the carbonaceous reduction of laterites is achieved through the gaseous intermediate, i.e. CO [86]. However, direct contact between ore and coal particles is possibly responsible for the reduction during the very early stages of reduction according to the following reaction [24]:

$$A_xO_y (s) + C (s) \rightarrow A_xO_{y-1} (s) + CO (g)$$

where $A$ = Fe, Ni or Co. Carbon directly reacted with the oxides and, soon afterwards, generated an in situ CO/CO$_2$ atmosphere between the ore particles throughout the sample. This in situ CO reacted with the oxides according to the reactions below:

$$3Fe_2O_3 (s) + CO (g) \rightarrow 2Fe_3O_4 (s) + CO_2 (g)$$

$$\Delta G^\circ (kJ) = -37.41 - 0.063 T$$

$$Fe_3O_4 (s) + CO (g) \rightarrow 3FeO (s) + CO_2 (g)$$

$$\Delta G^\circ (kJ) = 11.18 - 0.036 T$$

$$FeO (s) + CO (g) \rightarrow Fe (s) + CO_2 (g)$$

$$\Delta G^\circ (kJ) = -9.6 + 0.021 T$$

$$NiO (s) + CO (g) \rightarrow Ni (s) + CO_2 (g)$$

$$\Delta G^\circ (kJ) = -42.8 - 0.004 T$$
In contrast to nickel and cobalt which are reduced to metallic state by a simple one-step reaction, iron forms through the stepwise reduction of hematite. Hematite is
reduced into magnetite (Fe$_3$O$_4$), which, in turn, is reduced to wustite (FeO). In the presence of quartz, FeO reacts with it to form fayalite (Fe$_2$SiO$_4$) according to either of the following reactions:

$$0.106 \text{Fe (s)} + 2\text{FeO}_{0.947} (\text{s}) + \text{SiO}_2 (\text{s}) \rightarrow \text{Fe}_2\text{SiO}_4 (\text{s})$$

$$\Delta G^\circ (kJ) = -39 + 0.023 T \quad (R4-12)$$

$$2\text{FeO}_{0.947} (\text{s}) + \text{SiO}_2 (\text{s}) + 0.106 \text{CO (g)} \rightarrow 0.947 \text{Fe}_2\text{SiO}_4 (\text{s}) + 0.106 \text{CO}_2 (\text{g})$$

$$\Delta G^\circ (kJ) = -38.62 + 0.024 T \quad (R4-13)$$

Iron can also form by the reduction of fayalite at higher temperatures:

$$\text{Fe}_2\text{SiO}_4 (\text{s}) + 2 \text{CO (g)} \rightarrow 2 \text{Fe (s)} + \text{SiO}_2 (\text{s}) + 2 \text{CO}_2 (\text{g})$$

$$\Delta G^\circ (kJ) = 4.15 + 0.006 T + 1E - 6 T^2 \quad (R4-14)$$

CO$_2$ from the above reactions reacts with C to generate CO via the Boudouard reaction:

$$\text{CO}_2 (\text{g}) + \text{C (s)} \rightarrow 2\text{CO (g)} \quad \Delta G^\circ (kJ) = 165.8 - 0.168 T \quad (R4-15)$$

This reaction plays a predominant role in the carbothermic reduction of iron oxides and has been studied extensively \cite{87, 88}. It was found that the rates and activation energies of the carbothermic reduction of iron oxides were very close to the oxidation of carbon and, accordingly, the Boudouard reaction was the probable rate controlling reaction. This can also be valid for limonitic laterites as there are some direct analogies between these ores and iron ores.

The equilibrium $P_CO/P_{CO_2}$ for these reactions against temperature is plotted in Fig. 4-19 using the given Standard Gibbs Free Energies. According to these curves, infinitesimal reduction potential is required for hematite to transform into magnetite. That is, thermodynamically there is almost no obstacle for this reaction during the reduction, and it occurs as soon as the activation energy is provided. Although thermodynamically a similar situation is valid for fayalite formation, it does not form until the magnetite is reduced to wustite because fayalite formation requires the coexistence of wustite and silicon dioxide. The other reactions are reduction of NiO, CoO, Fe$_3$O$_4$, FeO and Fe$_2$SiO$_4$ in order. However, this was not exactly replicated.
during the reduction because these oxides do not exist in the ore in their free and pure forms. Nickel and cobalt are incorporated in the matrix of other minerals, particularly hematite and clays. This situation strongly affects the metallization of Ni and Co. Constant changes in physical and chemical properties of the reactants and products during the reduction add more complexity to the issue. In any case, Fig. 4-19 allows rewarding predictions on the reducibility of these ores and explains the phase transformations and other phenomena during the reduction process.

Figure 4-19. \( \ln \left( \frac{P_{CO}}{P_{CO_2}} \right) \) vs. temperature for the main reactions taking place during the reduction.
4.3.2 Study of Phase Transformations during Reduction

Calcined ore was mixed with 5.74 wt.% coal (stoichiometric coal amount) and heated to a specific temperature between 700-1100°C. The samples were kept for 40 min. at this temperature. During the experiments, argon gas at 10 ml/min. was sent into the system. Mineralogical changes and formations of new phases during the reduction process were investigated by XRD. The XRD patterns of these samples are shown in Fig. 4-20. It can be seen that the sample treated at 700°C was composed of quartz, magnetite and hematite. Although the reduction of hematite to magnetite is thermodynamically possible at this temperature, its rate, controlled by the diffusion of CO inside the particles, was slow, and part of the hematite still remained unchanged after 40 min. The remainder of hematite was reduced to magnetite at 800°C where a new phase, fayalite, came to existence. Similar to the reduction of hematite (see Fig. 4-19), the formation of fayalite is thermodynamically easy and forms when either wustite or iron appears (R4-12 and R4-13 mentioned before). In addition, a nickel rich alloy (FeNi$_3$) with the corresponding peak at 20 = 44.35 (d = 2.04 Å) formed which is designated by the number 5 on the figure (FeNi$_3$ formation was also reported by other researchers [39]). This peak shifted to 20 = 43.90 (d = 2.06 Å) at 900°C. It was attributed to the additionally reduced iron which incorporated into the structure of FeNi$_3$. Iron increased the lattice parameter of FeNi$_3$ and the corresponding peak shifted to a lower 2θ of 43.90 (higher d value of 2.06 Å). Although increasing the temperature from 800 to 900°C had increased the fayalite content, magnetite was still the major phase. During the reduction, magnetite was expected to be reduced to wustite, however, there was no sign of wustite even at 900°C. This was indicative of the fact that wustite instantaneously combined with quartz to give rise to fayalite.

At 1000°C, the reduced sample was mainly composed of fayalite and quartz. Magnetite and wustite were also present in minor quantities. Appearance of the characteristic peak of wustite (designated by the number 8 on the patterns) indicated that the wustite formation was accelerated at this temperature range and the rate of fayalite formation was not high enough to consume all of the wustite. In addition, the characteristic peak of FeNi$_3$ intensified at 1000°C and shifted to the higher d values (peak number 6) indicating development of a new phase (taenite). At the same time, a weak peak of iron at 20 = 44.58 (d = 2.03 Å) came to existence (peak number 7). Iron,
which was reduced to a larger extent at this temperature, alloyed with nickel to form \(\alpha\)-Fe, and taenite.

As heating continued to 1100°C, magnetite no longer pertained. Fayalite and quartz were the main phases present and there was some wustite. Above 1000°C, an appreciable part of the quartz was altered to cristobalite, a high-temperature polymorph of silica, which persisted during cooling and was identified by a relatively intense peak designated by the number 9. In addition, an increase in the iron peak intensity was accompanied by a decrease in the intensity of fayalite and wustite peaks (relative to the first peak of quartz as the reference). This was expected since iron forms by decomposition of wustite and fayalite according to the reactions R4-9 and R4-14 as mentioned before.

1. Quartz  
2. Magnetite  
3. Hematite  
4. Fayalite  
5. FeNi\(_3\)  
6. Taenite  
7. \(\alpha\)-Fe  
8. Wüstite  
9. Cristobalite

![XRD patterns of the ore samples reduced at different temperatures for 40 min.](image)

*Figure 4-20. Room temperature XRD patterns of the ore samples reduced at different temperatures for 40 min.*
To summarize briefly, the main transformations during the reduction of Sivrihisar limonitic ore are as follows. As the sample was heated up, first hematite was reduced to magnetite. The magnetite, in turn, was reduced to wustite which immediately reacted with quartz to form fayalite. Metal phase, meanwhile, was formed by the reduction of nickel and iron oxides. Iron and nickel were combined to form a nickel rich compound (FeNi$_3$). As heating continued, more iron was reduced and diluted FeNi$_3$ to form another phase with lower nickel content, i.e. taenite. At higher temperatures, when taenite became saturated in iron, excess iron formed its own phase ($\alpha$-Fe).

4.3.3 Microstructural Study under SEM

Typical SEM photographs of the particles reduced by 8.61% coal (50% in excess of the stoichiometric coal amount) at different temperatures are presented in Fig. 4-21. These photographs revealed valuable information about these particles in terms of microstructure and phases which formed during the reduction process. Figure 4-21a and b show the cross-section of particles reduced at 800 and 900°C. At these temperatures, the particles retained their porous structure. No metallic phases were observed even at very high magnifications suggesting that the metal nuclei were nanometric in size.

Figure 4-21-c shows a particle reduced at 1000°C. Interparticle sintering occurred and most of the fine porosities were filled. Metallic phase (bright nodules seen in the figure) evolved but still very fine and smaller than 1 µm. Although EDS point analysis of these particles indicated the presence of an Fe-Ni-C alloy, the accurate composition could not be determined because of the contribution of the background to the analysis results. At 1100°C, metal particles coalesced and all the porosities, except large ones (generally $>\sim$10-15 µm), disappeared. In most of the cases, the microstructure was composed of two metallic phases (alloys), one with relatively high Ni and the other with low Ni, dispersed in the fayalitic dense matrix. The two alloys and the fayalitic matrix are designated with numbers 1, 2 and 3 in Fig. 4-21-d, respectively. Chemical compositions of the alloys were determined by EDS. The results are given in Table 4-7.
Figure 4-21. SEM photographs of the limonitic ore particles reduced at given temperatures.

Table 4-7. EDS point analysis of the metallic phases formed within the reduced particles (wt.%)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phase</th>
<th>Fe</th>
<th>Ni</th>
<th>Co</th>
<th>C</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Phase 1</td>
<td>75-80</td>
<td>8-17</td>
<td>0.5-0.9</td>
<td>4-8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phase 2</td>
<td>97-98</td>
<td>2-3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4.3.4  Effect of Reduction Temperature

4.3.4.1  Effect of Temperature on the Gasification of Carbon

Carbothermic reduction of laterites closely relates to the Boudouard reaction since the required reducing atmosphere is provided via this reaction. The equilibrium constant of this reaction is drawn against temperature in Fig. 4-22. This figure also includes the equilibrium constant of the involving reduction reactions for comparison.

![Equilibrium Constant vs Temperature](image)

*Figure 4-22. Variation of the equilibrium constant for the given reactions.*

Equilibrium constant for the Boudouard reaction can be written as below:

\[
K = \frac{P_{CO}^2}{P_{CO_2} \times a_C}
\]  \hspace{1cm} (E4-2)

Where: \(P_{CO}\), \(P_{CO_2}\) and \(a_C\) are partial pressure of CO, partial pressure of CO\(_2\) and activity of carbon, respectively. Assuming that the activity of the carbon in the coal
does not change during the reduction process, the dramatic increase of the equilibrium constant above 1000°C should accompany with the corresponding decrease in $P_{CO_2}$ and increase in $P_{CO}$. This means that the reaction shifts to the right hand side and $P_{CO}$ exponentially increases. This was checked by the analysis of the remaining carbon in the samples reduced at different temperatures. 50% excess coal was used in these experiments. As shown in Fig. 4-23, the remaining carbon decreased sharply when the temperature rose above 1000°C.

![Figure 4-23. Remaining carbon in the samples reduced at various temperatures.](image)

4.3.4.2 Effect of Temperature on Degree of Metallization

The degree of metallization of nickel and cobalt, as the value elements, is of prime importance because it affects their recovery in subsequent smelting process. The degree of metallization of an element is defined as the ratio of the amount of that element in the metallic state to the total amount of that element in the reduced sample.

Figure 4-24 shows the relationship between the metallization and temperature for iron, nickel and cobalt. Before 900°C, the metallization of iron was negligible. However, it increased appreciably at 1000°C and rapidly reached higher values, e.g. ~55% for 100% excess coal addition, when heating continued up to 1100°C. As mentioned before, the carbothermic reduction of hematite to iron occurs through a multi-step
process. It is first reduced to magnetite which in turn is reduced to wustite. Iron is then produced by the reduction of wustite (and fayalite) at higher temperatures. According to the XRD results (see Fig. 4-20), up to 900°C, only a limited amount of metallic iron existed as FeNi3 with the remaining being present in the oxide state within magnetite and fayalite. Therefore, a considerable metallization of iron was deferred until a substantial portion of the magnetite was transformed into wustite at 1000°C. Gasification of carbon via the Boudouard reaction encouraged largely iron reduction above 1000°C and the degree of metallization increased significantly.

Nickel and cobalt followed an analogous trend. Their respective metallization percentages constantly increased but at different rates at different intervals. Metallization rate was high at first for both elements but decreased above 800°C and again increased at about 1000°C for nickel and about 900°C for cobalt. This behavior may be explained by considering the equilibrium constant of reduction of their oxides by CO which are drawn in Fig. 4-22. The equilibrium constant for these reactions can be written as:

\[ K = \frac{P_{CO_2}}{P_{CO}} \times \frac{a_{metal}}{a_{oxide}} \]  

(E4-3)

where \(a_{metal}\) and \(a_{oxide}\) are activity of the component in the product metal and activity of the oxide of the component in the structure of other compounds, respectively.

Reducing atmosphere around the ore particles is mainly controlled by the Boudouard reaction and it is reasonable to assume that \(P_{CO_2}/P_{CO}\) is imposed by this reaction. According to Fig. 4-22, this ratio does not change considerably below 900°C (for the Boudouard reaction) while K for the reduction of nickel and cobalt are declining sharply, especially for nickel. Inevitably, the second fraction, \(a_{metal}/a_{oxide}\), should be decreased. As a result, there is a great tendency to decrease the activity of the metal and increase the activity of the oxide as temperature rises. It seems that these oxides resisted reduction and caused a decrease in the rate of the metallization until \(P_{CO}\) largely increased at higher temperatures. This effect is more pronounced for nickel and happens over a wider range than for cobalt. This also is consistent with the variations in the equilibrium constants for these reactions as the equilibrium constant for nickel reduction declines steeply and over a wider range.
Figure 4-24. Effect of temperature on the mettallization of (a) iron, (b) nickel and (c) cobalt.
A very similar variation (Fig. 4-25) has been reported for nickel reduction by other researchers [45]. The ore was saprolitic type and anthracite was used as reductant in this study. It was seen that the metallization of nickel decreased between 800-900°C. However, a different explanation was proposed by the authors. The decrease at 850°C, was attributed to the phase transformation of lizardite (Mg₃Si₂O₅(OH)₄) –forsterite (Mg₂SiO₄). Comparing the nickel metallization at 800 and 900°C, the decreased metallization at 900°C was attributed to the presence of metallic iron and the formation of an Fe–Ni alloy. No more explanation has been provided by the authors but maybe, in the case of forsterite formation, nickel was incorporated into the forsterite structure and its reduction became difficult.

![Graph showing nickel metallization percentage as a function of temperature](image)

*Figure 4-25. Reduction rate (metallization percentage) of nickel as a function of temperature reported in the literature [45].*

Another review paper on the reducibility of limonitic laterites also reported that nickel oxide reduction degree is increased between 700-800°C and then it remains virtually constant [24].

### 4.3.4.3 Effect of Temperature on Selectivity

One of the main objectives in the prereduction stage is to selectively reduce nickel and cobalt while restricting the reduction of iron. The ratio given below may be used as a criterion to judge about the selectivity of the process.
Selectivity = \frac{W_{Ni} + W_{Co}}{W_{Fe}} \quad (E4-4)

Where: W is weight percentage of the components in the metallic state in the reduced ore. Figure 4-26 shows the variation of the selectivity with temperature for various amounts of coal addition. Poor selectivity was observed at very low and very high temperatures for all the coal additions. Limited reduction accounted for the poor selectivity at low temperatures, whereas the excessive metallization of iron accounted for the poor selectivity at high temperatures. The selectivity was high between these two extremities at 800°C and reached its maximum for the minimum coal addition. It seems that excess coal additions at this temperature (800°C) encouraged the metallization of iron more than that of nickel and cobalt.

![Selectivity change with temperature for various coal amounts.](image)

4.3.5 Effect of Coal Amount

The effect of the coal amount on the reducibility of each element is shown in Fig. 4-27. It can be seen that the increase in coal amount did not affect the iron metallization up to 900°C. Its effect was slight at 1000°C but became more pronounced (steeper slope) when temperature rose to 1100°C.
Figure 4-27. Effect of coal amount on metallization of (a) iron, (b) nickel and (c) cobalt.
Increased coal addition affected the nickel metallization equally at temperatures higher than 800°C as the slopes are more or less the same. Its effect, however, was slight at 700°C. In the case of cobalt, the increased coal addition had no significant effect on its metallization at all temperatures.

The relationship between the excess coal addition and the remaining carbon in the samples reduced at 1000°C is shown in Fig. 4-28. It was concluded from this figure that the coal effectively took part in the reactions up to 25% excess coal, but more coal additions ended up with more unreacted coal.

4.3.6 Effect of Retention Time

Retention time is defined as the period of time that the sample is kept at the reduction temperature. The time during heating and cooling is not included in this definition. The effect of reduction time on the metallization of each element is shown in Fig. 4-29. Metallization percentage, for iron in particular, increased when a longer reduction time was provided at 1000°C. This effect was less noticeable at 1100°C. It can be attributed to the lower rate of the reactions at 1000°C compared to 1100°C. As a consequence, the reactions needed more time to terminate at 1000°C, while at 1100°C, the reactions mainly finished in less than 40 min.

Figure 4-28. The relationship between the excess coal and the remaining carbon in the samples reduced at 1000°C.
It is also seen from this figure that the metallization was the highest for nickel and the lowest for iron. In addition, the metallization of nickel and iron both were influenced largely when temperature rose to 1100°C. The average metallization of nickel increased from 60% to 90% and that of iron increased from 20% to 50%. The metallization of cobalt was less affected by this temperature change and increased from 50% to 60%.

Figure 4-29. Effect of time on metallization at the given temperature.
4.3.7 Effect of Furnace Atmosphere

In the previous experiments, argon was passed through the furnace during the experiments to avoid oxidation of coal in the air. It also enabled to study the effect of coal only and without being affected by any external reducing gas. A series of experiments were also performed under 70%N₂-20%CO₂-10%CO gas mixture (reducing atmosphere) with the total flow rate of 50 ml/min. The gas mixture was selected considering the laboratory tests of Polysius Company (Germany) who designs, supplies and installs plants and process equipment for a wide range of world class technologies. The gas mixture simulates the gas atmosphere in a real kiln. Argon flow rate in the previous experiments was 10 ml/min. and could not be compared with the new experiments with 50 ml/min to distinguish the effect of gas mixture only. For this reason, the same experiments were repeated under nitrogen (inert) atmosphere with 50 ml/min. flow rate. 25% excess coal was used in these experiments.

The results are given in Fig. 4-30. When compared with the previous findings (see Fig. 4-24), the metallization followed similar trend with temperature and thus the previous explanations on the effect of temperature is also valid here. The only dissimilarity is linear increase of nickel metallization between 700-1000°C for nitrogen atmosphere. This was attributed to the higher flow rate of the nitrogen (50 ml/min.) compared to that of the argon (10 ml/min.) which diluted the in-situ CO-CO₂ atmosphere around the particles and impeded the reduction process. It is worth noting that, in the cases that the samples were heated under an argon/nitrogen atmosphere, the system was free of CO at the beginning. Carbon directly reacted with the oxides and, soon afterwards, generated an in-situ CO/CO₂ atmosphere between the ore particles throughout the sample. The higher nitrogen flow rate in these experiments diluted this in-situ atmosphere and accounted for the lower metallization at this temperature range.
Figure 4-30. Metallization change with temperature under the given atmospheres for
(a) iron, (b) nickel and (c) cobalt.
The effect of the atmosphere on the reduction process can be better examined by simultaneous consideration of the metallization (Fig. 4-30) and the remaining carbon in the samples. Remaining carbon for these experiments are plotted against temperature in Fig. 4-31. Weight losses of the samples are also included in this figure for comparison. Below 1000°C, the degree of metallization was lower for the experiments done under pure nitrogen. The difference was minor for iron but marked for nickel and cobalt. Looking at the remaining coal in this temperature range, less unreacted carbon remained in the experiments done under the inert atmosphere. In other words, higher metallization was achieved for the experiment done under the reducing atmosphere while at the same time less coal was consumed. This, however, was changed above 1000°C. The degree of metallization was higher for the test under inert atmosphere while almost the same amount of coal was consumed for the two tests.

![Graph showing remaining carbon and weight loss variation with temperature for the given furnace atmospheres.](image-url)

*Figure 4-31. Remaining carbon and weight loss variation with temperature for the given furnace atmospheres.*
Carbon monoxide to carbon dioxide ratio \( \frac{CO}{CO_2} \), in the gas mixture was fixed in the experiments done at different temperatures while this ratio highly depends on and changes with the temperature for the Boudouard reaction. At low temperatures, where gasification of coal via the Boudouard reaction was weak, the gas mixture (70%N\(_2\)-20%CO\(_2\)-10%CO) promoted the reduction reactions. The Boudouard reaction favors high partial pressures of carbon monoxide \( P_{CO} \) at higher temperatures, and theoretically, \( P_{CO_2} \) in the atmosphere in equilibrium with carbon should be negligibly low above 1000°C. The equilibrium \( P_{CO} / P_{CO_2} \) ratio for this reaction is very high (~330 at 1000°C and ~1050 at 1100°C, given \( a_c = 1 \) and \( P_{CO} + P_{CO_2} = 0.3 \) atm.) while this ratio in the gas mixture was 0.5. The overall effect was a decrease in the reduction potential and corresponding metallization compared to that of the experiment performed using only nitrogen gas.

Selectivity of the reduction process was increased by the usage of the gas mixture at low temperatures (Fig. 4-32). The gas mixture considerably improved the metallization of nickel and cobalt at this temperature range while the metallization of iron was affected to a limited extent. This situation increased the selectivity.

![Figure 4-32. Relationship between the selectivity and temperature for the given atmospheres.](image-url)
4.3.8 Choice of the Optimum Prerduction Conditions

The determination of the optimum conditions in the prereduction stage depends highly upon the subsequent processing method. Reducibility of the laterites has been widely studied in the literature but most of these studies has focused on the effect of reduction on the leaching behavior of the ore via either acid leaching [9, 31] or Caron process [30, 39, 89], as well as on the effect of reduction conditions on the beneficiation by magnetic separation [90, 91].

In the hydrometallurgical processing of the laterites, conditions are optimized in a way that it minimizes formation of magnesium silicates for saprolitic ores and minimizes iron reduction for limonitic ores. In the first case (formation of magnesium silicates) extraction of the nickel from the silicate structure becomes challenging. In the second case (high iron reduction), iron precipitates as iron hydroxide which lowers nickel recovery (a part of the nickel co-precipitates). In addition, iron alloys with nickel which may exhibit a stronger resistance to chemical dissolution, resulting in decreased nickel recoveries when nickel is incorporated into an alloy phase [39]. Consequently, silicate formation and iron reduction are the two important factors which are considered in the optimization of reduction process prior to hydrometallurgical processes. Commonly, the reduction temperature does not exceed 800°C in these processes.

In the cases, which use a magnetic field to extract nickel from the reduced ore, the maximum nickel reduction is important. Reduction of iron does not create any problem because the product is ferronickel. Generally a product with 5-6 wt.% nickel is obtained while more than 90% of nickel is recovered. In these processes, higher temperatures (up to 1100°C) are commonly selected.

When pyrometallurgical treatment of the laterites are considered, it has been stated that a selective reduction ensures a high grade ferronickel and high nickel recoveries [10, 83] but in all of the cases it is confined to only some general statement about the issue. No detailed and exact study has been reported about the direct effect of selectivity on either ferronickel grade or nickel recovery upon subsequent smelting. Only a limited data is reported about the effect of prereduction temperature on the energy consumption upon smelting in the electric furnace [1]. In some cases it is
reported that the conditions are adjusted to obtain the highest temperature and highest degree of metallization while avoiding accretion formation on the kiln wall [24]. The high calcine temperature minimizes the energy consumption and the high degree of metallization leads to a more silent and smooth process in the electric furnace [16].

In the current study, 1000°C was selected as the best prereduction temperature. At 1100°C, interparticular sintering occurred and, although in very small amounts, accretion was formed on the crucible. Therefore, 1000°C was the maximum possible temperature which provided the maximum metallization without any sintering and accretion formation. Coal amount was adjusted to produce a ferronickel containing about 15 wt.% nickel.

4.4 Smelting

4.4.1 Experiments in the Horizontal Tube Furnace
The ore was dried, calcined and prereduced prior to the smelting. As the first and trial smelting experiment, 12.5 g of prereduced ore was mixed with 2.9 g MgO and 1.54 g coal and smelted at 1600°C. In the prereduction stage, the calcined ore was mixed with 50% excess coal and reduced at 1000°C for 40 min. The smelting temperature of 1600°C was selected to be high enough to ensure a complete smelting. MgO content of the Sivrihisar limonitic ore was very low and fell out of the required SiO_2/MgO weight ratio (1.5-2.5) which is ordinarily accepted for the ferronickel smelting. Therefore, some MgO was added to the charge to increase its MgO content. Coal amount was chosen to be 10 wt.% of the weight of prereduced ore + MgO.

The trial experiment yielded a ferronickel with 3.3 wt.% nickel which was much lower than the commercially acceptable minimum nickel grade, i.e. 15 wt.%. Consequently, in the next experiments, no additional coal was added during the smelting and the reduction reactions were completed by the remaining carbon from the prereduction stage. In these experiments, the effects of temperature, retention time, coal amount (added in the prereduction) stage and MgO addition were investigated. Experiments performed in the horizontal tube furnace are listed in Table 4-8.
Table 4-8. List of the experiments performed in the horizontal tube furnace

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Exp. No.</th>
<th>Objective</th>
<th>Experimental Parameters</th>
<th>Atmosphere</th>
<th>Temperature (°C)</th>
<th>Retention Time (min.)</th>
<th>MgO/Charge Weight Ratio</th>
<th>Excess Coal in Prereduction* (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>The Trial first experiment</td>
<td></td>
<td>Argon, 10 ml/min.</td>
<td>1600</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>50 + (10 wt.% of prereduced ore + MgO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>To investigate the effect of temperature and excess coal</td>
<td></td>
<td>Argon, 10 ml/min.</td>
<td>1500</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Argon, 10 ml/min.</td>
<td>1550</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Argon, 10 ml/min.</td>
<td>1600</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Argon, 10 ml/min.</td>
<td>1500</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Argon, 10 ml/min.</td>
<td>1550</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Argon, 10 ml/min.</td>
<td>1600</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Argon, 10 ml/min.</td>
<td>1550</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>37.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Argon, 10 ml/min.</td>
<td>1550</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>12.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Argon, 10 ml/min.</td>
<td>1550</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Argon, 10 ml/min.</td>
<td>1550</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Argon, 10 ml/min.</td>
<td>1550</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Argon, 10 ml/min.</td>
<td>1550</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Argon, 10 ml/min.</td>
<td>1550</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Argon, 10 ml/min.</td>
<td>1550</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Argon, 10 ml/min.</td>
<td>1550</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* The ore samples were first calcined at 700°C for 40 min., and then prereduced at 1000°C for 40 min. Argon gas was passed through the furnace during prereduction.

4.4.1.1 Effect of Temperature

The effect of temperature was examined with the experiments conducted at 1500, 1550, and 1600°C. The sample was kept for 40 min. at these temperatures. MgO/charge weight ratio was fixed at 0.2. Two different coal amounts (25% and 50% excess coal)
were used in the prereduction stage to reduce the ore. In the smelting stage, additional coal was not used.

The weight and the grade of the ferronickel products are plotted against temperature in Figs. 4-33-a and 4-33-b, respectively. The weight of the ferronickel slightly increased with temperature for 25% excess coal addition. As for 50% excess coal, it increased when temperature was raised from 1500 to 1550°C, and then decreased at 1600°C. A reverse variation was observed for ferronickel grade. Ferronickel grade
slightly increased with temperature for 50% excess coal addition, whereas it first increased and then decreased for 25% excess coal.

Figure 4-34 shows the variation of the NiO content of the slag with temperature. Nickel loss in the slag was the maximum at 1500°C and rapidly decreased at higher temperatures.

![Figure 4-34. Variation of NiO content of the slag with temperature.](image)

Figure 4-35-a shows the Al₂O₃ content of the same slags. From an approximate mass balance, about 3-5 wt.% alumina was estimated to be present in the slags. However, the minimum alumina contents which were analyzed in the slag were about 12-15 wt.% belonging to the experiments conducted at 1500°C. The excess alumina in the slag was ascribed to the slag-crucible interaction which could lead to the entrance of some alumina into the slag. It is seen from Fig. 4-35-a that the alumina content of the slag increased greatly when temperature was increased indicating that the slag-crucible interaction was intensified when temperature increased. Alumina contents of the slag samples for 25% excess coal addition were slightly higher than that of the samples for 50% excess coal addition. It may be attributed to the higher FeO content of the slags for 25% excess coal addition (Fig. 4-35-b). It seems that higher FeO content of the slag led to the intensified slag-crucible interaction.
According to these results, 1550°C could be the best choice because Ni loss was high at 1500°C and slag-crucible interaction was greatly intensified at 1600°C. Therefore, the next experiments were carried out at 1550°C.

![Graph](image)

**Figure 4-35.** Variation of (a) Al₂O₃ and (b) FeO content of the slag with temperature.

In order to choose the appropriate coal amount, the grade of ferronickel product was taken into consideration. The results revealed that 50% excess coal produced ferronickel products containing about 9-10 wt.% nickel which is less than the commercially acceptable ferronickel grade. Therefore, 25% excess coal seemed to be a more suitable choice because the ferronickel product contained more than 15 wt.%
nickel. The effect of coal was investigated in more detail by the addition of various amounts of coal to the charge which will be discussed in the next section.

4.4.1.2 Effect of Coal Amount Used in the Prereduction Stage

As mentioned previously, coal was added at the prereduction stage and no extra coal was added before smelting. 0, 12.5, 25, 37.5 and 50% in excess of the calculated coal amount (Appendix C) were used to prereduce the ore. Prereduction experiments were carried out at 1000°C for 40 min. The prereduced ore was then mixed with MgO (MgO/charge weight ratio was 0.2) and smelted at 1550°C.

Variations of the weight and the grade of the ferronickel with excess coal is plotted in Fig. 4-36. Weight of the ferronickel product increased while its grade decreased when more excess coal was used. Obviously enough, more metal was reduced when more coal was added and thus a heavier ferronickel was obtained. The increased weight was largely due to the reduction of iron oxide rather than the reduction of nickel and cobalt oxides. Iron oxide was reduced to a greater extent, upon which, more iron entered the ferronickel phase and diluted the alloy product (lowered its grade). More detailed discussion about the effect of coal addition on the grade of the ferronickel product will be provided in the coming sections where the “experiments done in the vertical furnace” will be discussed.

The effect of the coal addition on the nickel concentration in the slag is shown in Fig. 4-37. Nickel concentration in the slag continually decreased with coal addition. In fact, nickel, as the value element, is lost in the slag and its concentration in the slag is desired to be the minimum. This results showed that nickel oxide was reduced to a larger extent when increased amounts of coal was used. The effect of coal amount was pronounced when 12.5% excess coal was added. Higher coal amounts had minor effect.
Figure 4-36. Variations in the weight and the grade of the ferronickel product with excess coal.

Figure 4-37. Variation of NiO content of the slag with excess coal.

The effect of the coal amount on the alumina content of the slag is presented in Fig. 4-38-a. Alumina content of the slag decreased when more coal was added. This could be linked to the FeO content of the slag which also decreased in a similar way (Fig. 4-38-b). A larger fraction of iron oxide was reduced when more coal was added, and therefore, FeO content of the slag decreased. Comparing Figs. 4-38-a and 4-38-b, it
may be concluded that a higher FeO concentration in the slag probably encouraged the slag-crucible interaction thereby increasing the alumina content of the slag.

![Graphs showing variation of Al$_2$O$_3$ and FeO content with excess coal.](image)

**Figure 4-38.** Variation of (a) Al$_2$O$_3$ and (b) FeO content of the slag with excess coal.

### 4.4.1.3 Effect of Retention Time

The period of time that sample was kept at the smelting temperature (retention time) was extended up to 120 minutes to investigate the effect of retention time on the process. In these experiments, 25% and 50% excess coal was used. MgO/charge weight ratio was selected to be 0.2.
Ferronickel weight was expected to increase or to remain unchanged by the extended retention time. This was because of the fact that the reduction reactions were expected to take place until almost all of the coal was consumed, and thereafter, they were expected to cease. Reoxidation of metal phase was expected to be inhibited since argon gas was passed through the furnace during the whole periods of the experiments. Figure 4-39 shows the effect of retention time on the weight and the grade of the ferronickel. Weight of the ferronickel product was slightly increased when the sample was kept at the smelting temperature for longer periods of time. The ferronickel grade, meanwhile, decreased which may be related to the more iron reduction which diluted the ferronickel.

![Graph showing variations in weight and grade of ferronickel product with retention time.](image)

*Figure 4-39. Variations in the weight and the grade of the ferronickel product with retention time.*

NiO content of the slag did not change when the retention time was extended from 40 to 80 min. but it increased at 120 min. (Fig. 4-40). According to what was mentioned on the possible effect of retention time, the increase in the NiO content of the slag at 120 min. was considered to arise from sampling or analysis error.

As it is seen in Fig. 4-41, the alumina content of the slag largely increased when the retention time was increased from 40 to 80 min. Longer retention times had slight effect on the alumina content of the slag.
Figure 4-40. Variation of NiO content of the slag with retention time.

Figure 4-41. Variation of Al$_2$O$_3$ content of the slag with retention time.

4.4.1.4 Effect of MgO addition
The Sivrihisar laterite deposit is owned by META Nickel Cobalt Company. They were investigating an appropriate process for the treatment of Sivrihisar deposit. There was a dolomite reserve nearby and they were intending to mix the ore with dolomite to increase the MgO content of the ore if ferronickel smelting would be selected as the suitable processing method. For this reason, it was decided to add MgO to the charge and to investigate its effect on the smelting behavior of the ore.
In these experiments, MgO/charge weight ratio was changed between 0 and 0.2. Smelting temperature, retention time and excess coal were 1550°C, 40 min. and 25%, respectively. The effect of MgO addition on the weight and the grade of the ferronickel is shown in Fig. 4-42. The weight of the ferronickel product was not much affected by 5 wt.% MgO addition (MgO/charge weight ratio equal to 0.05), but it decreased considerably up to 15 wt.% MgO addition. A decrease in the weight of the ferronickel indicated the negative effect of MgO on the metallization process. However, the metallization was improved at 20 wt.% MgO addition and ferronickel weight increased again. Such a behavior might be stemmed from the complicated effect of MgO on the slag properties and on the slag-crucible interaction.

![Variations in the weight and the grade of the ferronickel product with MgO addition.](image)

When the changes in the weight and the grade of the ferronickel are compared, it is clear that they followed a completely reverse trends. The relation between these two will be dealt with in detail in the coming sections where the experiments done in the vertical furnace will be considered.

Variation of NiO content of the slag with MgO addition is shown in Fig. 4-43. It seems that nickel loss in the slag increased when more than 10 wt.% MgO was added to the charge. It should be noted that the slag was severely reacted with the alumina crucible for 0 and 5 wt.% MgO additions. The interaction was so severe that the main part of
the slag diffused across the crucible wall. Only a thin layer of slag was formed which could not be separated from the crucible and hence no data is available for these slags.

![Figure 4-43. Variation of NiO content of the slag with MgO addition.](image)

These observations revealed that slag-crucible interaction was highly intensified in the absence of enough MgO in slag. Alumina content of the slag highly increased for less than 15 wt.% MgO additions (Fig. 4-44). Although the chemical analyses of the slags for the experiments with 0 and 5 wt.% MgO additions were not available, very high alumina contents were predictable for these two samples considering the very severe slag-crucible interactions in these experiments.

![Figure 4-44. Variation of Al₂O₃ content of the slag with MgO addition.](image)
4.4.1.5 Evaluation of the Experiments in the Horizontal Tube Furnace

The above mentioned experiments were conducted to investigate the smelting behavior of the ore. However, there were some difficulties with the selected system which are explained below.

The same crucibles as the ones used in the prereduction stage were used in the smelting experiments. The basal area of the crucible was high relative to its height and a thin layer of slag was spread across the crucible after smelting. Ferronickel phase was obtained as some small, discrete and button-shaped pieces (Fig. 4-45-a) instead of one monolithic piece. In fact, only a small amount of prereduced ore (12.5 g) could be placed into these crucibles and the amount of the ferronickel product was not large enough to cover the whole floor of the crucible. A schematic presentation of the described system is given in Fig. 4-45-b. Such a system is clearly different than the common metal-slag systems in which the slag floats over the metal phase.

![Figure 4-45 (a) Button-shaped ferronickel pieces and (b) schematic diagram of a sample smelted in the small rectangular prism-shaped alumina crucibles.](image)

In addition, the crucibles did not possess the required quality for the smelting experiments and severely interacted with the liquid slag. Figure 4-46-a shows the cross section of a typical sample smelted in these alumina crucibles. The slag and interaction zone are clearly seen in this figure. Depending on the conditions, sometimes the interaction was so severe that it was possible to see the color change in the outer face of the crucible (Fig. 4-46-b). The resultant slag was affected to a large extent by this interaction causing an increased amount of Al₂O₃ in the slag. Furthermore, some slag diffused into the crucible wall. The variation limits for the concentrations of the slag
components are given in Table 4-9. In most of the cases the slag contained unusually high aluminum oxide.

\[\text{Table 4-9. Chemical composition of the slags obtained from the smelting of the samples in the rectangular prism-shaped alumina crucibles (wt.%) }\]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FeO</th>
<th>SiO₂</th>
<th>Al₂O₃</th>
<th>MgO</th>
<th>Cr₂O₃</th>
<th>CaO</th>
<th>MnO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>22-43</td>
<td>6-27</td>
<td>14-41</td>
<td>8-17</td>
<td>2-5</td>
<td>0.2-2</td>
<td>0.7-1.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Despite the stated difficulties, these experiments provided valuable information about the smelting behavior of the ore. Smelting of the limonitic laterite ore produced a slag containing very low amounts of MgO. The resultant slag was highly acidic and severely interacted with the refractory alumina crucible. This indicated that smelting of limonitic laterite in electric arc furnaces can lead to an extreme refractory erosion unless a protective solidified layer of slag is formed on the furnace wall. Higher temperatures, prolonged exposure (retention) times, higher FeO and lower MgO contents of slag were observed to encourage the slag-refractory interaction.

Among the investigated parameters, coal amount seemed to be paramount which controlled the grade of ferronickel product as well as the nickel loss in slag. For MgO/charge weight ratio equal to 0.2, 1550°C and 40 min. retention time yielded the best result.
4.4.2 Experiments in the Vertical Tube Furnace

The difficulties encountered during the experiments performed using the rectangular prism-shaped laboratory-produced alumina crucibles led to investigate more appropriate crucibles ensuring not only to collect the metal in a single bullion but also to decrease the metal-slag interaction. Therefore, cylindrical alumina crucibles were bought from Haldenwanger®. The outer diameter of the crucibles was 45 mm while their height was 100 mm. Correspondingly, the experiments were required to be conducted using a vertical tube furnace. The new crucibles contained larger amount of material and minimized the slag-crucible interaction. Its rounded bottom part allowed the metal droplets to easily join each other and to form a monolithic metal pool at the bottom of the crucible. Therefore, a standard slag-metal system could be better simulated using these crucibles. Figure 4-47 shows a Haldenwanger smelting crucible and the cross section of a typical smelted sample.

![Figure 4-47. (a) A Haldenwanger smelting crucible, (b) typical metal and slag samples obtained after smelting.](image)

Some preliminary experiments were conducted in the new set-up. The 6th and 14th experiments were repeated but different results were obtained (Table 4-10). These different results were attributed to the difference in the geometry and the material of the crucibles. In view of these results, the smelting stage was investigated again via the experiments performed using the vertical tube furnace.
Table 4-10. Ferronickel grade for the 6th and 14th and the repeated experiments in
the new set-up (vertical tube furnace) (wt.%)  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Exp. No.</th>
<th>Result of the Previous Experiment</th>
<th>Result of the Repeated Experiment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>17 (repeat of exp. No. 6)</td>
<td>19.7</td>
<td>10.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18 (repeat of exp. No. 14)</td>
<td>23.82</td>
<td>11.62</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

One more experiment was carried out at 1500°C without any MgO addition. The sample was successfully smelted and metal-slag separation was completely achieved. It was decided to continue the experiments at 1500°C and without any MgO addition. List of the smelting experiments performed in the vertical tube furnace are tabulated in Table 4-11.

The first series of experiments (Exps. No. 17-19) are the preliminary experiments which were explained above. The effect of coal amount on the ferronickel grade and losses in slag was investigated in the second series of experiments (Exps. No. 20-23). Through the experiments No. 24-28 the effects of temperature and retention time on the losses in slag were studied. In the succeeding stage (Exps. No. 29-35), the effect of colemanite on the process was investigated. The experiments were initially carried out under argon atmosphere. But a CO-CO₂ gas mixture was then used simulating the gas atmosphere of a real ferronickel furnace. At the end (Exps. No. 36-38), powdered industrial slag and industrial ferronickel filings were mixed and smelted. Different amounts of colemanite was added to the mixture to see its effect on metal-slag separation.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Exp. No.</th>
<th>Objective</th>
<th>Experimental Parameters</th>
<th>Atmosphere</th>
<th>Temp. (°C)</th>
<th>Time (min.)</th>
<th>Excess Coal in Prereduction* (%</th>
<th>Calcine Input (g)</th>
<th>Calcined Colemanite (wt. %)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Preliminary experiments</td>
<td>Repeat of the 6th experiment</td>
<td>Ar (10 ml/min.)</td>
<td>1500</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>Repeat of the 14th experiment</td>
<td>Repeat of the 6th experiment</td>
<td>Ar (10 ml/min.)</td>
<td>1500</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>To investigate the effect of coal amount on ferronickel grade and physical losses in slag</td>
<td>Repeat of the 6th experiment</td>
<td>Ar (10 ml/min.)</td>
<td>1500</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>To investigate the effect of temperature on physical losses in slag</td>
<td>Repeat of the 6th experiment</td>
<td>Ar (10 ml/min.)</td>
<td>1500</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>To investigate the effect of retention time on physical losses in slag</td>
<td>Repeat of the 6th experiment</td>
<td>Ar (10 ml/min.)</td>
<td>1500</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>17.5</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>To investigate the effect of coal amount on ferronickel grade and physical losses in slag</td>
<td>Repeat of the 6th experiment</td>
<td>Ar (10 ml/min.)</td>
<td>1500</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>To investigate the effect of retention time on physical losses in slag</td>
<td>Repeat of the 6th experiment</td>
<td>Ar (10 ml/min.)</td>
<td>1500</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>To investigate the effect of temperature on physical losses in slag</td>
<td>Repeat of the 6th experiment</td>
<td>Ar (10 ml/min.)</td>
<td>1500</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>To investigate the effect of temperature on physical losses in slag</td>
<td>Repeat of the 6th experiment</td>
<td>Ar (10 ml/min.)</td>
<td>1500</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>To investigate the effect of temperature on physical losses in slag</td>
<td>Repeat of the 6th experiment</td>
<td>Ar (10 ml/min.)</td>
<td>1500</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>To investigate the effect of temperature on physical losses in slag</td>
<td>Repeat of the 6th experiment</td>
<td>Ar (10 ml/min.)</td>
<td>1500</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>To investigate the effect of temperature on physical losses in slag</td>
<td>Repeat of the 6th experiment</td>
<td>Ar (10 ml/min.)</td>
<td>1500</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>To investigate the effect of temperature on physical losses in slag</td>
<td>Repeat of the 6th experiment</td>
<td>Ar (10 ml/min.)</td>
<td>1500</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>To investigate the effect of temperature on physical losses in slag</td>
<td>Repeat of the 6th experiment</td>
<td>Ar (10 ml/min.)</td>
<td>1500</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>To investigate the effect of temperature on physical losses in slag</td>
<td>Repeat of the 6th experiment</td>
<td>Ar (10 ml/min.)</td>
<td>1500</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>To investigate the effect of temperature on physical losses in slag</td>
<td>Repeat of the 6th experiment</td>
<td>Ar (10 ml/min.)</td>
<td>1500</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>To investigate the effect of temperature on physical losses in slag</td>
<td>Repeat of the 6th experiment</td>
<td>Ar (10 ml/min.)</td>
<td>1500</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>To investigate the effect of temperature on physical losses in slag</td>
<td>Repeat of the 6th experiment</td>
<td>Ar (10 ml/min.)</td>
<td>1500</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>To investigate the effect of temperature on physical losses in slag</td>
<td>Repeat of the 6th experiment</td>
<td>Ar (10 ml/min.)</td>
<td>1500</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>To smelt of industrial slag and ferronickel to see the effect of colemanite on metal-slag separation</td>
<td>Repeat of the 6th experiment</td>
<td>Ar (10 ml/min.)</td>
<td>1500</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>To smelt of industrial slag and ferronickel to see the effect of colemanite on metal-slag separation</td>
<td>Repeat of the 6th experiment</td>
<td>Ar (10 ml/min.)</td>
<td>1500</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>To smelt of industrial slag and ferronickel to see the effect of colemanite on metal-slag separation</td>
<td>Repeat of the 6th experiment</td>
<td>Ar (10 ml/min.)</td>
<td>1500</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* The ore samples were first calcined at 700°C for 40 min., and then prereduced at 1000°C for 40 min. A gas mixture containing 70%N₂-20%CO₂-10%CO was passed through the furnace during prereduction.
4.4.2.1 Effect of Coal Amount

In this series of experiments, the effect of coal amount on the ferronickel grade and on the losses in the slag were investigated. 40 g of dried calcine was mixed with the specified amounts of coal corresponding to 0, 7.5, 12.5 and 17.5% in excess of the theoretical coal amount. The mixtures were prereduced at 1000°C for 40 min. Detailed information about the prereduction experiments is given in Table 4-12. At the end of each run, about 37.5 g prereduced sample was obtained which was smelted at 1500°C without any extra coal addition. Samples were kept at this temperature for 30 min.

70%N₂-20%CO₂-10%CO gas mixture (50 ml/min.) was used during the prereduction experiments. As for the smelting experiments, they were conducted under CO-CO₂ gas mixture. CO/CO₂ volume ratio was fixed at 2 while the total flow rate was selected to be 30 ml/min.; that is 20 ml CO + 10 ml CO₂. CO/CO₂ volume ratio was selected according to the literature [92].

Table 4-12. Weights of the charge material and the prereduced products

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Exp. No.</th>
<th>Dry Calcine (g)</th>
<th>Coal Addition (g)</th>
<th>Equivalent Excess Coal (%)</th>
<th>Charge Weight (g)</th>
<th>Weight Loss after Reduction (g)</th>
<th>Weight of the Prereduced Product (g)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>42.3</td>
<td>4.87</td>
<td>37.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>2.47</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>42.47</td>
<td>5.11</td>
<td>37.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>2.58</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>42.58</td>
<td>5.11</td>
<td>37.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>17.5</td>
<td>42.7</td>
<td>5.22</td>
<td>37.48</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.4.2.1.1 Chemical Compositions of Ferronickel and Slag

Chemical compositions of the ferronickel products are given in Table 4-13. They were composed of iron, nickel and cobalt with low amounts of impurities. In some of the ferronickel samples, trace amounts of Cu, Si, Al and P were also detected. The total amount of these trace impurities rarely exceeded 0.2% and were not included in the analysis. Only consistently detected elements were reported here. According to the Ellingham diagram (Fig. 4-18), Cu and As can readily be reduced and main part of them were expected in the ferronickel. Cu does not create any trouble but high As (>
0.15%) content is not acceptable in stainless steel production [32]. The problem can be overcome by mixing with low As ore since As is not eliminated in the refining stage. Phosphorous comes after iron in the Ellingham diagram and its reduction is more difficult when a large amount of iron oxide is present in the slag. It seemed that trace amounts of Si and Al also entered the ferronickel. Although they were very difficult to reduce, their high concentrations in the slag probably provided a driving force for a restricted reduction.

Table 4-13. XRF analysis of the ferronickel products for exps. 20-23

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Exp. No.</th>
<th>Excess Coal (%)</th>
<th>Chemical Composition of Ferronickel (wt.%)</th>
<th>Ni</th>
<th>Co</th>
<th>As</th>
<th>S</th>
<th>Cr</th>
<th>Fe</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td>16.63</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>Balance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td></td>
<td>15.58</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>Balance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td></td>
<td>13.45</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>Balance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>17.5</td>
<td></td>
<td>12.28</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>Balance</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The samples were also analyzed for carbon and sulfur separately. Carbon content of the ferronickel samples were analyzed by carbon/sulfur determinator (ELTRA CS-800 combustion analyzer) because it could not be analyzed by XRF. The equipment analyzed sulfur content as well. There was a difference between the S% from the two methods, i.e., XRF and combustion analyzer. The results of the combustion analyzer was expected to be more accurate. The results (Table 4-14) revealed that the ferronickel products were low in carbon and sulfur.

Table 4-14. C and S contents of the ferronickel products for exps. 20-23 (wt.%)
Fig. 4-48 shows the variations in weight and grade of the ferronickel with excess coal addition. A heavier product was obtained when more excess coal was added. Ferronickel grade followed a reverse variation and decreased when more excess coal was added. Ferronickel grade can be defined as:

\[
Ferronickel \ grade \ (wt.\%) = \frac{Ni \ content \ (g)}{Ferronickel \ weight \ (g)} \times 100
\]  

(E4-5)

Considering that nickel was reduced more easily than iron, it could be assumed that nearly all of the nickel in the ore entered the ferronickel. This idea can be supported by calculating the nickel content of the ferronickel products. The nickel content was calculated straightforwardly by multiplying Ni wt.% and weight of the ferronickel. There was an almost constant amount (about 0.63 g) of nickel in the ferronickel products. Since the nickel content did not vary, ferronickel grade was predominantly related to the ferronickel weight. A decrease in the weight of the ferronickel led to the corresponding increase in the ferronickel grade and vice versa.

\[
Figure \ 4-48. \ Effect \ of \ coal \ on \ the \ grade \ and \ weight \ of \ the \ ferronickel \ product.
\]

Since nickel and iron formed the majority of the ferronickel, and since nickel amount in the ferronickel was almost constant, a heavier ferronickel reflected an increased iron oxide reduction. Therefore, the quantity of coal controls the degree of reduction of iron oxide thereby determining the ferronickel grade.
Slag weight and slag composition are given in Table 4-15. The slags were mainly composed of Fe and Si oxides. A rough calculation revealed that about 30% of iron entered the ferronickel at best (Exp. No. 23), while the remainder being in the slag. The low levels of impurities in the alloy were ascribed to the high FeO content of the slag. Figure 4-49 shows the relationship between the C and Si content of the ferronickel and FeO content of the slag.

Table 4-15. XRF analysis of the slags for exps. 20-23

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Exp. No.</th>
<th>Excess Coal (%)</th>
<th>Slag Weight (g)</th>
<th>Chemical Composition of Slag (wt.%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>FeO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>32.12</td>
<td>45.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>31.69</td>
<td>45.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>31.06</td>
<td>45.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>17.5</td>
<td>30.28</td>
<td>43.64</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Alumina contents of the slags were two or three times more than that was expected. It seemed that the slags also reacted with these alumina crucibles as in the rectangular crucibles and resulted in dissolving some alumina in the slag. However, Al₂O₃ contents of these slags were much less than the Al₂O₃ contents of the previous slags obtained from the experiments done in the horizontal tube furnace. CaO, Cr₂O₃, MgO and MnO were the other minor oxides in the slag. In addition, TiO₂, K₂O, Sc₂O₃, ZnO and NiO were present in small quantities. Of these, Sc₂O₃ was valuable and commercially important but the analyses indicated that it was lost in the slag. Nickel losses in the slag will be discussed in the coming sections.

A slight increase in the weight percentages of the oxides (except FeO and Al₂O₃) were related to the decreased weight of the slag. More iron oxide was reduced when more coal was added, and weight of the slag decreased correspondingly. Alumina weight (Al₂O₃% × slag weight), however, decreased to a small degree which may be an indication of the fact that slag-crucible interaction weakened when FeO content of the

---

1 Concentration of Fe³⁺ in the ferronickel slags is low, all iron can be assumed to be in the form of Fe²⁺ [94].
slag decreased. A similar result was obtained from the experiments done in the horizontal tube furnace.

**Figure 4-49.** (a) C and (b) Si contents of ferronickel vs. FeO in slag (adapted from [16]).

### 4.4.2.1.2 Microstructure of Slags

Microstructure of the solidified slag is shown in Fig. 4-50-a. The slag was composed of three different phases with some entrapped ferronickel particles inside. The three phases included a dark grey matrix, light grey strips and a dendritic phase. A closer look (Fig. 4-50-b) revealed that, in most of the cases, the dendritic phase was composed of fine particles of regular shapes. The dark background, the light grey strips and the regular phase are labeled on Fig. 4-50-b with the numbers 1, 2 and 3,
respectively. Entrapped ferronickel particles are seen in Fig. 4-50-c. Size of the ferronickel particles rarely exceeded 25 µm and mostly remained in the 10-20 µm range.

Figure 4-50. SEM photographs of the slag; (a) low magnification, (b) high magnification and (c) entrapped metal particles in slag.

EDS analysis (Fig. 4-51) indicated that the matrix was a Si-Fe-Al rich oxide. Calcium was also incorporated in this phase. The strip-like second phase was a Si-Fe rich oxide with a minor amounts of Mg. The third phase with regular shape was composed of Cr-Al-Fe oxides. According to the XRD pattern of the slag (Fig. 4-52), and the chemical composition of the strip-like phase, this phase was a kind of fayalite with the formula Mg$_{0.26}$Fe$_{1.74}$SiO$_4$. Although any phases could not be ascribed to the remaining peaks
in the XRD pattern, the background was probably ferrosilite with the general formula of FeSiO$_3$. The Cr-rich phase could not be identified.

![EDS analysis of (a) matrix, (b) strips and (c) regular phases in the slag.](image)

**Figure 4-51.** EDS analysis of (a) matrix, (b) strips and (c) regular phases in the slag.

![Typical XRD pattern of the slags.](image)

**Figure 4-52.** Typical XRD pattern of the slags.
4.4.2.1.3 Physical Losses in Slag

As mentioned before, metal losses in the slag are two types: physical (or mechanical) loss which is the loss as entrapped ferronickel in the slag, and chemical loss which is the loss as dissolved metal oxide in the slag.

Physically lost iron, nickel and cobalt are given in Table 4-16. It is seen that the physical losses in the slag were dominated by iron. Despite, nickel and cobalt losses in the slag are more important as the value metals.

Although physical losses of these elements were determined individually, they were lost in the slag as ferronickel particles and not in the pure form. Therefore, consideration of the entrapped ferronickel in the slag, which can be regarded as the sum of Ni, Fe and Co physical losses, can be helpful to understand how the physical loss of each element was affected by the process. In the following, the discussion will be continued separately for each element.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Exp. No.</th>
<th>Excess Coal (%)</th>
<th>Entrapped Metal in Slag (wt.%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Fe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>0.1878</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>0.2433</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>17.5</td>
<td>0.3163</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Physical Loss of Iron

As it is seen in Fig. 4-53, the physical loss of iron was increased when more excess coal was added. Variation of the entrapped ferronickel with excess coal addition is also plotted in this figure to emphasize that the total metal (ferronickel) loss in the slag followed the same trend as the physical loss because the physical losses in the slag were dominated by iron. In view of that, if the effect of excess coal amount on the ferronickel loss is considered, it will also explain the effect of excess coal amount on the physical loss of iron.
Figure 4-53. Variation of physically lost iron and entrapped ferronickel in slag with excess coal addition.

Metal (ferronickel) phase nucleated and grew while the ore was heated under the reducing conditions of the experiments (see Fig. 4-21 in section 4.3.3). Most of the nuclei joined together and coalesced at higher temperatures, but some fine and individual particles that did not succeed to join the other nuclei, remained suspended in the silicate matrix. These suspended particles constituted the physical losses in the slag. Furthermore, the total number of the nuclei depends on the existing reduction potential. The higher the reducing potential, the larger the number of nuclei. When the number of the nuclei increases, more individual particles are likely to remain in the slag. This could lead to more physical loss in the slag and might explain why entrapped ferronickel (and physical loss of iron) increased when more coal was added to the charge.

- **Physical Loss of Nickel**

The effect of coal addition on the physical loss of nickel in the slag is shown in Figure 4-54. The effect of the coal addition on the average grade of the entrapped ferronickel particles is also shown in this figure. The average grade of the entrapped ferronickel can be calculated as below:

\[
\text{Average grade of the entrapped particles (wt.%) } = \frac{\text{Entrapped Ni (wt.%)}}{\text{Entrapped (Fe+Ni+Co)(wt.%)}} \times 100
\]  
(E4-6)
It is clearly seen that the physical loss of nickel in the slag and the grade of the entrapped ferronickel followed comparable changes and both decreased when more excess coal was added. In fact, a decrease in the average grade of the entrapped ferronickel resulted in the lower physical loss of nickel. In addition, when this figure is compared with Fig. 4-48, it is seen that the grade of the ferronickel product and the average grade of the entrapped ferronickel changed in the same manner. It may be concluded that a decrease in the grade of the ferronickel product accompanied with the corresponding decrease in the average grade of the entrapped ferronickel and physical loss of nickel.

- **Physical Loss of Cobalt**

Physical loss of cobalt was very low and was not much affected by the coal amount as it varied within a few ppm (see Table 4-16). This was attributed to the low concentration of cobalt in the ferronickel products.

4.4.2.1.4 **Chemical Losses in Slag**

Chemical losses of nickel and cobalt decreased with increasing coal addition as illustrated in Fig. 4-55. Metal (ferronickel) and slag were assumed to be at equilibrium. Therefore, the activity of a component in the slag should equate its activity in the metal
phase. In addition, the activity of a component in a solution (i.e. slag, ferronickel) is proportional to the concentration of that component in the solution. In this situation, a change in the concentration of nickel/cobalt in the ferronickel should accompany with the similar change in its concentration in the slag. This figure also revealed that the chemical loss of cobalt was higher than that of nickel.

![Graph showing dissolved Ni and Co in slag](image)

*Figure 4-55. Chemically lost nickel and cobalt in the slag with excess coal addition.*

### 4.4.2.2 Effect of Retention Time

At this stage, a stock (~900 g) of prereduced ore was produced. It was then split into 24 batches of 37.4 g weight which were used in the smelting experiments. 12.5% excess coal was used to prepare the stock. It was seen in the previous section that 12.5% excess coal yielded a ferronickel with about 13.5 wt.% Ni. A ferronickel with this level of nickel can be commercially upgraded to a ferronickel containing 15-20 wt.% nickel after refining.

Two experiments were conducted at the same temperature (1500°C) but with 10 and 1 min. retention times. The main objective was to find the minimum required retention time and investigate the effect of retention time on the losses in the slag. Chemical compositions of the ferronickels and slags are given in Tables 4-17 and 4-18, respectively. The results did not show any significant difference between the two experiments. The only difference was the alumina content of the slags. Longer retention time resulted in a higher alumina content of the slag because slag-crucible
interaction lasted for longer duration. This was in agreement with the previous results from the experiments done in the horizontal tube furnace. Chemical and physical losses are also given in Table 4-19. Comparing with the previous results, decreasing the retention time from 30 min. to 10 min. and even 1 min. had no effect on the losses and losses were in the same range.

Table 4-17. XRF analysis of the ferronickel products for exps. 24 and 25

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Exp. No.</th>
<th>Retention Time (min.)</th>
<th>Ferronickel Weight (g)</th>
<th>Chemical Composition of Ferronickel (wt.%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Ni</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>4.96</td>
<td>13.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4.89</td>
<td>13.18</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4-18. XRF analysis of the slags for exp. 24 and 25

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Exp. No.</th>
<th>Retention Time (min.)</th>
<th>Slag Weight (g)</th>
<th>Chemical Composition of Slag (wt.%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>FeO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>30.75</td>
<td>44.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>30.79</td>
<td>44.97</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4-19. Entrapped and dissolved metal in the slag for exps. 24 and 25

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Exp. No.</th>
<th>Retention Time (min.)</th>
<th>Entrapped Metal in Slag (wt.%)</th>
<th>Dissolved Metal in Slag (wt.%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Ni</td>
<td>Co</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.0075</td>
<td>0.0019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0074</td>
<td>0.0018</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.4.2.3 Effect of Smelting Temperature

Smelting temperature was lowered to find the minimum required temperature. An experiment was carried out at 1450°C with 5 min. retention time, but the sample did not melt completely and metal-slag separation was not achieved.
The second try was made at 1480°C (a temperature between 1450 and 1500°C was chosen) but again the same happened. Another experiment was carried out at the mid-point between 1480 and 1500°C, i.e. 1490°C. The sample was kept at this temperature for 5 min. This time, the sample completely melted and ferronickel was obtained. Therefore, 1490°C was determined to be the minimum required temperature for complete smelting of the ore. Chemical compositions of the ferronickel and slag (Tables 4-20 and 4-21 respectively) was similar to the previous results. The only noteworthy point is the lower alumina content of the slag compared to the alumina content of the slag obtained at 1500°C. Chemical and physical losses are given in Table 4-22. It can be seen that nickel losses were not much affected and were in the range of previous results.

Table 4-20. XRF analysis of the ferronickel obtained at 1490°C (wt.%)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Exp. No</th>
<th>Ferronickel Weight (g)</th>
<th>Ni</th>
<th>Co</th>
<th>As</th>
<th>S</th>
<th>Cr</th>
<th>Fe</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>4.92</td>
<td>12.89</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>Balance</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4-21. XRF analysis of the slag obtained at 1490°C (wt.%)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Exp. No</th>
<th>Slag Weight (g)</th>
<th>FeO</th>
<th>SiO₂</th>
<th>Al₂O₃</th>
<th>CaO</th>
<th>Cr₂O₃</th>
<th>MgO</th>
<th>MnO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>30.76</td>
<td>46.13</td>
<td>34.5</td>
<td>12.03</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>1.67</td>
<td>1.58</td>
<td>1.12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4-22. Entrapped and dissolved metal in the slag at 1490°C

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Exp. No</th>
<th>Entrapped Metal in Slag (wt.%)</th>
<th>Dissolved Metal in Slag (wt.%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ni</td>
<td>Co</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>0.0092</td>
<td>0.0021</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.4.2.4 Effect of Colemanite Addition

In these experiments, the effect of colemanite addition on the losses of value metals in the slag was investigated. The main idea was to decrease slag viscosity thereby facilitating the slag-metal separation and decreasing the physical losses in slag.
Two series of experiments were carried out. In the first series, the experiments were carried out under an argon atmosphere (10 ml/min.). In the second series, the experiments were carried out under 20 ml CO + 10 ml CO$_2$ gas mixture.

### 4.4.2.4.1 Experiments Done under Ar Atmosphere

85 g of calcine was mixed with 25% excess coal and kept at 1000°C for 40 min. Prereduction was carried out under argon atmosphere (10 ml/min.). The selected coal amount (25% excess coal) was the maximum coal amount for an effective coal consumption at the prereduction stage (see Fig. 4-28). The selected temperature (1000°C) was the maximum temperature to achieve the maximum metallization without any interparticle sintering in the prereduced samples.

At the end of each run about 81 g of prereduced ore was obtained which was rifflled into two equal samples of about 40 g. These 40 g-prereduced ores were thoroughly mixed with 0, 1, 1.5, 2 and 2.5 wt.% of calcined colemanite (hereafter referred to as colemanite for the sake of simplicity) and were smelted at 1500°C. The samples were retained at this temperature for 40 min. No additional coal was added during smelting. Argon was passed through the furnace at a rate of 10 ml/min throughout the experiments.

Weight and composition of the ferronickel products are given in Tables 4-23. Heavier products with lower nickel (with cobalt) content were obtained when compared to the previous results (see Table 4-13 in section 4.4.2.1). The difference was attributed to the more coal addition in these experiments which resulted in lower ferronickel grades.

Carbon and sulfur content of the selected samples are given in Table 4-24. It seems that the C content of the ferronickel increased by the addition of colemanite to the charge while the S content was not influenced.

Table 4-25 shows the chemical composition of the slags for these experiments. The noteworthy result is the increase in the alumina content of the slag with colemanite.

---

1Experimental parameters (furnace atmosphere, coal amount and calcine amount) for these experiments were not consistent with the previous experiments which were conducted under CO+CO$_2$ gas mixture. In fact, the experiments under Ar atmosphere had been conducted before that it was decided to use the CO-CO$_2$ gas mixture during the smelting and before that the gas mixing system was made.
addition. It seems that the colemanite addition encouraged the interaction between the slag and the crucible and increased the alumina content of the slag.

Table 4-23. XRF analysis of the ferronickel products for exps. 29-33

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Exp. No.</th>
<th>Calcined Colemanite (%)</th>
<th>Ferronickel Weight (g)</th>
<th>Chemical Composition of Ferronickel (wt.%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Ni</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7.62</td>
<td>8.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6.47</td>
<td>10.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>6.79</td>
<td>9.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>7.19</td>
<td>9.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>7.05</td>
<td>9.72</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4-24. C and S contents of the ferronickel products for exps. 29-33 (wt.%)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Exp. No.</th>
<th>29</th>
<th>30</th>
<th>32</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Calcined Colemanite (wt.%)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbon</td>
<td>0.0624</td>
<td>0.0917</td>
<td>0.1213</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sulfur</td>
<td>0.0893</td>
<td>0.0881</td>
<td>0.0828</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4-25. XRF analysis of the slags for exps. 29-33

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Exp. No.</th>
<th>Calcined Colemanite (wt.%)</th>
<th>Slag Weight (g)</th>
<th>Chemical Composition of Slag (wt.%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>FeO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>29.94</td>
<td>39.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>32.25</td>
<td>43.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>32.49</td>
<td>41.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>32.41</td>
<td>40.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>33.09</td>
<td>40.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Variation in the weight of the ferronickel products with colemanite addition is plotted in Fig. 4-56. Weight of the ferronickel decreased from ~7.6 g to ~ 6.5 g when 1% colemanite was added to the charge. It increased to 7.2 g at higher colemanite
percentages but never reached the weight of the ferronickel obtained from the colemanite-free experiment (Exp. No. 29). It seems that the colemanite addition did not have favorable effect on the process since the weight of the ferronickel product decreased by this addition.

Figure 4-56 demonstrates the inverse relation between the weight and the grade of the ferronickel which was explained before in section 4.4.2.1.

![Graph](image)

*Figure 4-56. Variations of the weight and the grade of the ferronickel products with the addition of calcined colemanite.*

Figure 4-57 shows the physical losses of iron, nickel and cobalt in the slag. Unlike the physical loss of iron; that of Ni in the slag first increased and then decreased by colemanite addition. Physical loss of cobalt increased at 1% colemanite addition but it was more or less the same for the other experiments.
Figure 4-57. Physically lost (a) Fe, (b) Ni, (c) Co in the slag with colemanite addition.
It was indicated in the previous section that physically lost iron is controlled by the reduction potential during the smelting while physically lost nickel is controlled by the grade of the ferronickel. However, coal amount was not changed in these experiments and ferronickel weight (metallization) was regarded as a criterion to judge about the reduction potential during the smelting (higher reduction potentials results in heavier products). Therefore, ferronickel weight and ferronickel grade may explain the variations in the physical losses of these elements. When Fig. 4-57-a compared with Fig. 4-56, it is seen that the physical loss of iron increased when the weight of ferronickel increased and it decreased when ferronickel weight decreased\(^1\). Similarly, there is a direct relation between the physical loss of nickel (Fig. 4-57-b) and ferronickel grade. In brief, nickel and iron physical losses in the slag are mainly controlled by the grade of the ferronickel and the degree of metallization, respectively. Physical loss of cobalt was very low and varied in a very narrow range which was connected to its low concentration in the ferronickel products.

Figure 4-58. Chemically lost nickel and cobalt with colemanite addition.

Chemical losses of nickel and cobalt, as can be seen in Fig. 4-58, changed in the same manner as their concentrations changed in the ferronickel phase. An explanation similar to what was stated in the previous section holds true for the case here. Since

\(^1\) The exception was 2.5% colemanite addition. Analysis was repeated for this sample but similar result was obtained. The problem might arise from an error during the experiment or sample preparation.
the ferronickel and the slag were in equilibrium, a decrease in the activity of nickel/cobalt in the ferronickel was accompanied with the corresponding decrease in its activity and thus its concentration in the slag.

4.4.2.4.2 Experiments Conducted under CO-CO₂ Gas Mixture

Although the previous experiments on the usage of colemanite to decrease the physical losses in the slag did not produce any promising result, one more experiment with the same objective was performed. In this experiment, however, 10 ml CO + 20 ml CO₂ gas mixture was used instead of the inert (Ar) atmosphere. Prerduced ore was mixed with 2 wt.% colemanite and was smelted at 1500°C for 1 min. Weight and chemical composition of the ferronickel are given in Table 4-26 while the physical and the chemical losses in the slag are given in Table 4-27. When these results are compared with the results of the experiment done without colemanite addition (Exp. No. 25, Tables 4-17 and 4-19), a meaningful difference was not observed in terms of losses in the slag. However, weight of the ferronickel product decreased from about 4.9 g to about 4.6 g.

Table 4-26. Weight and chemical composition of the ferronickel for the experiment with 2 wt.% colemanite addition

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Exp. No.</th>
<th>Ferronickel Weight (g)</th>
<th>Chemical Composition of Ferronickel (wt.%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Ni</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>4.60</td>
<td>14.06</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4-27. Entrapped and dissolved metal in the slag for the experiment with 2 wt.% colemanite addition

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Exp. No</th>
<th>Entrapped Metal in Slag (wt.%)</th>
<th>Dissolved Metal in Slag (wt.%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ni</td>
<td>Co</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>0.0074</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

From the foregoing discussion, it was concluded that the colemanite addition did not decrease the physical losses in the slag. In some cases, on the contrary, both physically and chemically lost nickel increased because of the increase in the grade of the
ferronickel product. In view of that, it was decided to investigate the effect of colemanite addition on the process temperature. A decreased process temperature could lead to energy conservation in electric arc furnace.

The minimum temperature for a successful metal-slag separation had been previously determined to be 1490°C. An experiment was carried out at 1480°C with 2 wt.% colemanite addition in the charge to see whether the minimum operation temperature (1490°C) could be decreased by the addition of colemanite or not. The sample was kept for 5 min. at this temperature and 20 ml CO + 10 ml CO₂ gas mixture was passed through the furnace during the experiment. The sample did not melt completely indicating that the colemanite addition did not decrease the process temperature even by 10°C.

In all of the experiments either under Ar atmosphere or under CO/CO₂ gas mixture, colemanite addition did not revealed any beneficial effects on the process. Colemanite addition decreased neither the physical losses in the slag nor the minimum required smelting temperature. In all of the experiments, on the other hand, weight of the ferronickel product was decreased by the addition of colemanite to the charge. It may be concluded that the colemanite addition negatively affected the metallization process.

### 4.4.2.4.3 Possible Effects of Colemanite Addition

The predominant effect of colemanite was decreasing the amount (weight) of the ferronickel which, in turn, affected the ferronickel grade (the relation between the weight and the grade of the ferronickel was explained previously). The preceding discussions mainly focused on the indirect effect of colemanite addition by examining the correlation between the ferronickel grade and losses in the slag. However, a critical question arises when the direct effect of colemanite on the process is considered: Why the ferronickel weight decreased by colemanite addition? Answering this question could be challenging because colemanite addition influences the process in various aspects. The following is the possible effects of colemanite addition in brief.
Effect on viscosity

Ferronickel smelting from laterites yields a large volume of slag (10-15 times as much as ferronickel weight) and thus, ferronickel droplets have to settle through a deep layer of slag. Velocity of the settling droplets can be best expressed by the Stokes’ law [93]:

\[ v = \frac{2 \times g_c \times (d_{metal} - d_{slag}) \times r^2}{\mu_{slag}} \]  

(E4-7)

Where \( v \) is the settling velocity of the particle, \( \mu_{slag} \) is slag viscosity, \( r \) is the radius of the settling droplet and, \( g_c \) is the gravitational acceleration, \( d_{metal} \) and \( d_{slag} \) are densities of the metal (ferronickel) and slag, respectively. For any given \( d_{metal} \) and \( d_{slag} \), the settling velocity of a ferronickel droplet is inversely proportional to the slag viscosity. In other words, for a given slag depth and settling time, if viscosity of the slag is decreased, smaller metal droplets can travel through the slag and reach the metal pool.

A calculation based study [64] suggested that the colemanite addition decreased the melting point and the viscosity of fayalitic slags. Based on this information, colemanite addition was accepted to decrease the viscosity of the slag, thereby facilitating the metal-slag separation and decreasing the physical losses in the slag. Nevertheless, the experimental results implied that colemanite addition did not have any beneficial effect on the process.

Effect on slag composition

Colemanite is composed of CaO and B_2O_3, and its addition changed the composition content of the slag. Colemanite addition affected the alumina content of the slag as well. It intensified the slag-crucible interaction and increased the alumina content of the slag (see Table 4-25). Composition change influences the physical and chemical properties of the slag. Figure 4-59 shows the photographs of the samples smelted without any colemanite addition and with 2.5% colemanite addition. A solid nonporous slag formed after solidification when no colemanite was added while there were large shrinkage porosities after 2.5% colemanite addition indicating a change in slag properties.
Presence of minor amounts (3-5 wt.%) of alumina decreases the liquidus temperature for ferronickel slags [47, 48, 94], but in the current study, slags contained more than 12 wt.% alumina. Even if the colemanite addition could decrease the viscosity and the liquidus temperature of the slag [64], the entrance of aluminum oxide (as the refractory oxide) into the slag, on the other hand, might have reverse effect and cancel out partly the effects of colemanite.

- Evaporation of boron oxide

Boron oxide is very likely to evaporate at high temperatures. The Gibbs free energy for the evaporation of boron oxide is written below:

\[ \text{B}_2\text{O}_3 \text{(liquid)} = \text{B}_2\text{O}_3 \text{(gas)} \quad \Delta G^\circ = 389.45 - 0.1685 \, T \, (kJ) \quad \text{(R4-16)} \]

The partial pressure of the gaseous boron oxide in equilibrium with pure liquid boron oxide is plotted against temperature in Fig. 4-60. According to this diagram, the evaporation of boron oxide greatly increases above 1400°C. Small amounts of needle-like, white and brittle deposit of boron oxide were observed in the top parts of the reaction tube of the furnace after the experiments. This demonstrated that boron oxide evaporated to some extent during the experiments. Evaporation of the boron oxide could cause foaming during the smelting and negatively influence the process.
4.4.2.5 Microstructural Investigation of the Samples treated at 1450 and 1480°C

Nickel concentration of industrial slags is 0.1-0.2 wt.% and the nickel recovery lies between 90-95%. That is, 5-10% of the nickel is lost in industrial slags. Nickel concentration of the slags, however, was about 0.01% in the current study. Under these circumstances, 0.4-0.7% of the nickel was lost in the slag and recoveries of about 99.5% were achieved. Nickel loss was simply calculated as below:

\[ \text{Nickel Losses \,(\%)} = \left( \frac{W_{\text{slag}} \times (L_{\text{ch}}^{\text{Ni}} + L_{\text{ph}}^{\text{Ni}})}{\text{Nickel Input}} \right) \times 100 \]  
(E4-8)

Where \( L_{\text{ch}}^{\text{Ni}} \) and \( L_{\text{ph}}^{\text{Ni}} \) are weight percentages of the dissolved and the metallic nickel in slag, respectively. In terms of physical losses in the slag, there was no difference between the experiments done at 1500°C with 30 min. retention time and the experiment done at 1490°C with 5 min. retention time. Moreover, it was observed that 1480°C was not high enough for a complete smelting. It was concluded that the separation of the metal from the slag was fully achieved in a relatively short period of time and in a restricted temperature range between 1480 and 1490°C. In order to understand what was happening during the course of smelting, the samples which were treated at 1450 and 1480°C (exps. 26 and 27), were broken and were prepared for microstructural examination in SEM.

Typical SEM photographs of the samples are presented in Fig. 4-61. Their microstructures were made of ferronickel aggregates within a slag matrix.
Microstructure of the slag matrix was very similar to that observed in the samples smelted at 1500°C (see Fig. 4-50). The SEM photographs indicated that the slag completely melted at these temperatures (1450 and 1480°C). As a check, a small amount of powdered slag from previous experiments was placed in an alumina crucible and was heated at 1430°C. The slag melted completely at this temperature demonstrating that, during the smelting process, slag melted at a temperature (<1430°C) much below the minimum required temperature for the complete metal-slag separation, i.e. 1490°C. These results showed that the incomplete metal-slag separation at 1450 and 1480°C stemmed from the high melting point of the ferronickel relative to that of the slag. In fact, the slag was completely liquid at these temperatures while the ferronickel remained in the solid state.

![Figure 4-61. SEM micrograph of the sample heated at a) 1450°C and b) 1480°C.](image)

Microstructural examination under SEM also disclosed that the mechanism of metal-slag separation, which was effective during the smelting, was different from the expected mechanism. The expected mechanism for metal-slag separation is schematically shown in Fig. 4-62. It includes the formation of ferronickel nuclei, coalescence of the nuclei to form larger droplets of diverse sizes and, sinking (settling) of the droplets downward to form a metal pool.
Observations in SEM, however, suggested a different separation mechanism. Figure 4-63 shows the cross section of the samples treated at 1450 and 1480°C. This figure was generated by the integration of about 60 SEM photographs. The dark and light grey phases are slag and ferronickel, respectively. The structures were made up of metal-free zones with ferronickel aggregates in between. The metal-free zones were larger and the ferronickel aggregates were more compact at 1480°C (also see Fig. 4-61 for a closer view).
The suggested mechanism was as follows: Ferronickel nuclei developed at low temperatures and started to grow while heating. In the early stages, these nuclei were discretely distributed throughout the sample. SEM micrograph of the ferronickel nuclei at 1100°C is presented in Fig. 4-64. This is also schematically drawn in Fig. 4-65 (stage 1). At higher temperatures, the nuclei joined and formed a connected network of ferronickel. In the meantime, some areas almost free of ferronickel particles were formed (stage 2). The metal-free zones grew as the temperature was raised (stage 3).
The metal network and the slag, in fact, were well separated heretofore and only some tiny particles, which could not join to the others, remained in the metal free zones. These tiny particles remained until the end of the process and constituted physical losses in the slag. When the temperature reached the melting point of the ferronickel, the metal network cascaded down. It happened quickly and in a short time while leaving a clean slag behind.

Figure 4-64. SEM micrograph of a particle reduced at 1100°C.

The first mechanism (expected one) may prevail in arc furnaces where the ore is smelted in a very large scale and the system is dynamic. In arc furnaces, slag movements do not provide a quiescent conditions that metal particles require to join and form a large metal network. By contrast, in the laboratory tests, the scale was much smaller and static conditions was present. In this situation, the second (suggested) mechanism is more probable since the metal particles can easily join each other and form a metal network throughout the slag. The suggested mechanism also could explain how a complete metal-slag separation and high recovery was achieved within a short period of time and restricted temperature range between 1480 and 1490°C.
4.4.2.6 Impacts of Low Melting Slag on the Smelting Process

The impacts of low melting slag on the process were twofold. The first impact was the fairly long time which was provided for fine ferronickel particles to join and coalesce during heating and cooling. The ferronickel melted between 1480 and 1490°C while the slag melted at much lower temperatures (<1430°C). Considering that the heating and cooling rates were 5 and 7 °C/min. respectively (see Fig. 3-7), at least 20 min. was given to the fine ferronickel particles to freely move and join each other in the liquid.
slag. The second impact was the high superheat that had to be provided to melt the ferronickel (the slag had to be heated at least 60°C above its melting point). The high superheat resulted in an adequately fluid slag and enabled a quick metal-slag separation above 1480°C. Consequently, the metal and the slag were completely separated and high nickel recoveries were easily obtained.

4.4.3 Smelting of Industrial Ferronickel and Slag
As mentioned before, colemanite addition did not reveal any promising effect on the physical losses in the slag. Although the possible negative effects of colemanite addition (slag-crucible interaction and evaporation of boron oxide) were previously mentioned, the very high nickel recovery and very low physical losses in the slag could be the other factors that made it difficult to investigate the effect of colemanite addition. The slag was fluid enough and the physical losses was the minimum. Under these circumstances, not a considerable improvement could be anticipated by colemanite addition.

It was decided to smelt arc furnace slag and ferronickel to investigate the effect of colemanite in a more realistic system. As the raw materials, a few kilograms of industrial slag and ferronickel were supplied by META Nickel and Cobalt Co. The samples had been taken from Larco smelter in Greece. Sivrihisar laterite and Greek laterites [1, 69] were alike in mineralogical and compositional terms.

Powdered slag was mixed with ferronickel filing and smelted at high temperature. Such a system was composed of numerous fine ferronickel droplets within the slag and made it possible to investigate the effect of colemanite directly on the metal-slag separation in the absence of reduction reactions.

4.4.3.1 Characterization of the Larco ferronickel and slag
The received ferronickel and slag samples were first characterized prior to the smelting experiments. Chemical composition of the Larco ferronickel is given in Table 4-28. It contained about 15 wt.% nickel. It was low in carbon and phosphorous but its sulfur content was relatively high. Microstructure of the Larco ferronickel is shown in Fig. 4-66. Sulfur had precipitated as FeS inclusions in the grain boundaries and also inside
the grains while the other elements seemed to be dissolved in the alloy matrix as there were not any other phases present.

Table 4-28. Chemical composition of the Larco ferronickel (wt.%)  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Element</th>
<th>Ni</th>
<th>Co</th>
<th>As</th>
<th>S</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>Cu</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>Si</th>
<th>Al</th>
<th>Fe</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>%</td>
<td>14.85</td>
<td>0.466</td>
<td>0.055</td>
<td>0.214</td>
<td>0.052</td>
<td>0.034</td>
<td>0.035</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>Balance</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 4-66. SEM micrograph of the Larco ferronickel.

Chemical composition of the Larco slag (Table 4-29) was more or less similar to that of the experimental slags (see Table 4-15). The main difference was the higher alumina content of the experimental slags which was ascribed to the slag-crucible interaction. SEM micrographs of the Larco slag are shown in Fig. 4-67. Being analogous to the experimental slags (see Fig. 4-50), the Larco slag was composed of three phases: a dark grey matrix, a light grey stripes and a particulates of regular shape. The matrix was a Si-Al-Fe-Ca rich oxide while the strips were Si-Fe-Mg rich phases. The particulates were Cr-Al-Fe rich oxide with some titanium oxide. Entrapped ferronickel particles were also observed in the slag (Fig. 4-67-b). They varied from a few micron to over than 350 µm in size. Entrapped and dissolved metal analyses in the slag are given in Table 4-30. Nickel physical loss was almost 10 times as much as the results of the current study. Even higher nickel losses (0.192 wt.% of the slag) were reported by the smelter. The difference between 0.076 wt.% from our analysis and 0.192 wt.% reported by the smelter might have arisen from sampling.
Table 4-29. XRF analysis of the Larco slag (wt.%)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>FeO</th>
<th>SiO₂</th>
<th>Al₂O₃</th>
<th>CaO</th>
<th>Cr₂O₃</th>
<th>MgO</th>
<th>MnO</th>
<th>TiO₂</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>43.71</td>
<td>33.49</td>
<td>8.83</td>
<td>4.44</td>
<td>4.33</td>
<td>3.72</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>0.41</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 4-67. SEM micrographs of the Larco slag.

Table 4-30. Entrapped and dissolved metal analyses in industrial slag from Larco smelter

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entrapped Metal in Slag (wt.%)</th>
<th>Dissolved Metal in Slag (wt.%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fe</td>
<td>Ni</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.4699</td>
<td>0.0698</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Microstructural study of the Larco slag also revealed that the particulate Cr-rich particles mainly accounted for the physical losses in the slag. With the exception of some large entrapped ferronickel particles, in most of the cases fine particles were entrapped among the Cr-rich particles (Fig. 4-68). Some of the ferronickel particles were deformed between the Cr-rich particles and some, semicircle in shape, adhered to these particles indicating that the Cr-rich particles were solid when they came into contact with the liquid ferronickel droplets. In other words, Cr-rich particles had high melting point and did not melt at the process temperature. These solid particles were distributed throughout the liquid slag and acted as traps for fine ferronickel particles.
4.4.3.2 Smelting of the Larco ferronickel and slag

The ferronickel and slag samples were received in large pieces. Ferronickel filings were produced with a handsaw while the slag was crushed and finely ground. Melting temperature of the slag was determined to be less than 1450°C and that of metal to be higher than 1500°C (the slag melted at 1450°C but the ferronickel didn’t melt at 1500°C). Therefore, the experiment temperature was chosen to be 1550°C.

50 g of powdered slag was thoroughly mixed with 4 g of ferronickel fines and smelted at 1550°C. The mixture was maintained at this temperature for 10 min. to allow the ferronickel droplets to settle towards the bottom of the crucible and separate from the slag. As given in Table 4-31, 3.12 g ferronickel settled to the bottom at the end of the experiment. Two similar experiments were conducted with 1 and 2 wt.% colemanite additions. Weight of the obtained ferronickel (Table 4-31) decreased when colemanite was added. Again, it seems that colemanite had a negative effect on metal-slag separation.

Table 4-31. Weight of the product ferronickel settled at the end of the experiments done with industrial ferronickel and slag

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Exp. No.</th>
<th>36</th>
<th>37</th>
<th>38</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Calcined Colemanite (wt.%)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ferronickel Weight (g)</td>
<td>3.12</td>
<td>3.03</td>
<td>3.04</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 4-68. (a) Low and (b) high magnification SEM photographs of the ferronickel particles entrapped among the Cr-rich particulate phase.
CHAPTER 5

CONCLUSIONS

In this Ph.D. thesis, the pyrometallurgical extraction of nickel from Turkish laterite ore was investigated. It included extensive experimental work and covered a wide range of topics from the characterization to the smelting of the ore. Limonitic type laterite ore from Eskişehir/Sivrihisar region was characterized, calcined, prereduced and smelted to obtain crude ferronickel. It was demonstrated that a ferronickel of acceptable grade can be produced by a cautious control of the reducing potential during prereduction and smelting. At the end, the effect of colemanite on the smelting behavior of the ore was investigated. The main findings of this research work are as follows:

- **Characterization of the ore**
  - The ore was low grade limonitic type laterite with goethite and hematite as the main nickel bearing minerals. The ROM ore contained 1.26 wt.% Ni.
  - Distribution of the valuable metals especially nickel was inversely proportional to the particle size. Accordingly, the ore could be upgraded to 1.405 wt.% Ni by rejecting the large (+50 mm) but low grade particles, whereupon around 10% of Ni was lost in the rejected particles.
  - The ROM ore was composed of goethite (53.3%), quartz (20.8%), hematite (14%), calcite (3.9%), dolomite (3.1%), nontronite (3.8%) and kaolinite (1.1%). Of these, goethite, hematite and nontronite were the Ni-bearing minerals with 1.80, 1.59 and 2.07 wt.% Ni, respectively. In view of that, ~76% of Ni was contained in goethite, ~18% in hematite and ~6% in nontronite.

- **Calcination experiments**
  - 700°C and 40 min. were chosen to be the best calcination conditions for the particle size used in the present work (-1 mm).
The main reactions which took place during the calcination were dehydroxylation of goethite at about 300°C, dehydroxylation of clays at 400-600°C and decomposition of calcite/dolomite above 650°C.

Approximately 10 wt.% of the ore was lost after a complete calcination process.

- **Prereduction experiments**
  - Nickel and cobalt oxides were reduced to metal state via a simple and one stage reaction while iron oxide, which was present as hematite, was first reduced to magnetite. The magnetite was then reduced to wustite, main part of which, further combined with silica to form fayalite. Metallic iron was produced by the reduction of wustite and fayalite.
  - Metallization of iron was limited until 900°C but increased rapidly at higher temperatures. Metallization of nickel and cobalt initiated at much lower temperatures and increased with temperature.
  - The Boudouard reaction played a key role in the reduction process. Gasification of coal via this reaction intensely encouraged the reduction reactions above 1000°C.
  - Increasing coal amount increased iron metallization at 1000°C but its effect was more pronounced at 1100°C. Nickel metallization was increased noticeably and equally at all temperatures (except 700°C) by increasing coal amount. Cobalt metallization was not affected by increasing coal amount.
  - Analysis of the unreacted carbon in the reduced samples indicated that there was an optimum coal amount beyond which unreacted carbon sharply increased in the samples. At 1000°C and under the experiment conditions of this study, the optimum coal amount was 25% in excess of the theoretically calculated amount.
  - At 1000°C, extended time (60 and 80 min.) increased the degree of metallization of iron, but did not much affect that of nickel/cobalt. At 1100°C, reactions were faster and chiefly terminated before 40 min.
  - At temperatures below 1000°C a reducing gas substantially improved the reduction process. When the temperature was increased to 1100°C, the gas mixture (reducing gas) inversely affected the reduction reactions because
CO/CO$_2$ ratio in the reducing gas was much lower than the equilibrium CO/CO$_2$ ratio of the Boudouard reaction.

- **Smelting experiments**
  - Smelting of the ore produced a slag low in MgO and high in FeO which severely interacted with the refractory crucible which was observed from the increase in alumina content of the slag.
  - Higher smelting temperatures, extended retention times and higher FeO or lower MgO contents of slag intensified the slag-crucible interaction.
  - During the smelting, almost all of the nickel, 90-95% of the cobalt and 30-40% of the iron in the ore were reduced to yield a ferronickel with ~12-18 (Ni+Co).
  - The amount of the reductant coal was the major factor which controlled ferronickel grade. The coal amount to produce a ferronickel containing 15 wt.% nickel+cobalt was 12.5% in excess of the theoretically calculated amount.
  - The minimum temperature for the smelting was obtained to be 1490°C. A short retention time (5 min.) was enough to achieve a complete metal-slag separation at this temperature.
  - The physical and the chemical losses of nickel and cobalt in the slag were directly proportional to the ferronickel grade.
  - High nickel recoveries (~99.5%) were achieved in the experiments which was ascribed to the low melting temperature of the slag and the quiescent smelting conditions.
  - Experimental results reflected the unsuccessful usage of colemanite to reduce the physical losses in the slag. Colemanite addition did not much affect the physical losses in the slag. On the contrary, the process was negatively affected by this addition since the weight of the ferronickel product decreased.
CHAPTER 6

RECOMMENDATIONS FOR FUTURE STUDIES

Depending on the nickel content of the lateritic ore, a ferronickel with 15-25% nickel (with cobalt) is commercially produced. Since Sivrihisar limonitic ore was high in iron and low in nickel, the reducing conditions were adjusted to obtain the minimum acceptable ferronickel grade (~15%), and in this manner, to diminish iron loss in the slag. However, the slag still contained a large amount (~30 wt.%) of iron. This amount is much more than 12-15 wt.% iron [48] which is common in saprolite smelting.

It does not seem reasonable to lose such a high amount of iron in the slag. Considering that limonitic laterites contain higher chromium compared with saprolitic ores (see Fig. 1-2), two possibilities are suggested for further investigation to recover iron from the slag.

The first possibility is to smelt the ore to obtain Fe-Ni-Cr alloy. In a study [95], limonitic nickel laterite has been called as chromonickel ore. In this study a limonitic type ore has been melted in a high-temperature Tamman furnace to produce an alloy with 4-5% Cr and 3-4% Ni which is suitable for the production of special alloy steels. In the case of limonitic laterite ore from Sivrihisar, an alloy with approximately 4%Ni and 4% Cr can be produced from the smelting of <50 mm ore assuming that all of the nickel, iron and chromium in the ore are reduced. The ore may be mixed with chromium ore in appropriate portion to increase Cr content of the alloy. Smelting to a Fe-Cr-Ni alloy will reduce nickel losses in the slag and thus will increase nickel recovery.

The second possibility can be reduction of the ferronickel slag to obtain an Fe-Cr alloy. Industrially, the hot slag can be transferred into another furnace where flux and coal
can be added to reduce the remainder of the iron and chromium. Treatment of the hot and previously smelted slag can help to the economy of the process.

Some other approaches have been reported in the literature. Pacific Metals Company (Japan) developed an integrated production process for effective utilization of Fe, Ni and Cr in the ore. The process used the iron-rich nickel ore and chromium-poor chromite ore to produce stainless steel. These ores were treated separately through the RKEF process. The iron-rich nickel ore was smelted to yield an alloy containing 13-15% Ni and 1-3% Cr. At the mean time chromium-poor ore was smelted to an alloy containing 1-2% Ni and 40-43% Cr. These melts were mixed to produce an alloy with 8-10% Ni and 18-20% Cr which was transferred to the steelmaking plant. It was reported that the process led to lower energy consumption and a product with quite low levels of impurities and nitrogen [96].
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### APPENDIX A

**FERRONICKEL SPECIFICATION AND DELIVERY REQUIREMENTS**

*Table A-1. General ferronickel specification and delivery requirements, (wt.%) [97]*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade Designation</th>
<th>Ni</th>
<th>Co</th>
<th>Si</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>Cr</th>
<th>S</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FeNi10</td>
<td>5-15</td>
<td>0.3-0.6</td>
<td>&lt;0.3</td>
<td>&lt;0.1</td>
<td>&lt;0.3</td>
<td>&lt;0.08</td>
<td>&lt;0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FeNi15</td>
<td>12-18</td>
<td>0.5-0.8</td>
<td>&lt;0.4</td>
<td>1-2.5</td>
<td>&lt;2</td>
<td>&lt;0.1</td>
<td>&lt;0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FeNi20</td>
<td>15-25</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>&lt;0.4</td>
<td>1-2.5</td>
<td>&lt;2</td>
<td>&lt;0.4</td>
<td>&lt;0.03</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Recommended Ni/Co ratio is 20-40

Some other specific classifications may also be offered by different companies. An example is given below [98]:

*Table A-2 specific ferronickel classification and delivery requirements*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade Designation</th>
<th>Chemical Composition (wt.%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ni + Co</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High Carbon</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Fe Ni 23</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Fe Ni 26</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Fe Ni 26 S</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium Carbon</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02 Fe Ni 23</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02 Fe Ni 28</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low Carbon</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>004 Fe Ni 26</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>002 Fe Ni 33</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Cobalt content shall be not more than 0.05 percent of nickel content.
Figure B-1. Sample preparation flowchart for screen analysis and tumbler test.
APPENDIX C

REQUIRED COAL CALCULATION

**Base: 100 g calcine**

The weight percentages of Fe, Ni and Co in the calcine are 37.1; 1.575 and 0.103, respectively. However, they are present in the oxide form. The number of moles of each oxide can be calculated as below:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3: & \quad 37.1 \times (1/(55.845 \times 2)) = 0.3352135 \quad \text{mol Fe}_2\text{O}_3 \text{ per 100 g of calcine} \\
\text{NiO:} & \quad 1.575 \times (1/58.693) = 0.0265960 \quad \text{mol NiO per 100 g of calcine} \\
\text{CoO:} & \quad 0.103 \times (1/58.933) = 1.7477 \times 10^{-3} \quad \text{mol CoO per 100 g of calcine}
\end{align*}
\]

It is assumed that NiO and CoO are reduced to the metallic state while Fe\(_2\)O\(_3\) is reduced to FeO. The involved reduction reactions are:

1. Reduction of Hematite to Wustite
   \[3\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3 + \text{C} \rightarrow 2\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4 + \text{CO}\]
   \[2\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4 + 2\text{C} \rightarrow 6\text{FeO} + 2\text{CO}\]
2. Reduction of NiO to Ni
   \[\text{NiO} + \text{C} \rightarrow \text{Ni} + \text{CO}\]
3. Reduction of CoO to Co
   \[\text{CoO} + \text{C} \rightarrow \text{Co} + \text{CO}\]

From the reactions above, one mole of carbon per mole of each oxide is consumed. Therefore, the required coal amount can be calculated as:

\[
(0.3352135 + 0.0265960 + 1.7477 \times 10^{-3}) \times 12.01 \times (100/76.06) = 5.74 \text{ g}
\]

where 76.06% is the carbon content of the coal.
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