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In this study, Agrobacterium mediated genetic transformation of lentil Sultan 1 cultivar with MBF1c and evaluation of transgenic plants was aimed.

The study was initially based on optimized protocol with Agrobacterium tumefaciens KYRT1 strain and pTJK136 binary plasmid. Based on this protocol and transient marker gene expression in embryo apex, $15 \%$ stable transformation efficiency was aimed. However limited knowledge about pTJK136 and problem with curing KYRT1 leaded us to use Agrobacterium tumefaciens C58C1 strain and also to engineer an alternative binary plasmid; pPZP101. Hence, scope of this study became construction of a plant binary transformation vector and lentil transformation optimization with C58C1 strain.

First plant transformation vector designed in this study was pPZP101ManA-MBF1c. Transformations with C58C1::pPZP101ManA-MBF1c were carried out with a reformulated co-cultivation media. Cotyledonary nodes were isolated from three days old lentil seedlings germinated with phytormone (BAP/TDZ) induction. Isolated nodes were either injured and pre-incubated in co-cultivation media or preincubated and then injured prior to transformation. Regeneration and necrosis behaviors of the transformed explants leaded us to the conclusion that explant preparation is the critical step of transformation. And data suggest that explants isolated from $2 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ BAP, pre-incubated two days in co-cultivation media, injured and transformed performed significantly better scores for necrosis shoot regeneration and callus formation parameters.

Transformed explants that survived in subsequent sub-cultures in mannose selection raised shoots. These shoots were grafted and regenerated into plantlets. The putative transgenic plantlets were screened for transgene with PCR. Initial amplification signals fainted and lost as grafts grew. In order to make a diagnosis of this fainting behavior the second plant transformation vector pPZP101ManA-GUSint-MBF1c was constructed and transient GUS expression analysis were made.
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Bu çalışmada Agrobakteri yoluyla mercimek Sultan 1 varyetesinin genetik modifikasyonu ve MBF1c eksprese eden transgenik bitkilerin değerlendirilmesi hedeflenmiştir.

Çalışma Agrobacterium tumefaciens KYRT1 suşu ve pTJK136 ikincil plazmidi ile optimize edilmiş Sultan 1 transformasyon protokolü ile başlatıImıştır. KYRT1:.:pTJK136 tabanında embriyonik apeks meristeminde bakılan geçici GUS geni ifadelerine dayanarak 15\% stabil transformasyon oranı hedeflenmiştir. Fakat pTJK136 plazmidi ile ilgili yetersiz veri ve KYRT1 suşunun boşaltılamamış olması nedeniyle, çalışma C58C1 Agrobakteri suşunun kullanıldığı ve yeni ikincil plazmid yapımı çalışmalarını esas alan optimizasyon çalışmaları şeklini almıştır.

Bu çalışmada ilk olarak dizayn edilen bitki transformasyon vektörü pPZP101ManAMBF1c dir. Transformasyon deneyleri C58C1::pPZP101ManA-MBF1c tabanında, yeniden formülize edilen agrobakteri-bitki eş-kültür ortamında yapılmıştır. Bu deneylerde pitohormonla beraber filizlendirilmiş üç günlük mercimeklerden elde edilen çenek boğumu dokuları kullanılmıştır. Elde edilen dokular transforme edilmeden evvel ya ön-inkübasyona tabi tutulup yaralanmış ya da yaralandıktan sonra ön-inkübasyona tabi tutulmuştur. Elde edilen verilere göre transforme olan dokuların nekroz ve re-jenerasyonunda, dokunu yaralanmasından daha çok dokunun elde edilmesi ve transformasyona hazırlanması etkilidir. Ayrıca $2 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{I}$ BAP ile çimlendirilmiş mercimeklerden elde edilen dokuların öncelikle 2 gün ön-inkübasyona alınması ve sonra yaralanarak transforme edilmesi dokuların nekrozu, re-jenerasyonu ve kallus formasyonu ele alındığında en iyi sonucu vermiştir.

Bu şekilde transforme edilen ve seçici ortamda alt kültüre alınan dokulardan çıkan sürgünler aşılanıp büyümeye bırakılmıştır. Büyüyen bitkilerden yapılan PZR analizlerinde başlangıçta elde edilen sinyaller bitki büyüdükçe kaybolmuştur. Bu durumun nedenlerini araştırabilmek üzere GUSint markör genini taşıyan ikinci bitki transformasyon vektörü pPZP101ManA-GUSint-MBF1c dizayn edilmiş ve bu vektörle mercimekte geçici GUS geni ifadesine bakılmıştır.
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## CHAPTER I

## INTRODUCTION

### 1.1 General Information about Lentil

Lentil is in the legume family which is broadly defined by their unusual flower structure, pod shaped fruit, and $88 \%$ of the species (examined to date) are able to form nodules with Rhizobia. Also they are only second after the Graminiae in their importance to humans. The 670 to 750 genera and 18,000 to 19,000 species of legumes include important grain, pasture, and agro-forestry species. In such species diversity, lentil is among the first domesticated plants along with wheat and barley. It is suggested that lentil was first cultivated in the Near East including Southern Turkey where Neolithic agriculture developed about 9,000 years ago (Zohary and Hopf 1988). By the Bronze Age, the plant had been spread throughout the Mediterranean region, Asia and Europe, which was followed by the New World including Latin America. Lens culinaris ssp. orientalis, which closely resembles the cultivated species L. culinaris, is widely accepted as the progenitor species. The conclusion that the cultivated lentil originated in the Near East arc from L. culinaris ssp. orientalis is based on discoveries of carbonized remnants of apparent cultivated lentil in the same region over which L. culinaris ssp. orientalis is distributed (Muehlbauer et al. 1995).

This annual, herbaceous pulse (grain legume) crop and is probably as old as the agriculture itself. Since its domestication in the Near East, lentil (Lens culinaris Medicus) remained as an important source of dietary protein in the area.

Despite its importance as a source of protein through ages, the crop has received little attention to improve its yield and quality. Organized collection of germplasm and crop improvement programs have only started at early 1980's with the establishment of international agriculture centers including ICARDA (International Center for Agricultural Research in Dry Areas).

### 1.2 Botanical Description and Crop Agronomy

Lentil is under the order Rosales, suborder Rosineae, family Leguminosae, subfamily Papilionaceae, and tribe Vicieae. After a complex taxonomic history, lentil is eventually placed in the genus Lens Miller. The name Lens describes the shape of the seed of the cultivated form of lentil. Analyzing previous findings based on origin and spread, morphological, cytological, cytogenetical observations and more recently on the basis of isozyme and molecular studies (Ferguson and Robertson 1996), Lens was re-classified by Ferguson et al. (2000) into seven taxa split into four species:

Lens culinaris Medikus subsp. culinaris
subsp. orientalis (Boiss.) Ponert
subsp. tomentosus (Ladiz.) Ferguson et al. (2000)
subsp. odemensis (Ladiz.) Ferguson et al. (2000)
Lens ervoides (Brign.) Grande
Lens nigricans (M. Bieb.) Godr.
Lens lamottei Czefr.
Most lentil researchers now accept this latest classification (Sarker and Erskine 2006). Lens orientalis is the presumed progenitor of cultivated Lens culinaris Medik. The last name is for Medikus, a German botanist-physician who has given the name to the plant in 1787.

Lentil plants are typically short, slender, semi-erect annuals varying between 15 to 75 cm height depending on the genotype and environmental conditions. Individual plants may bear single stems or may be multi-branched. Branches may arise directly
from the main stem or from the cotyledonary node below ground or they may rise from other branches depending on the available space in the field and environmental conditions (Saxena and Hawtin 1981).

The plants have slender tap roots and a mass of fibrous lateral roots. Various types of root systems, ranging from shallow branched roots to deep tap roots, are recognized depending on the texture of soil and climate in the area (Nezamuddin 1970). The tap root and the lateral roots in the upper layers of the soil carry numerous small, round or elongated nodules which start to decline before the onset of flowering (Saxena and Hawtin 1981).

Lens species are diploid plants with $2 n=14$ chromosomes. They all have similar karyotypes consisting of three pairs of metacentric or submetacentric chromosomes, three pairs of acrocentric chromosomes and one satellite pair of chromosomes (Slinkard 1985).

Lentil plants complete their life cycle in three to four months under optimum environmental conditions. These conditions are usually reached in spring-sown crop, but in winter-sown crop, growth duration delays up to 30 to 60 days, especially in the earlier stages of growth, because of the suboptimal temperatures. Optimum temperatures for lentil seed germination is in the range of $15-25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, but above $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ seeds can germinate. Optimum temperatures for growth and yield are around $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

Due to the hypogeal germination, in which cotyledons remain below the ground, lentil plants are less likely to be killed by freezing, wind, insect damage and grazing. If the young shoots are damaged, new buds can be initiated from the nodes below ground. The crop shows better tolerance to drought than waterlogging (Hawtin et al. 1980). Throughout the world, a large proportion of the lentil crop is grown in semi-arid regions without the benefit of irrigation. In most of these regions, agriculture depends on water conserved in the soil after fall and winter rains. Lentils show adaptability to a wide range of soil types.

Lentils can be grown in sandy loam soils, alluvial soils, and black cotton soils or in heavier clay soils (Nezamuddin 1970). On soils with very high natural fertility and excessive soil moisture, the crop might make excessive vegetative growth but this reduces seed yield (Saxena and Wassimi 1980).

Lentils grow well on slightly acidic soils ( pH 5.5 to 6.5 ) to moderately alkaline soils ( pH 7.5 to 9.0). Delayed nodulation and decreased yields have been obtained when the pH of the soil increased beyond 9 (Bharadawaj 1975). Most genotypes of lentil are very sensitive to soil salinity. Especially in the irrigated lentil growing areas, this becomes a major constraint in obtaining proper yields. Salt tolerance of lentils was reported to be much less than that of most cereals, chickpeas, lupins and faba beans although it is higher than that of common bean, cowpeas and soybeans (Ivanov 1973).

### 1.3 Lentil Abiotic and Biotic Stresses Overview

Abundant portion of worldwide lentil production is confined to the areas of low rain fall and little irrigation. This also hampers application of fertilizers and hence yield potential of lentil cannot be approached.

Attempts for breeding lentil races with higher yield are carried out through the globe. ICARDA as the leading organization, USA, Canada, Australia and Turkey possess a research background on lentil.

Major lentil producers are India, Turkey, Canada and Australia. Lentil production and consumption commerce is cycling between Canada, Australia, U.S. and Middle East and North Africa countries respectively. Other countries mainly consume their lentil production in their market.

As it might be expected like in other grain legumes domesticated long ago an array of abiotic and biotic stress factors effect lentil production severely. Cold, drought, heat, salinity, nutrient deficiency and nutrient toxicity are abiotic stress factors that affect lentil yields worldwide (Monti et al., 1994; Saxena, 1993; Slinkard et al., 1994).

Among an array of increasing biotic stress factors that affect lentil, Ascochyta blight, Anthracnose, Fusarium wilt, root rots, Scierorinia white mold, rust and numerous aphid transmitted viruses can be listed as major yield threats. Resistance traits against some these stress factors were recovered from lentil germplasm. Lentils are being bred for these resistance traits with the help of molecular markers provided.

As long as lentil production spreads to new farmlands experiencing new environments along with new abiotic and biotic stress factors, lentil breeding should be speeded up. Along with modern breeding methods that utilize advanced markers, interspecies identification of new resistance genotypes for both abiotic and biotic stress factors and also piling up transgenics that show genius solutions to these stress factors point out the transgenic technology to be at the center of breeding programs.

### 1.4 Breeding Problems and Genetic Engineering in Some Legume Species

### 1.4.1 Genetic Engineering of Phaseolus Species

### 1.4.1.1 Current Status and Potential of Conventional Breeding

The Phaseolus genus covers more than 30 species, but only five of them ( $P$. acutifolius, P. coccineus, P. lunatus, P. polyanthus, P. vulgaris) were domesticated (Debouck, 1999). Among them the common bean (P. vulgaris) is the most widely cultivated one.

Phaseolus species diversity was organized into primary, secondary, tertiary, and quaternary gene pools (Singh, 2001) that do not have intercrossing problems in general. The primary gene pool (most of the cultivated species of the genus $P$. vulgaris), the secondary gene pool ( $P$. coccineus, $P$. costaricensis, and $P$. polyanthus) and the tertiary gene pool (P. acutifolius and Phaseolus parvifolius) can intercross in their own gene pools without problems in the progeny (Broughton et al., 2003).

Phaseolus species have grains with high protein content and high quantity of complex carbohydrates. Also grains show low dietary fiber content, low oligosaccharides, and phytochemicals. They are also important sources of iron, phosphorus, magnesium, manganese. Phaseolus grains also provide some calcium, copper and zinc (Broughton et al., 2003)

Traditional breeding of common bean has objectives like stable yield reduced production costs tolerance for biotic and abiotic stresses that are achieved to some extent. Agricultural business seeks for common beans of erect plant canopy with pods that do not touch the soil and would allow mechanical harvesting. Also they look for low loss indices and high grain quality along with earliness. On the other hand consumers are requesting bean cultivars with higher nutritional quality and mineral bioavailability. Reduced phytate, tannin, polysaccharides, and oxalates contents along with lower fiber amounts are accompanying traits for higher nutritional quality and mineral bioavailability. In special iron for example is affected by the amount of tannin and dietary fibers.

Breeding superior cultivars of common bean narrowed down the allelic variations for many traits. Today new methods of conventional breeding are employed for recovering commercial cultivars from genetic bottleneck. But trait assessments with already prepared genetic markers remain controversial. Also the long period of breeding practice cannot accommodate with the newly appearing pathogen races. Besides all of these constraints the crucial point raised is identification and evaluation of new tolerance genes and alleles from other species. These traits cannot be transferred with conventional breeding due to crossing barriers. Hence urgent advancements in genetic background of common bean require the genetic engineering technology.

### 1.4.1.2 Common Bean Tissue Culture

Common bean tissue culture actually follows the transformation attempts. Initial genetic engineering works that showed transgene expression was all nullified due to absence of proper regeneration procedures. The tissue culture and regeneration of Phaseolus were extensively covered through 1997-2005.

Phaseolus tissue culture studies reported multiple shoot regeneration from mature embryo meristem regions under cytokinin induction. A special case study that may be noted here is multiple shoot regeneration from the meristem peripheral sections (Aragão and Rech, 1997). These regeneration works that employ embryo apex or axillary meristems however did not produce satisfactory results (McClean and Grafton, 1989; Malik and Saxena, 1992; Mohamed et al., 1992, 1993). Optimization studies over these works gave satisfactory and reproducible regeneration scores thereafter. Regeneration was induced at thin sections of meristems (transverse thin cell layers; tTCL ) cultured under cytokinin (Carvalho et al. 2000). The same author also showed that AgNO3 with BAP together enhanced shoot number per explant and increased shoot elongation.

### 1.4.1.3 Common Bean Genetic Engineering

### 1.4.1.3.1 Bombardment Mediated Genetic Transformation

Initial genetic modification studies for common bean leans back to the Agrobacterium susceptibility analysis. Then generation of transgene expressing tissues was undertaken but regeneration from these tissues was not possible. (Lippincott et al., 1968; McClean et al., 1991; Franklin et al., 1993; Becker et al., 1994; Lewis and Bliss, 1994; Brasileiro et al., 1996; Nagl et al., 1997; Karakaya and Ozcan, 2001). At the mean time as an emerging trend, electroporation \& PEG mediated transformation events were also carried out in bean protoplasts (Crepy et al., 1986; Bustos, 1991; Leon et al., 1991; Giovinazzo et al., 1993). All of these bean transformation works were obstructed due to lack of an efficient tissue culture and regeneration system that was just stated above in the preceding section.

Due to regeneration concerns and for evaluation of particle bombardment applicability to bean genetic engineering initial microprojectile delivery of marker genes targeted to the embryo apex meristems (Genga et al., 1991; Aragão et al., 1992, 1993; Russel et al., 1993). And consequently first transgenic common bean was generated through microprojectile bombardment method on navy beans (cv. Seafarer) (Russel et al., 1993). Researchers noted a very low (0.03\%) transformation efficiency and transgene expression in progeny. However the method applied did not produce transgenics for other cultivars.

In depth evaluation of the bombardment mediated meristem transformations introduced meristem apex functional organization concept to the transformation studies. Faith of the microprojectiles delivery to meristem L1, L2, and L3 functional were considered for efficient production of transgenic plants. It was noted that microprojectiles that hit to the L2 and L3 layers may possibly give rise to transgenic plants (Aragão et al., 1993).

During ongoing studies with microprojectile bombardment for some common bean species it was also noted that meristems hindered with leaf initials had drastically reduced number of microprojectiles penetrating deep into the meristems. Also de novo shoot regeneration induced by cytokinins from the transformed apical meristems was shown to be confined to the peripheral layers of the meristematic ring (McClean and Grafton, 1989; Franklin et al., 1991; Malik and Saxena, 1992; Aragão and Rech, 1997). As a result a notion claiming that "apical meristems are noted not to be suitable for microprojectile mediated transformation" was raised.

Besides all these works a reproducible bean transformation work was elicited by Aragão et al. and Aragão and Rech at 1996, 1997 respectively. In their work bombarded embryonic axes raised transgenic plants with and average frequency of $0.9 \%$ transformation frequency. With this method researchers were able to transform different $P$. vulgaris varieties including some of the recalcitrant ecotypes.

Although successful common bean transformation methods were noted, the inefficient selection system was an existing problem. A few number of cells transformed at the meristem regions in each transformation event could not be selected properly with the antibiotics, herbicides used in selection (Aragão et al., 1996, 2002). For this purpose a novel agent named "imazapyr" was discovered. Imazapyr is an herbicide that actively deposits at apical meristems. In an efficient transformation study, sequentially bombarded meristems selected with imazapyr generated significant increase transformation rates. And the rate of transgenic progeny was comparable to that of the soybean transformation. Also with this method occurrence of chimeric plants were avoided. (Aragão et al., 2000).

### 1.4.1.3.2 Agrobacterium Mediated Genetic Transformation

Although common bean transformation studies were started the only Agrobacterium mediated genetic transformation on common bean was reported in 2005 by Liu et al. In this study Liu et al. reports transformation of Kidney beans through a procedure that is based on sonication and vacuum infiltration of Agrobacterium cells (LBA4404) with germinating beans. Potting of the transformed seedlings gave rise to 16 transgenic plants with a rate of $3 \%$ transformation efficiency. The transgene expression was demonstrated till the second generation.

### 1.4.2 Cowpea

### 1.4.2.1 General Information about Cowpea

Cowpea is the representative legume with high quality protein content and is used as food and feed in African continent. This important crop with the species name Vigna unguiculata (var. unguiculata) is also known as black-eyed pea. Adaptation to hot climate and low, unsteady rainfall are the two distinguishing features of cowpea. Adaptation to drought can be attributed to its lower canopy covering the soil surface, trapping the moisture in the ground in the semi-arid zones. Traditionally cultivated cowpea is usually intercropped with sorghum, millet, maize, or cassava and generally not harvested for commercial concerns. This plant shows a wide
variety of growth habits and morphology. Its extended tap root that recovers the scarce water during drought season maintains its survival (Bado et al., 2006).

Both foliage and grains of cowpea are proper sources of protein. Grains contain about 23-25\% protein by weight (Ohler et al., 1996). Prior to flowering fresh leaves were harvested and used as food during the drought period when the food is scarce. Enhanced lysine content and other essential amino acids makes it good complement to cereals as it is the general case for grain legumes. Also grains of cowpea contain approximately $60 \%$ carbohydrates composed of starch mainly. Grains of cowpea also serve as a quite good source of essential vitamins including thiamin, riboflavin, ascorbic acid, niacin, and folic acid. Cowpea harvested in Africa is also consumed within the boundaries of the continent.

Classification of cowpea seems rather complex. Cowpea is under family Fabaceae (Leguminosae), subfamily Papilionoideae and genus Vigna. Also cowpea belongs to the subgenus Vigna and section Catiang. Finally cowpea is classified under species unguiculata.

Under the light of morphological, ethnographical and molecular evidences $V$. unguiculata was classified into 11 subspecies (Pasquet 1999). Only one of the eleven species is annual and is cowpea. And Cowpea has two varieties; the cultivated unguiculata and the weedy form spontanea.

### 1.4.2.2 Conventional Breeding of Cowpea

In cowpea research an unofficial group named "Network for the Genetic Improvement of Cowpea for Africa" (NGICA) shoulders cowpea improvement including genetic modification. Besides this group cowpea genome sequencing is undertaken by University of Virginia through a new approach. This new approach was lanced as Gene Spacing under the trade name GeneThresher ${ }^{R}$. With this sequencing method and through ESTs, SSRs, SNPs extensive data are being generated for cowpea breeding.

Insect is the major cause crop loss for cowpea in the field and during storage (Ogbuinya, 1997). Insecticide use for cowpea was noted to be improper and traditional which was also noted to be main cause failure in insect control (Murdock 2002). Also use of proper insecticides for cowpea harvest may impose a bulk of insecticide pollution in mainland Africa each year (Murdock 2002). Compared to the losses by insects crop losses via viral diseases can be noted as insignificant.

Major breeding objectives for cowpea improvement include disease and insect resistance and improvements in physiological characters along with quality traits. Conventional breeding is generally considered for non multigenic heritable traits. Notable improvements were achieved through conventional breeding of cowpea. Constraints of conventional breeding and need for genetic engineering also hold for cowpea improvements.

### 1.4.2.3 Development of Transgenic Cowpeas

Cowpea genetic pool contains approximately no resistance trait against insect pests that are the main cause for immense yield loses. Cultivars that avoids these insect based loses has been in center of genetic improvement for cowpea (Ehlers and Hall, 1997).

First transformation report on cowpea was recorded by Garcia et al. (1986, 1987). Kanamycin resistant callus without regeneration was the only outcome of this study. Later studies on cowpea transformation were reported by, Penza et al. (1991) on longitudinally sliced mature embryo but stable integration of the transgene was not recorded in regenerated plants. In another study that shared the same faith, Muthukumar et al. (1996) selected the transformant cotyledons with hygromycin that did not produce transgenic progeny. In a similar procedure that used also cotyledons, microprojectile bombarded explants yielded only a small number of progeny expressing the transgene that is not supported with stable integration data in the progeny (Ikea et al. 2003).

The first transgenic cowpea was achieved by Popelka et al., (2006) considered as the main frame of the optimized cowpea transformation procedure. And this procedure has been modified significantly. Major modifications that enhanced transformation rate significantly can be dropped into three sections. First, embryonic axis confined to the cotyledons was used as target tissue for transformation. Second, salt strength of the Agrobacterium re-suspension medium was reduced by 10X. And third, sulphur containing compounds (thiosulphate, dithiothreitol and L-cysteine) were added into re-suspension and co-cultivation medium that reduces necrosis of wounded tissue (Olhoft and Somers, 2001; Olhoft et al., 2003).

As it is extensively reviewed for other legume species the cotyledonary node or embryonic axis that are used for direct organogenesis appears to be the most suitable explants for successful genetic transformation (Schroeder et al., 1993; Pigeaire et al., 1997; Olhoft and Somers, 2001; Popelka et al., 2004). On this basis a simplified explant preparation method devised for cowpea transformation produces 1-3 transgenic plants per 1000 cotyledonary nodes processed. In this simplified explant preparation procedure overnight imbibed seeds are first deprived of their hypocotyl and epicotyl segments and then either bisected along the embryonic axis or stripped of their cotyledons leaving the cotyledonary node behind.

Current problems in front of the genetically modified cowpea are the usual concerns for genetically modified organisms. However Bt-cowpea would perhaps mean much for the hungry although its economic, ecologic and loyalty concerns are to be resolved prior to committing the transgenics.

### 1.4.3 Chickpea

### 1.4.3.1 General Information About Chickpea

Chickpea (Cicer arietinum L.) is a self-pollinating annual legume that is cultivated mainly as food around the globe. It is termed as cool season crop. And it gives proper yields during dry seasons due its deep tap root system.

Grains of chickpea offer a balanced source of protein and carbohydrates. Also it serves some of the essential amino acids for human nutrition along with high amounts of unsaturated fatty acid. Unlike some other grain legumes chickpea shows least amounts anti-nutritional factors. High nutrient digestibility hence bioavailability and uses in herbal medicine, cosmetics and also its nitrogen, organic matter contribution to the soil make chickpea a multifaceted crop that should receive a special attention.

The origin of its wild progenitor Cicer reticulatum is located to the southern Turkey (Ladizinsky and Adler 1976). And chickpea is the only domesticated species of the genus Cicer. The plant was originally classified in the family Leguminosae and Papilionoideae subfamily and in the tribe Vicieae but depending on the recent evaluations, vascular and pollen structure data leaded its settlement into its own monogeneric tribe, Cicereae (Alef).

### 1.4.3.2 Conventional Breeding of Chickpea and Ground for Transgenics

High yielding cultivars along with tolerance to abiotic stress factors and resistance biotic agents comprise the main aspects of chickpea breeding. Sensitivity to drought and cold are among the first abiotic stress factors to be dealt. Then sensitivity to heat and salinity are considered to be the second important abiotic stress factors. Susceptibility to pests and diseases or competing against weeds during farming practices are also as critical as the abiotic stresses notified.

It is noted that the average yield of chickpea is never reached to its potential due to the diseases encountered during cultivation. And the self-pollinating nature of the chickpea and conventional breeding practices consequently narrowed down the genetic base. As a consequence breeding chickpea for superior lines through conventional breeding is hindered. This insufficient genetic base and crossability problems with far relatives of chickpea in Cicer genus enforced breeding through transgenic technology.

### 1.4.3.3 Tissue Culture and Regeneration

Nearly all of the in vitro plant regeneration protocols have been applied to chickpea. Direct organogenesis of shoots from meristems (Bajaj and Dhanju, 1979; Sharma et al., 1979) or immature cotyledons (Shri and Davis, 1992) and embryogenesis from immature cotyledons (Sagare et al., 1993) or leaf callus (Barna and Wakhlu, 1993; Kumar et al., 1994) are representing only some of the regeneration attempts.

A higher frequency of regeneration was shown on embryonic axis with 6benzylaminopurine (BAP) induction in in vitro conditions (Fontana et al. 1993). Later on Kar et al. (1996) used both BAP in combination with $\alpha$-naphthaleneacetic acid (NAA) and showed high frequency shoot regeneration from embryonic axis explants. Later studies on chickpea became as improvements and reputations of the regeneration works on embryo axis. As the reports and regeneration data piled up highest shoot number per explant was scored on half embryo axis attached to a cotyledons (Sarmah et al., 2004).

### 1.4.3.4 Genetic Transformation

The first successful transformation work on chickpea was achieved through cocultivation embryonic axis with Agrobacterium cells (Fontana et al., 1993). Transformation rate was considerably low but stable integration of transgene was confirmed.

In two other following transformation attempts the multiple shoot regeneration potential of the chickpea embryonic axis was exploited. In their study Kar et al., (1996) and Krishnamurthy et al., (2000) were able to give evidence for transgenic chickpea progeny.

In chickpea genetic engineering studies performed, selection of the transformed explants were carried out either with kanamycin (neomycin phospho trasnferase II/ nptl), (Fontana et al., 1993; Kar et al., 1996) or phosphinothricin (phosphinothricin
acetyl transferase/ pat) (Krishnamurthy et al., 2000). Aspartate kinase (AK) is also another selective marker used in chickpea transformation studies but among these three only pat selection is reported to be the reliable.

Chickpea transformation studies received many improvements following the work of Fontana et al., (1993). The common point that all of these improvements share was use of embryo axis as explant due to its higher regeneration potential. Different groups had different approaches for embryo axis preparations (Sarmah et al., 2004; Polowick et al., 2004; Senthil et al., 2004; Sanyal et al., 2005). But overall transformation rate was ranged between 0.1 to $1.0 \%$.

Compared to Agrobacterium mediated transformation, microprojectile bombardment mediated transformation studies on chickpea is scarce. Among these studies bombardment mediated transformation of chickpea by Kar et al., (1997) used explant preparation and regeneration procedure that was quiet similar to that of Agrobacterium mediated transformation works. Selection of the explants on kanamycin media yielded cryIA(c) expressing shoots that are regenerated into whole plantlets.

These successful transformation procedures summarized are being used and continue to yield substantial numbers of transgenic chickpea and these chickpeas are underway for field trials.

### 1.4.4 Alfalfa

### 1.4.4.1 General Information About Alfalfa

The two species Medicago falcata and Medicago sativa are known as alfalfa. Plant is perennial and cultivated as a main fodder input of around the globe. Central Asia or Middle East is the two possible speciation origins for alfalfa.

The foliage is harvested four times in a year as animal feed. And it serves high quality nutrition for animal feed. Its extensive root system that can penetrate deep
into the soil and nitrogen fixing ability maintains adequate protein, vitamins and minerals for the feed.

### 1.4.4.2 Problems on Traditional Breeding

Quiet low rates of successful inbreeding and autotetraploidy of the cultivars hampers conventional breeding. And inheritance of multigenic traits becomes more complex due to the autotetraploid nature of alfalfa. Due to this stated genetic nature of alfalfa breeding was carried through selection of phenotypic traits. Complex genetic structure, background and limited crossability were the main cause of limited conventional breeding practices (Brummer, 1999; Lamb et al., 2006). As a consequence alfalfa improving through genetic modification remains as the most plausible tool.

Winter hardiness, salinity tolerance and phytotoxicity are the traits to be concerned for the yield enhancement and digestibility, nutritional modifications and processing are the topics for quality improvement of alfalfa. Achievements on genetic modification of alfalfa had already covered many of the above stated enhancements.

### 1.4.4.3 Alfalfa Genetic Engineering

An extremely well established transformation method for alfalfa is the main boost behind the numerous transgenic alfalfa plants. Basically transformation procedure resembles tobacco transformation in which leaf discs of alfalfa are co-cultivated with Agrobacterium and selected in kanamycin media.

Such a handy tool of transformation protocol also leaded to the promoter function analysis in alfalfa. These promoter activity studies might be accounted for transgenic studies on other plants also.
1.4.4.3.1 Manipulation of Lignin Composition for Forage Quality Improvement Lignin maintains the structural integrity of the secondary plant cell wall. Digestibility of lignin was attributed to the relative compositions of lignin subunits.

First hypothesis for increased lignin digestibility targeted the ratio of the most abundant lignin subunits. Reddy et al., (2005) altered the ratio of monomethoxylated guaiacyl (G) and dimethoxylated syringyl (S) monolignons through transformation of alfalfa leafs with M. truncatula cytochrome P450. Kanamycin selected transgenic alfalfa plants synthesized different levels of lignin did not show any enhancement in fiber digestibility.

Second hypothesis for increasing fiber digestibility was constructed on the relative ratio of low abundant p-hydroxyphenyl (P) lignin subunits (Reddy et al., 2005; Ralph et al., 2006). Alfalfa coumaroyl shikimate 3-hydroxylase (C3H) was down regulated with the antisense of C 3 H gene from M. truncatula. This transformation event resulted in an increase in the ratio of $p$-hydroxyphenyl $(P)$ units with respect to $G$ and S lignin subunits. Transgenic alfalfa generated with this method showed improved alfalfa feed digestibility.

### 1.4.4.3.2 Reducing Protein Loss for Forage Quality Improvement

 Harvested alfalfa gradually loose its protein content till it is fed. Nonspecific proteases are considered as the principle cause of this gradual protein degradation. And ortho-diphenol was shown to inhibit these nonspecific protease. On this basis alfalfa was transformed with clover poly phenol oxidase (PPO). Transgenic alfalfa with constitutive expression of clover PPO was recovered. And it was shown that transgenic alfalfa plants harvested showed fivefold decrease in nonspecific proteolysis with respect to control plants (Sullivan and Hatfield, 2006).Ruminant bacteria colonizing the cattle and sheep gut degrades the feed proteins rapidly and serve animal with the bulk bacterial proteins. Rumen bacteria provided protein is low in sulphur amino acids. Schroeder et al., (1991) transformed alfalfa with chicken ovalbumin gene that contains high amounts of sulphur amino acids and resistant to bacterial degradation. Transgenic alfalfa plants recovered through $n p t / l$ selection showed ovalbumin expression constituting $0.001 \%$ to $0.01 \%$ of the total crude protein.

### 1.4.4.3.3 Overexpression of Transcription Factor to Improve Drought Tolerance

In order to generate drought tolerant alfalfa Zhang et al., (2005) transformed alfalfa with putative Medicago truncatula WXP1 gene AP2 domain. Basta selected transgenic alfalfa showed approximately $34 \%$ more cuticlar wax accumulation. Transgenic alfalfa showed increased resistance to drought, better recovery following drought application and decreased chlorophyll bleaching.

### 1.4.4.3.4 Improvement of Tolerance to Aluminum Phytotoxicity

Main problem with acid soils that maintain higher mineral content to plants is aluminum toxicity.

Solution formulated for this problem was designed as exudation of conjugate bases of organic acids from the roots of alfalfa. Or this purpose nodulary enhanced malate dehydrogenase enzyme coding sequence (neMDH) was transformed into alfalfa (Tesfaye et al. 2001). Transgenic alfalfa expressing neMDH showed enhanced aluminum tolerance with enhanced exudates organic acid conjugates. In hydroponic cultures of transgenic alfalfa (elevated levels of citrate, oxalate, malate, succinate, and acetate were recovered.

### 1.5 Demand for Genetic Improvement of Lentil

Although conventional breeding methods continue to their developments, improvement of lentil by conventional breeding tools is still delimited by the boundaries of crossability, hybridization and scarcity of novel genes in the lentil germplasm pool.

Breeding through transgenic technology floats over the genetic barriers encountered trough conventional breeding. Transgenic breeding technology can eliminate anti-nutritional factors such as trypsin inhibitors and hemagglutinins in a short time and without loss of any trait as compared to conventional breeding methods. Since lentil is one of the few crops that can be grown in the semiarid regions of the world, nutritional quality improvement can have a significant impact
for balancing of dietary intakes of people living in areas with poor soils and limited rainfall. Hence transgenic technology can also be used for the improvement of nutritional quality of lentil proteins which are characterized by a shortage of methionine and cysteine.

Considering the shortcomings of conventional breeding and on the whole due to its importance as highly nutritional pulse crop of the semi-arid lands there exists a demand for genetic engineering of lentil against abiotic and biotic stress conditions for sustaining crop production and improving its yield.

### 1.6 World Wide Plausible Transformation Studies on Lentil

From liposome mediated to electroporation, so many methods of transformation strategies were studied in order to figure out an efficient gene transfer system for lentil. As a result of these studies two of the plausible transformation systems turned out to be Agrobacterium tumefacience and Microprojectile bombardment mediated gene transfer systems as many of the elaborate transformation studies implied.

Among the pioneers of Agrobacterium mediated transformation of lentil was Warkentin and McHughen (1991.) Following their initial Agrobacterium strain selection (GV2260) for lentil transformation, in 1992 they were among the first that used p35GUSINT vector construct. Virtually in all of the Agrobacterium-mediated transformation optimization studies (Warkentin and McHughen 1992; Warkentin and McHughen 1993; Lurquin et al. 1998; Oktem et al. 1999; Mahmoudian et al. 2002) p35SGUSINT vector containing kanamycin resistance gene (NOS-NPTII-NOS) and intron containing $\beta$-glucuronidase (GUS) gene was used. The vector was generated by cloning GUS gene cassette with cauliflower mosaic virus 35 S promoter and terminator from pGUSINT plasmid into Stratagene pBS vector (Vancanneyt et al. 1990).

Transient expression of visual markers on various lentil tissues highlighted meristematic zones (apical meristems, lateral meristems, cotiledoary nodal segments) as efficient explants for gene transfer but, during regeneration from these
tissues expression of visual marker (GUS) diminished quiet often. Possible cause was assigned to possible death of transformed zones due to immense wounding of explants prior to Agrobacterium infection. Solution devised to the problem was gentile wounding with microprojectile bombardment. Also in later transformation studies the cotyledonary nodal segments were chosen as the target tissue for transforming lentil due to high frequency shoot regeneration from its axillary meristems under cytokinin induction.

Further problems in lentil transformations aroused in the step of selecting putative transformants. In the transformation study by Warkentin and McHughen (1992), selection of transformed tissues was done by the use of kanamycin which was later expressed as very inefficient for the type of explant used. In general, negative selection procedures were considered to be in-efficient during selection of transformed meristematic tissues. It was because small transformed sectors of meristem tissues were thought to be driven to extinction by administration of high amount of selection agent in the culture media killing most of the non-transformed delicate nursing cells which would normally support the transformed section. Since all of the plausible transformation attempts so far depended on direct regeneration of the plantlets from intact mature meristematic tissues (especially cotyledonary node axial meristems), selection of transformants was always a problem in lentil transgenic studies as it is also the same for most of the grain legume transformation studies.

The only plausible report about genetically engineered Lentil was published by Gulati et al. in 2002 with viable F1 generation inheriting the transgene with Mendelian ratio. Transformation of lentil cotyledonary nodal segments was mediated by bombardment of pBUC19 plasmid with chimeric SuRA/SuRB Hra acetolactate synthase gene (ALS) from tobacco, conferring resistance to sulfonylurea herbicides.

Recovery of low number of transgenic plantlets in this study can mainly be attributed to the efficient selection method used; with sulfonylurea urea herbicide in an all or none fashion

### 1.7 Overview of Lentil Transformation Studies Made in Our Lab

Our lab initiated the study of lentil transformation with Oktem et al. in 1999. In the study GUS gene delivery and expression in lentil cotyledonary nodes was mediated through particle bombardment method. Throughout the study an electronic processor controlled GeneboosterTM (Jenes et al. 1996) particle delivery system was used. GUS expressing sectors were seen on $4 \%$ of the regenerating shoots.

Later in 2002 in the study by Mahmoudian et al. cotyledonary nodes were infiltrated with Agrobacterium strain GV2260. Differing from all of the preceding Agrobacterium mediated transformation works bacterial cells were concentrated prior to inoculation with explants. Through a mild infiltration process a significant increase in transient GUS activity was attained. The most effective factor for higher rate of transformation frequency was assigned to the use of higher concentration of Agrobacterium cells during inoculation. In this study pGUSINT binary plasmid which was derived from pBI121 through insertion of p35SGUSINT vector was used.

Following the works of Oktem and Mahmoudian in another study in our lab five different Agrobacterium strains; LBA4404, EHA105, GV2260, C58C1 and KYRT1, and two different binary plasmids; pGUSINT and pTJK136 containing GUS and nptII genes were tested for the best transformation response in lentil (Celikkol, 2002). In the study, also the factors affecting A. tumefaciens mediated transformation efficiency like wounding method (microcarrier and needle-mediated), effect of vacuum infiltration and cultivar difference (Firat-87 and Sultan-1 cultivars) were analyzed. By the use of best strain/plasmid couple and needle wounding, putative transgenic shoots were regenerated from cotyledonary nodes with $0.8 \%$ efficiency, micrografted to root stocks and successfully transferred to soil.

Regarding the previous studies in our lab as the basis, transformation response of another lentil tissue and micrografting based regeneration were studied in my thesis work under the heading "Optimizations of Agrobacterium Mediated Gene Transfer and Micrografting Systems in Lentil (Lens culinaris Medik) - Kamci, H. (2004) M.Sc. thesis. In the first part of the study of Agrobacterium mediated gene transfer to lentil embryo apex, type and intensity of injury, evacuation duration and intensity, L-cysteine induction during co-cultivation and Agrobacterium infection duration were among the studied parameters.

High rates of transisent GUS expressions were recovered from these studies that diminished to small number of scattered patchy GUS expressing zones during culturing under no selectional force. In the regeneration part of the study (regeneration through micrografting), factors such as grafting type, grafting stem height, and the scion health were analyzed. Higly efficient regeneration system through micrografting and plausible transient visual marker gene (GUS) expression at the apical meristem zones were the two important yields of this study.

Meanwhile in another study in our lab (Bayrac 2004), optimization of regeneration system for cotyledonary petioles of lentil was carried out. Lentil cotyledonary petiole was shown to be one of the most responsive tissues for Agrobacterium-mediated transformation, so an optimized tissue culture system for this tissue was foreseen to expedite the development of transgenic lentil plants. The most responsive media for shooting were MS basal medium supplied with $1 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ Zeatin and $1 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ NAA, and medium supplied with $1 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ TDZ respectively.

### 1.8 An Overview of Lentil Transformation and Regeneration Problems

All of the transformation studies targeting genetically engineered lentil lines were hindered with the lack of a proper regeneration system. Till to the studies that choose the cotyledonary nodal segment as the target tissue of transformation, regeneration of low number of shoots from the transformed tissues was considered as cost inefficient. Upon exploration of high regenerative capacity of the
cotyledonary nodal segments, studies for handling higher number of transformed shoots implemented usage of high concentrations of cytokinins in tissue culture media. However, during the rooting procedures it was understood that the impact of cytokinin usage was depressive to root regeneration. This issue was adequately covered in the study by Polanco and Ruiz (1997) that studied the effect of BAP on root formation from lentil shoots regenerated on BAP containing media.

This dilemma of rooting problem versus the demand for harvesting higher number of putative transgenic shoots from transformation studies of lentil were clearly resolved by Gulati et al. (2001). In their work, lentil shoots regenerated on BAP media were micrografted onto 5-6 days old rootstocks with $96 \%$ efficiency. The success of micrografting was noted to be independent of the nature and concentration of growth regulator used in shoot initiation medium and the time period for induction of shoots. In a similar work performed by Khawar and Özcan (2002) cotyledonary node explants from 21 different lentil genotypes were cultured on on MS medium containing $0.225 \mu \mathrm{M}$ thidiazuran (TDZ). The highest shoot regeneration reported was 20.6 shoots/explants with Akm 362 genotype. The regenerated shoots were reported to be micrografted to cultivar Kayı 91 with 100\% efficiency.

Although the above reports give micrografting success scores as high as $100 \%$ efficient, as a regeneration procedure micrografting is not that much easy. To maintain a success rate above $90 \%$ there should be a perfect match between the root stock and regenerated lentil shoots that are used as scion. Still there are other factors associated with micrografting can crackdown such high rates of regeneration success. Therefore regeneration success of $100 \%$ through micrografting is possible as long as you have either very low number of samples or you have achieved the perfect match between the root stock and the scion to avoid the laborious and gentle stages of micrografting. Also another important step that is strongly tied to micrografting is acclimatization, which usually introduces drastic decrements in success rates of micrograft based regeneration. Regarding the factors involved in regeneration through micrografting, type of grafting, grafting stem height, and the
scion health were analyzed in my study (Kamci 2004). Again in this study two graft types, namely cleft and whip type, were successfully adapted to lentil.

Transformation studies that yield transgenic plants in some of the legume species were shortly pointed out in previous sections. Cotyledonary section of the embryo axis in other words cotyledonary nodal segment is shown as the ultimate explant for genetic transformation either through bombardment or Agrobacterium mediated methods. For most of these plants transformation rate may not exceed 1\%. The same case is also valid for transgenic lentils generated up to date (Gulati et al. 2002 and Akçay et al. 2009). Modifications in transformation process, strain cultivar couple, selection system for lentil should be adapted to the new advancements in transgenic technology.

Regeneration of shoots into whole plantlets through micrografting should be regarded as the optimum tool when we consider versatile tissue culture, induction and selection applications to be carried out for optimizing the ultimate transformation system to diverse lentil cultivars. But still some more advancement with our current micrografting system (which is currently on the run) is required to eliminate the highly gentle and laborious steps of grafting practice in order to handle the gentle herbaceous structure of lentil.

The regeneration protocols (leading to transformation) for many grain and forage legumes considered in preceeding sections can be simply catagorised under two main headings; first regeneration from apical or axillary meristems and second regeneration from foliage sections. Both of the regeneration protocols employ direct organogenesis but the first group maintains regeneration from already present meristematic zones that are already destined to give rise shoots. However in the second group of regeneration protocols explant is enforced to differentiate into meristematic sections that probably raise a shoot which is not normally programmed for. Also cytokinin induced shoot regeneration from the meristematic sections is shown to be confined to peripheral regions of the fully organized apical or axillary meristems. Other than soybean studies, transformation events either through

Agrobacterium or bombardment mediated methods that are usually targeting these meristem sections in most of the transgenic grain legume studies. And yileds are at most $1 \%$. On the other hand transgenic alfalfas are routinely generated through Agrobacterium mediated transformation employed to the foliage tissues. As compared to soybean, alfalfa and clover transformation studies extremely low transformation rates scored for grain legumes dictate profound enhancements in transformation protocols. Although we have performed axillary meristems transformation experiments as an indispensable part of our transformation protocol as it is also stated just above it seems that either explant or its way of handling for transformation should be urgently altered for succeeding in proper rates of transformation in lentil.

### 1.9 Up to Date Advancements in Agrobacterium Mediated Gene Delivery

 The starting point for an efficient Agrobacterium mediated transformation should be traced back to plant pathogen interaction. Dealing with the issue Kuta et. al. (2005) gives a brief review on Agrobacterium induced hyper sensitive response (HSR) generated during transformation. According to Kuta the HSR is correlated to explant age, pre-culture period, bacterial inoculation density and infection duration. The necrotic behavior of the HSR is mediated through accumulating antimicrobial agents (mainly phenolic compounds) at Agrobacterium exposed sites. Initial reaction of the tissues exposed to pathogenic determinants is described as oxidative burst $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right.$ release). Then signaling through $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ and pathogenesis related proteins mediates generation of reactive oxygenic species in order to kill bacteria outside the cell and damage the T-DNA released into the cytoplasmic space. Overall two solutions were given to the plant HSR; first quenching of agro induced oxidative burst and second re-programing plant cells for agro competency. Also the most effective agent for reprograming plant cells was noted to be wounding. The wound released phenolics for prevention of a probable biotic attack can be perturbed as advantage if the released phenolics (acetosyringone in this case) can be modified by Agrobacterium cells.In order to touch up with the point it is quiet proper to give space to the review on plant phenolics in defense and communication (Bhattacharya et. al. 2010). The phenolics are abundant molecules in plants. And they are produced at diverse points of plant metabolism. Trauma, wounding, drought and pathogen attack are the inducers for phenolics synthesis. In the presence of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$, poly phenol oxidases activate phenols and phenol tag many proteins and enzymes which inactivates pathogens. Transcinnamic acid, coumarin benzoic acid, syringic acid are samples to phenolics that interfere with cellular enzymes. SA, IAA and $\mathrm{GA}_{3}$ are other phenolics that down regulate Agrobacterium quorum sensing. Contrary to acetosyringone, SA has also antagonist effect on vir gene induction. Auxin is another point that Agrobacterium cells avoid plant pathogen response. This hormone is mediator for water deficit response in plants. Drought and cold are the two types of stresses that depress the water potential of the plant. Agrobacterium cells can mimic water deficit in plant and avoid HSR through synthesis of an auxin analog. The only requirement for synthesis of this auxin analog is tryptophan supplied (Escobar et. al., Article in press).

Although bacterial cells possess avoidance mechanisms against some of the plant pathogen responses a successful Agrobacterium mediated gene transfer usually requires external agents applied. Phenols are excreted in response to both plantpathogen interaction and wounding. Phenol oxidation in tissue leads to necrosis and cell death. To avoid the effects of phenolics poly-phenol oxidase (PPO) inhibitors (DTT), antioxidants and phenol absorbing compounds are generally used agents. Lcysteine is among these agents that covalently bind to $\mathrm{Fe} / \mathrm{Cu}$ core of the phenol oxidases (Olhoft et. al. 2001).

Besides depression of plant pathogen responses studies also consider competent plant cells concept through reprogramming the tissue for cell division or wound repair. Binns et. al. (2006) states that wounding is not a requirement for gene transfer since cell division can be triggered by other means. Also plant pathogen
response elicited during explant inoculation can be avoided through diverging gene expression induced by external means. An example can be mimicry of the wound induced signaling through application of ABA and Jasmonates.

Besides agents targeting the plant pathogen responses and hormonal reprograming of cells for induction of competence there are still some other studies that reports profound enhancements in Agrobacterium mediated gene transfer. Azadi et. al. (2010) reports that composition of inoculation and co-cultivation media strongly affects gene transfer rates. Researchers recorded striking rates of lilium transformation as they have added sucrose and removed KH2PO4, NH4 NO3, KNO3 and CaCl from their inoculation and co-cultivation media. It is also stated in the report that limiting PO4 concentration of the inoculation and co-cultivation media increases quorum sensing and vir gene inductions hence maintains Agrobacterium cells better attachment to plant cell wall. It was also highlighted that Ca may repress vir gene expression.

A 2006 review about the factors influencing efficiency of Agrobacterium mediated gene transfer considers almost a full vision of emerging trends in efficient gene transfer (Opabode, 2006). According to the report a pre-culture step during explant preparation in which explants are exposed to anti-necrotic agents or desiccated was noted to enhance the transformation rates. And also agents like 2,4-D, casamino acids and acetosyringone applied during inoculation and co-culture periods were noted to be among the enhancers. Decreased salt strength in inoculation and cocultivation media was reported have enhancing effect also. Addition of surfactants, osmoprotectants and antinecrotics into inoculation media was also noted to be enhancers of gene transfer.

Above stated reviews of advancements in Agrobacterium mediated plant genetic transformation are schematized in figures 1.1 and 1.2.


Figure 1.1: Up to date advancements in Agrobacterium culture preparation Agrobacterium culture preparation for enhancing gene delivery to plants. Up to ted critical advancements considered.


Figure 1.2: Recent considerations in explant preparation for transformation Up to date agents and methods devised for enhancing gene delivery to plants are schematized.

### 1.10 MBF1c and Multifaceted Stress Tolerance

A proper transformation system that can achieve cost effective transformation rates can speed up the genetic modification studies for improving lentil. Since this crop is noted have good tolerance to cool weather conditions and show relative adaptation to different soil types (Erskine, 1984.) a strategic point in genetic improvement of lentil can be enhancement of this inborn tolerance of lentil to overcome moderate to high levels of freezing and other stress conditions. Also the poor yield due to susceptibility to insect and fungal diseases (Erskine, 1984) can be targeted in an improvement program as long as the employed transformation system compensates the costly and laborious steps of the study. In the first scene this task may be seen as multi feet transformation event for each trait we are after. But concerning this issue it is quiet suitable here to refer to the studies made on Arabidopsis thaliana.

Complex biological responses to environmental stimuli within the boundaries of tissues, organs and organism require concerted playing from the notes of genomic information. During recovery of DNA information in the form of RNA the basic transcription machinery should be interacting with transcription factors docked on promoter-regulator elements on DNA. It is revealed that in yeast and humans this interaction is maintained through transcriptional co-activators that maintains the true active transcription (Kwok et al. 1994. Ge and Roeder 1994. Knaus et al. 1996). The plant homologs of these transcriptional co-activators were also long been expected to be revealed.

There are two classes of transcriptional co-activators. The first class of co-activators shows enzymatic activities that modify chromatin structure and alter availability of transcripts. The second class which we are interested in does not have enzymatic activity but regulates the docking of the basal transcription machinery with the transcription factors (Näär et al., 2001, Sugikawa et al. 2005).

A transcriptional co-activator denoted as multiprotein bridging factor 1 (MBF1), which was first extracted from silk glands of the silk worm, (Bombyx mon) was shown
to be also present in yeast (Takemaru et al. 1998), human (Kabe et al, 1999) and Drosophila (Liu et al. 2003). It mediates the connection between activator and TATAbox binding protein (TBP).

Identification and studies with other MBF1 (tomato (Zegzouti et al. 1999), potato (Godoy et al. 2001) and tobacco (Matsushita et al. 2002)) also showed that MBF1s are functioning in defense related responses. Recently three MBF1 subtypes were isolated from Arabidopsis thaliana (AtMBF1a-c). AtMBF1a, b expressions were seen throughout the plant almost constitutively suggesting that AtMBF1a, b expressions are regulated in the same manner, whereas AtMBF1c expression is not. The functions of the all three AtMBF1s are confirmed through partial recovery of yeast mbf1 mutant phenotype. With this study also the co-activator function of MBF1s were confirmed. And in Arabidopsis all three MBF1s are shown to have differential tissue specific expression of in response to various effectors. Specifically ABA application was shown to increase AtMBF1c expression significantly supporting that AtMBF1c promoter domain shares similarity with ABA-responsive element (ABRE) (Giraudat et al. 1994, Hobo et al. 1999, Shen and Ho 1995, Shen et al. 1996). Further evaluation of the AtMBF1c (At3g24500) transcription from the ATH1 gene chips revealed that MBF1c expression is elevated in response to drought, heat, salinity, hydrogen peroxide and pathogen infection (Rizhsky et al., 2004b; Tsuda and Yamazaki, 2004). Finally Mitler et al. (2005) reported that constitutive expression of AtMBF1c in Arabidopsis maintains stress tolerance against a number of abiotic and biotic stress factors and some combinations of abiotic stresses. That is AtMBF1c can be used for genetic engineering of plants for multi stress tolerance.

### 1.11 Aim of the Study

World wide spread and cultivation of lentil revealed the actual potential of lentil races for their tolerances to a number of multiple stress factors. Due to its nutritional value and use as food lentil has been receiving increasing attention through the globe. We expect that in near future with advent of an efficient transformation method in lentil, the piles of information on transgenics and conventional breeding may boost lentil transgenic studies.

Regarding the current transformation protocols in legumes and advancements in lentil transgenics and as long as transient expressions rates are considered (Kamçı 2004) stable transformation rates in lentil might be regarded as very low.

Aim of this study is to bring the rate of stable transformation in lentil to approximately 10-15\%. And generate transgenic lentil lines expressing AtMBF1c.

## CHAPTER II

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

### 2.1 Materials

### 2.1.1 Cloning Related Materials

### 2.1.1.1 Vectors, Coding Sequences and Primers

The vectors used in this study are as follows: IV 1.1, pBlueScriptSK+, pCambia 1305.1, pCR8 (linear), pEarleyGate vector series, pPMI-GFP, pPZP101, pTJK136, pUNI51MBF1c. Among these vectors IV 1.1, pBlueScriptSK+, pCambia1305.1, pPZP101 are commercially available vector backbones available among the lab sources. The permission letter and graphical map for use of $p$ TJK136 is given in appendix $b$ and $c$ respectively. The pPMI-GFP vector was kindly provided by Prof. Dr. Ekrem Gürel. The pEarleyGate vector series (100-104) and pUNI51-MBF1c vectors were purchased from Arabidopsis Tair site and retrieved from the Arabidopsis Biological Research Cencter (ABRC) with the enclosed letters of limited use label license (appendix c).

Primers designs were made with Primer3 (Rozen and Skaletsky, 2000) software. Primers were purchased from either IDT or Alpha DNA. See appendix b for a full complement of primer sets.

### 2.1.1.2 Molecular Cloning Kits and Restriction Endonucleases

For elution of agarose gel electrophoresed DNA fragments and for cleaning of either PCR amplified DNA fragments or restriction endonuclease digested DNA molecules GeneMark gel elution kit was used.

Plasmid preparations were made with Fermentas plasmid miniprep kits.

Restriction endonucleases were purchased mainly from Fermentas, but some restriction enzymes were also purchased from NEB.

Three brand T4-DNA Ligase kits were used throughout the study. These were Fermentas, Roche and NEB T4-DNA ligase kits.

For DNA blunting Fermentas T4-DNA Polymerase and NEB DNA Blunting Kit were used.

For routine PCR amplifications other than cloning Fermentas Taq-DNA polymerase was used. For cloning practices Agilent brand Herculase II Fusion DNA Polymerase was used.

### 2.1.1.3 Tools, E. coli Strains and Services Used in Molecular Works

BioRad brand mini and midi sub gel electrophoresis and midi electrophoresis power supply instruments were used for DNA purity analysis and fragment separation.

Escherichia coli DH5a and TOP10 competent strains were used for transformation of plasmids and recombinant molecules and $-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ stock preparations of plasmid and recombinant DNA molecules.

Agarose gel imaging and analyses were made with UV-P gel imaging and documentation system.

Two sequencing services were employed for analysis of the clones generated. First one is sequencing service of Iontek which is a local company and the second one is McLab is an U.S company.

### 2.1.2 Bacterial Media Used for E. coli

For E. coli either liquid LB or solidified (with $1.5 \%$ agar) LB supplied with appropriate antibiotics was used as culture media and $-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ stock preparations. For cloning practices, transformed competent E. coli cells were recovered in SOC medium supplied with final 20 mM Glucose.

### 2.1.3 Agrobacterium Strains and Plant Transformation Vectors

 pPZP101-MBF1c/ManA and pPZP101-MBF1c/GUSint/ManA are the two plant transformation vectors that are generated in this study. Full sequence information and annotations of the two vectors and plasmids and sub-clones generated are given in appendix $b$.The two Agrobacterium tumefaciens strains used in this study; KYRT1 (with pTJK136 binary plant transformation vector) and C58C1 were retrieved from the lab stocks.

### 2.1.4 Bacterial Media Used for A. tumefaciens

For conventional culturing, growth and for $-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ stock preparations of A. tumefaciens strains, YEB media supplemented with appropriate antibiotics was used. For recovery of electroporated Agrobacterium cells either liquid LB media or SOC media supplemented with 20 mM glucose were used.

During plant transformation experiments culturing of Agrobacterium cells were made initially with YEB+MES media but later MG/L media was used instead of YEB + MES media.

During re-suspension of the Agrobacterium cells cultured for plant transformation a newly formulated inoculation media, which is also used as co-cultivation media after solidification was used.

### 2.1.5 Plant Materials, Plant Tissue Culture and Transformation Media

Lens culinaris subsp. orientalis cv.Sultan-1 was used as plant material throughout the thesis study. Seeds were provided by Eskişehir Anadolu Agricultural Research Institute.

The main germination media for the seeds were water-agar (0.6\%) plates, but occasionally full strength MS (Murashige and Skoog 1962) macro and micro nutrients supplemented with MS vitamins, $3 \%$ sucrose and $0.6 \%$ agar were used.

Agrobacterium co-cultivation media for the transformed explants is solidified inoculation media which is reformulated according to the recent advances in Agrobacterium mediated transformation to plants.

Following co-cultivation transformed explants are monthly sub cultured on selective media formulated as MS macro and micronutrients with MS vitamins, $3 \%$ mannose in place of sucrose, and $0.6 \%$ agar, $2.9 \mathrm{gr} / \mathrm{I}$ MES $250 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{I}$ Augmentin or Timentin and $1 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ benzylaminopurine (BAP). Whenever agrobacterium contamination is seen in sub-cultures sub-culture media is supplemented with $0.05 \%$ plant preservation media (PPM).

Regenerated shoots harvested from each sub-culture of in selection media are grafted in 3-5 days old lentil seedling. These grafts are then maintained either with half strength Hoaglands` E medium or half strength MS macro and micro nutrients with MS vitamins in perlite within a closed chamber till recovery.

### 2.2 Methods

### 2.2.1 Methods for Molecular Cloning

Recombination and handling the intended DNA molecule in intended orientation in a plasmid DNA is not an easy task if conventional cloning practices are considered. The recently developed recombinant DNA technologies-GateWay cloning system is rather simple and do not require extensive planning and confirmation tests as
compared to conventional cloning. But as compared to GateWay system conventional cloning provides huge flexibility during practice and also adaptation of any vector to GateWay system requires conventional cloning.

The events that are followed for conventional cloning steps can be listed as follows. First amplified DNA molecules are digested at compatible specific restriction endonuclease sites. If there are not adequate compatible restriction sites then restriction endonuclease sites are either introduced through PCR or restriction sites of cloning vectors are utilized else digested DNA fragment are blunt prepared for ligation. Then prepared DNA fragments are ligated and transformed into competent E. coli cells. Single colonies produced from these transformed E. coli cells are analyzed to detect the intended clones.

Methods used for molecular cloning from plasmid preparation to ligation are given in logical order in the sequence of cloning format in the sequential sections below.

### 2.2.1.1 Plasmid Preparations

Initial start point of cloning is obviously the plasmid DNA preparation step. Care is given to this step owing to the limitations of commercial plasmid mini-prep kits. Bacterial cultures older then overnight growths are not used for plasmid prep. Plasmids of E. coli DH5a and TOP10 cultures are isolated with Fermentas plasmid mini-prep kit according to the manual. Plasmids from Agrobacterium cultures were also prepared but half the recommended culture volume ( 1 ml ) was used in this case.

### 2.2.1.2 Restriction Endonuclease Digest

The second point of cloning practices is preparation of DNA fragments. And the initial step is generally restriction digest. The restriction digest of plasmid DNA were done with the recommended restrictions digest buffer.

Although restriction digestion is a straight forward process, it requires special attention and planning as the digestion reaction gets complex if sequential or
double restriction digests are required. Also if further processes required for recovery or modification of the intended DNA fragments, restriction digestion that might be coupled directly to the downstream processes should also be planned carefully.

Pin points of restriction digestion are summarized as follows. The initial and most critical step of restriction digest is purity of the plasmid prep. For this purpose commercial plasmid min-prep kits were used routinely. The volume of the DNA molecule to be restricted may not exceed the 3 X volume of the total restriction digest reaction volume. Total volume of the restriction enzyme(s) stock (in 50\% glycerol) also may not exceed 10X volume of the total restriction digest reaction mix volume. Digestion period should be carefully planned in order to maintain complete digestion of the DNA with the restriction enzyme amount used. During preparation of restriction enzyme mix contamination with nonspecific exonucleases must be avoided. Restriction digest is carried out at its specified temperature overnight.

The starting measures for planning the digest is the amount of DNA to be digested and activity of the restriction enzyme in prepared buffer. Minimum restriction digest reaction volume is chosen as 20X of the restriction enzyme volume. And for extra $\mu \mathrm{l}$ of enzyme added (restriction enzymes or other enzymes within $50 \%$ glycerol stock) to the reaction mix total volume is increased by $10 \mu \mathrm{l}$. Otherwise glycerol amount exceeding $10 \%$ in the restriction digest mixture will result in nonspecific enzyme activities. As the total volume of the reaction mixture is concluded, first DNA is added to the reaction tube, and then restriction digest buffer is added. Finally the enzyme(s) is (are) added to the mixture and mixture volume is brought to total volume with $\mathrm{sdH}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. The last step is mixing the contents through pippeting up and down half the volume of the reaction mix and overnight incubation of the contents at optimum temperature for the restriction enzyme used (usually $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). Formulation of the restriction digest reaction is tabulated below (table 2.1)

Table 2.1: Restriction digest reaction mixture calculation table


### 2.2.1.3 Blunting Reactions

For blunting reaction the NEB blunting kit or Fermentas T4-DNA polymerase enzyme were used. Blunting reactions were carried out within the restriction digest mix after completion of digest. The same volumetric concerns for restriction enzyme digest preparation are valid for blunting reaction also. Both of the brands provide flexible buffer range for T4-DNA polymerase activity including the restriction enzyme buffers. The critical point for both of the brands is the minimal dNTP concentration $(100 \mu \mathrm{M})$. First dNTP mix (final $100 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ) is added to the restriction digest mix then $1 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of blunting enzyme mix (NEB) or T4 DNA Polymerase along with $10 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of $\mathrm{sdH}_{2} \mathrm{O}(10 \mu \mathrm{l}$ for each $\mu$ l of enzyme added) is added to the mix. Blunting reactions are carried out in thermo-cycler for 20 minutes at $42^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Blunted DNA fragments are then separated through gel electroporation. Fragments are excised from the gel under UV illuminator with 365 nm UV-C range lamps and then purified with Gel-purification kit.

### 2.2.1.4 Conventional PCR and TD-PCR

If restriction digest and blunting reactions do not let proper handling the fragments then specific restriction recognition sites are integrated through PCR. The two methods used for this purpose are conventional PCR and touch down (TD) PCR
methods. While conventional PCR provides single or gradient Tm points for primer annealing, TD-PCR maintains a range of Tm values that maintains stringency in Tm and amplification of the initial templates. We have made better use of TD-PCR during amplification of fragments for cloning.

### 2.2.1.5 DNA Fragment Elution and PCR Cleaning

The very critical part of cloning is perhaps the final preparation step of DNA fragments that are recovered either from PCR amplification or restriction digestion and agarose gel electroporation step. As long as not required, gel separation of DNA fragments and further recovery of them within the gel under UV illumination is not performed. If required DNA fragments are excised from the gel under UV-C spectrum with 365 nm UV lamps.

Both gel elution and PCR cleaning were done with GeneMark gel elution kit. Also restriction digest mixes are cleaned with the same kit. During practice gel elution and PCR cleaning were done according to the recommendations of the manufacturer.

### 2.2.1.6 Ligation Reaction

Fermentas, NEB and Roche T4 DNA ligase kits were used throughout the study. Virtually all of the brands recommends calculation of free DNA ends and restricts the total DNA amount to 100 ng for a successful ligation reaction. Other than blunt end ligation reactions which were found to be non-specific and inefficient, in all of the sticky end ligation reactions no free DNA end calculations were made and 100 ng maximum DNA amount rule is not strictly obeyed. Instead full attention was given to proper preparation of DNA fragments and planning of the ligation reaction.

If the ligation is made with T4-DNA ligase kit the ligation reaction is carried out overnight at $16^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in thermo-cycler. If on the other hand fast ligation kit is used ligation is carried out at ambient room temperature for five.

Generally total ligation volume is prepared as $10 \mu \mathrm{l}$. But if ligation of multiple fragments is considered total ligation volume can be calculated as 15-20 $\mu$ l incase amount of DNA fragmnets might be scarce.

### 2.2.1.7 Preparation of Competent E. coli and Transformation

Initial works of cloning and vector construction were preparation of E. coli $-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ stocks of the DNA materials used as source. Either electroporation or chemical transformation was utilized for introducing plasmid material into competent E. coli cells (DH5a or TOP-10 strains). The same methods were also used for transforming the ligation products into E. coli cells. Mainly Ru-Cl method with TOP-10 cells was preferred.

The rubidium chloride method described here is adopted from "Standard Molecular Plant Biology Protocols" at Arabidopsis Tair web site. The main steps and media compositions are not changed, but minor modifications were made on handling the materials.

### 2.2.1.7.1 Competent E. coli Preparation

A single bacterial colony recovered from a fresh spread or streak plate is the start point. Generally streaking from the older competent or $-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ stock suffice for handling single colony in an overnight duration

Single colony taken from the plate is inoculated either directly to batch culture (100 ml medium in minimum 250 ml flask) or to a starter culture ( 5 ml medium in 50 ml falcon) at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and 180 rpm . Original protocol describes SOB as the culture medium, but LB also works fine. If starter culture is omitted, growth of batch culture is maintained till $O D_{600}=0.2$ or $0.4-0.6$ as long as $S O B$ medium or $L B$ is used respectively. If starter culture is prepared, following overnight growth full content is transferred into batch culture with the conditions just described.

Then the batch culture yield is harvested with centrifugation at 4500 rpm for 15 min in a pre-chilled rotor at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Sterile 50 ml falcon tubes are used generally for spinning down the bacteria at 4500 rpm .

The supernatant is discarded and the bacterial pellet is re-suspended in 25 ml prechilled RF1 buffer ( $1 / 4$ of the batch culture volume). Re-suspended bacteria is left in ice for 15 minutes and then centrifuged at 4500 rpm in Sigma 3 K 30 centrifuge at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 15 minutes. Supernatant is discarded and bacterial pellet is re-suspended in prechilled 4 ml RF2 buffer ( $1 / 25$ of the batch culture volume). Buffer compositions are given in table 2.2 below.

The re-suspended bacteria in RF2 buffer is immediately separated to 100 or $50 \mu \mathrm{l}$ aliquots into sterile liquid nitrogen $\left(-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ frozen eppendorf tubes in racks and immediately sorted into $-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. For testing the competence of the bacteria prepared, 10 ng of plasmid prep is transformed.

Table 2.2: Rubidium chloride buffers compositions; RF1 and RF2

| RF1 buffer | 100 ml | RF2 Buffer | 50 ml |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| RbCl | 1.2 g | RbCl | 60 mg |
| $\mathrm{MnCl}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | 0.99 g | MOPS ${ }^{\text {2 }}$ | 1 ml |
| KOAc ${ }^{\text {¹ }}$ | 3 ml | $\mathrm{CaCl}_{2} 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | 0.55 g |
| $\mathrm{CaCl}_{2} 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | 15 g | Glycerol | 7.5 g |
| (*1) 1 M pH 7.5 (adjust w/ KOH). |  |  |  |
| (*2) 0.5 M pH 6.8 (adjust w/ NaOH). |  |  |  |
| Adjust the buffer pH values to 6.8 , filter sterilize and store at room temperature. |  |  |  |

### 2.2.1.7.2 Transformation of Competent E. coli Cells

Recover $100 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of competent cells from $-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and thaw on ice and mix with inversions prior to use. If ligation product is to be transformed whole ligation mixture (maximum $20 \mu \mathrm{l}$ ) is added to $100 \mu \mathrm{l}$ competent cells and mixed thoroughly with the aid of pipette tip. If intact plasmid is to be transformed $50 \mu$ l of competent cells can be mixed with $3-5 \mu$ l of plasmid prep. Then competent cell and DNA mix
are left on ice for 30 minutes. Following ice incubation transformation mix is heat shocked for 15 seconds at $42^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, returned to ice and incubated for one minute. Finally transformation mix is transferred to $500 \mu \mathrm{I}$ SOC medium and conditioned in orbital shaker at 180 rpm and $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for one hour. Then $100 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of the conditioned transformation mixture is spread on to LB-agar media prepared with appropriate antibiotic(s).

### 2.2.1.8 Electrotransformation of E. coli

Electrotransformation method described here is rather simple and used during initial works for preparation of bacterial stocks with intact plasmids. For this protocol, competent strain spread as single colonies on LB agar, 1mm electroporation cuvettes, electroporator (Biorad Gene Pulser I or II or Biorad MicroPulser Electroporator), sterile distilled water ( $\mathrm{sdH}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ), Tris-EDTA ( 10 mM ) or $\mathrm{sdH}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ eluted plasmid DNA, SOC medium, vortex mixer and microfuge are required.

Efficiency of transformation is directly correlated to culture age. One months old plate generally yields the worst results. Single colony of competent $E$. coli strain (TOP10 or DH5A) is recovered from the surface of the agar with the aid of sterile pipette tip and inoculated into the sterile 1.5 ml eppendorf tube. Bacteria are suspended in $400 \mu \mathrm{l} \mathrm{sdH} 2 \mathrm{O}$ with the aid of vortex mixer and then spun down at 12 krpm in microfuge for 30 seconds. Following precipitation $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ phase was pipetted out, remaining the bacterial pellet. Second round of re-suspension was made with $200 \mu \mathrm{l} \mathrm{sd} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and bacteria are spun down again for 30 seconds at 12 krpm . The $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ phase is again pipetted out and final re-suspension is made with $100 \mu \mathrm{l} \mathrm{sdH}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. Then bacterial suspension is sorted in ice for transformation.
$100 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of re-suspended bacteria is loaded into pre-cooled electroporation cuvette in ice. $5-10 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of plasmid DNA (50 to $100 \mathrm{ng} / \mu \mathrm{l}$ [DNA]) is added into the bacterial suspension and electroporated with 100 ohm 1.8 kV and $25 \mu \mathrm{~F}$ rating if Biorad Gene Pulser I or II is used. If Biorad Micro-Pulser is used electroporation is done with the $E$. coli program loaded.

Immediately after electroporation bacterial suspension is recovered from the cuvette and diluted with $800 \mu \mathrm{l}$ SOC medium. Then bacterial suspension is left for recovery in rotary shaker incubator at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for one hour. Finally 100 to $150 \mu$ l of recovered bacteria is spreaded on agar solidified LB with appropriate antibiotics. With this method a number of positive bacterial clones containing the plasmid can be recovered after overnight incubation at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

### 2.2.1.9 Molecular Analysis of Recombinant Clones

Initial analysis of the colonies and detection of the clones was performed either through colony-PCR or through plasmid size detection where appropriate. As long as the candidate clones were detected, mini-preps of the candidate colonies were prepared. Then plasmids were digested with restriction enzymes that were utilized during cloning in order to reveal if there is any unintended fragment inserted through those restriction sites. There may be a need for analysis of directional orientation of the insert in the plasmid in the case of bidirectional cloning where single restriction enzyme site was utilized for cloning. This problem is resolved in two ways. First way is colony-PCR where primer set spans a short sequence covering both vector and the insert. Second way is generally proceed when there is no sequence information but a simple restriction map is available. In this condition a crucial restriction enzyme site is preferred that digests the vector and the insert at an asymmetric position. The resulting fragments are then separated with simple gel electrophoresis to detect the direction of the insert in that clone.

### 2.2.1.9.1 Rapid Size Check of Recombinant Plasmids

Generally the initial method for diagnosis of the recombinant clones is fast clone detection based on screening of plasmids on size basis to differentiating the plasmids with inserts (Crickmore, N. 1997).

In this method bacteria are lysed and total lysis mixture is agarose gel electrophoresed with respect to intact plasmid (without insert). The clones with insert are detected in the gel with respect to the intact plasmid on size basis.

2X lysis buffer is prepared as mixture of $20 \%$ Sucrose w/v, $200 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaOH}, 120 \mathrm{mM}$ $\mathrm{KCl}, 10 \mathrm{mM}$ EDTA, $0.5 \%$ SDS and $0.1 \%$ Bromophenol Blue. The buffer is stored at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ till used. The dye usually fades with subsequent freeze thaw cycles then small amounts of dye is added in to the buffer

Lysis buffer is heated on hot block to $45^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Transformants colonies are recovered from the agar surface and inoculated into $20 \mu \mathrm{l}$ eppendorf tubes for re-suspension in $20 \mu \mathrm{l} \mathrm{sdH}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. Fifteen $\mu \mathrm{l}$ of re-suspended colony is mixed with $15 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of 2 X lysis buffer and incubated in hot block at $45^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for five minutes. The rest of the bacterial suspension will be used as reference for bacterial growth upon detection of the putative clones. Five minutes of heat lyssis is followed by for 5 minutes o five incubation. Then lysis mixture is spinned down 10 minutes at max speed. Finally 15 $\mu \mathrm{l}$ of the lysate upper phase is loaded to $0.8 \%$ agarose gel. As a reference $2-3 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of intact plasmid DNA with 1X buffer is also loaded and contents are electrophoresed. The higher molecular weight bands with respect the reference (intact plasmid) are cultured for further analysis.

This method is easy to apply, convenient and cost little as compared to colony PCR. But for total sizes of 5000 bps and higher, stringency of differentiating control and plasmids with insert is lost significantly for insert/ plasmid ratios of $1 / 10$ and lower.

### 2.2.1.9.2 Colony PCR

Routine PCR analysis adapted to colony screening is the basis of colony PCR methods applied in this thesis. For the recombinant DNA molecules that cannot be detected through rapid size checking or for the insert containing plasmids that have complement of primers spanning the insert and plasmid

The critical part of the PCR mixture preparation for colony PCR is the template since intact bacterial cells are inoculated in to the PCR mixture as template source. Colonies recovered from the agar surface are inoculated into $200 \mu \mathrm{l}$ eppendorf tubes and re-suspended with $50 \mu \mathrm{l}$ sterile distilled water. One to three $\mu \mathrm{l}$ of the bacterial
suspension is used as template source. Master mix for PCR is mixed with template just before the start of PCR. The critical point about the PCR is cycle number to be set to 35-40 cycles.

At the end of PCR whole PCR yield is loaded to agarose gel and electrophoresed for analysis of the recombinant clones. Bacterial suspensions are referred for colonies containing the recombinant clones and cultured in LB with appropriate antibiotics for plasmid prep and $-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ stock preparation.

### 2.2.1.9.3 Restriction Digest Analysis

The final analysis of the recombinant plasmids is restriction digestion. Restriction digestion is performed in order to check the integrity of the restriction sites through which the insert is combined with the plasmid DNA, and to check the orientation of the insert in plasmid if ligation is made through a single restriction site. If colony PCR is an available tool for insert analysis then only restriction site integrity can be performed to validate that insertion is clean and devoid of any unintended fragment. The same concerns of restriction digest preparations (preceding section 2.2.1.2) also holds for restriction digest analysis of the plasmids with insert.

### 2.2.1.10 E. coli - $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ Stocks for Plasmids and Recombinant Molecules

For introducing recombinant DNA molecules and plasmids into competent E. coli cells electrotransformation and chemical transformation methods were used. After analysis and characterization of recombinant molecules and plasmid DNA $-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ glycerol stocks were prepared for long term storage. Overnight cultured bacterial clones are mixed with equal volumes of sterile $50 \%$ glycerol and directly transferred to $-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ as glycerol stocks.

### 2.2.1.11 TOPO® Cloning

Topo® cloning was made for insertion of MBF1c into the entry vector pCR . No special modifications were made during practice. Cloning was done according to the recommendations of producer.

### 2.2.2.1 Agrobacterium Electrotransformation

Electroporation of Agrobacterium with the prepared transformation vector is based on Cold Spring Harbor protocol for Agrobacterium electroporation (Cold Spring Harb. Protoc.; 2006 pdb.prot4665).

Agrobacterium stock cells are cultured overnight in 5 ml LB with appropriate antibiotics at $28^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and 180 rpm as starter culture. Then 500 ml of LB in 1 lt flask is inoculated with this starter culture. When the cells have reached log phase $\left(\mathrm{OD}_{600}=0.5-0.7\right)$, the whole batch culture is chilled in ice. The entire steps following are carried out at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Bacteria are pelleted in centrifuge (Sigma 3K30) at 4000 g for 10 minutes at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in a pre-chilled rotor. Then supernatant is discarded and bacterial pellet is suspended with $5-10 \mathrm{ml}$ of ice-cold $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ with a wide-bore pipette until no clumps remain. The final volume of bacterial suspension is brought to 500 ml with ice-cold $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. and re-pelleted at 4000 g for 10 minutes at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The re-suspension and centrifugation steps are carried twice with re-suspension volumes of 250 and finally 50 ml . Another round of centrifugation and re-suspension is made for collecting the total bacterial yield in 5ml 10\% (v/v) ice-cold, sterile glycerol. Finally Agrobacterium cells are separated into sterile eppendorf tubes as $50 \mu \mathrm{l}$ aliquots and snap frozen in eppendorf racks immersed in liquid nitrogen and stored at $-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$

Electro-competent Agrobacterium cells recovered from $-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ are thawed in ice. Standard mini-prep eluted ( 10 mM Tris-EDTA) or $\mathrm{sdH}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ eluted plasmid DNA can be used for electroporation. The thawed competent cells and 1-2 $\mu$ l of plasmid DNA are gently mixed with the pippet tip and left in ice for five minutes. Then the mix is loaded into pre-chilled 1 mm electroporation cuvette. If the electroporator automated (Bio-Rad MicroPulser) electroporation is made with the preset program build-in otherwise electroporator (Bio-Rad Gene Pulser II) is manually calibrated to $25 \mu \mathrm{~F}, 2.4 \mathrm{kV}$ and 200 ohm and electroporation is made in 5 msec pulse length. Immediately electroporated bacteria are recovered from the cuvette and suspended
in $800 \mu \mathrm{l} \mathrm{SOC}$ medium for recovery for four hour at $28^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and 180 rpm . Finally $100 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of transformed bacteria are spread on LB-agar plates with appropriate antibiotics. It is better to spread plate the transformed Agrobacterium with serial dilutions. Spreaded plates are then left for growth at $28^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for two days. The emerging colonies are analyzed for the transformed plasmid.

### 2.2.2.2 $-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ Agrobacterium Stock Preparations

The same method of $-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ stock preparation for $E$. coli is also employed for Agrobacterium long term storage stock preparation. Completely the same procedure is applied for Agrobacterium cells that are stated in section 2.2.1.10.

### 2.2.2.3 Colony PCR for Agrobacteria

Colony PCR analysis of Agrobacteria are the same with that of colony PCR for E. coli refer to the section 2.2.1.9.2 for details.

### 2.2.3 Methods Used for Plant Tissue Culture and Transformation

### 2.2.3.1.1 Lentil Seed Surface Sterilization

Lentil seed surface sterilization was standardized in master thesis study on Sultan-1 cv., (Kamçı 2004). Starting from the bleaching step surface sterilization was carried out in laminar flow cabinet under aseptic conditions. During surface sterilization first seeds are rinsed with liquid hand soap under running tap water. Then seeds are bleached approximately 10 minutes with $5 \% \mathrm{NaOCl}$ solution. The solution is decanted and bleached seeds are immediately and momentarily dehydrated with $70 \%$ ethanol. Following ethanol is decanted and healthy, intact seeds are separated on a sterile filter paper rinsed with sterile distilled water at least two times and directly sownin vitro for germination.

### 2.2.3.1.2 Lentil Explant Preparation

Three days old lentil seedling germinated on water agar plates were used as explant source. Seedlings are handled aseptically during explant preparation steps. Target tissue is cotyledonary nodal segment. De novo explant isolation methods were devised in order to increase the accessibility to the meristematic zones that are engraved within the cotyledonary petioles and to simplify explant preparation steps. Tree different variations of cotyledonary node isolation are devised. These are schematized in figure 2.1 and named as conventional isolation (1) epicotyl excised (2) and cotyledons stripped (3). The first isolation method is the standard cotyledonary node isolation in which the cotyledons and embryo axis are separated through an excision at the cotyledonary petioles. At the end small portion of cotyledons linked to cotyledonary petioles are left on the embryo axis and cotyledonary meristems are engraved at the junction point. The second isolation method is devised in order to reveal the cotyledonary meristems during explant isolation and even introduce injury during the process. So as to maintain the injury the final incision (figure 2.1/2b) is targeted directly in to the meristems. In the third explant isolation method cotyledons are stripped down through the hypocotyl along the embryo axis. Injury is expected as the tissue is torn down at the cotyledonary petiole-embryo axis junction.


Figure 2.1: Modified cotyledonary node isolation methods The three different explant isolation methods used during the study are shown. Both epicotyl and hypocotyl segments of the embryo axis are trimmed initially during conventional cotyledonary node isolation (1). Then cotyledons and embryo axis are separated through an incision into the cotyledonary petioles in hypocotyl to epicotyl direction. In the second method (2) first both of the cotyledons are trimmed along the embryo axis (a). Then a second incision is made through the epicotyl-hypocotyl juncture directly into the cotyledonary nodal meristems such that epicotyl is excised while meristems are injured. In the cotyledons stripped method (3) cotyledons and embryo axis pulled apart for separation while the tissues are injured. Red boxes are showing where the meristems tissues reside.

### 2.2.3.2 Pre-culture of Explants Prior to Transformation

Pre-culturing experiments are considered in results and discussion section. The cocultivation media prepared either with TDZ or BA is used as pre-culturing media.

### 2.2.3.3 Agrobcaterium Preparations

### 2.2.3.3.1 Agrobacterium Inoculation and Co-cultivation Media Formulation

Agrobacterium inoculation media reformulation is tabulated below (table 2.3). Macro and micronutrients with MES and sucrose is considered as base media. pH of the base media is titrated to 5.6 , autoclaved and stored at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ till use. The rest of the components are added just prior to use. The same formulation without sucrose and with agar ( $0.6 \%$ ) is called as co-cultivation media.

Table 2.3: Inoculation media formulation

| Inoculation Media |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Per Liter | Preperation Precautions |
| Macro Nutrients |  |  |
| $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4.7} 7 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | 0.5 ml | Autoclave |
| $\mathrm{FeCl}_{3} 6 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ \& EDTA | 5 ml | Filter Sterilise |
| Micro Nutrients |  |  |
| $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BO}_{3}$ | 0.25 ml |  |
| $\mathrm{MnCl}_{2.4} 4 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | 0.25 ml |  |
| ZnSO4.7 $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | 0.25 ml | Autoclave |
| $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{MoO}_{4} .2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | 0.25 ml |  |
| CuSO4.5H2O | 0.25 ml |  |
| Additives |  |  |
| MES | 3.9 gr | Autoclave |
| Sucrose | 3\% |  |
| Thiamin | 10 mg | Filter Sterilise |
| Myo-Inositol | 100 mg |  |
| Tryptophan | 10 mg |  |
| Ascorbic Acid | 100 mg ( 200 uM ) | Filter Sterilise |
| Silver Nitrate | 2 mg (0.01 uM) |  |
| Acetosyringone | 200 uM | Prepare Fresh |
| L-cystein | 40 mg ( 0.33 uM ) |  |

### 2.2.3.4 Transformation of explants

Transformation of explants prepared was done through inoculation of re-suspended and acetosyringone activated (1h) agrobacteria cells to injured and pre-cultured explants for 90 minutes. For this purpose overnight grown Agrobacterium (A. tumefaciens C58C1 or KYRT1 strains) batch cultures in MG/L media supplemented with appropriate antibiotics and $20 \mu \mathrm{M}$ acetosyringone are harvested at $\mathrm{OD}_{600}=0.8$ and spun down in Sigma 3 K 30 centrifuge at 4500 rpm for 15 minutes. For resuspending each 100 ml of bacterial pellet precipitated through centrifuging, 5 ml of inoculation media is used. These re-suspended bacterial cells are induced with $200 \mu \mathrm{M}$ acetosyringone for one hour and immediately used for explant inoculation. Following inoculation, excess agrobacterial suspension is washed thoroughly with sterile distilled water and dry blotted onto sterile filter paper prior to transfer into co-cultivation media.

### 2.2.3.5 Co-culture Selection and Sub-culture

Co-cultivation of transformed explants was made on 0.6\% agar solidified inoculation media which is named as co-cultivation media. Following co-cultivation, explants are sub-cultured in selective media (section 2.2.3.3.1) under fluorescent bulbs with 18 h light and 6 hours dark photoperiod at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ temperature in tissue culture room. Subculturing is made on monthly basis and at the start of each sub-culture necrotic sections of explants are removed and regenerating shoots are excised from their base tissue and separately inserted into the selective media.

### 2.2.3.6 Micro-Grafting

Healthy shoots recovered from the selective media at the end of each fourth and fifth sub-culture were grafted on three to five days old lentil seedlings. The basis of grafting roots back to the micro-grafting based regeneration optimization studies by Kamçı (2004). Important advancements were integrated to this basis resulted in more efficient and less labor intensive method of micro-grafting in lentil that can be applied to other annual species with slender stem segments.

A linear segment close to the cotyledons on the root stock is selected as the graft site. An angular slash that transverse the stem girth is introduced into the stem axis. Then to the cotyledonary distal site of this slash an incision (that does not exceed the boundaries of the stem axis) is introduced along the way of stem axis. The slash and incision introduced sequentially in to the stem axis seems like stretched uppercase letter "L". A scion that is prepared with an angular excision into its stem axis. This prepared scion is then inserted in to the root stocks` stem while its cut site is facing down the cotyledons such that root stock stem vascular structure is facing the vascular structure of the scion stem. Details of the progress are given in section 3.4.8. Whole grafting event is practiced under dissecting microscope in sterile distilled water aseptically. Then grafts are planted into perlite into humidity chamber and watered with either half strength Hoagland's' solution or half strength liquid MS media. Three grafts planted in each humidity chamber that is composed of two cups closed on top of each other and the upper one is transparent for maintaining illumination. During recovery of the grafts secondary shoot growth emerging from the axillary meristems of the root stock is routinely examined and excised. Recovery of the grafts ranges between 7-14 days. Elongation scions are watched as they approach to the rim of the upper transparent cover. Upon their touch to the cover a hole is made at the rim in order to acclimatize the scion as it emerges out of the cover.

Approximately at the end of the second week recovered and acclimatized grafts are potted in left in greenhouse for growth.

### 2.2.4 Molecular Analysis of Putative Transgenics

Molecular analysis of the putative transgenics was only performed through leaf PCR. For this purpose an array of plant genomic DNA isolation methods were analyzed, but none of them were considered to be fast and efficient in practice. For this reason a more fast plant genomic DNA isolation method is devised that is yet to be diagnosed further.

### 2.2.4.1 Plant Genomic DNA Isolation

Wang et al., (1993) describe a NaOH based template preparation from leaf, callus or cotyledon explants through grounding with 0.5 N NaOH ( $10 \mu \mathrm{l}$ for every mg of tissue). Following grounding, suspension is immediately diluted ( $1 / 100$ ) into 100 mM Tris buffer ( pH 8.0 ). Then $1 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of the final sample is used as PCR template at least in $30-40 \mu \mathrm{l}$ total PCR volume. Dilution of template in final PCR volume approaches to $3 / 10000$ with this method.

In a more optimized template preparation procedure by Hosaka (2004) cross contamination and grounding takes more attention. Besides an elution buffer formulation ( 100 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.0; 50 mM EDTA pH $8.0 ; 500 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl} ; 1.25 \%$ SDS; 0.2\% 2-mercaptoethanol) used during grounding is considered. Grounding of leaf material is done in plastic zipper bag with $500 \mu \mathrm{l}$ elution buffer and $100 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of the final suspension is neutralized with $32 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of (5M)potassium acetate. Then a 10 second maximum speed centrifugation step is followed by dilution of $10 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of supernatant in $490 \mu \mathrm{l}$ sterile distilled water. From this final mixture $2 \mu \mathrm{l}$ is used as template for PCR amplification. Final dilution of template in total $10 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of PCR volume becomes $3 / 10000$ with this procedure.

A relatively simple template preparation method is studied by Berendzen et al., (2005). Researchers describe their method as sucrose prep due to high sucrose content of the grounding buffer ( 50 mM Tris- $\mathrm{HCl} \mathrm{pH} 7.5 ; 300 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl} ; 300 \mathrm{mM}$ Sucrose). In this method 10 mg of leaf tissue is ground in $100 \mu \mathrm{l}$ grinding buffer and then another $100 \mu \mathrm{l}$ buffer is added prior to boiling. Then a final step of centrifugation (at maximum speed) is performed prior to PCR reaction and $50-100 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of supernatant is spared as PCR template. 1-2 $\mu \mathrm{l}$ of template is used in a $50 \mu \mathrm{I}$ PCR reaction. Dilution of template in final PCR volume is $1 / 50$ at most.

The final protocol of template preparation can be termed as boiling prep that also concentrates on grinding of the explants (Wang et al., 2009). For this purpose
researchers devised PCR tube attached to a 1 ml pipette tip as pestle. Grinding is done in 1.5 ml eppendorf tube with $60 \mu \mathrm{l}, 0.25 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NaOH}$. Then ground explants are boiled for 30 seconds. Afterwards $240 \mu$ l of boiled buffer (composed of 100 mM TrisHCl pH 7.6 and $5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml}$ polyvinylpayrollidone (PVP)) is added to the ground mixture, boiled again for 2 minutes and centrifuged for 5 minutes at 10 krpm . Supernatant collected as template source is stored either at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ or $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. $2 \mu$ lof supernatant is used for a PCR amplification volume of $25 \mu$ l. Final dilution of template in $25 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of PCR volume is approximately $1 / 10$ for this protocol.

Two important points that holds for the protocols reviewed above are grounding step and template dilution in total PCR volume. Other than these two points volumetric considerations and buffer compositions might be regarded important.

As applied in our laboratory none of the grinding methods of the protocols listed above was regarded as efficient. For grinding all of the protocols use various aqueous solutions that are buffered or not. In our protocol grinding is made with ordinary 1 ml pipette tip inside 1.5 ml eppendorf tubes with the aid of sucrose crystals that immediately absorbs whole aqueous content of the explant. And template dilution is omitted with final ethanol precipitation if preferred. Our newly devised protocol is as follows.

One to two leaf pieces of fresh leaves, approximately $1 \mathrm{~cm}^{2}$ are ground in 100 mg sucrose. Then $50 \mu$ l of 0.5 M NaOH is added to the ground explants and boiled for 1 minute on hot block. Then $200 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of elution buffer ( 100 mM Tris- HCl pH $7.5 ; 300 \mathrm{mM}$ $\mathrm{NaCl} ; 300 \mathrm{mM}$ Sucrose; $1.25 \%$ SDS; $0.2 \%$ 2-mercoptoethanol) is added to the bleaching solution and left to boiling 2 minutes more. Whole suspension is then left in ice for cooling down. Then freshly prepared $150 \mu \mathrm{l} 5 \mathrm{M}$ ammonium acetate is added to the suspension for neutralization and mixed well through inversions. Final step of template isolation is centrifugation for 5 minutes at maximum speed.

Supernatant covered with a waxy film at the top is punctured with a pipette tip through bubbling into the solution and 250 to $300 \mu \mathrm{l}$ volume is taken carefully without disturbing the pellet and pipetting waxy cover.

Supernatant is precipitated with $2 \mathrm{~V} 99 \%$ ethanol through centrifugation at maximum speed for 10 minutes. Supernatant is decanted carefully without disturbing the pellet and the pellet is washed once with $70 \%$ ethanol. Finally DNA pellet is dried and suspended in $50 \mu \mathrm{l}$ 10mM Tris-HCl (pH7.4) or elution buffers of plasmid mini-prep kit.

Although clear bands of genomic DNA is observed during agarose gel electrophoresis of the preparation made with our protocol, it should further be tested in order to reach to a clear conclusion.

### 2.2.4. Leaf Genomic DNA PCR Analysis

PCR from the prepared leaf genomic DNA $3 \mu \mathrm{l}$ was used as template for amplification of fragments from the delivered T-DNA. No special precautions were considered during design of PCR reaction.

## CHAPTER III

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

### 3.1 Plant Transformation Vector Construction

The heaviest effort of this thesis work was undertaken for cloning and vector construction part. The headings listed below as a picture of this effort and it is also a vision of the self-progress from zero to transformation vector construction. For a brief view of what was done, a scheme is given below in figure 3.1 demonstrating the progress of a plan till the target (transformation vector) is reached. The scheme given is in logical order rather than chronological order since nearly all of the work was carried out with alternative plans where it ended up with the most plausible product. According to the logical order cloning work was divided into three main parts; first part dealing with selection and marker genes, second part MBF1c cloning and third part transformation vector selection and construction.

First part named "Handling Visual Markers and Selection Markers" deals with the npt/I and GUSint cassettes from pTJK136 transformation vector as the initial selection and visual marker genes respectively. Than another selective marker gene; the phospho-mannose isomerase (PMI) was considered as an alternative to neomycin phospho-transferase II (nptII) gene. This selection gene was recovered from pPMIGFP binary vector as a cassette with maize ubiquitin 1 promoter and 35 S terminator (M.Ubi1-ManA-T35S). This ManA cassette along with the MBF1c cassette generated in IV 1.1 through restriction and ligation processes were sub-cloned into pPZP101 but both due to the maize promoter of ManA cassette and due to the concerns noted in section 3.1.5.3 about MBF1c cassette this constructed vector was omitted from the study. Due to the concerns on maize promoter also, the PMI coding
sequence was later cloned under CaMV35SS promoter (P35S-ManA-TNOS). Along with this P35S-ManA-TNOS cassette and also MBF1c cassette cloned under 35S promoter (P35S-MBF1c-TNOS; section 3.1.5.4) the target transformation vector was constructed in pPZP101 (pPZP101 ManA-MBF1c; section 3.1.3). Final afford undertaken for the first part was regeneration of sequence information of the GUSint cassette (in-silico) from patent information and reference materials.

The second part named "MBF1c Cloning and Cassette Construction" deals with the cloning attempts of the functional gene; the multi-protein bridging factor 1 c . It is noted as modulator protein that takes effect in stress response-strength and initiation speed of the stress response itself. In the initial works the target vector for cassette construction was impact vector 1.1 harboring the chrysanthemum rubisco subunit 1 promoter. It was thought that the rubisco subunit 1 promoter was perfectly suiting our work since MBF1c expression could be regulated by light under this promoter. The very first efforts undertaken for this purpose were recovery of MBF1c coding sequence from its donor vector that it was sent within (pUNI51MBF1c) and sub-cloning into the intermediate cloning vector (pBlueScriptSK+) and then sub-cloning into IV 1.1. These works covered the initial unplanned efforts for PRbcS1-MBF1c-TRbcS1 cassette construction in chronological order and the results were rather cumbersome. First problem raised with PRbcS1-MBF1c-TRbcS1 cassette was an in-frame double start codon, one from the vector (not taken into account) and the other from the coding sequence. This was eliminated with emptying the first one. Another problem raised with the cassette was observed at the final step of subcloning into transformation vector pPZP101. Scarcity of the compatible restriction sites for sub-cloning MBF1c cassette from IV 1.1 into transformation vector enforced recombination of the pPZP101 and IV 1.1-MBF1c. The IV 1.1 backbone was to be removed from the resulted hybrid vector, leaving the cassette in pPZP101. However an XhoI restriction site at $3^{\prime}$ end of the MBF1c cds hindered emptying IV 1.1 backbone. This problem was eliminated at one step back through a second round of emptying work for IV 1.1-MBF1c. At this point in-silico analysis of the IV 1.1-MBF1c cassette left a doubt on the removed double start codon. Shortly stated, the
ambiguity was on the first start codon removed that it might be regenerated after blunting step when ligation is done. All of these problems forced the way of PCR

Cloning Works; Schematics


Figure 3.1: Schematic view of cloning works undertaken
based cloning plan that may eliminate these unintended outcomes. Primers designed for amplification were flanking MBF1c with XbaI and SalI-SacI restriction sites. The MBF1c amplicon was to be digested with XbaI and SacI restriction
enzymes and then ligated into IV 1.1. As an alternative plan the same apmlicon was to be digested with XbaI and SalI restriction enzymes for cloning between CaMV35SS promoter and NOS poly-A sequence yielding the P35S-MBF1c-TNOS cassette which was used later in third step for final transformation vector construction; the pPZP101 ManA-MBF1c.

The third part named "Selection and Construction of Transformation Vector" deal with the alternative binary vectors that were considered as the candidate binary transformation vectors. The initial vector taken into account was pTJK136. However as the incomplete restriction map and cassettes recovered from the vector along with the unknown sequence data considered, pTJK136 was omitted after emptying and regenerating multiple cloning site. Along with pTJK136 the pPMI-GFP vector was taken into account since it was constructed on pPZP201 backbone and already had PMI selection cassette (PM.Ubi1-ManA-T35S). This vector was also omitted since it was not possible to empty the GFP cassette. Next an alternative plan with two options was developed. First one was based on pPZP101 as the ultimate transformation vector with conventional cloning practice and the second one was based on pEarleyGate vectors with completely alternative cloning practice; the Gateway Technology. Both of the alternative options were carried out concomitantly.

At the end it was possible to generate a transformation vector based on pPZP101 containing the P35S-ManA-TNOS and P35S-MBF1c-TNOS cassettes (pPZP101 ManA-MBF1c). Agrobacterium mediated transformation was started with C58C1 strain loaded with this transformation vector. Initial transformation events resulted in total loss of the explants. Later transformation works also resulted in loss of the explants to a great extent. In order to make diagnosis of what may be cause, the
visual marker GUSint (sequence regenerated in-silico in the section 3.1.4) was subcloned into the pPZP101 ManA-MBF1c construct (resulting vector; pPZP101 ManA-GUSint-MBF1c) and used in later transformation works.

### 3.1.1 Handling Visual Markers and Selection Markers

### 3.1.1.1 Sub-Cloning nptII and GUSint Cassettes

Purpose of cloning GUSint and nptII cassettes into pBlueScriptSK + was to handle both of them in pBlueScriptSK+ as reference clones and also to provide unique restriction sites flanking these cassettes in pBlueScriptSK+ whenever needed for sub-cloning. Both the GUSint and nptII cassettes were recovered from pTJK136 and inserted into pBlueScriptSK + through SalI and BamHI restriction sites respectively.

### 3.1.1.1.1 Generating E. coli DH5a Clones of pTJK136 and pBlueScriptSK+

In order to handle pTJK136 and pBlueScriptSK+ in adequate quality and quantity E. coli DH5a clones of the vectors were prepared through chemical transformation. For this purpose miniprep of pTJK136 was prepared from KYRT1. pBlueScriptSK + and DH5a were available from the lab sources. New stocks of competent DH5a cells were prepared with Rubidium Chloride method.

Following transformation into DH5a and selection in ampicillin ( $100 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{l}$ ) and streptomycin $(300 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{l})$ plates, respectively two randomly selected colonies for pBlueScriptSK+ and pTJK136 were further cultured for plasmid analysis. Then size and purity checks for both vector was performed in agarose gel electrophoresis. Finally $-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ stocks of the DH5a clones were prepared.

### 3.1.1.1.2 Sub-Cloning GUSint and nptII Cassettes into pBlueScriptSK+

It was not possible to identify the GUSint and nptII fragments correctly in agarose gel electrophoresis due to in-adequate information that will be pointed out in the following sections. In separate restriction reactions pTJK136 was digested with SalI and BamHI almost to completion for GUSint cassette and nptII cassette release respectively. Approximately 3000 and 2000 bp fragments for GUS and nptII cassettes were separated respectively in agarose gel electrophoresis. Meanwhile pBlueScriptSK + was also prepared through SalI and BamHI digestions in two separate reactions (see appendix d, table D. 1 for digestion mix details).

Following gel extraction of GUSint and nptII cassettes, GUSint and nptII fragments were combined with their respective pBlueScriptSK + digests (SalI for GUSint and BamHI for nptII respectively) for co-elution from the same colon. At the final step, fast ligation reaction (Fermentas NEB or Roche fast ligation kits) was carried out for each elute. Concentrations of vector and insert were not taken into account during ligation (see appendix d, table D. 2 for compositions of the ligation reaction mix).

Whole ligation reactions were then transformed into competent E. coli (DH5a) cells. And spread separately to amp LB agar plates. Following overnight incubation at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ emerging colonies were examined for the right clone, for insert, the direction of the insert and for restriction sites used in cloning.

### 3.1.1.1.3 pBlueScriptSK+GUSint Analysis

Among the putative pBlueSK+GUSint clones cultured on media (LB-Agar with antibiotics) four colonies were randomly selected and cultures overnight in liquid LB with ampicillin. First analyses of the putative clones were made on the size basis. Clones that show approximately 6 kbp fragment sizes were further analyzed through restriction digests for both revealing the GUSint cassette insert integrity and direction of GUSint cassette (figure 3.2). Throughout these analysis bidirectional pBlueSK+GUSint clones were recovered among the selected colonies.


Figure 3.2: Analysis of putative pBlueSK+GUSint clones
(A) Plasmid size analysis from randomly selected 5 colonies showing that clones 2-4 (lanes 2-4) contains putative GUSint cassette inserts in pBlueScriptSK+. Lane 5 control; intact pBlueSCriptSK+. (B) Restriction analysis of the clones 2-4 for integrity of the SalI restriction sites for the putative pBlueScriptSK+/GUSint clones. No extra bands were seen. Sizes of the GUSint cassette and pBlueScriptSK+ are nearly the same (approximately 3 kbp ) so single band is observed in the gel image. (C) PstI digestion of the same clones (2-4) to determine GUSint insert orientation in pBlueScriptSK + . $5^{\prime}$ end of the promoter region of CaMV35SS-GUSint-TNOS cassette contains a PstI site. Another PstI site resides in multiple cloning site of the pBlueScriptSK +. So PstI digestion reveals the orientation of the cassette for the clones 2-4. As the fragment sizes indicated clones 2 and 4 contains GUSint cassette in SK direction whereas it is in KS direction in clone 3.

### 3.1.1.1.4 pBlueScriptSK+nptII Analysis

The same route of clone analysis was performed also for pBlueSK+nptII putative clones. Analysis of the randomly selected colonies revealed both of the bidirectional integration of nptII in pBlueSK+ at BamHI restriction sites (figure 3.3).


Figure 3.3: Analysis of putative pBlueSK+nptII
(A) Plasmid size analysis for detection of the putative pBlueScriptSK+/nptII clone. Lanes 1-5 are plasmid mini-preps from randomly selected putative clones for nptII insert; lane 6 is intact pBlueScriptSK+. Clones 2-5 that gave approximately 5 kbp size (middle bands) were further analyzed. (B) BamHI digestion of the putative pBlueScriptSK + clones (2-5 from (A).) Empty plasmids and nptII cassette bands have expected band sizes (approximately 3000bps and 2300bps respectively.) (C) PstI restriction analysis of the prospective pBlueScriptSK+/nptII clones 2-5. Sequence information revealed a PstI restriction site at the $5^{\prime}$ end of the nptII coding sequence. Another PstI restriction site resides in multiple cloning site of the vector. As a consequence PstI can be used to detect the insert orientation. Clone number 2 digestion with PstI yielded two bands with approximate sizes of 3500 and 1700 bps. On the other hand clones $3-5$ with the same digestion yielded three bands with approximately 3000,1700 and 600 bps sizes. We may state that the two band yielding clone number 2 possibly has the nptII cassette inserted in SK direction whereas the three band yielding clones numbered $3-5$ has the insert in KS direction. Another possibility that might be revealed is there may exist another PstI restriction site prior to BamHI at the 3' end of the nptII cassette. As we consider the data recovered from restriction analysis of the pTJK136 vector and with the information just stated above (presence of another PstI restriction site at the end of nptII cassette) another ambiguity about the PTJK136 arises that nptII cassette orientation may not be as it is pictured in the map but in reverse orientation.

### 3.1.2 An Alternative Selection Marker; PMI

Phospho-mannose isomerase is bacterially encoded enzyme (E. coli ManA gene) that undertakes isomerization of phospho-mannose to phospho-fructose. This enzyme is not encoded in plants and culturing in mannose media results in accumulation of phospho-mannose to toxic levels. So this enzyme is being used in transgenic plant technology as selective marker.

One of the binary vector that harbors PMI as selective marker is the pPMI-GFP used in bentgrass transformation (figure 3.4). The PMI cassette from this vector was taken into account as the selective marker for our transformation studies. In the pPMI-GFP vector PMI is flanked by maize ubiquitin 1 promoter (M Ubi1) and 35S 3'terminator sequence.

In order to utilize a range of alternative restriction sites for subsequent cloning practices also the PMI cassette was sub-cloned into pBlueScriptSK+ at HindIII restriction sites.


Figure 3.4: Simple graphic map of pPMI-GFP binary vector

### 3.1.2.1 Recovery of PMI Cassette from pPMI-GFP and Ligation to pBlueSK+

Both pBlueSK + and pPMI-GFP plasmids were HindIII digested (see appendix d, table D. 3 for restriction digest mix details). Only one restriction site (HindiII) was used for sub-cloning PMI cassette into pBlueSK+. So in order to avoid self-ligation of HindIII digested pBlueSK+, fast alkaline phosphatase (FAP) was also added to the reaction mix. The PMI cassette liberated from the pPMI-GFP vector was separated through gel electrophoresis.

The HindIII digested and FAP treated pBlueSK+ was cleaned with PCR cleaning kit and PMI cassette from gel electrophoresis of pPMI-GFP digest was recovered with gel elution kit. Then rapid ligation reaction was proceeded with T4 DNA Ligase (see appendix d, table D. 4 for ligation mix details).

Following ligation, transformation of ligation mix and overnight culture on antibiotic LB agar plate was performed. The emerging colonies were analyzed for the pBlueSK+ManA cassette insert.

### 3.1.2.2 Analysis of the pBlueSK+PMI Cassette clones

Analysis of PMI inserts was initially performed through size detection since pBlueSK+ with and without PMI cassette insert (2958 and 6381 respectively) is quite distinct on size basis. Also since ManA is of E. coli origin colony PCR detection of PMI insert will require primers that should span the coding sequence (cds) and plasmid for correct detection. Else colony-PCR detection of ManA cds will result full positive.

Randomly selected 13 colonies scanned for pBlueSK+/PMI cassette with fast clone detection method that utilize hot lysis and direct gel profiling for high copy number plasmids size analysis (figure 3.5). Among the 13 only five of the colonies gave the expected $\sim 6000$ bps bands. The corresponding colonies were cultured overnight for plasmid isolation and plasmids were further digested with restriction enzymes for insert size (HindIII digest) and direction (XhoI digest) analysis.

The insert size and insertion site was confirmed with HindIII digest of the putative clones. The 3423 and 2958 bps fragments of the PMI cassette and pBlueSK+ vector backbone gave adequate resolution in agarose gel electrophoresis confirming the insert and insert site was ok (figure 3.6/H). Further analysis was performed for insert orientation confirmation.


Figure 3.5: Fast clone detection for pBlueSK -PMI construct Lanes A2, A6, B2, B4 and B6 are the putative clones giving linear bands aligned with 6000 bps fragment of the ladder.

It was implied in the original map of pPMI-GFP (figure 3.7) that XhoI cuts PMI cassette at asymmetric points and XhoI might be used for PMI insert direction analysis. However during in-silico reconstruction of PMI and GFP cassettes in pPZP201 another XhoI restriction site was revealed inside the double Ubi1 promoter. Furthermore XhoI digests of the putative pBlueSK+PMI gave extra bands other than expected (figure 3.6/ X). According to the in-silico evaluations of the artificial sequence pBlueSK+PMI cassette it was calculated that PMI cassette insertion in SK orientation in pBlueSK + would give 3638, 1292, 1188 and 244 bps fragments upon XhoI digestion. Among these 3638 and 244 are strictly specific to PMI insert in SK orientation. Also if the insertion occurred in KS direction XhoI fragment lengths were
calculated as $3160,1298,1188$ and 722 bps where at this time direction specific bands were 3160 and 722 bps (figure 3.7).

As a result of all of the calculations restriction analysis and artificial sequences generated we can say that clones A2, A6 and B6 have PMI insert in KS orientation in pBlueSK + and B2, B4 have the insert in SK orientation (figure 3.6/ X).


Figure 3.6: HindIII and XhoI restriction analysis of pBluSK-PMI cassette
(H) Clones numbered A2, A6, B2, B4 and B6 were further analyzed for insertion sites and size ( H ; HindIII digestion). All of the clones were insert positive, insert was unique and had expected size. ( X ; XhoI digestion) The same clones were also digested with XhoI for direction analysis. Clones B2 and B4 have PMI in SK orientation evident with 3600 and 250 bps fragments.

### 3.1.1 PMI cassette Construction with P CaMV35SS

As it was stated in the section 3.1, the ManA cassette from the pPMI-GFP vector contains maize ubiquitin one promoter. Due to this fact its use in lentil transformation was omitted. In place of the PMI cassette from pPMI-GFP a CaMV35SS driven ManA cassette construction was planned.


Figure 3.7: pBlueSK+PMI forward and reverse clones
The size of the XhoI fragments generated due to the PMI cassette orientation in pBlueSK+. The 242 bps 3'35s sequence and 3642 bps vector backbone plus first segment of ubiquitin promoter are the determinant fragments of PMI cassette in SK direction. The 3158 bps vector backbone plus the 3 '35S sequence and 726 bps of the initial segment of the ubiquitin promoter are the determinant fragments for PMI cassette in KS direction.

The promoter and terminator sequences were CaMV35Ss and NOS poly-A sequences respectively and recovered from pCambia1305.1. ManA coding sequence was available as the PMI cassette in pPMI-GFP. It was not possible to recover every fragment with suitable restriction sites and recombine them in specific order for cassette construction. In order to overcome this obstacle and to leap over successive steps of restriction digestion and ligation events directional cloning was considered where the required restriction sites may be integrated through PCR. Specifically the directional cloning system of IGEM was inspired for recombination between the promoter, coding sequence and terminator fragments. Unique compatible restriction enzyme pairs were chosen between the promoter-gene and gene-terminator couples that disappear upon ligation. These were SpeI-XbaI and SalI-XhoI for PCaMV35SS-ManA and ManA-TNOS pairs respectively. As long as the cassette is generated its recovery and sub-cloning into transformation vector should require still other restriction enzyme sites at $5^{\prime}$ and $3^{\prime}$ ends of the cassette. Commonly used but unique restriction sites were chosen for this purpose. These were BamHI-XmaIEcoRI for 5' end and HindIII-XmaI for the 3' end of the cassette. Main scheme of the cloning practice was given in the figure 3.8 below. The same concerns of issues are valid for PCaMV35SS-MBF1c-TNOS cassette construction.

### 3.1.1.1 Primer Design for CaMV35Ss, ManA and TNOS

Primer designs for CaMV35SS promoter, ManA coding sequence and NOS terminator were done with Primer3 (Rozen and Skaletsky, 2000) primer design software. Detailed information about the primers is given in figure 3.9. Primer designs of CaMV35SS promoter and NOS terminator were done on the available sequence data of pCambia-1305.1 vector. Restriction enzyme recognition sites at 5' and $3^{\prime}$ flanking regions of each fragment were integrated into the primer tails with base mismatches. No mismatch pairs where integrated into the sequence of the fragments where possible. For the ManA coding sequence since 5' and $3^{\prime}$ flanking sequences were not known and since only the coding sequence was available (retrieved from NCBI) primer design was performed depending on this sequence only. In order to maintain non-pairing between the primer pairs to be designed, mismatches were integrated into the body of coding sequence as a last option. That is, reverse primer contained 3 base mismatches at $3^{\prime}$ end of the ManA coding sequence without any change in codon meaning.

### 3.1.1.2 PCR amplifications for CaMV35Ss, ManA and TNOS

For PCR amplification of the CaMV35SS promoter, ManA coding sequence and NOS terminator approximately $-5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ of the Tm values was taken into account and maximum Tm was arbitrarily taken as $65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Fragments were amplified in single Touch-Down PCR reaction with Herculase-II polymerase. The PCR reaction mixtures and TD-PCR program are tabulated in tables D. 5 and D. 6 respectively in appendix d.

### 3.1.1.3 Recombination of CaMV35SS, ManA and TNOS Fragments

For recombination of the fragments into CaMV35SS-ManA-TNOS cassette in pBlueSK + first the TD-PCR amplified fragments were analyzed for their purity and size with gel electrophoresis (figure 3.10). Then restriction digest plan and restriction digest was performed prior to ligation. Finally ligation mixture was transformed into E. coli and spreaded on solid media for colony growth. Clone analysis from the


Figure 3.8: Schematic view of CaMV35SS-MBF1c/ManA-NOS cassette construction


Figure 3.9: Primers for CaMV35SS-ManA-TNOS cassette construction
Bases noted in yellow boxes on primer arrows show the mismatch sites. Base mismatches on primer tails of 35S promoter and NOS terminator were located on the flanking sequences of the fragments since the sequence data was available (A and C). For ManA on the other hand since only the coding sequence was exactly known, flanking sequences spanned with the primer tails were directly assigned with the restriction enzyme recognition sequences. Also in order to find strictly non pairing primer pairs $3^{\prime}$ end of the ManA coding sequence was accommodated with 3 base mismatches within the boundaries of codon usage (B). Also the $5^{\prime}$ ends of each primer were extended 2 to 3 bases beyond the location of the neighboring restriction enzyme according to the recommendations of Fermentas. These 2 to 3 base extensions were addressed for full activity (100\%) of respective enzyme during digestion following PCR amplification of the fragments. Following primer designs in Primer3 (Rozen and Skaletsky, 2000) software the Tm values of each pair were calculated in IDT's oligo analyzer service. Conditions for the analysis were as follows: dNTPmix: $0,2 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{MgCl2}: 2 \mathrm{mM}$ Primer: $0.25 \mu \mathrm{M} \mathrm{Na}$ : 0 . The calculated Tm values are as follows: CaMV35SS promoter $71.6^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $68.3^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ManA coding sequence $68.9^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $71.3^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; NOS terminator $67.2^{\circ} \mathrm{C} 72.1^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for forward and reverse primer pairs respectively
emerging colonies were performed on three basis; size analysis or colony-PCR and sequencing. Confirmed clones were further used in final transformation vector construction.

### 3.1.1.3.1 Restriction Digestion of the Fragments and pBlueSK+

Current ligation kits by Fermentas or NEB uses T4-DNA ligase enzyme and kit manual strictly restricts the total amount of DNA to 100 ng in a single ligation reaction. The ligation reaction is catalyzed in expense of ATP and rate is strictly
affected by relative ATP/ADP concentration. At the start of the ligation reaction ATP/ADP ratio is at maximum and catalysis rate is termed as burst ligation where approximately $90 \%$ of the ligation takes place. At this step if there occurs nonspecific and unwanted fragments and if the total amount of DNA in ligation mixture exceeds the recommended (100ng) amount, ligation will shortly proceed to steady state low rate phase without adequate quantity of prospected ligation product. PCR amplification circumvents presence of nonspecific fragments but generates high copy number of each fragment that is to be prepared through restriction digestion. Otherwise ATP might be used up through ligation of any of the nucleic acid component in the reaction mixture.


Figure 3.10: Fragment analysis of CaMV35SS, ManA and NOS amplicons
Fragment analysis for TD-PCR amplification of (2) CaMV35SS promoter, (3) ManA coding sequence and (4) NOs terminator sequences. Lane 1 is negative control. Fragments are aligned with prospected of the ladder (SM0311-Fermentas). Upper faint bands in each lane are the templates.

For ManA cassette construction it was previously stated that different restriction enzyme recognition sites were integrated to the $5^{\prime}$ and $3^{\prime}$ ends of each fragment. That means double digests are to be performed in order to generate complementing sticky ends. Here the point of concern is the highest activity of two different enzymes in one unique buffer. Since fragments amplified with PCR are in large quantities their digest till completion is very critical in order to maintain adequate concentration and quality of sticky ends that are fed to ligation step.

The double digest plan for the cloning vector and fragments are as follows: pBluescriptSK + digest with BamH-XmaI, CaMV35SS promoter digest with BamHIBcuI(SpeI), ManA coding sequence digest with XbaI-SalI and NOS terminator digest with XhoI-XmaI. Selections of buffers for these double digests are critical for maintaining highest percent activity of each enzyme in the same reaction mixture. So buffers of both NEB and Fermentas were utilized. Overnight restriction digestion of the vector and the fragments were carried out at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The selected buffers and activities of enzymes in these respective buffers are listed in appendix d, table D. 7 and restriction digestion reaction mixtures are also given in appendix d , table D.8.

### 3.1.1.3.2 Ligation of the Fragments and $\mathrm{pBlueSK}+$

Following overnight digestion, the whole restriction digest mixes were collected and cleaned with gel purification kit and eluted into the same tube to a volume of $25 \mu \mathrm{l}$. Then from this mixture of fragments ligation was performed. Ligation reaction mix is given in appendix d, table D.9. Fast ligation was performed with Fermentas fast ligation kit. Then total ligation mixture was transformed into competent Top-10 cells. Following overnight incubation at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ emerging colonies were analyzed for the putative clones.

### 3.1.1.4 Analysis of CaMV35SS-ManA-TNOS Cassette

Ligation products transformed into E. coli TOP-10 competent cells are not suitable for colony PCR check of the ManA gene, since the gene is already bacterial origin. Also analysis of the cassette insert through size check is very efficient and rapid procedure. So first size analysis of the pBlueSK + clones were done for CaMV35SS-ManA-TNOS cassette integration. Then plasmid mini-preps of the prospective clones were done for further PCR analysis of the cassette constructed. Finally sequencing info was utilized to confirm the cassette.

### 3.1.1.4.1 Size Check CaMV35SS-ManA-TNOS Cassette

Size analysis of the pBlueSK + 35SManANOS clones were performed with fast clone detection method. The gel image of the randomly selected 14 colonies is given in
figure 3.11. Among the 14 colonies only three of them gave larger fragment size with respect to the pBlueSK + intact vector (Figure 3.11). There were middle sized clones (7 and 9) that were taken into account during PCR analysis as internal check.


Figure 3.11: Fast clone detection for CaMV35SS-ManA-NOS cassette
Fast clone detection for CaMV35SS-ManA-NOS cassette from randomly selected 14 colonies. Colonies 1, 4 and 12 were selected for their size that these may have PCaMV35SS-ManA-TNOS cassette inserts when compared to the (R) intact pBlueSK+ plasmid as a reference. One of the other clones (9) that show a middle band and hence size was selected as an internal control. These selected clones were further PCR checked for revealing the expected cassette size.

### 3.1.1.4.2 PCR Check of CaMV35SS-ManA-TNOS Cassette

PCR analysis of the ManA cassette generated was performed with CaMV35SS forward primer and NOS reverse primer. PCR reaction composition and PCR program was tabulated in appendix d (tables D. 10 and D. 11 respectively). The gel electrophoresis of the PCR reaction result was given in figure 3.12. As expected clones 1,4 and 12 gave the expected fragment aligned at 2000 bp fragment of the ladder. Two of the clones were selected and sent sequencing for detailed analysis of the cassette.

### 3.1.1.4.3 Sequencing of CaMV35SS-ManA-TNOS Cassette

For sequencing, the generated clones were sent to MacLab. The M13 forward and reverse primer sets were addressed for sequencing the prospective cassette in pBlueSK+.In-silico generated sequence of the whole cassette in pBlueSK+ was used for contig-assembly with the four sequences the two independent clones retrieved from MacLab. At least 25 base pairs were trimmed from the $5^{\prime}$ ends of the sequences retrieved. Also approximately 170 base pairs were trimmed from the 3 ' ends of the seqeunces for contig assembly. The contig assembly was schematized in figure 3.13 below.


Figure 3.12: PCR check of the selected pBlueSK+P35SManATNOS clones PCR check of the selected clones numbered 1, 3, 9 and 12. -C stands for negative control. Clones 1,4 and 12 gave the expected fragment size of approximately 2000 bp (calculated; 2012 bp) length.


Figure 3.13: Contig assembly for CaMV35SS-ManA-TNOS sequence reads Contig assembly of the sequence data retrieved from the MacLab and in-silico generated CaMV35SS-ManA-NOS sequence in pBleSK+. Standard sequencing service of the MacLab was purchased; generating approximately 700 bp read did not reveal the whole coding sequence for ManA gene.

### 3.1.2 In-silico Reconstruction of GUSint

With the CaMV35SS-ManA-NOS and CaMV35SS-MBF1c-NOS cassettes generated transformation vector construction was committed to finalization as the two cassettes were sub cloned into pPZP101 (given in section 3.1.6.3.2). Lentil transformation experiments were started with this transformation vector (pPZP101 ManA-MBF1c). But first two experiments were failed due to loss of all of the transformed explants. In order to observe what may be happened it was quiet plausible to accommodate the vector with a visual marker gene. Hand in we had GUSint cassette from pTJK136 but the only available restriction site was SalI since sequence data was not available. We had quite a lot of reference material for pTHW136 and pTJK136 vectors. Re-evaluation of these materials revealed that we may regenerate the whole GUSint cassette sequence in-silico. Missing parts of the puzzle were the pDE4 vector that contains an intron-less GUS gene and GUSint sequence generated through insertion of potato light sensitive gene-1 second intron. The pDE4 sequence was retrieved from patent information and GUSint sequence was regenerated in-silico from the GUS coding sequence and ST-L1 intron 2 sequences. Schematic view of the processes of in-silico regeneration of GUSint
cassette is given in figure 3.14. The sequence regenerated for GUSint, pBluescriptSK + clone of the CaMV35SS-GUSint-NOS cassette and pDE4 vector are given in appendix b.

### 3.1.3 MBF1c Cloning and Cassette Construction

### 3.1.3.1 Sub-cloning MBF1c from pUNI52 to IV 1.1

Along with the cloning works that were undertaken as noted in preceding sections, analysis of the received MBF1c coding sequence (from Arabidopsis TAIR) and its further cloning works were carried out also.

At first place pUNI51-MBF1c clone received was analyzed for the insert as it is recommended in the documentation sent with MBF1c stock. Then MBF1c coding sequence is sub-cloned into pBlueScriptSK + for utilizing unique restriction sites of the pBlueScript vector. Finally MBF1c was sub-cloned into IV 1.1 under rubisco subunit 1 promoter ( $\mathrm{RbcS1}$.) The Rubisco S1 promoter was addressed as its high level light inducible expression.

### 3.1.3.1.1 Confirmation of MBF1c Coding Sequence Insert in pUNI51 Clone

Purpose was confirmation of Arabidopsis thaliana At3g24500 gene (coding for ethylene-responsive transcriptional co-activator protein MBF1c), clone was sent in pUNI51 with U23216 stock number from Arabidopsis Biological Resource Center (ABRC.)

The method of clone construction was stated as recovery of the coding sequence by reverse transcription from mRNA and ligation of Sfil adaptor sequences and finally ligation into pUNI51 at SfiI restriction site. pUNI51 belongs to the uni-vector system developed for Arabidopsis based genetic studies. pUNI51 vector can only be propagated in Escherichia coli PIR1 host strain. DH5a strain is not suitable for replication of this vector because of origin of replication concerns.

### 3.1.3.1.2 Restriction Analysis of MBF1c Insert

The U23216 numbered of Escherichia coli PIR1 host strain clone containing pUNI51MBF1c was cultured in LB + kanamycin $(25 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{l})$ overnight at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Then the pUNI51MBF1c plasmid was isolated with mini-prep.

For analysis of the insert either PCR amplification or EcoRI- HindIII double digestion was recommended in the reference information enclosed with the stock sent. However detailed analysis of both clone and vector revealed more plausible restriction sites (figure 3.15). A HincII restriction site was located on both MBF1c cds and multiple cloning site of the vector. Also it was revealed that the first HincII site is at position 103 from the 5' end of the MBF1c cds (MBF1c cds is 447 bps long and thus HincII is at an asymmetric position.) Besides HincII, two other restriction sites flanking the insert (EcoRI and NotI) were determined inside the pUNI51 multiple cloning site. These two sites were utilized for full length recovery of MBF1c coding sequence (see appendix d, table D. 12 for pUNI51-MBF1c clone analysis and recovery of MBF1c cds).

The gel electrophoresis image shown in figure 3.16 confirms presence of MBF1c cds insert in pUNI51 and demonstrates that the direction of the insert is as it is depicted in figure 3.15.

The sequence data for pUNI51-MBF1c clone was not received. So it was generated from sequence data of both pUNI51 vector and MBF1c cds according to the information enclosed with the clone received. As a result the $5^{\prime}$ and $3^{\prime}$ flanking sequences around the MBF1c cds is not exact.

Figure 3.14: Schematic view for regeneration of the CaMV35SS-GUSint-NOS cassette


Figure 3.15: Graphical map of pUNI51-MBF1c pUNI51-MBF1c clone was regenerated with the information cited in the written material sent with clone and sequence data for pUNI51 and MBF1c cds (At3g24500 gene, GI; 28466837.) Note for HincII, EcoRI and NotI restriction sites.

### 3.1.3.1.3 Cloning MBF1c cds into pBlueScriptSK+

Multi protein bridging factor 1c (MBF1c) was noted to be received in pUNI51 cloned between two Sfil sites. The only available restriction sites for intact recovery of the MBF1c cds were EcoRI at 5' end and SalI at 3' end. But there were no compatible restriction sites complementing these EcoRI and SalI restriction sites in the target plasmid, IV 1.1. As a result, in order to carry out cassette construction, IV 1.1 compatible restriction sites of pBlueScriptSK+ were utilized.

The EcoRI and SalI restriction enzyme couple was used for recovery of MBF1c from pUNI51-MBF1c (figure 3.15) and hence pBlueScriptSK+ was prepared with the same restriction enzyme couple (figure 3.35) and. Restriction digestions were followed by agarose gel electrophoresis separation of the fragments. Then the linearized pBlueScriptSK + and MBF1c cds were extracted from the gel with Qiagen gel purification kit and proceeded to ligation. The restriction digest composition and ligation reaction mixture are given in appendix d, table D. 13 and D.14, respectively.

The whole ligation product was used in transformation to E. coli DH5a competent cells. After overnight incubation at 370 C in ampicillin plate some of the emerging colonies were analyzed for MBF1c insert.


Figure 3.16: Restriction analysis of pUNI51-MBF1c clone
Restriction analysis of pUNI51-MBF1c clone. Lane 1; EcoRI-NotI double digest yielding approximately a 500 bp and a 2500 bp fragments. Lane 2; HincII digest resulting approximately 360 bp and 2700 bp fragments.

### 3.1.3.1.4 Analysis of the Putative pBlueSK-MBF1c Clones

Randomly selected 6 colonies were analyzed on size basis for the presence of insert. A total of 3410 bp was calculated as the predicted size of pBlueSK-MBF1c clone (Figure 3.17).

Initial size check of the plasmids from the six colonies revealed only four of them having the expected size of 3410 bp (Figure 3.18/ A wells 1, 2, 5, 6). For the four candidate clones further analysis was carried out in order to confirm the confirmation of insert, insert restriction sites, and its direction (Figure 3.18/ B and C.)


Figure 3.17: Graphical map of generated pBlueSK+MBF1c clone Map generated for pBlueSK-MBF1c clone. Consider the restriction sites depicted, size (base numbers) of the insert and whole plasmid.

### 3.1.3.2 Cloning MBF1c cds into IV 1.1

Directional cloning was planned for sub-cloning MBF1c cds under rubisco promoter into IV 1.1. Detailed analysis of the MBF1c flanking sequences (pBlueSK-MBF1c) and Impact Vector 1.1 cloning sites revealed only single compatible restriction sites available for cloning. Eco52I site was at 5' end of the MBF1c cds in pBlueScript and NotI was between Rubisco promoter and terminator in IV 1.1. Recognition sequences for these restriction enzymes are as follows; $5^{\prime}-G C \wedge G G C C G C-3 '$ and $5^{\prime}-$ C^GGCCG-3' for Not I and Eco52I respectively. The two other restriction enzyme sites were XhoI and BglII (from pBlueSK-MBF1c and IV 1.1 respectively) for blunt ligation of the 3 ' end of the MBF1c cds to $5^{\prime}$ end of the Impact Vector Rubisco terminator. See figure 3.19 for details of restriction enzyme positions utilized for sub-cloning.


Figure 3.18: Analysis of pBlueSK+MBF1c clones
(A) Plasmid size analysis of randomly selected 6 colonies for putative pBlueSKMBF1c clones. Candidate plasmids were electrophoresed as intact, without any restriction digestion. Electrophoresis conditions were $7 \mathrm{~V} / \mathrm{cm}$, in $0.7 \%$ Agarose gel with 1X TAE buffer. In this electrophoresis condition the middle bands in each well are the linearized plasmid sections of minipreps. According to the middle band positions well numbers 1, 2, 5, 6 may contain expected size ( $\sim 3410 \mathrm{bp}$.) So the candidate clones are 1, 2, 5 and 6. (B) EcoRI-SalI double digest of the selected clones 1, 2, 5 and 6 . EcoRI and SalI sites remained intact and insertion is clean. (C) HincII digestion of the same clones ( $1,2,5$ and 6 ) confirmed the insert direction in SK orientation (SalI-KpnI direction).


Figure 3.19: Restriction site analysis for MBF1c cloning from pBlueSK + to IV 1.1 Available restriction sites for MBF1c sub-cloning into Impact Vector 1.1. Consider NotI and BgliI restriction sites from IV 1.1 and Eco52I and XhoI sites from pBlueSKMBF1c. Also note that compatible NotI, Eco52I sites and in compatible (blunt ligated) BglII and XhoI sites were utilized in order to maintain directional cloning.

### 3.1.3.2.1 XhoI, BglII Restriction Digests and Blunting Reactions

Preparation of both vector and insert was done with two initial restriction digests interfered with a blunting reaction.

The blunted restriction sites were digested first, namely XhoI and BgliI for pBlueScriptSK+ and Impact Vector 1.1 respectively. The first restriction digestion reaction is tabulated in appendix d , table D.15.

T4 DNA Polymerase (Fermentas) was used during blunting reaction and blunting reaction was performed without cleaning the restriction enzymes directly after overnight digestion. For the reaction only T4 DNA Polymerase and dNTP was added into the restriction mixture. Blunting reactions were carried out at $11^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 20 minutes (see appendix d, table D. 16 for blunting reaction mix).

### 3.1.3.2.2 Eco52I, NotI Restriction Digests and Ligation Reactions

The second restriction digestions were made after cleaning the first digestion and blunting mixture with PCR Cleaning Kit (Fermentas). Each of the cleaned mix was eluted to $30 \mu \mathrm{l}$ sterile distilled water for restriction digestion preparation (see appendix d , table D. 17 for restriction digest mix).

The final steps of preparations before ligation were recovery of MBF1c fragment and cleaning of IV 1.1 reaction mix with. The 481 bp fragment of MBF1c coding sequence was gel extracted after separation in agarose gel electrophoresis while IV 1.1 backbone was purified with PCR cleaning kit. Then ligation reaction was proceeded with eluted MBF1c fragment and prepared Impact Vector 1.1. Refer to appendix d, table D. 18 for ligation reaction mix.

Whole ligation mixture was used for transformation. Transformed bacteria were selected on Ampicillin (100mg/l) LB-agar plates.

### 3.1.3.2.3 Analysis of the Putative IV 1.1-MBF1c Clones

Since the sequence information for both IV 1.1 and MBF1c cloned in pBlueScriptSK + were available a hypothetical (in-silico) map was generated for use in restriction analysis. Depending on this map the same size analysis and insert orientation analysis was also performed for IV 1.1-MBF1c clone

Total cell lysis was performed from five randomly selected colonies of the overnight cultured transformants. Size resolution of the IV 1.1 (4500 bps) and IV 1.1-MBF1c clones (5000 bps) was not quiet discriminative in $0.7 \%$ agarose gel electrophoresis. So for comparison wells containing the total cell lysis of the putative clones were flanked with plasmid DNA of IV 1.1 (well numbers marked with * in figure 3.20). Size analysis showed four out of five clones with greater band sizes with respect to intact IV 1.1 bands. These four clones were further analyzed for plasmid, insert size and orientation.


Figure 3.20: Size analysis of generated IV 1.1-MBF1c clones
Size analysis of randomly selected five colonies for IV 1.1-MBF1c clone. Well numbers 1, 3-6 are randomly selected putative clones whereas well numbers $2^{*}$ and 7* are intact IV 1.1 without insert. Note that there is a slight band shift between the intact plasmid and the first four of the putative clones; this is due to the size difference between the intact plasmid (IV 1.1; 4630 bps ) and plasmid with the insert(IV 1.1-MBF1c; 5107 bps ). Also note the Ladder separation pattern; in particular 4000 and 5000 bps. According to the gel image the putative clones are the colonies numbered 1, 3-5.

Analysis of the IV 1.1-MBF1c map generated (figure 3.21) revealed that both of the blunted XhoI and BglII sites originating from pBlueScript and IV 1.1 plasmids respectively were regenerated after ligation event. Linearization of the putative IV 1.1-MBF1c clones were made through this XhoI site (Figure 3.22/ A). Also a HincII site at $3^{\prime}$ end of the MBF1c cds originating from pBlueScript was used for direction analysis where HincII digestion recovers a 361 bps fragment of MBF1c (Figure 3.22/ B). In HincII digestion results an unexpected band of approximately 100 bps was observed (Figure 3.22/B). This might be an artifact of blunt end ligaton performed at 3 ' end of the MBF1c and 5' end of the IV 1.1 Rubisco terminator sequences. One probable scenario about the artifact might be of the $3^{\prime}$ to $5^{\prime}$ insertion of another MBF1c to this site. With this ambiguity revealed that might be resulted from blunt end ligation at one end of the ligation reaction we may omit using this P RbcS1-MBF1c-T RbcS1 cassette in next stages. Instead directional and PCR cloning could be utilized.


Figure 3.21: Graphical map of IV 1.1-MBF1c
Simple hypothetical restriction map generated for IV 1.1-MBF1c. Blue typed restriction sites are original to IV 1.1. Red typed restriction sites are originating from pBlueScriptSK +. Note that the NotI and Eco52I compatible restriction sites from IV 1.1 and pBlueScript respectively were diminished upon ligation while XhoI and BgIII sites were regenerated upon blunt end ligation.

### 3.1.3.3 Direct Cloning MBF1c into IV 1.1 through PCR Amplification

Sub-cloning of MBF1c from pUNI51 to pBlueSK + and then to IV 1.1 through restriction digestion steps resulted in accumulation of additional restriction sites flanking the MBF1c coding sequence (figure 3.23). Also scarcity of the restriction sites flanking the whole RbcS1-MBF1c cassette leaded us recombination of whole IV 1.1-MBF1c with the target transformation vector, pPZP101. But these accumulated restriction sites flanking the MBF1c coding sequence hampered securely removal the IV 1.1 backbone.


Figure 3.22: Size and direction analysis of IV 1.1-MBF1c clones
The putative clones addressed in size analysis in figure 3.20 were analyzed further with XhoI digestion (A) in order to reveal the linear sizes of the putative clones with respect to the linear ladder (SM0311-Fermentas). Sizes were around the expected 5000 bps band aligned with the ladder. Further restriction digestion analysis was performed with HincII (B) for confirmation of the directional cloning. Wells numbered $1,3-5$ are the putative clones whereas $6^{*}$ is the intact plasmid. HincII digestion of the clones numbered 1, 3 and 4 showed two lover bands around 250 bps. This banding pattern for HincII digestion was unexpected. This may result as a consequence of impure clones or insertion of unintended fragments during blunt end ligation.

Attempts for emptying resulted in loss of fragments from RbcS1-MBF1c cassette. In order to overcome this hurdle although emptying these restriction sites was taken into account the core solution was addressed as a clean cloning through PCR
amplification of MBF1c coding sequence with suitable flanking restriction sites and insertion into IV 1.1 through single restriction digestion and ligation process.


Figure 3.23: Restriction sites accumulated at MBF1c flanking regions
Some of the restriction sites accumulated at MBF1c flanking regions during subcloning.

For this purpose two plans were taken into account; first one is amplification of the MBF1c through asymmetric PCR with two primer sets and hybridization of the amplicons and direct ligation into digested IV 1.1, second one is amplification of the MBF1c with primers that integrate restriction sites at $5^{\prime}$ and $3^{\prime}$ flanking sites of the coding sequence and sub-cloning into IV 1.1 with single restriction digestion. The next two sections pointed out these two plans.

### 3.1.3.3.1 Asymmetric PCR Based Cloning of MBF1c into IV 1.1.

The first PCR based cloning experiment undertaken for sub-cloning MBF1c into IV 1.1 was asymmetric PCR. Aim was to clone MBF1c directly into the restricted IV 1.1 following hybridization of two amplicons generating 5' and 3' sticky end MBF1c amplicon (figure 3.24).

PCR amplification of MBF1c was done with two different primer pairs, namely long and short. For the PCR reaction made with long primers the forward primer was in excess and the reverse primer was in excess for the reaction made with short primers (Asymmetric PCR). The two PCR products were then mixed and left to hybridization for overnight at $94^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Hybridization was followed by ligation of MBF1c in to NcoISacI digested IV 1.1.

It was possible to generate single stranded fragment but cloning into IV 1.1 was unsuccessful. Hence standard PCR cloning experiments were devised thereafter.

### 3.1.3.3.2 PCR Amplification, Digestion and Cloning of MBF1c in IV 1.1

In order to maintain a clean cassette construction in IV 1.1 the bordering restriction sites (XbaI and SacI) of the multiple cloning site of the IV 1.1 were targeted. For this purpose first primers were designed then PCR amplification and restriction digests were performed eventually ligation transformation and analysis of the clones were carried out. Detailed information about the processes are given in the following sections.

### 3.1.3.3.2.1 Primer Design and PCR Amplification of MBF1c

XbaI and SacI are the two restriction sites bordering the multiple cloning site of the IV 1.1 that is between RubiscoS1 promoter and terminator (Figure 3.21). For cloning MBF1c with this two restriction sites, primer designs were made on the artificially generated pBlueSK-MBF1c vector sequence. For this purpose approximately 10 bp upstream and downstream sequences flanking MBF1c (in pBlueScript) were spanned for primer design. The tails of forward and reverse primers contained XbaI and SalISacI restriction sites for integration respectively (Figure 3.25).

For comparison of the Primer-3 generated Tm values, IDT Tm calculations were also made with the following conditions: dNTPmix; $0.2 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{MgCl2;} 2 \mathrm{mM}$ Primer; $0.25 \mu \mathrm{M}$ and no Na . With this component mix IDT Tm values calculated were $65.3^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for Fw primer and $68.4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for Rw primers. The Primer-3 generated Tm values, Herculase Taq-DNA Polymerase and IDT tested PCR compositions were used for MBF1c amplification through TD-PCR (see appendix d, table D. 19 for PCR reaction mix). Also the Touch Down-PCR program generated for MBF1c amplification is listed in appendix d, table D.20. And gel electrophoresis check of the TD-PCR amplification of MBF1c with XSS primers is shown in figure 3.26.

Work 2.1 PCR Cloning MBF1c


Figure 3.24: Asymmetric PCR based cloning of MBF1c into IV 1.1


Figure 3.25: MBF1c XSS primer set
MBF1c XSS primer set for cloning was designed with Primer 3 (Rozen and Skaletsky, 2000). Note the 5 ' mismatch sequences of the primer pair for addition of XbaI and SalI-SacI restriction sites through PCR amplification. The primer sequences are 5'ATA TCT AGA CAT GCC GAG CAG ATA CC-3' for forward primer and 5'-GGA GCT CGT CGA CTC ATT TCC CAA TTT TAC-3'for reverse primer. Primer-3 Tm values are $55.85^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for Fw and $59.94^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for Rw primers. Amplification of MBF1c was directly performed with Touch Down (TD) PCR without any optimization step.


Figure 3.26: Gel image of TD-PCR for MBF1c with XSS primers
Lanes 1 and 2 are showing MBF1c amplification. Consider the nonspecific bands around 2500bps with respect to the 476 bps MBF1c lining around 500 bp fragment of the ladder SM0311.

### 3.1.3.3.2.2 Restriction Digestion of MBF1c XSS and Cloning into IV 1.1

XbaI-SacI restriction digests of PCR amplified MBF1c and IV 1.1 was preferably made through double digest. Fermentas buffer system was evaluated to be improper for double digest, so NEB buffer system was considered. NEB 4 buffer was found to be $100 \%$ efficient for both of the enzymes in the same reaction mix (see appendix d, table D. 21 for details of restriction digest mix).

Prior to ligation double digests were cleaned with PCR purification kit. Ligation was performed with fast ligation kit. Ligation reaction mix composition is given in appendix d, table D.22. Then whole ligation product was transformed into competent $E$. coli and spread plated on LB Agar with ampicillin ( $100 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{I}$ ). Following overnight $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ incubation colonies were analyzed for insert.

### 3.1.3.3.2.3 Analysis of IV 1.1-MBF1c XSS Clones

Initial analysis of IV 1.1-MBF1c XSS clones were done on size basis. Initially total cell lysis of randomly selected five colonies was compared with intact plasmid on
agarose gel electrophoresis (Figure 3.27/ A). $0.7 \%$ agarose gel in 1X TAE buffer and $8 \mathrm{~V} / \mathrm{cm}$ electric field rating for 30 minutes did not produce adequate resolution for discrimination of intact IV 1.1 and putative IV 1.1-MBF1c XSS. So the same five colonies are cultured overnight for mini-prep. Then intact plasmid DNAs of the five colonies were electrophoresed for a period of 50 minutes (figure $3.27 / \mathrm{B}$ ) with respect to IV 1.1. At this time it was possible to discriminate the intact IV 1.1 and IV 1.1 with inserts but it was not quite clear if the linear middle bands of the plasmid preps were aligned with 5000 bps ladder marker in 1X TAE gel electrophoresis buffer.

For further analysis colony PCR was made for MBF1c XSS insert from another set of randomly selected colonies (see appendix d, table D. 23 and D. 24 for details of colony PCR mix and program). Colonies showing positive signals were cultured overnight and plasmid preps were prepared. Gel electrophoresis of the plasmids gave clear alignments of middle (linear plasmid) bands with 5000 bps ladder fragment in 1X TAE (figure 3.28)


Figure 3.27: Size analysis of the IV 1.1 MBF1c XSS clones
(A) Gel electrophoresis of randomly selected five colonies from putative IV 1.1MBF1c clones (lanes 1-5) and intact IV 1.1 plasmid (Lane 6). Alignment of the bands with respect to the ladder (SM0311-Fermentas) and intact plasmid is not quite clear. (B) Gel electrophoresis of plasmid mini-preps of the same five colonies and intact IV 1.1. Clear discrimination between the intact plasmid and putative clones are prominent but proper alignment of the linear plasmid fractions with 5000 bps fragment of ladder is not clear.


Figure 3.28: Colony PCR and size check for the IV 1.1 MBF1c clones
(A) Colony PCR results of randomly selected putative IV 1.1-MBF1c XSS clones (lanes 1-10, lane 11 is negative control) were compared to (B) plasmid mini-preps of the colony PCR positive clones in section A. Linear fraction of the plasmids (the middle bands) are clearly aligned with 5000 bps fragment of the ladder.

### 3.1.3.4 MBF1c Cassette Construction with P CaMV35SS

Due to the problems with MBF1c sub-cloning into IV 1.1 an alternative cassette construction was devised. This new cassette construction was based on the same method that generated ManA cassette. That is MBF1c was to be cloned under CaMV35SS promoter with NOS terminator. So the same procedures for 35S-ManANOS cassette construction works were proceeded for 35S-MBF1c-NOS cassette construction. Only difference was use of MBF1c XSS amplicon from the section 3.1.5.3.2.1 was used instead of ManA amplicon. The steps for CaMV35SS-MBF1cNOS cassette construction are as follows.

The MBF1c XSS Amplicon generated (section 3.1.5.3.2.2) was first cleaned with PCR cleaning kit and eluted to $40 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of sterile distilled water. Then restriction digestion was performed with XbaI-SalI enzymes (see appendix d, table D. 25 for restriction digest mix). The same procedures for ligation of CaMV35SS-ManA-NOS cassette were used for CaMV35SS-MBF1c-NOS cassette construction. The ligation reaction
mixture is given in appendix $d$, table D.26. Following ligation and transformation events CaMV35SS-MBF1c-NOS Cassette analysis were performed for choosing the correct clones.

### 3.1.3.4.1 Analysis of CaMV35SS-MBF1c-NOS Cassette

Analysis of the CaMV35SS-MBF1c-NOS cassette was carried out on two bases. First is detection of MBF1c containing clones through colony PCR method and evaluation of the plasmid sizes that the clones contain then sequencing the candidate clones for complete check of the cassette sequence. The sequencing showed that there is complete consensus between the in-silico generated cassette and the experimentally generated cassette.

### 3.1.3.4.1.1 Colony PCR for CaMV35SS-MBF1c-NOS Cassette

Colony-PCR check for CaMV35SS-MBF1c-NOS cassette was performed with MBF1c XSS primer set. Randomly selected 11 colonies were analyzed for MBF1c coding sequence. Among the 11 colonies only 7 of them gave positive band for MBF1c amplification (figure 3.29/A) and between these 7 colonies 6 of them were further analyzed for the plasmid size (figure 3.29/B). Size check was done with the plasmids prepared as mini-preps. Colonies numbered 5, 9, 10 and 11 gave linear plasmid bands (middle bands of the lanes numbered 5, 9, 10 and 11) aligned approximately over the 4000 bp fragment of the ladder. The CaMV35SS-MBF1c-NOS cassette length is around 1200 and within the plasmid total size reached to 4200 bps. Among these colonies two of them were sent to sequencing. Colony-PCR reaction mixtures and PCR program are given in appendix d, table D. 27 and D. 28 respectively.


Figure 3.29: Colony PCR and size check for 35S-MBF1c-NOS clones
Colony-PCR results of putative 11 colonies (A) and size check (B) of six colonies selected among the positive clones in A. Colony-PCR analysis result 7 positive among the randomly selected 11 colonies. From these 7 colonies six of them checked for their size revealed that clones 5, 9, 10 and 11 are the candidate clones for sequencing.

### 3.1.3.4.1.2 Sequence Information of Candidate CaMV35SS-MBF1c-NOS Clones.

For sequencing, two of the four candidate colonies were sent to MacLab. Sequences generated with M13 forward and reverse primers were assembled into contigs with in-silico generated pBlueSK + CaMV35SS-MBF1c-NOS clone. At least 25 base pairs were trimmed from the $5^{\prime}$ ends of the sequences retrieved. Also 40-160 base pairs were trimmed from the $3^{\prime}$ ends of the sequences for contig assembly. The contig assembly was schematized in figure 3.30 below.


Figure 3.30: Contig assembly of 35S-MBF1c-NOS sequence reads
Contig assembly of the sequence data retrieved from the MacLab and in-silico generated CaMV35SS-MBF1c-NOS sequence in pBleSK+. Standard sequencing service of the MacLab was purchased; generating approximately 700 bp read spanned the whole 1250 bp sequence of CaMV35SS-MBF1c-NOS cassette.

### 3.1.4 Selection of Plant Transformation Vector Backbone

### 3.1.4.1 pTJK136 or pPMI-GFP as Binary Transformation Vectors

Quiet number of literature information can be retrieved about utilizing pTJK136 as binary vector during Agrobacterium mediated gene transfer to plants. Also lentil transformation experiments conducted in our lab used mainly this vector during studies. As a result the same vector was initially addressed as the sole option in this thesis studies. Using this vector for transformation studies in my thesis required additional information concerning the T-DNA and vector backbone map or sequence. Patent information and literature searches extended the map of the TDNA region only. In depth analysis of the restriction map generated with the information gathered did not verify this map, but introduced more ambiguity. A small section of restriction analysis is shown in figure 3.31 below. It is noted in the

GUSint cassette restriction that the cassette contains an internal PstI site. However contrary to this information restriction digests cannot prove this internal PstI site. Current restriction map of the T-DNA region is given in figure 3.32.

Due to lack of sequence information and as a consequence, non-reproducible restriction map of the T-DNA region along with limited amount of literature data and patent information it was not possible to handle pTJK136 or its T-DNA region. The restriction map information gathered and restriction analysis only permitted intact recovery of both GUS and NPTII cassettes pointed out in preceding sections. All of these findings suggest that restriction of pTJK136 and manipulation of any cassette for cloning seem problematic. So it is likely that pTK136 will not be the choice of transformation vector from this point on. As an alternative pPMI-GFP vector was considered since it is based on pPZP201 and whole sequence of the vector backbone is known.


Figure 3.31: Restriction analysis of pTJK136
Restriction map of pTJK136. Lane 1 is PstI digest of SalI fragment (GUSint cassette) from pTJK136, GUSint cassette contains two PstI restriction sites yielding three fragments which are approximately $2.25,1.75,0.6 \mathrm{kbp}$. The same bands recovered in lane number 4 when pTJK136 without nptII cassette was restricted with PstI again. Upper two bands may be resulting from incomplete digestion. Both nptII cassette (lane3) and pTJK136 without GUSint cassette (lane2) did not produce any extra bands with PstI restriction. Lane 5 is intact plasmid.


Figure 3.32: Current hypothetical restriction map for pTJK136 T-DNA Current restriction map of T-DNA region for pTJK136 is given. Map was generated depending on patent and literature information gathered.

The known sequence of the vector backbone and presence of PMI selection cassette were the two causes that put pPMI-GFP vector among the candidate binary vectors. The pPMI-GFP vector is constructed on pPZP201 and the sizes of the vectors are 13573 and 7132 bps respectively. However emptying pPMI-GFP through EcoRI and HindIII restriction sites gave approximately 8500 bp vector backbone (gel data not shown; see figure 3.33). That is one of the restriction sites noted in the map is not actually present. The problematic restriction site should be the EcoRI site since we showed that intact PMI cassette can be recovered through HindIII digestion.

As a consequence since pPMI-GFP vector cannot be emptied this vector was also eliminated


Figure 3.33: Simple graphical map of pPMI-GFP vector

### 3.1.4.2 Regeneration of pTHW for Cloning

Since it was not possible to figure out what will be the transformation efficiency as we may select one of the pPZP vectors as the binary vector for lentil transformation, it was plausible to prepare the pTJK136 backbone ( pTHW ) as the backup as the second binary vector.

In the original figure for pTJK136 the vector backbone plus the nptII cassette was annotated as pTHW136 (figure 3.34). So the vector backbone without any cassette integrated is annotated as pTHW in this thesis. Steps for emptying pTJK136 are as follows. First pTJK136 was SalI emptied and pBlueScriptSK + (see figure 3.35 for simple view of pBlueScriptSK+ graphical map) was ligated to the emptied vector at SalI restriction site. The generated pTJK-nptII/pBlueScriptSK + hybrid vector was selected for both spectinomycin and ampicillin resistance. Then these spectinomycin and ampicillin positive clones were further digested with BamHI restriction enzyme. At the end of BamHI digestion the right clone yielded approximately 7 kb emptied pTHW vector backbone while reverse clone continued to have pBlueScriptSK + with 10 kb approximate size. Right clone is then self-ligated to yield the empty pTHW vector. The process is schematised in figure 3.36.


Figure 3.34: Regenerated graphical map of pTJK136


Figure 3.35: Graphical map of pBlueScriptSK +
Graphic map of pBlueScriptSK+. This vector is used as the intermediate vector throughout the cloning practices where necessary. Note that the multiple cloning site which starts with SacI and ends with KpnI is abbreviated as SK also denoted in the name of the vector ( pBl uescriptSK + ). The same abbreviation is used in the following chapters to denote the direction of the insert cloned into the vector.

### 3.1.4.3 Alternative to pTHW; pPZP101

pPZP101 was chosen as an alternative of pTJK136 and pPMI-GFP. The three very important point about choosing pPZP101 was its availability its sequence data and similarity to pTJK136 backbone and its availability among the lab stocks. The pBR322 and pSV1replication origins and stability, mobility domains common in binary transformation vectors are also shown in pTJK136 and pPZP101 in figure 3.37.

### 3.1.4.3.1 Strategy of Generating pPZP101-MBF1c Transformation Vector

Both GUS-PMI construct in pBlueScriptSK+ and MBF1c-PMI construct in IV 1.1 were generated beforehand for blunt end ligation into pPZP101. Many prospective attempts for cloning PRbcS1MBF1cTRbcS1-PMI cassettes from IV 1.1 to pPZ101 were failed. In these attempts mainly blunt end ligation of 5867 bps PRbcS1MBF1cTRbcS1-PMI cassettes and 7027 bps pPZP101 were considered. The


Figure 3.36: Regeneration of pTJK from pBlueScriptSK + and pTJK136


Figure 3.37: Comparison of pTJK136 and pPZP101 vectors
pTJK136 and its alternative pPZP101. Consider vector backbones. Both of the vectors contain pBR322 domains for replication and conjugation in E. coli strains. Also a pSV1 domain (for stability and replication in Agrobacterium species) is shared in these vectors.
causes for failure were addressed as to the large fragment sizes along with lover efficiency of blunt end ligation. From this point on sticky end ligation is preferred against blunt end ligation. For this purpose again the pBlueSK + vector was used as the intermediate cloning vector for transfer of the PRbcS1 MBF1c TRbcS1 cassette into pPZP101.
3.1.4.3.1.1 *Cloning P MBF1c Cassette from IV 1.1 to pBlueScriptSK + Transfer of RbcS1-MBF1c cassette from IV 1.1 to pBlueSK+ was resolved with HindIII-NarI and HindiII-ClaI restriction enzyme pairs respective. NarI and ClaI generate compatible ends. Restriction site positions for both of the vectors are given in figured 3.38.

Following sub cloning of RbcS1-MBF1c cassette into pBlueSK + available restriction sites are shown in figure 3.39. Compatible restriction site search for final cloning of the cassette from IV 1.1 to pPZP101 revealed HindIII-XhoI sites and HindIII-SalI sites for pBlueSK + RbcS1-MBF1c and pPZP101 vectors respectively. A major problem with this plan was fragmented recovery of the RbcS1-MBF1c cassette through HindiIIXhoI digestion. Consider the red highlighted XhoI restriction sites in figure 3.39.


Figure 3.38: Compatible restriction sites of pBlueSK+ and IV 1.1-MBF1c
Compatible restriction sites of pBlueSK + (A) and IV 1.1 RbcS1-MBF1c clone (B) are shown that are used for sub-cloning RbcS1-MBF1c cassette into pBlueSK+.


Figure 3.39: Available restriction sites of $\mathrm{pBlueSK}+$ RbcS1-MBF1c

### 3.1.4.3.1.2 Cloning P RbcS1-MBF1c-T RbcS1 from pBlueSK+ to pPZP101.

As it was noted in previous section HindIII and XhoI were the only available restriction sites for recovery of RbcS1-MBF1c cassette for sub cloning into pPZP101 through HindIII and SalI restriction sites. And also it was possible to recover RbcS1MBF1c casstette in two fragments. Since XhoI and SalI were generating compatible ends that diminish both of the restriction sites upon ligation, the fragmented ligation of the RbcS1-MBF1c cassette into pPZP101 will possibly generate two different clones that are not interchangeable (figure 3.40). Whole cloning practices proceeded till pPZP101 RbcS1-MBF1c unfortunately gave one single colony that resulted to the false clone.


Figure 3.40: The graphical map of the probable pPZP101 RbcS1-MBF1c clones The HindIII-XhoI digested RbcS1-MBF1c cassette from pBlueSK+ was recovered as two fragments. First fragment was comprised of HindIII-PRbcS1MBF1c-XhoI and the second fragment was comprised of XhoI-TRbcS1-XhoI. Ligation of these two fragments into pPZP101 through HindiII-SalI restriction sites say generate two different non- interchangeable clones. Fist clone it the true clone that has the terminator sequence in in right order (A). The second clone is the false clone that has the terminator sequence in wrong order (B).

### 3.1.4.3.2 Transformation Vector Based on P35S-MBF1c/ManA-TNOS Cassettes

All of the attempts for generating the ultimate binary transformation vector for lentil transformation were hindered due to some unexpected or unplanned cause. At least some of them were listed in above preceding sections. In order to overcome these hurdles and to find out an exit CaMV35SS promoter and NOS terminator enclosed cassettes for ManA and MBF1c coding sequences were devised in the last steps chronologically. Steps for generation of the two cassettes were given in sections 3.1.3 and 3.1.5.4 respectively. How these two cassettes are bound into pPZP101 is considered in this section.

### 3.1.4.3.2.1 The pPZP101 ManA-MBF1c Vector

In the section 3.1.3.1 it was mentioned about the restriction sites flanking the CaMV35SS-MBF1c-NOS and CaMV35SS-ManA-NOS cassettes for recovery and subcloning. Both of the cassettes were flanked with BamHI-XmaI-EcoRI and HindIII-XmaI restriction sites at $5^{\prime}$ and $3^{\prime}$ ends respectively (Figure 3.41). For cloning the cassettes into pPZP101 the EcoRI and HindIII restriction sites that are bordering the multiple cloning site of pPZP101 were targeted for cassette insertions (figure 3.42).


Figure 3.41: Flanking restriction sites of 35S-ManA/MBF1c-NOS cassettes
Restriction sites designed for sub cloning of CaMV35SS-ManA-TNOS (A) and CaMV35SS-MBF1c-TNOS (B) cassettes. Note the spatial organization of the restriction sites. The BamHI and EcoRI restriction sites at $5^{\prime}$ end of the cassettes are overlapping with an XmaI restriction site in between. That is the three restriction sites each with six bps recognition sequence are stacked into 15 bps length. On the other hand the two restriction sites at 3 ' end of the cassettes are not overlapping.

As it is depicted in figure 3.42 ManA and MBF1c cassettes were recovered from their pBlueSK + clones through XmaI-HindIII and EcoRI-XmaI restriction sites. Cassettes were gel separated and then co-eluted with the EcoRI-HindiII restricted pPZP101.

Ligation is then proceeded and whole ligation product is transformed into competent $E$. coli cells. Emerging colonies were analyzed for both of the cassettes through PCR and restriction digestion.
3.1.4.3.2.2 Preparation of ManA and MBF1c Cassettes and Ligation into pZPZP101 Prior to the restriction digests buffers were selected for maintaining highest enzymatic activities. Enzyme activities in their respective buffers chosen are given in appendix d, table D.29. Restriction-digest mixtures of pPZP101 pBlueSK+CaMV35SS-MBF1c-NOS and pBlueSK+CaMV35SS-ManA-NOS are given in appendix $d$, table D.30. Following restriction digestion both of the CaMV35SS ManA NOS and CaMV35SS MBF1c NOS cassettes were separated in gel electrophoresis. Both of the cassettes were recovered from gel with gel elution kit. And pPZP101 was cleaned with PCR cleaning kit. At final step all of the fragments were bound to the same column and eluted to $25 \mu$ l total volume. Finally ligation was done with quick ligation kit at room temperature with $10 \mu$ l of elute $10 \mu$ l of 2 X ligation buffer and 10 units ( 1 $\mu \mathrm{I}$ ) of T4 DNA Ligase. Whole ligation mixture was transformed into competent $E$. coli cells and clone analysis was performed from the emerging colonies in the next day.

### 3.1.4.3.2.3 Analysis of Putative pZPZP101 MBF1c-ManA Clones

For analysis of the right pPZP101 ManA-MBF1c clones initially 20 colonies were checked with PCR. Amplification of both cassettes was targeted with CamV335S forward primer and NOS reverse primer pair (figure 3.43/ A). Since a very high percent of colonies gave positive signal only six of them were considered here. A double check was performed for these six clones through amplification of the ManA and MBF1c coding sequences only (figure 3.43/B). Also the same six colonies also gave positive results for both ManA and MBF1c amplifications. Final check was performed through restriction digestion of the clones separately with HindiII and EcoRI enzymes (figure 3.44/ A and B) in order support the colony PCR results for whole cassette amplifications. According to the results we may say that all of the six clones were clean and had full, intact copies of 35S ManA NOS and 35S MBF1c NOS
cassettes. PCR reaction mixtures and PCR conditions were given in appendix d, tables D.31, D.32, D. 33 and D.34. Restriction digestion mixes are given in appendix d, table D. 35 .


Figure 3.42: Graphical map of constructed pPZP101 MBF1c-ManA vector Cloning of ManA and MBF1c cassettes into pPZP101 are shown in the figure. The TDNA bordering restriction sites of pZPZ101 were used for cloning the cassettes where MBF1c cassette (At3g24500) was prepared with EcoRI-XmaI restriction sites and ManA cassette was prepared with XmaI-HindIII restriction sites. Ligation was straightforward.


Figure 3.43: Cassette and cds PCR results for pPZP101 ManA-MBF1c clones Gel images are showing colony PCR analysis of randomly selected 6 colonies for pPZP101 ManA-MBF1c clones: (A) CaMV35SS-ManA-NOS and CaMV35SS-MBF1cNOS amplifications, 2010 and 1282 bps respectively; (B) ManA and MBF1c amplifications, 1176 and 447 bps respectively. " -c " is for negative control. Clones analyzed in $A$ and $B$ are the same.


Figure 3.44: HindIII and EcoRI digests of pPZP101 MBF1c-ManA clones
Restriction digests of the colony PCR analyzed six clones for HindIII (A) and EcoRI (B) liberating ManA and MBF1c cassettes respectively. Compare the lover fragment sizes with the colony PCR results for CaMV35SS-ManA-NOS and CaMV35SS-MBF1c-NOS amplifications.

### 3.1.4.3.3 The pPZP101 ManA-GUSint-MBF1c Vector

Cause for integration of GUSint cassette into pPZP101 ManA-MBF1c vector was noted in section 3.4.6. In this section how the GUSint cassette was integrated into the transformation vector is explained.

The XmaI restriction site linearizes the pZP101 ManA-MBF1c in between the ManA and MBF1c cassettes without fragmenting the vector. Also the same restriction site is available to the 3' end of the GUSint cassette in pBlueSK+ multiple cloning site (figure 3.45). For transfer of the GUSint cassette to pPZP101 ManA-MBF1c the whole pBlueSK+GUSint vector is integrated to the pPZP101 ManA-MBF1c through this XmaI site (figure 3.46). Then pBlueSK+ vector backbone was excised from the pPZP10 ManA-pBlueSKGUSint-MBF1c hybrid through BcuI(SpeI)-XhoI restriction. Finally the recovered pPZP101 ManA-GUSint-MBF1c vector was circularized through blunting and ligation (figure 3.47).


Figure 3.45: Restriction sites for sub-cloning GUSint into pPZP101 ManA-MBF1c XmaI restriction sites for integration of pPZP101 ManA-MBF1c (A) and pBlueSK+GUSint (B) are shown. Two different clones were generated as a result of using single restriction site. With respect to pBlueSK+GUSint integration the pPZP101 ManA-pBlueSK+GUSint-MBF1c clones were named as forward and reverse clones. See figure 3.46 for details.


Figure 3.46: Graphical maps of pPZP101 ManA-pBlueSK+GUSint-MBF1c clones The pPZP101 ManA-pBlueSK+GUSint-ManA hybrid vector is shown. Integration of the two vectors at single restriction site results in two different hybrids with respect to $p$ BlueSK + GUSint vector orientation. The ligation products are named forward and reverse clones. Consider the red highlighted SpeI-XhoI restriction sites through which the pBlueSK+ backbone is excised from the hybrid. Also consider EcoRI fragments that are given with arcs and fragment lengths for analysis of insert direction. İn-silico EcoRI digest of the hybrid vector clones gave 7300 bp fragment for forward clone and 6100, 1285 bp fragments for reverse clones. Whereas 8900 bp backbone fragment is common to both of them.


Figure 3.47: Graphical map of pPZP101 ManA-GUSint-MBF1c
The figure is showing the transformation vector generated through emptying the pBlueSK + backbone from the pPZP101 ManA-pBLueSK+GUSint-MBF1c forward clone. All of the EcoRI, HindiII, XmaI, SpeI restriction sites are shown also. Consider the fragment lengths that are given with the arcs resulting from the EcoRI-HindIII digestion of the vector.

### 3.1.4.3.3.1 Integration of pBlueSK+GUSint and pPZP101 ManA-MBF1c Vectors

The initial steps undertaken for integration pBlueSK+GUSint and pPZP101 ManAMBF1c vectors are first PCR confirmation of GUSint, MBF1c and ManA coding sequences (figure 3.48) and then confirmation of the prospected restriction sites (XmaI and SpeI-XhoI) on pPZP101 ManA-MBF1c and pBlueSK+GUSint vectors (figure 3.49).


Figure 3.48: PCR confirmation of GUSint and MBF1c, ManA cassettes to be used PCR analysis for GUSint seqeunce in pBlueSK+GUSint clone (A), MBF1c (B) and ManA (C) coding sequences in pPZP101 ManA-MBF1c. Single pBlueSK+GUSint-SK clone was used for PCR analysis and cloning (A). three pPZP101 ManA-MBF1c clones were used for both MBF1c (B) and ManA (C).

As long as the purity of the plasmid preps and prospected restriction sites were confirmed the integration of the pPZP101 ManA-MBfF1c and pBlueSK+GUSint vectors is undertaken. For this purpose both of the vectors were digested with XmaI and CIP was added to pZPZP101 ManA-MBF1c digest mix in order to minimize self circularization of pPZP. Both of the digest mixes were cleaned with PCR cleaning kit and co-eluted. Then fast ligation was carried out with this elution mix. Finally ligation product was transfromed into competent $E$. coli cells.

The PCR conditions, restriction digestion and ligation reactions are given in appendix $d$, tables D.36-D. 40 respectively. Analysis of the pPZPManA-pBlueSK+GUSint-MBF1c hybrid vector is given in the next section.


Figure 3.49: Restriciton of pPZP101 ManA-MBF1c and pBlueSK+GUSint vectors Restriciton analysis of both pPZP101 ManA-MBF1c and pBlueSK+GUSint was done. The XmaI digestion of pBlueSK+GUSint (A-1) and pPZP101 ManA-MBF1c (A-2) was linearised both of the vectors yielding the expected 6 kbps and 10 kbps fragments respectively. Consider the incomplete digestion of pBlueSK+GUSint vector due to its higher concentration. The same vectors were digested with $\mathrm{BcuI}(\mathrm{SpeI})-\mathrm{XhoI}$ (B). Gel image is showing that pBlueSK+GUSint vector is digested into 3.2 and 2.9 kbps GUSint cassette and pBueSK+ fragments respectively (B-1). It is also showing that pPZP101 ManA-MBF1c does not contain these two restriction sites.

### 3.1.4.3.3.2 Generating pPZP101 ManA-GUSint-MBF1c from the Hybrid Vector

 Randomly selected six colonies for the putative pPZP101 ManA-pBlueSK+GUSintMBF1c clones were first analysed on size basis. Fast clone detection of the hybrid vector was carried out with respect to pPZP101 ManA-MBF1c (figure 3.50/ A). Five of these colonies that gave arbitrarily larger fragments are further analyzed through EcoRI restriction digestion (see appendix d, table D. 41 for restriction digest mix). EcoRI digests revealed three prospective clones with forward and reverse orientations (figure 3.50/B). For confirmation of one of the reverse clones (clone number 2) and the forward (clone number 6) BcuI(SpeI)-XhoI double digestions werecarried out. This double digestion also removes the pBlueSK+ vector backbone and releases the pPZP101 ManA-GUSint-MBF1c fragment (figure 3.50/ C) which is then re-circularized.


Figure 3.50: Analysis of pPZP10 ManA-pBlueSK +GUSint-MBF1c clones
(A) Size analysis of pPZP10 ManA-pBlueSK+GUSint-MBF1c clones from randomly selected six colonies. "c" stands for pPZP101 ManA-MBF1c plasmid as size control. Colonies numbered 2-6 showed plasmid bands with higher sizes with respect to the control. (B) Five clones selected for their sizes (2-6) are further digested with EcoRI in order to detect the direction of the pBlueSK+GUSint insert mentioned in figure 3.46. According to the in-silico analysis of the prospective hybrid vectors lanes numbered 2 and 5 are showing the expected reverse clones whereas lane 6 is showing the forward clone. (C) Clones showed in lanes 2 and 6 are further digested with BcuIXhoI for release of the pBlueSK + vector backbone. The upper bands that contain the pPZP101 ManA-GUSint-MBF1c fragments are re-circularized.

For re-circularization of the pPZP101 ManA-GUSint-MBF1c fragment, the BcuI-XhoI digested hybrid vector is first blunted with NEB blunting kit and then the blunted digest mix is separated through gel electrophoresis and gel extracted with GelPurification kit. Finally the pPZP101 ManA-GUSint-MBF1c fragment is re-circularized with fast ligation kit and transformed into competent $E$. coli cells. Refer to the appendix d, table D. 42 for BcuI-XhoI restriction digest mix.

Analysis of the pPZP101 ManA-GUSint-MBF1c vector was performed through size analysis and restriction digestion only. Figure 3.51/ A is showing the putative clones that are resolved on size basis through fast clone detection method. The putative clones revealed through fast clone method were then double digested with EcoRIHindIII for confirmation of intact release of pPZP101 backbone, GUSint, ManA and MBF1c cassettes (figure 3.51/ B).

Finally one of the pPZP101 ManA-GUSint-MBF1c clone is used for electrotransformation into C58C1 and KYRT1::pTJK136 strains.


Figure 3.51: Detection and analysis of pPZP101 ManA-GUSint-MBF1c clones
(A) Fast clone detection for the circularized pPZP101 ManA-GUSint-MBF1c clones. Randomly selected 11 colonies were lysed and gel electrophoresed with respect toz pPZP101 ManA-MBF1c vector (c). (B) Five colonies with higher fragment sizes ( 2,4, 6, 8 and 9) were further digested with EcoRI-HindIII for releasing the pPZP101 backbone, GUSint, ManA and MBF1c cassettes. The first four of the clones gave the expected cassette fragments. See figure 3.47 for EcoRI-HindIII fragment lengths.

### 3.1.4.4 pEarleyGATE Series for Gateway Cloning

As an alternative to pPZP101 ManA-MBF1c cassette cloning Gate-Way cloning technology was considered. Evaluation of Arabidopsis-Tair stocks revealed pEarleyGATE vector series as the most plausible vectors that can be used for

Agrobacterium mediated lentil transformation. Namely the pEarleyGate100 was considered for plant expression and pEarleyGate103 was considered for revealing localization with GFP-tag.

For utilizing the Invitrogen-Gateway technology the entry vector pCR8 was used which is also an Invitrogen brand commercial solution for generating entry clones from PCR fragments. pCR8 is served as linear fragment with TOPO clonase enzymes at its free ends. Any blunt ended DNA fragment can be prepared for TOPO cloning through Taq-DNA polymerase addition of single $A$ to its $3^{\prime}$ ends. In our case Herculase-II amplified MBF1c fragment prepared with Taq-DNA Polymerase and cloned into pCR8, generating pCR8-MBF1c entry vector. pCR8-MBF1c clones were first PCR analyzed and then sent to sequencing. Among the two clones sent to sequencing first turned out to be empty and the second was revealed as reverse entry of MBF1c. since pBlueSK+35S-ManA/MBF1c-NOS clones were already prepared concomitantly as an alternative plan Gateway cloning was ceased. But GFP tagging is still a plausible option of sub-cellular localization of MBF1c.

### 3.2 Agrobacterium Culture Works

Lentil transformation starts with Agrobacterium tumefaciens KYRT1::pTJK136 strainbinary plasmid couple that shows transient GUS gene expression in intact lentil leaves. Our laboratory reported stable transformation to lentil Sultan-1 cv. with this strain- binary plasmid couple (Akçay et. al. 2009). In this thesis work lentil transformation with functional gene MBF1c was planned also with KYRT1 strain (Torisky et. al. 1997) and pTJK136 binary plasmid (Kapila et. al. 1997) that was to be engineered for the MBF1c. Problems with pTJK136 and causes for elimination of this binary vector was given in section 3.1.6.3. Emptying the KYRT1::pTJK136 was another problem that should be resolved prior to transformation experiments. It was not possible to empty the KYRT1::pTJK136 strain-plasmid couple. From culturing at suboptimal temperatures to topoisomerase inhibitors many experiments conducted have been proven unsuccessful for curing KYRT1. In depth evaluation of the problem also revealed an interesting report that pKYRT1 engineered from pTiChry5 contains still another border sequence (Palanichelvamet. al. 2000). Presence of another active T-DNA region is obviously problematic during functional gene transfer to plants. Inability in curing and presence of another T-DNA region in vir helper plasmid PKYRT1 were the two causes for setting KYRT1 in second queue in this thesis transformation works. C58C1 was shown to be the second potent strain after KYRT1 (Çelikkol 2002) in lentil transformation. So along with C58C1, KYRT1 was also prepared for lentil transformation studies.

The pPZP101 ManA-MBF1c and pPZP101 ManA-GUSint-MBF1c transformation vectors generated were electro-transformed to both C58C1 and KYRT1 strains. Culturing temperatures for C 58 C 1 and KYRT1 strains were re-defined as 22 and $28^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ respectively (Baron et. al. 2001).

Growth bacteria in YEB-MES medium for plant transformation and harvesting the bacteria at specific growth phase $\left(\mathrm{OD}_{600}=0.8\right)$ was critical step for successful transformation experiment. For this purpose growth rate calculations were made during culturing. Sharp up and down shifts at growth rates calculated, raised
suspects about the culture conditions. This poly-cryptic growth was addressed as signs for metabolic shift of bacteria for different nutrients upon depletion of one. The main nutrient source of the YEB-MES media is LB. And LB was noted to be nonstandard from batch to batch. Still another problem about the LB media was reported to be in sufficient and nonstandard carbon source, which is the cause of poly-cryptic growth (Nikaido 2009). So we eliminate using YEB media and the most suitable alternative of YEB was found to be MG/L media (Arlene et al. 2006). Analysis of bacterial growth in MG/L media showed that the poly-cryptic growth was eliminated.

### 3.2.1 Curing KYRT1 for Elimination of pTJK136

Curing can be simply explained as plasmid elimination from the bacterial cell. Approaches for curing can be listed under two headings. First is direct loss of plasmid through physical processes and chemicals applied, second is interference with the replication of genetic material in special the plasmid. Permeabilization of the bacterial membrane and cell wall with SDS or menthol, loss of membrane integrity and cellular components through spheroplasting or electroporating are among the first methods that aims direct loss of the plasmid. Dealing with DNA topologyDNA topoisomerase inhibition or introducing negative supercoiling with DNA interchelating dyes are among the second

KYRT1::pTJK136 curing practices undertaken in this thesis study are listed below.

### 3.2.2 Culturing at sub-optimal temperatures

Both serial passage and culturing at sub optimal temperatures were studied for curing KYRT1. Only rifampicin (innate resistance of Agrobacterium species) and carbenicilin (resistance of pKYRT1; engineered pTi, vir helper) resistance of bacteria were utilized during sub culturing period. Streptomycin or spectinomycin resistance was used when pTJK136 screening was performed. For sub culturing $50 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of overnight batch cultures of KYRT1::pTJK136 in liquid LB with rifampicin and carbenicilin were sub cultured into 10 ml fresh media within 50 ml falcon tubes both
at 28 and $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Starting from the third sub-culture $100 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of diluted $\left(10^{-5} \mathrm{X}\right)$ bacterial suspension was spreaded to LB agar with rifampicin and carbenicilin. Two days incubation at 280 C was followed by replica plating selected colonies on separate LB agar plates with rifampicin, carbenicilin or rifampicin, carbenicilin and stpretomycin media. For recovery of any cured KYRT1 colony up to ten sub cultures were successively performed, but it only maintained phenotypic elimination of streptomycin resistance that reverted in subsequent days.

### 3.2.3 Topoisomerase inhibitors

Idea of using DNA gyrase inhibitors in special topoisomerase II inhibitors is for maintaining interference with topology of the plasmid DNA and hence its' replication, partitioning during growth. "Siprofloksasin" is a synthetic floroquinolon derivative that was utilized as topoisomerase II inhibitor in this study. Its' commercial formulation named CIPRO ${ }^{\circledR} 500$ was supplied from a local pharmaceutics. The minimal inhibitory concentration for most of the microorgansims was given as $0.005-2.0 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$. A 500 mg tablet was dissolved in 20 ml sterile distilled $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ with the aid of a few drops of glacial hydrochloric acid. The stock of $25 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml}$ CIPRO (siprofloksasin) was filter sterilized and kept at room temperature in dark for use in curing experiments.

For curing experiments a $10 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml}$ of LB CIPRO stock with rifampicin and carbenicilin was prepared and stored at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. In order to test the minimal inhibitory dosage for KYRT1 two independent tests were made. In the first test $0.2,0.1,0.05$, $0.025 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml}$ CIPRO concentrations were analyzed with respect to no CIPRO. In the second test $0.025,0.015,0.010,0.005 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml}$ CIPRO concentrations were analyzed with respect to no CIPRO. At the end of overnight culturing due to inconsistency in bacterial growth CIPRO aided curing was omitted from the study.

### 3.2.4 SDS and Electrocuring

SDS is noted to be efficient curing agent in many works and still many others find it useless. Idea behind using SDS as curing agent was to interfere with the plasmid-
plasma membrane anchoring determinants. The curing experiments with SDS were performed at three different temperatures namely 17,28 and $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and for an SDS concentration range of 0.1-8.4\%.

Only three experiments were conducted with electroporator for electrocuring. Reports concerning the electrocuring of $E$. coli and single step Ti plasmid transfer from Agrobacterium to E. coli through electroporation were the two studies for commencing electrocuring KYRT1.

Replica plates for both of the SDS and electrocuring studies also failed in recovery of cured KYRT1.

### 3.2.5 Chelating Divalent Cations with EDTA

EDTA is known to be the divalent cation chelator with different affinities to $\mathrm{Ca}, \mathrm{Mg}$ and other divalent cations. Its use as curing agent is thought to be indirect through Mg ion which is critical in DNA replication.

The outweighing nutrient source of LB is yeast extract. And the concentration of Mg ions in yeast extract was found to be around 0.001M (Grant 1962). For curing experiments EDTA was tested in the range of 4 to 0.0625 mM . It has inhibited the bacterial growth at 4 mM , showed in consistent growth rates at 2, 1 and 0.5 mM and had approximately no effect at 0.25 mM concentrations.

### 3.2.6 Combination of Curing Strategies

The problems that lead to failure in curing Agrobacterium should be addressed to the curing agents and strategies suitable for other bacteria are applied to Agrobacterium with minimal modifications. It is obvious that special techniques should be developed for curing KYRT1 as the gram negative soil bacteria. Differences of Agrobacterium with respect to $E$. coli that should be considered when developing curing protocols can be stated as follows. Agrobacterium cell densities are higher with respect to that of $E$. coli for a certain optical density measurement.

Also Agrobacterium cells have thicker cell wall then E. coli cells. Also mobility and stability regions of binary transformation vector from pSV1 should be taken into account along with the transformation vector sizes.

Considering all of these information and experience a probable curing method can be outlined as follows. Continuous sub-culturing Agrobacterium in a defined media with Ca and Mg chelator (EDTA) should maintain the cell density at a critical state that inhibits conjugal transfer of plasmids. With this way Mg should be maintained as a limiting factor of DNA replication and also Ca should be delimited for loosening bacterial cell wall material. And also presence of SDS and or menthol should be considered for attacking membrane integrity. Final event of curing should be considered as heat shock applied. Heat shocking for a brief period of time around $60-70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ may result in loss of cell wall and membrane patches along with the plasmids. Heat shock might also be replaced with electroporation. The most critical step might be pre-conditioning the cured bacteria in SOC or other recovery media prior to spreading. All of the steps considered can be handled in a separate optimization work that might be postponed to a proper time period.

### 3.3 Binary Vector Transformation to C58C1 and KYRT1

Preparation of C58C1 and KYRT1 cells for electroporation was done according to "Cold Spring Harb. Protoc. (2006) pdb.prot4665". Transformation of pPZP101 ManAMBF1c and pPZP101 ManA-GUSint-MBF1c into C58C1 and KYRT1::pTJK136 were done with BioRad Micro Pulser Electroporator in 0.1 cm electroporation cuvettes. Following bacterial growth and subculture Agrobacterium cells electroporation of plasmids were confirmed through colony PCR Analysis.

### 3.4 Plant Transformation Works

A schematic diagram is given below (figure 3.52) for showing the main steps of lentil transformation in this thesis work. The target tissue for transformation is cotyledonary nodal meristem of 4 days old seedlings. The initial step is surface sterilization of the seeds. Then germination is maintained in dark on water agar.

Next cotyledonary nodal segment is isolated from these 4 days old seedling. Along with the injury, explant isolation is the most labor intensive and hence the least standard step of the transformation works. In a standard cotyledonary nodal segment isolation practice cotyledonary nodal meristems are engraved with cotyledonary petioles. In order to injure meristem zones special glass needles were devised and injury practices were made under dissecting microscope which already increased the labor load. In order to leap over this labor load and standardize the explant isolation, injury steps an optimization work was committed. Aim was isolation of explant during which the process also injures the meristematic zones. Following each explant isolation practice meristematic zones was stained and highlighted red with TCC. Improper and nonstandard sorting of the meristems revealed with TCC staining in either section of the prepared explant leaded us to conventional explant isolation method and then injury in a separate process. However at least in order to simplify the injury process cotyledonary petioles removed completely from the embryo axis and meristems left. In this case the labor load was doubled since the explant isolation and injury were to be made under dissection microscope.

Along with the explant preparation Agrobacterium preparation was also modified. Raise of new transgenic plants and accumulation of new procedures with enhanced gene transfer rates lead us to modify our protocols accordingly. For this purpose we have modified our inoculation and co-cultivation media formulation along with the germination process and transformation timeline. Also as the emerging selection agent in plant transformation, mannose selection system was worked out prior to transformation studies.

Plantlet regeneration from the putative transgenic shoots as the last step of the study is still carried out through micro grafting. The problematic step of the grafting also remains to be the stem girth of the root stock. The girth of the root stock ranges approximately between $0.8-1.3 \mathrm{~mm}$. And insertion of the putative transgenic


Figure 3.52: Diagram of lentil transformation steps for this thesis works
scion in to the root stock stem requires practice and expertise. Although the grafting is done under dissection microscope, still not every graft could be recovered as a whole plant. In order to overcome the stem girth problem many attempts of stem girth exaggeration were done. But yield expected was at least $50 \%$ enhancement and it was not reached in any.

### 3.4.1 Modification in Lentil Seed Germination Media

Generally seed germinations are maintained in full strength plant growth media like MS salts, Vitamins and sucrose. Utilizing a full media during three to four days of germination is like wasting the sources since germination requires water, oxygen and support material only. In order to prove this statement lentil seeds are
germinated on water agar $\left(\mathrm{M}_{0}\right)$ and full strength MS media ( $\mathrm{M}_{1}$; MS nutrients with vitamins, sucrose and agar). At the end of three days dark germinated lentils were scored for rate of germination, seedling weights and hypocotyl lengths. As it is evident from the collected data and visual observations (figure 3.53), full complement media $\left(\mathrm{M}_{1}\right)$ for 3 days germination does not result in superior seedlings compared to water-agar ( $\mathrm{M}_{0}$ ) germinated seedling. Furthermore there is a slight insignificant depression of germination parameters in $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ group with respect to $\mathrm{M}_{0}$.

Depending on these preliminary findings, germination steps are going to be carried out in $\mathrm{M}_{0}$ media (water-agar) for subsequent experiments.


Figure 3.53: Germination scores of Sultan 1 on Water Agar and MS media Graphic interpretation of germination scores given in table E.1, appendix e.

### 3.4.2 Explant Preparation and Transformation

General considerations on methods of explant preparation were given in materials and methods section. It can be stated here that explant isolation from the cotyledonary nodal segment is the most labor intensive and hence least standard step of transformation. Conventionally explant isolation and injury steps are separated. Binding them into one step may depress the labor load to tolerable limits
while a standard can be exercised in expense. The requirement for a standard arises mainly from the physiologic effect of injury and bacterial inoculation on explant. Extensive injury applied during explant isolation and wounding made on meristems plus bacterial inoculation results in necrosis of a proper fraction of explants, especially the tissues targeted to transformation. And necrotic explant number is even multiplied as more concentrated Agrobacterium suspension is used for explant inoculation. To minimize these necrotic tissues explant isolation and wounding should be limited within the boundaries of a standard.

Conventionally, explant injuries were performed under dissection microscope. Injury practices with un-aided eye may also speed up the process but the quality of the prepared explant may drop. Major obstacle for explant preparation without microscope is the indiscernible millimeter scale tissue and inadequate resolution. Activity staining of the regenerating meristematic tissues sounded plausible gateway to overcome this obstacle. For this purpose explants were stained with 3-phenyl, tetrazolium chloride (TCC). Preliminary staining gave inadequate resolution to unaided eye but it served quite good resolution under dissecting microscope. Comparison of its toxicity and resolution maintained, staining the explant with TCC was omitted.

In order to couple explant isolation and injury steps two different explant isolation methods were generated and tested along with the conventional cotyledonary nodal segment isolation. For diagnosis of the devised explant isolation methods injured and dead zones and the active meristematic zones of explants were differentially stained with TCC and cresol blue. Evaluation of the results leaded us to conclude using conventional explant isolation method with minor modifications and requirement of dissection microscope during both explant isolation and injury practices.

### 3.4.2.1 Activity Staining of the Seedlings and Cotyledonary Nodes

For initial analysis, germinated plantlets were incubated with different concentrations of TTC (tri phenyl tetrazolium chloride) solutions at room temperature. Thirty minutes of staining resulted in faint to dark pink colored cotyledons (figure 3.54). Among them explants stained at 0.05 and $0.1 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ concentrations were excised to reveal the staining profile of the cotyledonary nodal meristems (figure 3.55).


Figure 3.54: TCC staining profiles of lentil cotyledonary segments
TTC stained explants. The TCC concentrations (mg/l) of the explant incubation solutions are given with the explants in the figure. Notice the TCC stained explants coloring from faint to dark-pink.


Figure 3.55: TCC stained active axial meristematic sections of Sultan 1 TCC staining at cotyledonary nodal meristems are shown for 0.05 and $0.1 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ concentrations of TCC. Notice the red arrow heads that are directed towards the active meristematic zones stained faint pink.

Although the TTC staining may help in detection of the meristematic zones with unaided eye during injury applications insertion of the glassware needle right into the stained zone requires more resolution, meaning that a dissecting microscope may serve great for our purpose in wounding. That is TTC staining makes our target tissue more discernible but injury practice right into the correct meristematic zones require more resolution.

### 3.4.2.2 Evaluation of Lentil Explant Preparation Practices

Explant isolation methods are covered in materials and methods section 2.2.3.6. Following explant isolations with the three different methods for revealing the viable and dead tissues explants were TCC stained and incubated in cresol blue solution. Viable and dead sections were discriminated with red and dark blue stains respectively. Staining profiles of for different explant isolation procedures are given in figures 3.56, 3.57, 3.58, for conventional, epicotyl excised, cotyledons stripped methods for explant isolation respectively.

### 3.4.2.3 Regeneration Potentials of Explants Isolated with Different Methods

Cotyledonary nodal segments isolated with three different methods namely, conventional, epicotyl excised and cotyledons stripped methods were explained above. Explants recovered with three different isolation styles are further wounded at their meristematic zones with fine glass needles. In order to reveal the regenerative potential of these meristems, wounded explants were cultured three days in $1 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{IBA}$ in $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ media. Explants that maintain and further raise their shoots were scored after the three day culture period. According to the results, it can be stated that meristematic zones can raise their shoots weather explants are extensively injured during explant preparation or not. Stated in other words once shoot is initiated at the meristem base, dead of surrounding embryonic tissues and


Figure 3.56: Viability stainings of explants isolated with conventional method Explants prepared through standard isolation method. The dark blue (cresol blue) stained sections are dead tissues mainly composed of cotyledonary petioles. Red stained sections noted with arrow heads are the shoot initial from the meristems. Consider the accessibility of the meristem zones for wounding.


Figure 3.57: Viability stainings of explants isolated with epicotyl excised method Explants prepared through epicotyl excised method. See the detailed steps of explant isolation in figure 2.1. Consider the dead tissues stained with cresol blue sequestering the active meristematic zones stained with TCC (arrow heads in pictures $b$ and $c$ ). During explant preparation through this method the proper place of second incision (figure 2.1/ 2b) could not be located on both of the meristems with reputation. This observation is also confirmed with the TCC stained meristematic zones scattered among the pictures a-c.


Figure 3.58: Viability stainings of explants isolated with cotyledons stripped method Explants prepared through cotyledons torn method. Consider the intensity of cresol blue staining on the embryo axis in pictures (a) and (b). Intensity of dead tissues is highest with this explant isolation method. Departing of the shoot meristems is rather random. Both of the meristems may be stripped with the cotyledons (c) or at least one of the meristem may be left on the embryo axis (b).
proper wounding to the shoot initials does not depress shoot raise (appendix e, table E. 2 and figure 3.59). But the physiological response of the prepared explant upon Agrobacterium inoculation remains to be a question mark.


Figure 3.59: Scores for shoot initials of different explant isolation methods Shoot regeneration potentials are figured out for the cotyledonary nodal meristems from different isolation methods. Shoot regeneration capacities of the meristems are not significantly different for explants generated through conventional and epicotyl excised methods. For cotyledons stripped method on the other hand, due to unbalanced sorting of the meristematic tissues on either cotyledons or embryo axis regenerative capacity drops down.

### 3.4.2.4 Conventional Explant Isolation Method

Although different methods of explant isolation were experimented, evaluation of the results in detail revealed that these methods did not impose standard on the cotyledonary nodes generated. In the expense of simplicity and less labor epicotyl excised and cotyledons stripped methods yielded inconsistent injury and random departing of the meristems on either section of the excised explant. In the end conventional cotyledonary node isolation was employed again. In order to reveal the meristematic zones explants were isolated under dissection microscope and cotyledonary petioles were excised at the embryo axis junction point leaving the
meristems on the axis to open access. This slight modification served great during explant injury such that special glass needles were not required further and injury can be made with the surgical blade.

### 3.4.3 Agrobacterium Inoculation and Co-cultivation Media Formulation

 Modification of culture temperatures for KYRT1 and C58C1 strains and growth media were explained within the concept of Agrobacterium culture works in section3.2. In this section modification in Agrobacterium inoculation and co-cultivation media is explained.The inoculation and co-cultivation media were different in our previous Agrobacterium mediated transformation protocol for lentil (Kamçı 2004). Inoculation media was so called MMA medium containing half strength MS macro and micro nutrients with $3 \%$ sucrose buffered to pH 5.6 with MES. Frequent precipitation and contamination was seen in this media. Co-cultivation media was half strength Hoagland's-E medium. Advent of new procedures and raise of new transgenic plants along with the new agents for enhanced transformation rates leaded us to reformulate the inoculation media which was agar solidified and used also as cocultivation media.

### 3.4.3.1 Inoculation and Co-cultivation Media Re-formulation

For Agrobacterium inoculation and co-cultivation media reformulation a basis medium was to be selected. And for this purpose Hoagland's-E medium and MS medium were compared. Nutrient concentrations of the media considered are approximately equal. MS medium contains cobalt, iodide and ammonium nitrate which are not present in Hoagland's formulation. Compared to the ionic strength and preparation, Hoagland's-E medium was simpler then MS medium. Easy preparation and control over the media ingredients were the two causes for selection of Hoagland's-E medium as basis. See appendix d table D. 43 for comparison of the compositions for the two media.

Ammonium, nitrate, phosphate and calcium were the components to be omitted from inoculation and co-cultivation media according to Azadi et. al. (2010). Hoagland's-E medium lacks ammonium but contains calcium, nitrate and phosphate as in the forms $\mathrm{Ca}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2} .4 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{KNO}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{KH}_{2} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ of. As the first modification, these are omitted from the Hoagland's solution

As the initial additions to the media, we have considered thiamin and myo-inositol which are essential vitamin components of plant tissue culture optimized during tobacco studies. Another additive is tryptophan. It was noted in the reviews above that tryptophan is converted into an auxin analog that avoids plant defense against Agrobacterium (Escobar et. al., Article in press). And also ascorbic acid and silver nitrate were the two other additives that take effect in clearance of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ mediated ethylene response clearance respectively. The final additive was I-cysteine. It was used due to its irreversible (covalent) binding to poly phenol oxidases' $\mathrm{Fe} / \mathrm{Cu}$ containing reaction center (Olhoft et. al. 2001).

Components omitted from and added to the Hoagland's-E medium were listed above. Special care was considered during preparation of the inoculation the media since from amino acids, vitamins, antioxidants to metal nitrate very different components were considered in the media mixture.

Main solution of the media was prepared with the simplified Hoagland's-E medium plus sucrose as the carbon source and MES as the buffering agent. This main solution was prepared and pH was titrated to 5.6 and stored at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ prior to use. Rest of the components was filter sterilized or dissolved in DMSO due to stability concerns and heat sensitivities. Thiamin, myo-inositol and tryptophan were filter sterilized and stored as mixture at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ till use. Ascorbic acid and silver nitrate was also filter sterilized but stored separately at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Since DMSO was used for preparation of acetosyringone and I-cysteine these additives were not filter sterilized but freshly prepared before use. Prior use all of the components were brought together to form the inoculation media.

The concerns about the concentration of components in inoculation media were discussed below. The base media constructed through omission of some of the components from Hoaglands' E media is tabulated below (table D.44). Sucrose added was $3 \%$. For addition of MES as buffering agent first ionic strength of the base media was calculated. Micronutrients were omitted from this calculation since their effect to ionic strength calculation was in $\mu \mathrm{M}$ scale. Only the two abundant components, $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and $\mathrm{FeCl}_{3}$ were considered for ionic strength calculation. For buffering the medium with an ionic strength of 4.12 mM to pH 5.6 at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ the amount of MES was calculated as 3.9 g .

The concentrations of the additives of the modified Hoagland's-E medium are as follows. The amounts of thiamin and myo-inositol used in the modified inoculation media was directly taken from the MS vitamins recipe. The amounts were 10 and 100 $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ for thiamin and myo-inositol respectively. For tryptophan concentration gram negative bacteria tryptophan auxotroph's culture addition was considered as
reference. Final concentration for tryptophan added to inoculation media was taken as $10 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$. Acetosyringone in inoculation media was not modified (200 M M). Final concentration of ascorbic acid was taken as $100 \mu \mathrm{M}$. For silver nitrate final concentration soybean transformation was taken as reference ( $5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ ). The final component, l-cystein was used at a final concentration for $1 \mathrm{gr} / \mathrm{l}$.

Preparation of the inoculation media is depicted in the figure 3.60 below. The base media with MES and sucrose is prepared and stored at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. For the rest of the components liability and fortification concerns leaded us to filter sterilization or preparation of components just before use. Exact formulation of the inoculation and co-cultivation media are tabulated in materials and methods section

Inoculation media without sucrose and solidified with $0.6 \%$ agar was used as cocultivation media also. The preparation is the same with the inoculation media described above.

### 3.4.1 Initial Transformation Experiments

In order to make a brief diagnosis of the lentil transformation with new media formulations and new explant isolation methods devised, the initial two transformation experiments were conducted as preliminary experiments. In these


> * for co-cultivation media preparation sucrose is removed the composition media is solidified with $0.6 \%$ plant agar.

Figure 3.60: Inoculation media preparation precautions
experiments three days old lentil seedlings germinated on water agar were used as explant sources. Explant isolation was performed according to the section 3.4.2 as conventional and epicotyl excised methods. Immediately after isolation, half of the explants prepared through conventional method were injured through poking into the meristem and the rest were injured through slicing into meristem along the embryo axis. Then explants were Agrobacterium inoculated for two hours. Next explants were decontaminated in Augmentin or Timentin solution and transferred to co-cultivation media composed of MS media with vitamins and supplemented with BA, TDZ and Augmentin. Seven days of co-culture at $25 \pm 2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ resulted in high rates of necrosis in whole sets. Hence in order to figure out the possible cause(s) of necrosis, experimental setup and results were diagnosed. Data summary of the
results are given in appendix e, table E. 3 and graphical interpretation of the data is given in figure 3.61 below.

Necrosis or full bleaching was the most prominent observation of the preliminary transformation experiment. Even the necrosis rate was scored between 30 to $63 \%$ for the control groups. Also the control and transformation applications of the same injury group are not significantly different. That is necrosis pattern does not change significantly with Agrobacterium inoculation. It is also noted that necrosis was clearly observed at the third day of co-culture period. Visual analysis of the explants under dissection microscope revealed that the necrotic zones were prominent at the hypocotyl and epicotyl sections. During explant injury practices these sections are the zones that we handle the explants with tweezers. That is, during explant isolation un-intended injuries are made on the explant. Also during preparation of cotyledonary nodal meristems for transformation another round of injury is undertaken. In such a condition generation and vascular transport of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ cannot be avoided. As a solution a delicate pre-culture period could be considered prior to or after injury for introducing a recovery time to the explants isolated and injured. Preculture media is the same as co-cultivation media.


Figure 3.61: Necrosis of different explant isolation types after trasnformation Graphical interpretation of the data summary in appendix e, table E. 3

### 3.4.2 Transformation Experiments Continued

Interpretation of the results from the first transformation experiments and formulation of new pre-culture, inoculation and co-culture media and explant isolation studies conducted aided for re-planning of the transformation. As it was explained in section 3.4.2.4 cotyledonary nodal segments prepared were without cotyledonary petioles. In the second set of transformation experiment, explants isolated were either left to pre-culture for one or two days and then injured or first injured and then left into pre-culture media prior to Agrobacterium inoculation. Also germination media (H2O-agar) contained $2 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{I}$ TDZ or BA or 1mg/l TDZ+BA for inducing the cotyledonary nodal meristems. Following inoculation and co-culture explants are sub cultured in M1 media under mannose (3\%) selection, timentin and TDZ or BA for maintaining regeneration and selection.

Since the necrotic behavior was very prominent in the first transformation experiment, in the second transformation set explants were closely examined in the first two weeks of sub-culture. Data and its graphical interpretation are given in appendix e table E. 4 and figures 3.62 and 3.63 respectively.


Figure 3.62: Explant viability and regeneration data in the first week
Explant viability and regeneration data in the first week of the second transformation set is figured.

In the first week of sub-culture it is likely to find an inverse correlation between the callus formations and shoot regeneration among the experimental sub groups at level 2 (blue and green bars in figure 3.62). Necrotic phenotype was observed without any trend in the very first week of the sub-culture.

Depending on the second week data it can be stated that both callus formation and shoot regeneration are in an increasing and decreasing trend respectively. That is in the second week as there is increasing callus formation for the explants grouped at level 2 sub-set (blue bars in figure 3.63), the same explant sub-groups show decreasing shoot regeneration (green bars in figure 3.63). According to the figure 3.63 it can be stated that explants processed through subsequent injury and preculture (or pre-culture and injury) periods plus cytokinins ( $2 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ ) used mediate more callus as the pre-culture period shift from one day to two days. Inverse of the same trend holds for the shoot regeneration pattern.

Besides callusing and shot regenerating explants there is also another sub set of explants emerging in the second week that do not show any regenerative or callus response but remain dormant (red bars in figure 3.63). It is not possible to trace any trend for this explant group. They have scattered behavior for different experimental groups starting from the level 1.

As the data for both weeks compared we may state that in the first week necrotic explants are removed from the culture dish. Amount of callus induction is most prominent in this week while regeneration is also started. In the second week some of the explants that show callus bodies started to give shoot and shoot forming explant number are almost equal to the callus forming explant number.

Next data are recorded on monthly basis. Experiment groups prepared with TZD and TDZ+BA combination were all necrossed at the end of the fourth sub-culture. So these data sets are omitted from evaluation. According to the results it can be evaluated that one of the four experimental group progressed superior over the
others as necrotic behavior, shoot regeneration capacity and callus formation rates are considered (figures 3.63, 3.65 and 3.66).


Figure 3.63: Explant viability and regeneration data in the second week
Explant viability and regeneration data in the second week of second transformation set is figured.


Figure 3.64: Necrosis scores for transformed explants
Highest rate of necrosis was observed at second month for the application group enclosed with red lines. The same group performs superior over the others as scores for third and fourth months are considered. This superior group of explants comes from explants isolated from seedlings germinated in $2 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ BA in water agar plates and pre-cultured in co-cultivation media for two days and then injured and transformed.

Evaluation of the results target a specific explant preparation method that performs well with low rate of necrosis, higher rates of shoot regeneration and low rates of callus formation from the meristematic tissues throughout the carried sub-cultures. Shoots regenerated from these applications were grafted and also initial PCR analysis of transgene expression was made from the fresh leaves. Although some primary amplification were observed these amplification signals (figure 3.67) seen in fresh leaves lost as the plants grew further.


Figure 3.65: Shoot regeneration scores for transformed explants
Shoot regeneration potential of the same superior group depicted in figure 3.64 is shown.

Callus Formation


Figure 3.66: Callus formation scores for transformed explants
Callus formation potential of the same superior group depicted in figure 3.64 is shown


Figure 3.67: Leaf PCR agarose gel image
Weak amplification signal of MBF1c (447bp) (C) was observed from the fresh leaves of second sample (grafted putative transgenic shoots). However a complementing signal for ManA (1176bp) amplification was not seen (B). Chloramphenicol (antibiotic resistance gene of the plant transformation vector, located outside the T-DNA) amplification (800bp) is also not observed for the samples scanned.

### 3.4.3 Transient GUS Expression Analysis with pPZP101 MAnA GUSint MBF1c

Transformation experiments made with C58C1::pPZP101 ManA MBF1c strain binary vector couple will reside in the range of transformation optimization works as long as no transgenics are recovered. The main handicap can be attributed to use of C58C1 instead of KYRT1. In order to grasp a vision about what may be happening at tissue level upon transformation, GUSin cassette is integrated into the present pPZP101 ManA MBF1c vector. And transient visual marker (GUS) expression analysis was performed on explants transformed with both C58C1 and KYRT1 strains (figure 3.68).

Explants transformed with C58C1 and KYRT1 strains loaded with pPZP101 ManA GUSint MBF1c transformation vector are shown. C58C1 contains only pPZP101 ManA GUSint MBF1c vector but KYRT1 contains both pTJK136 and pPZP101 ManA GUSint MBF1c vectors that contain GUSint cassette. Consequently histochemical GUS analysis of KYRT1 transformed explants showed considerably higher GUS staining. Further transformation experiments should be conducted with pPZP101 ManA GUSint MBF1c vector in order to reveal the dynamics behind the fainting transgene expression in putative transgenic tissues.


Figure 3.68: Transient GUS expression analysis on lentil leaves

### 3.4.4 Mannose selection system

Mannose selection should also be regarded as negative selection since accumulating mannose in the form of mannose 6-phosphate inside the cytoplasm is toxic to the cell. Mannose selection is an emerging trend in transgenic studies and its adoptability to lentil transformation is not studied yet. In order to make a brief introduction to mannose selection system applicability in lentil mannose effect on germination and secondary shoot formation was evaluated.

### 3.4.4.1 Mannose Effect on Germination

A simple germination experiment was conducted for diagnosis of effect of mannose on lentil seedling development. For this purpose lentil seeds are germinated on water agar supplemented with wearying concentrations of either mannose or sucrose. At the end of three days epicotyl and hypocotyl raise for seedling are measured. Depending on the data it can be stated that higher concentrations of both carbon sources are inhibitory to epicotyl formation while hypocotyl can be raised in any concentration of both carbon source. On the other hand it can be
clearly stated that 4\% mannose is completely inhibitory to epicotyl formation with respect to $4 \%$ sucrose application and control (figure 3.69).

It can be concluded that $4 \%$ mannose in water agar plates can be used to select the transgenic lentil seedlings according to their epicotyl raise.


Figure 3.69: Epicotyl and hypocotyl elongation of seedlings on sucrose and mannose

### 3.4.4.2 Mannose Effect on Regeneration from Cotyledonary Nodes

In order to make an assessment of mannose effect on axillary shoot regeneration, the cotyledonary node explants are cultured in MS salts and vitamins media supplemented with varied amounts of mannose and sucrose and $1 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{I}$ BAP. At the end of four weeks culture mean dry weight of the shoots and mean number of shoots per explants was scored. For convenience mean dry weight scores was multiplied by 100 in order to show the data in the same graph with mean shoot number (figure 3.70).


Figure 3.70: Effect of mannose and sucrose on axillary shoot regeneration

Compared to the control and other test groups mean shoot number is depressed significantly when $4 \%$ mannose is used as the only carbon source. Also as it is evident from the mean dry weight data non transgenic shoots generated in the presence of 4\% mannose would show swelled morphology as mean shoot number to mean dry weight ratios for other test groups are considered.

### 3.4.5 Micro-grafting

Micro-grafting was first optimized as cleft and whip type grafts in our laboratory (Kamçı, 2004). Up to date two minor modifications were done for enhancing the efficiency. First modification was elimination of the graft suture. In the initial optimized method spirally prepared lab tubing was used to squeeze the graft union at the neck. Insertion of the scion into stock and squeezing the spiral lab tubing to the union region was quiet difficult to practice. Another method was devised during thesis studies and also used in our first genetically modified lentil (Akçay et. al. 2009). In this method the stem of the root stock was not excised along the stem axis but rather an incision was introduced so that as the scion is inserted the stem itself holds it in place. But another problem was raised with this method. This time it was problem to open the incision sites apart. The two halves of the stem were immediately collapsing onto each other prior to scion insertion so scions were forced into the stem and they were broken usually. In order to solve this problem
lentil relative species with larger stem girths were searched. And a preliminary experiment was conducted with a Turkish lentil cultivar which returned unsuccessful. So exaggeration of stem girth was hypothesized as a solution.

### 3.4.5.1 Stock Stem Girth Exaggeration

### 3.4.5.1.1 Auxin Application during Micrografting

Although the applied amount of auxin ( $1 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ ) did not directly affect the root stock secondary shoot formation it resulted in improvement of the health status of the scions. Either application of auxin did not result in any enhancement on lowering the number of secondary shoots generated from the nodal segment with respect to the control (see bars Normal, Pre/Post-Auxin in figure 3.71). However application of auxin after micrografting to the graft union region (directly from the NaOH stock due to volumetric concerns) resulted in approximately 9 fold depression in health status of the scion (compare wilting bars for Post-Auxin versus Normal in figure 3.71). However if we compare the Pre-Auxin application with Normal we can note a double fold improvement on wilting status of the scions.

### 3.4.5.1.2 Effect of Auxin on Secondary Shoot Regeneration of Root Stocks

Following the grafting practices at the end of the two weeks the micrografts begin to recover after a period close nursery for removing their secondary shoots. It is the first week of the grafting practice that secondary shoot formation boosts and accounts for the 12-14 days of the root stock from germination. So root stocks with 12-14 days age old were decapitated re-cultured with different concentrations of auxin. At the end of 1 week scores for secondary shoot formation were scored (figure 3.72). According to the observations at least one half of the grafts of control group were showing secondary shoot regeneration from their nodal segments. While being the most active the 12 days old root stocks` secondary shoot regeneration depressed almost tree fold under the effect of $2.6 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ auxin concentration with respect to the control group. The secondary shoot regeneration


Figure 3.71: Effect of auxin on health of scions and secondary shoot of root stock Effect of auxin on health status of scions and secondary shoot formation of the root stock. Scores were taken at the end of 6 days after micrografting. Pre-Auxin application: scions wetted with $1 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{I}$ Auxin. Post-Auxin application: graft unions wetted with 1 mg auxin from $4 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml}$ auxin stock.
activity of the other two 13 and 14 days old groups were met approximately at thesame plateau with that of 12 days old group for $2.6 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ auxin application. That is with $2.6 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{I}$ auxin application the secondary shoot regeneration capacity of the root stocks are depressed with a rate of almost 3 fold with respect to control while age of the root stock does not matter.

### 3.4.5.1.3 Auxin Effect on Scions

While the effect of auxin applied to the grafts were noted as favorable for scion health for a full micrograft it was not shown to be so when scions were incubated solely on auxin supplied with Hoglands` solution. Varying auxin concentrations applied from 0.4 to $4.0 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{I}$ resulted in different wilting patterns in incubated scions under light and approximately $22{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ culture temperature environment. When compared to the success of grafted scion health status noted in previous sections the wilting effect might be due to continuous induction with auxin in liquid culture
and triggering hypersensitive response or due to the lack of adequate carbon source; first proposal being the most plausible. Experiment should be revisited with appropriate precautions in order to see the sole auxin effect on health status of the scions (figure 3.73).


Figure 3.72: Auxin effect on secondary shoot formation of decapitated root stocks Bars denoting for 12,13 and 14 days old root stocks respectively


Figure 3.73: Auxin effect on scion wilting Numbers indicating mg/l of auxin applied.

### 3.4.5.1.4 Decapitation and Hormone Effect on Stem Girth of Root Stocks

For this part of the experimentation since the root stocks for grafting practices were germinated at dark conditions aim was to depress etiolation with Ag and to enhance the vascularization with auxin in order to increase the stem girth of the decapitated root stocks. For this purpose cytokinin, auxin and AgNO 3 along with auxin were applied separately to the cultures of germinated 3 days old root stocks. Among the groups only the control group showed slender weak stem segments while the test groups showed highly fleshy and erect stem segments.

All of the different applications resulted with a relative enhancement on stem weight and length with respect to control group, but any of the application did not yield a respectable growth in stem girt compared to the control. On the whole the maximum enhancement in stem girth was at most 0.1 mm with $4 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ auxin and 1 $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{I} \mathrm{AgNO} 3$ application (figure 3.74).


Figure 3.74: Effect of phytormones and $\mathrm{AgNO}_{3}$ on epicotyl growths of seedlings

### 3.4.5.1.5 A Slight Modification in Grafting Solved the Problems

Since stem girth exaggeration practices did not produce adequate enlargement in stem radius and also due to unpredictable post effects of hormone applications, we


Figure 3.75: Micro-grafting method optimization studies schematized
(A) Cleft type micro-grafting was adapted to lentil in master thesis study by Kamç। (2004). Most critical issue for the cleft type of grafting in lentil was holding the scion and stock united. (A) For this purpose spirally prepared lab tubing was devised first (Kamçı 2004). Both preparation and use of this spiral was not handy so a small modification in stock preparation let us grafting without any device. (B) The stock was prepared through a lateral incision (not excision) into the stem axis. In such a preparation scion inserted into the stem was squeezing the right in place inside the axis of the stem. Avoidance of any device both decreased the labor load and contamination that may rise from it. However a new problem emerged with this new method was the break of scion while forcing it into the incision on the stem axis. (C) For solution of the problem another incision was made on the top of the first one along the stem axis. The problems about non matching stem-scion girth, insertion of the scion in to the incised stem and holding the scion and stem united were avoided with this new modification.
have again returned our focus on grafting. And a simple but effective modification was introduced to stock stem preparation. It was just another incision on the top of the first one for elongating the cut site so that during scion insertion to the stem the two halves of the stem may easily accept the scion without any damage. With this slight modification the grafting practice and regeneration of lentil can be maintained in a very short time. The comparisons and the grafting progress are schematized in figure 3.75 .

## CHAPTER IV

## CONCLUSION AND FUTURE PROSPECTS

Transformation of lentil with MBF1c coding sequence was initially planned on the optimized transformation method with KYRT1::pTJK136 Agrobacterium strain and binary plasmid (Akçay, 2008). And transgenic lentil lines that would be recovered were to be analyzed for abiotic stress resistance thereafter. However engineering the pTJK136 for MBF1c expression was hampered due to unknown plasmid and T-DNA sequences. Also the available restriction maps for the T-DNA region of both pTJK136 and its ancestor pTHW136 returned false results of restriction digests. The other problem with the KYRT1::pTJK136 pair was the strain itself. It was not possible to empty the Agrobacterium strain so that in place of pTJK136 an alternative plant transformation vector can be used. As a result both the Agrobacterium strain and the plant transformation vector were changed to C58C1 and pPZP101 respectively, meaning that a new transformation vector construction should be worked out and lentil cotyledonary node transformation with C58C1 optimized first. Then analysis of stress resistance for transgenic lentils can be undertaken.

Search for an alternative plant transformation vector leaded to the pPZP101 binary plasmid. First point in vector construction was expression cassette construction for the MBF1c. coding sequence. Immediate solution was recovered among the lab sources as Impact Vector 1.1 (IV 1.1). A RbcS1 promoter deriving the MBF1c expression would provide light inducible expression of the protein. So MBF1c coding sequence retrieved from Arabidopsis Tair center was first cloned into IV 1.1. The recovery of RbcS1-MBF1c cassette and sub-cloning into pPZP101 was not possible
due to MBF1c flanking restriction sites retained from successive sub-cloning practices from the Arabidopsis Tair stock to IV 1.1. In order to eliminate these unwanted restriction sites, MBF1c coding sequence was amplified with PCR while specific restriction sites were integrated to the $5^{\prime}$ and $3^{\prime}$ ends. With the new restriction sites integrated it was possible to generate fully defined RbcS 1 cassette in IV 1.1 and new MBF1c cassette based on CaMV35SS-NOS sequences. The same procedure for CaMV35SS-MBF1c-NOS construction was used for a ManA selection cassette construction. Concomitantly with PCR based cloning of MBF1c, Gateway technology was applied for plant transformation vector construction. For this purpose pEarleyGate vectors (100-104 series) were requested from Arabidopsis-Tair. Initial cloning practices for MBF1c cloning through Gateway technology was undertaken and pCR8-MBF1c entry clones were generated. Sequencing of the pCR8MBF1c and CaMV35SS-MBF1c/ManA-NOS cassette clones revealed that only CaMV35SS-NOS cassettes were proper. As a result transformation vector construction was carried out with pPZP101 and CaMV35SS-NOS clones and pPZP101 ManA-MBF1c vector was constructed.

The initial transformation experiments were conducted with C58C1 strain and pPZP101ManA-MBF1c transformation vector on differentially prepared lentil cotyledonary node explants. Initial transformation experiments resulted in full necrosis of the plant material regardless of the explant preparation type. Diagnosis of this necrotic behavior revealed that unintended injuries with tweezers and successive wounding for transformation were triggering plant hyper sensitive response during co-cultivation with Agrobacterium. In the continuing transformation experiments explant isolation, injury and transformation practices were separated from each other with a pre-culture period. Also a new formulation of inoculation and co-cultivation media was proposed. And also in order to minimize injury special emphasis was ascribed to explant preparation. The second and third transformation experiments yielded putative transgenic tissues that substantially generated healthy shoots under mannose selection. At the end of 5 months of selection some of the putative transgenic shoots were grafted and simple PCR analysis were made for

MBF1c coding sequence presence. Fading signals of MBF1c as the plantlet grew leaded us elimination of these first grafts. Then at the end of 8 moths of selection healthy shoots regenerated were grafted again.

Molecular analyses for these putative transgenic plantlets are being carried out at the moment.

Following confirmation of the transgenic plantlets and generation of transgenic F1 lines analysis of abiotic stress tolerance will be performed.
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## APPENDIX A

PLANT AND BACTERIAL CULTURE MEDIA

## A. 1 Bacterial Culture Media

## A.1.1 LB media

| $10 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ | tryptone |
| :--- | :--- |
| $10 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ | NaCl |
| $5 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ | yeast extract |

pH 7
Autoclave

* for solidification use 1.5\% bacteriological agar
A.1.2 SOC medium
$20 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L} \quad$ tryptone
$5 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L} \quad$ yeast extract
$0.5 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L} \quad \mathrm{NaCl}$
Autclave
Add 20 mM final glucose before use.


## A.1.3 YEB media

$5 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L} \quad$ tryptone,
$1 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L} \quad$ yeast extract
$5 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L} \quad$ nutrient broth
$5 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L} \quad$ sucrose
$0.49 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L} \quad \mathrm{MgSO} 4.7 \mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{O}$
pH 5.6
Autoclave

```
A.1.4 MG/L media
g/L tryptone
2.5 g/L yeast extract
5g/L NaCl
g g/L mannitol
0.1 g/L MgSO47H2O
0.25 g/L K2HPO4
1.2 g/L L-glutamate
1.2 g/L thiamine* (10% solution, filter-sterilized).
pH 5.6.
Autoclave
* Add thiamine before use
```


## A. 2 Basal Plant Tissue Culture Media

Basal plant rissue culture media used throughout this study is MS salts and MS vitamins plus $3 \%$ sucrose and $0.6 \%$ agar. pH is adjusted to 5.6 prior to agar addition. Required additions to this basal media are made while considering the heat and pressure liabilities of the addtives.

# PRIMERS, CODING SEQUENCES AND SEQUENCE OF NEW CONSTRUCTS 

## B. 1 Multi Protein Bridging Factor 1c CDS



```
FEATURES
    source
    CDS
/note="'ethylene-responsive transcriptional coactivator,
putative'"
/codon_start=1
/product="At 3g24500"
/protein_id="AAO4 4027.1"
/db_xref="GI:28466837"
/trānslation="MPSRYPGAVTQDWEPVVLHKSKQKSQDLRDPKAVNAALRNGVAV
QTVKKFDAGSNKKGKSTAVPVI NTKKLEEETE PAAMD RVKAEVRLMIQKARLEK KMSQ
ADLAKQINERTQVVQEYENGKAVPNQAVLAKMEKVLGVKLRGKIGK"
/note="Geneious name: At 3g24500 CDS"
ORIGIN
Location/Qualifiers
1..447
/organism="Arabidopsis thaliana"
/mol type="mRNA"
/db_xref="taxon:3702"
/chromosome=3
/clone="U23216"
/ecotype="Columbia"
/note="This clone is in pUNI 51"
/note="Geneious name: This clone is in pUNI 51 source
Arabidopsis thaliana"
1..447
OMKSNOM
1 ~ a t g c c g a g c a ~ g a t a c c c a g g ~ a g c a g t a a c a ~ c a a g a c t g g g ~ a a c c a g t a g t ~ t c t c c a c a a a ~
6 1 ~ t c a a a a c a a a ~ a g a g c c a a g a ~ c c t a c g c g a t ~ c c g a a a g c g g ~ t t a a c g c a g c ~ t c t g a g a a a c
    1 2 1 ~ g g t g t c g c g g ~ t t c a a a c g g t ~ t a a g a a a t t c ~ g a t g c c g g t t ~ c g a a c a a a a a ~ g g g g a a a t c t ~
    1 8 1 ~ a c g g c g g t t c ~ c g g t g a t t a a ~ c a c g a a g a a g ~ c t g g a a g a a g ~ a a a c a g a g c c ~ t g c g g c g a t g
    2 4 1 ~ g a t c g t g t g a ~ a a g c a g a g g t ~ g a g g t t g a t g ~ a t a c a g a a a g ~ c g a g a t t g g a ~ g a a g a a g a t g
    3 0 1 ~ t c a c a a g c g g ~ a t t t g g c g a a ~ a c a g a t c a a t ~ g a g a g g a c t c ~ a g g t a g t t c a ~ g g a a t a t g a g ~
    3 6 1 ~ a a t g g t a a a g ~ c t g t t c c t a a ~ t c a g g c t g t g ~ c t t g c g a a g a ~ t g g a g a a g g t ~ t c t a g g t g t t ~
    4 2 1 ~ a a a c t t a g g g ~ g t a a a a t t g g ~ g a a a t g a ,
/ /
```


## B. 2 Hypothetical pUNI51-MBF1c sequence data



|  | /db_xref="GI:28466837" |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | /trānslation="MPSRYPGAVTQDWEPVVLHKSKQKSQDLRDPKAVNAALRNGVAV |
|  | QTVKKFDAGSNKKGKSTAVPVI NTKKLEEETEPAAMDRVKAEVRLMIQKARLEKKMSQ |
|  | ADLAKQINERTQVVQEYENGKAVPNQAVLAKMEKVLGVKLRGKIGK" |
|  | /note="Geneious name: At 3g24500 CDS" |
| ligation | 231. 233 |
|  | /note="Geneious name: Ligation" |
| ligation | 691. 693 |
|  | /note="Geneious name: Ligation" |
| rep_origin | 1645..2130 |
|  | /note="f1" |
|  | /note="Geneious name: f1 rep origin" |
| rep_origin | 1240..1645 |
|  | /note="R6K gamma" |
|  | /note="Geneious name: R6K gamma rep origin" |
| source | <694..2986 |
|  | /organism="Cloning vector punI51" |
|  | /mol_type="genomic DNA" |
|  | /db_xref="taxon:228630" |
|  | /note="modified from pUNI50 originally constructed by |
|  | Stephen Elledge (Liu Q, et al. Current Biology. 8 (24) : 1300-1309, (1998))" |
|  | /note="Geneious name: source Cloning vector pUNI51" |
| source | 239..685 |
|  | /organism="Arabidopsis thaliana" |
|  | /mol_type="mRNA" |
|  | /db_xref="taxon:3702" |
|  | /chromosome=3 |
|  | /clone="U23216" |
|  | /ecotype="Columbia" |
|  | /note="This clone is in pUNI 51" |
|  | /note="Geneious name: This clone is in pUNI 51 source |
|  | Arabidopsis thaliana" |
| source | 1. . $>233$ |
|  | /organism="Cloning vector puni51" |
|  | /mol_type="genomic DNA" |
|  | /db_xref="taxon:228630" |
|  | /note="modified from pUNI50 originally constructed by |
|  | Stephen Elledge (Liu Q, et al. Current Biology. 8 (24): 1300-1309, (1998))" |
|  | /note="Geneious name: source Cloning vector puni51" |
| misc_feature | 1038..1177 |
|  | /note="bacterial terminator cassette" |
|  | /note="Geneious name: bacterial terminator cassette" |
| misc_feature | <694..738 |
|  | /note="polylinker region cassette" |
|  | /note="Geneious name: polylinker region cassette" |
| misc_feature | 1. 37 |
|  | /note="kanamycin resistance cassette" |
|  | /note="Geneious name: kanamycin resistance cassette" |
| misc_feature | 705. 730 |
|  | /note="laco site" |
|  | /note="Geneious name: laco site" |
| misc_feature | 219..>233 |
|  | /note="polylinker region cassette" |
|  | /note="Geneious name: polylinker region cassette" |
| misc_feature | 699..704 |
|  | /Recognition_pattern="G^TCGAC" |
|  | /annotation_group="GTC GAC" |
|  | /note="Geneious name: SalI" |
|  | /note="Geneious type: restriction site" |
| misc_feature | 226. 238 |
|  | /Recognition_pattern="GGCCNNNN^NGGCC" |
|  | /annotation_group="GGC CNNNNNGGCC" |
|  | /note="Geneīous name: Sfil" |
|  | /note="Geneious type: restriction site" |
| misc_feature | 686..698 |
|  | /Recognition_pattern="GGCCNNNN^NGGCC" |
|  | /annotation_group="GGC CNNNNNGGCC" |
|  | /note="Geneious name: Sfil" |
|  | /note="Geneious type: restriction site" |
| misc_feature | 220..225 |
|  | /Recognition_pattern="G^AATTC" |
|  | /annotation_group="GAATTC" |

```
    /note="Geneious name: EcoRI"
    /note="Geneious type: restriction site"
misc_feature
misc_feature
misc_feature
misc_feature
157..162
/Recognition_pattern="GGTAC^C"
/annotation_group="GGTACC"
/note="Geneious name: KpnI"
/note="Geneious type: restriction site"
338.. }34
/Recognition pattern="GTY^RAC"
/annotation_group="GTYRAC"
/note="Geneīous name: HincII"
/note="Geneious type: restriction site"
699..704
/Recognition_pattern="GTY^RAC"
/annotation_group="GTYRAC"
/note="Geneīous name: HincII"
/note="Geneious type: restriction site"
731..738
/Recognition_pattern=" GC^GGCCGC"
/annotation group="GCGGCCGC"
/note="Geneíous name: NotI"
/note="Geneious type: restriction site"
ORIGIN
1 cttcccaacc ttaccagagg gcgccccagc tggcaagcta gctgtccgaa atattataaa 61 ttatcgcaca cataaaaacc atgctgttgg tgtgtctatt aaatcgattt tttgttataa 121 cagacactgc ttgtccgata tttgatttag gatacaggta ccaattaacc ctcactaaag 181 ggataacttc gtatagcata cattatacga agttatctgg aattcggccg tcaaggccat 241 gccgagcaga tacccaggag cagtaacaca agactgggaa ccagtagttc tccacaaatc 301 aaaacaaaag agccaagacc tacgcgatcc gaaagcggtt aacgcagctc tgagaaacgg 361 tgtcgcggtt caaacggtta agaaattcga tgccggttcg aacaaaaagg ggaaatctac 421 ggcggttccg gtgattaaca cgaagaagct ggaagaagaa acagagcctg cggcgatgga 481 tcgtgtgaaa gcagaggtga ggttgatgat acagaaagcg agattggaga agaagatgtc 541 acaagcggat ttggcgaaac agatcaatga gaggactcag gtagttcagg aatatgagaa 601 tggtaaagct gttcctaatc aggctgtgct tgcgaagatg gagaaggttc taggtgttaa 661 acttaggggt aaaattggga aatgaggcct catgggccgt cgactagaat tgtgagcgct 721 cacaattcta gcggccgccg agatcatatc actgtggacg ttgatgaaag aatacgttat 781 tctttcatca aatcgtggtc gatcgacgag ctcgctatca gcctcgactg tgccttctag 841 ttgccagcca tctgttgttt gcccctcccc cgtgccttcc ttgaccctgg aaggtgccac 901 tcccactgtc ctttcctaat aaaatgagga aattgcatcg cattgtctga gtaggtgtca 961 ttctattctg gggggtgggg tggggcagga cagcaagggg gaggattggg aagacaatag 1021 caggcatgct ggggattcta gaagatccgg ctgctaacaa agcccgaaag gaagctgagt 1081 tggctgctgc caccgctgag caataactag cataacccct tggggcctct aaacgggtct 1141 tgaggggttt tttgctgaaa ggaggaacta tatccggata tcatgcatcg cgagagagag 1201 agagagagag agagagagag agagagagag agagacgtgg gcccaattct gtcagccgtt 1261 aagtgttcct gtgtcactga aaattgcttt gagaggctct aagggcttct cagtgcgtta 1321 catccctggc ttgttgtcca caaccgttaa accttaaaag ctttaaaagc cttatatatt 1381 cttttttttc ttataaaact taaaacctta gaggctattt aagttgctga tttatattaa 1441 ttttattgtt caaacatgag agcttagtac gtgaaacatg agagcttagt acgttagcca 1501 tgagagctta gtacgttagc catgagggtt tagttcgtta aacatgagag cttagtacgt 1561 taaacatgag agcttagtac gtgaaacatg agagcttagt acgtactatc aacaggttga 1621 actgctgatc aacagatcct ctacactagt ctaaattgta agcgttaata ttttgttaaa 1681 attcgcgtta aatttttgtt aaatcagctc attttttaac caataggccg aaatcggcaa 1741 aatcccttat aaatcaaaag aatagaccga gatagggttg agtgttgttc cagtttggaa 1801 caagagtcca ctattaaaga acgtggactc caacgtcaaa gggcgaaaaa ccgtctatca 1861 gggcgatggc ccactacgtg aaccatcacc ctaatcaagt tttttggggt cgaggtgccg 1921 taaagcacta aatcggaacc ctaaagggag cccccgattt agagcttgac ggggaaagcc 1981 ggcgaacgtg gcgagaaagg aagggaagaa agcgaaagga gcgggcgcta gggcgctggc 2041 aagtgtagcg gtcacgctgc gcgtaaccac cacacccgcc gcgcttaatg cgccgctaca 2101 gggcgcgtcc cattcgccat tcaggctgca cgcgtttcga accccagagt cccgctcaga 2161 agaactcgtc aagaaggcga tagaaggcga tgcgctgcga atcgggagcg gcgataccgt 2221 aaagcacgag gaagcggtca gcccattcgc cgccaagctc ttcagcaata tcacgggtag 2281 ccaacgctat gtcctgatag cggtccgcca cacccagccg gccacagtcg atgaatccag 2341 aaaagcggcc attttccacc atgatattcg gcaagcaggc atcgccatgt gtcacgacga 2401 gatcctcgcc gtcgggcatg cgcgccttga gcctggcgaa cagttcggct ggcgcgagcc 2461 cctgatgctc ttcgtccaga tcatcctgat cgacaagacc ggcttccatc cgagtacgtg 2521 ctcgctcgat gcgatgtttc gcttggtggt cgaatgggca ggtagccgga tcaagcgtat 2581 gcagccgccg cattgcatca gccatgatgg atactttctc ggcaggagca aggtgagatg 2641 acaggagatc ctgccccggc acttcgccca atagcagcca gtccettccc gcttcagtga 2701 caacgtcgag cacagctgcg caaggaacgc ccgtcgtggc cagccacgat agccgcgctg 2761 cctcgtcctg cagttcattc agggcaccgg acaggtcggt cttgacaaaa agaaccgggc 2821 gcccctgcgc tgacagccgg aacacggcgg catcagagca gccgattgtc tgttgtgccc 2881 agtcatagcc gaatagcctc tccacccaag cggccggaga acctgcgtgc aatccatctt 2941 gttcaatcat gcgaaacgat cctcatcctg tctcttgatc agatct

\section*{B. 3 Hypothetical pBlueSK-MBF1c sequence data}

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{promoter} & /note="Geneious name: lac Promoter"
\[
\text { 1213. . } 1241
\] \\
\hline & /created_by="User" \\
\hline & /note="Geneious name: AMP-r Promoter" \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{promoter} & 3325..3344 \\
\hline & /created_by="User" \\
\hline & /note="Geneious name: T3 Promoter" \\
\hline \multirow{2}{*}{promoter} & /created_by="User" \\
\hline & /note="Geneious name: T7 Promoter" complement (548..570) \\
\hline \multirow{2}{*}{primer_bind} & /created_by="User" \\
\hline & /note="Geneious name: M13 pUC fw-primer" \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{primer_bind} & 3269..3291 \\
\hline & /created_by="User" \\
\hline & /note="Gēneious name: M13 pUC Rew-primer" \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{primer_bind} & 3290..3308 \\
\hline & /created_by="User" \\
\hline & /note="Geneious name: M13 Rw-primer" \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{primer_bind} & complement (539..555) \\
\hline & /created by="User" \\
\hline & /note="Geneious name: M13 fw20-primer" \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{primer_bind} & 3380..3396 \\
\hline & /created_by="User" \\
\hline & /note="Geneious name: pBluScrSK primer" \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{primer_bind} & complement (<480. . 489) \\
\hline & /created_by="User" \\
\hline & /note="Geneious name: pBluScrKS primer" \\
\hline \multirow[t]{5}{*}{misc_feature} & \(3361 . .3372\) \\
\hline & /Recognition_pattern=" CCANNNNN^NTGG" \\
\hline & /annotation_group="CCANNNNNNTGG" \\
\hline & /note="Geneīous name: BstXI" \\
\hline & /note="Geneious type: restriction site" \\
\hline \multirow[t]{5}{*}{misc_feature} & 3372.3379 \\
\hline & /Recognition_pattern=" GC^GGCCGC" \\
\hline & /annotation_group="GCGGCCGC" \\
\hline & /note="Geneious name: NotI" \\
\hline & /note="Geneious type: restriction site" \\
\hline \multirow[t]{5}{*}{misc_feature} & 493. 499 \\
\hline & /Recognition_pattern=" RG^GNCCY" \\
\hline & /annotation_group="RGGNCCY" \\
\hline & /note="Geneious name: DraII, EcoO109I" \\
\hline & /note="Geneious type: restriction site" \\
\hline \multirow[t]{5}{*}{misc_feature} & \(3364 . .3369\) \\
\hline & /Recognition_pattern="CCGC^GG" \\
\hline & /annotation_group="CCGCGG" \\
\hline & /note="Geneīous name: SacII" \\
\hline & /note="Geneious type: restriction site" \\
\hline \multirow[t]{5}{*}{misc_feature} & 500..505 \\
\hline & /Recognition_pattern=" GGTAC^C" \\
\hline & /annotation_group="GGTACC" \\
\hline & /note="Geneious name: KpnI" \\
\hline & /note="Geneious type: restriction site" \\
\hline \multirow[t]{5}{*}{misc_feature} & 485..490 \\
\hline & /Recognition_pattern="C^TCGAG" \\
\hline & /annotation_group="CTC GAG" \\
\hline & /note="Geneīous name: XhoI" \\
\hline & /note="Geneious type: restriction site" \\
\hline \multirow[t]{5}{*}{misc_feature} & \(3380 . .3385\) \\
\hline & /Recognition_pattern="T^CTAGA" \\
\hline & /annotation_group="TCTAGA" \\
\hline & /note="Geneious name: XbaI" \\
\hline & /note="Geneious type: restriction site" \\
\hline \multirow[t]{5}{*}{misc_feature} & 3373. 3378 \\
\hline & /Recognition_pattern="C^GGCCG" \\
\hline & /annotation_group="CGGCCG" \\
\hline & /note="Geneious name: EagI" \\
\hline & /note="Geneious type: restriction site" \\
\hline \multirow[t]{5}{*}{misc_feature} & 494..499 \\
\hline & /Recognition_pattern="GGGCC^C" \\
\hline & /annotation_group="GGGCCC" \\
\hline & /note="Geneious name: ApaI" \\
\hline & /note="Geneious type: restriction site" \\
\hline misc_feature & 3404..3409 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

                                    /Recognition_pattern="CTGCA^G"
                                    /annotation_group="CTGCAG"
                                    /note="Gene\overline{i}ous name: PstI"
                                    /note="Geneious type: restriction site"
    misc_feature
    misc_feature
    misc_feature
    misc_feature
    misc_featur
    misc_feature
    misc_feature
    misc_featur
misc_feature
ORIGIN
1 aattcggccg tcaaggccat gccgagcaga tacccaggag cagtaacaca agactgggaa
61 ccagtagttc tccacaaatc aaaacaaaag agccaagacc tacgcgatcc gaaagcggtt
121 aacgcagctc tgagaaacgg tgtcgcggtt caaacggtta agaaattcga tgccggttcg
181 aacaaaaagg ggaaatctac ggcggttccg gtgattaaca cgaagaagct ggaagaagaa
241 acagagcctg cggcgatgga tcgtgtgaaa gcagaggtga ggttgatgat acagaaagcg
301 agattggaga agaagatgtc acaagcggat ttggcgaaac agatcaatga gaggactcag
361 gtagttcagg aatatgagaa tggtaaagct gttcctaatc aggctgtgct tgcgaagatg
421 gagaaggttc taggtgttaa acttaggggt aaaattggga aatgaggcct catgggccgt
481 cgacctcgag ggggggcccg gtacccaatt cgccctatag tgagtcgtat tacaattcac
541 tggccgtcgt tttacaacgt cgtgactggg aaaaccctgg cgttacccaa cttaatcgcc
601 ttgcagcaca tccccctttc gccagctggc gtaatagcga agaggcccgc accgatcgcc
661 cttcccaaca gttgcgcagc ctgaatggcg aatgggacgc gccctgtagc ggcgcattaa
721 gcgcggcggg tgtggtggtt acgcgcagcg tgaccgctac acttgccagc gccctagcgc
781 ccgctccttt cgctttcttc ccttcctttc tcgccacgtt cgccggcttt ccccgtcaag
841 ctctaaatcg ggggctccct ttagggttcc gatttagtgc tttacggcac ctcgacccca
901 aaaaacttga ttagggtgat ggttcacgta gtgggccatc gccctgatag acggtttttc
961 gccctttgac gttggagtcc acgttcttta atagtggact cttgttccaa actggaacaa 1021 cactcaaccc tatctcggtc tattcttttg atttataagg gattttgccg atttcggcct
1081 attggttaaa aaatgagctg atttaacaaa aatttaacgc gaattttaac aaaatattaa
1141 cgcttacaat ttaggtggca cttttcgggg aaatgtgcgc ggaaccccta tttgtttatt
1201 tttctaaata cattcaaata tgtatccgct catgagacaa taaccctgat aaatgcttca
1261 ataatattga aaaaggaaga gtatgagtat tcaacatttc cgtgtcgccc ttattccctt
1321 ttttgcggca ttttgccttc ctgtttttgc tcacccagaa acgctggtga aagtaaaaga
1381 tgctgaagat cagttgggtg cacgagtggg ttacatcgaa ctggatctca acagcggtaa

```

1441 gatccttgag agttttcgcc ccgaagaacg ttttccaatg atgagcactt ttaaagttct 1501 gctatgtggc gcggtattat cccgtattga cgccgggcaa gagcaactcg gtcgccgcat 1561 acactattct cagaatgact tggttgagta ctcaccagtc acagaaaagc atcttacgga 1621 tggcatgaca gtaagagaat tatgcagtgc tgccataacc atgagtgata acactgcggc 1681 caacttactt ctgacaacga tcggaggacc gaaggagcta accgct tttt tgcacaacat 1741 gggggatcat gtaactcgcc ttgatcgttg ggaaccggag ctgaatgaag ccataccaaa 1801 cgacgagcgt gacaccacga tgcctgtagc aatggcaaca acgttgcgca aactattaac 1861 tggcgaacta cttactctag cttcccggca acaattaata gactggatgg aggcggataa 1921 agttgcagga ccacttctgc gctcggccct tccggctggc tggtttattg ctgataaatc 1981 tggagccggt gagcgtgggt ctcgcggtat cattgcagca ctggggccag atggtaagcc 2041 ctcccgtatc gtagttatct acacgacggg gagtcaggca actatggatg aacgaaatag 2101 acagatcgct gagataggtg cctcactgat taagcattgg taactgtcag accaagttta 2161 ctcatatata ctttagattg atttaaact tcatttttaa tttaaaagga tctaggtgaa 2221 gatccttttt gataatctca tgaccaaaat cccttaacgt gagttttcgt tccactgagc 2281 gtcagacccc gtagaaaaga tcaaaggatc ttcttgagat cctttttttc tgcgcgtaat 2341 ctgctgcttg caaacaaaaa a accaccgct accagcggtg gtttgtttgc cggatcaaga 2401 gctaccaact ctttttccga aggtaactgg cttcagcaga gcgcagatac caaatactgt 2461 ccttctagtg tagccgtagt taggccacca cttcaagaac tctgtagcac cgcctacata 2521 cctcgctctg ctaatcctgt taccagtggc tgctgccagt ggcgataagt cgtgtcttac 2581 cgggttggac tcaagacgat agttaccgga taaggcgcag cggtcgggct gaacgggggg 2641 ttcgtgcaca cagcccagct tggagcgaac gacctacacc gaactgagat acctacagcg 2701 tgagctatga gaaagcgcca cgcttcccga agggagaaag gcggacaggt atccggtaag 2761 cggcagggtc ggaacaggag agcgcacgag ggagcttcca gggggaaacg cctggtatct 2821 ttatagtcct gtcgggtttc gccacctctg acttgagcgt cgatttttgt gatgctcgtc 2881 aggggggcgg agcctatgga aaaacgccag caacgcggcc tttttacggt tcctggcctt 2941 ttgctggcct tttgctcaca tgttctttcc tgcgttatcc cctgattctg tggataaccg 3001 tattaccgcc tttgagtgag ctgataccgc tcgccgcagc cgaacgaccg agcgcagcga 3061 gtcagtgagc gaggaagcgg aagagcgccc aatacgcaaa ccgcetctcc ccgcgcgttg 3121 gccgattcat taatgcagct ggcacgacag gtttcccgac tggaaagcgg gcagtgagcg 3181 caacgcaatt aatgtgagtt agctcactca ttaggcaccc caggctttac actttatgct 3241 tccggctcgt atgttgtgtg gaattgtgag cggataacaa tttcacacag gaaacagcta 3301 tgaccatgat tacgccaagc tcgaaattaa ccctcactaa agggaacaaa agctggagct 3361 ccaccgcggt ggcggccgct ctagaactag tggatccccc gggctgcagg

\section*{B. 4 IV 1.1-MBF1c XSS sequence data}

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline \multirow{20}{*}{misc_feature} & i \\
\hline & /note="Geneious name: MBF1c XSS FW" complement (437..>464) \\
\hline & /created_by="primer3" \\
\hline & /\%GC="46.67" \\
\hline & /Tm="59.94" \\
\hline & /Hairpin="7.0" \\
\hline & /Primer-Dimer="0.0" \\
\hline & /Monovalent_Salt_Concentration="50.0" \\
\hline & /Divalent_Sālt_Cōncentration="0.0" \\
\hline & /DNTP_Concentrātion="0.0" \\
\hline & /Product_Size="470.0" \\
\hline & /Pair_Hairpin= "5.0" \\
\hline & /Pair_Primer-Dimer="1.0" \\
\hline & /Pair_Tm_Diff="4.09" \\
\hline & /Sequēncē="GGAGCTCGTCGACTCATT TCCCAATTTTAC" \\
\hline & /annotation_group="PrimerPlugin \\
\hline & Group1_urn:İocal:QAkil:1291301725307.13" \\
\hline & /modified_by="User" \\
\hline & /note="Geñeious name: MBF1c XSS RW" \\
\hline & /note="Geneious type: primer_bind_reverse" \\
\hline \multirow[t]{9}{*}{source} & 7. 453 \\
\hline & /organism="Arabidopsis thaliana" \\
\hline & /mol type="mRNA" \\
\hline & /db_xref="taxon:3702" \\
\hline & /chromosome=3 \\
\hline & /clone="U23216" \\
\hline & /ecotype="Columbia" \\
\hline & /note="This clone is in pUNI 51" \\
\hline & /note="Geneious name: This clone is in pUNI 51 source Arabidopsis thaliana" \\
\hline \multirow[t]{4}{*}{terminator} & 471. 1388 \\
\hline & /created_by="User" \\
\hline & /modifiē__by="User" \\
\hline & /note="Geñeious name: Rbss1" \\
\hline \multirow[t]{5}{*}{misc_feature} & 3016..3636 \\
\hline & /created_by="User" \\
\hline & /modifiē_ by="User" \\
\hline & /note="Geneious name: ColE1" \\
\hline & /note="Geneious type: topological domain" \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{misc_feature} & 465..5047 \\
\hline & /note="Geneious name: 2F XbaI-SacI extraction (concatenated sequence 2)" \\
\hline & /note="Geneious type: Concatenated sequence" \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{misc_feature} & 5.. 460 \\
\hline & /note="Geneious name: 1PCRF XbaI-SacI (concatenated sequence 1)" \\
\hline & /note="Geneious type: Concatenated sequence" \\
\hline \multirow[t]{4}{*}{gene} & 2002..2862 \\
\hline & /created_by="User" \\
\hline & /modified_by="User" \\
\hline & /note="Geñeious name: amp R (b-lactamase)" \\
\hline \multirow[t]{4}{*}{gene} & 1404..1563 \\
\hline & /created_by="User" \\
\hline & /modified_by="User" \\
\hline & /note="Geneious name: LacZ-a" \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{ligation} & 1. . 4 \\
\hline & /note="Geneious name: Ligation" \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{ligation} & 461. 464 \\
\hline & /note="Geneious name: Ligation" \\
\hline \multirow[t]{5}{*}{ORF} & 2002..2862 \\
\hline & /Genetic_code= "Standard" \\
\hline & /Reading_frame=2 \\
\hline & /created_by="ORF Finder" \\
\hline & /note="Geneious name: ORF 1 (frame 2) " \\
\hline \multirow[t]{5}{*}{ORF} & complement (2466..2732) \\
\hline & /Genetic_code="Standard" \\
\hline & /Reading_frame=2 \\
\hline & /created_by="ORF Finder" \\
\hline & /note="Geneious name: ORF 3 (frame 2) " \\
\hline \multirow[t]{4}{*}{ORF} & complement (1371..1616) \\
\hline & /Genetic_code="Standard" \\
\hline & /Reading_frame=2 \\
\hline & /created_by="ORF Finder" \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

/note="Geneious name: ORF 4 (frame 2)"
promoter
4052..>5047
/created_by="User"
/modifie\overline{d_by="User"}
/note="Ge\overline{neious name: P RbcS1"}
promoter
..3974
/created by="User"
/modifie\overline{d_by="User"}
/note="Geneious name: lac prom"
1932..1960
/created_by="User"
/modified by="User"
/note="Geneious name: P amp"
misc feature 1393..1400
/Recognition_pattern="TTAAT^TAA"
/annotation_group="TTAATTAA"
/note="Geneious name: PacI"
/note="Geneious type: restriction site"
misc_feature 4041..4048
/Recognition pattern="GG^CGCGCC"
/annotation_group="GGCGCGCC"
/note="Geneious name: AscI"
/note="Geneious type: restriction site"
misc_feature 4049..4054
/Recognition pattern="A^AGCTT"
/annotation_group="AAGCTT"
/note="Geneious name: HindIII"
/note="Geneious type: restriction site"
misc_feature 4721..4726
/Recognition pattern="GGTAC^C"
/annotation_group="GGTACC"
/note="Gene\overline{i}ous name: KpnI"
/note="Geneious type: restriction site"
misc_feature 454..459
/Recognition_pattern="G^TCGAC"
/annotation group="GTCGAC"
/note="Geneíous name: SalI"
/note="Geneious type: restriction site"
misc_feature 1562..1567
/Recognition_pattern=" GG^CGCC"
/annotation group="GGCGCC"
/note="Gene\overline{i}ous name: NarI"
/note="Geneious type: restriction site"
misc_feature 465
/Recognition_pattern="GAGCT^C"
/annotation_group="GAGCTC"
/note="Geneīous name: SacI"
/note="Geneious type: restriction site"
misc feature 5047
/Recognition_pattern=" T^CTAGA"
/annotation group="TCTAGA"
/note="Genei
/note="Geneious type: restriction site"
ORIGIN
1 \mp@code { c t a g a c a t g c ~ c g a g c a g a t a ~ c c c a g g a g c a ~ g t a a c a c a a g ~ a c t g g g a a c c ~ a g t a g t t c t c }
6 1 ~ c a c a a a t c a a ~ a a c a a a a g a g ~ c c a a g a c c t a ~ c g c g a t c c g a ~ a a g c g g t t a a ~ c g c a g c t c t g ~
1 2 1 ~ a g a a a c g g t g ~ t c g c g g t t c a ~ a a c g g t t a a g ~ a a a t t c g a t g ~ c c g g t t c g a a ~ c a a a a a g g g g ~
1 8 1 ~ a a a t c t a c g g ~ c g g t t c c g g t ~ g a t t a a c a c g ~ a a g a a g c t g g ~ a a g a a g a a a c ~ a g a g c c t g c g ~
2 4 1 ~ g c g a t g g a t c ~ g t g t g a a a g c ~ a g a g g t g a g g ~ t t g a t g a t a c ~ a g a a a g c g a g ~ a t t g g a g a a g ~
3 0 1 ~ a a g a t g t c a c ~ a a g c g g a t t t ~ g g c g a a a c a g ~ a t c a a t g a g a ~ g g a c t c a g g t ~ a g t t c a g g a a ~
3 6 1 ~ t a t g a g a a t g ~ g t a a a g c t g t ~ t c c t a a t c a g ~ g c t g t g c t t g ~ c g a a g a t g g a ~ g a a g g t t c t a ~
4 2 1 ~ g g t g t t a a a c ~ t t a g g g g t a a ~ a a t t g g g a a a ~ t g a g t c g a c g ~ a g c t c t g a t c ~ t c a t a a g c c c ~
4 8 1 gatggctact aagttttact atttaccaag acttttgaat attaaccttc ttgtaacgag
5 4 1 ~ t c g g t t a a a t ~ t t g a t t g t t t ~ a g g g t t t t g t ~ a t t a t t t t t t ~ t t t g g t c t t t ~ t a a t t c a t c a ~
6 0 1 ~ c t t t a a t t c c ~ c t a a t t g t c t ~ g t t c a t t t c g ~ t t g t t t g t t t ~ c c g g a t c g a t ~ a a t g a a a t g t ~
6 6 1 ~ a a g a g a t a t c ~ a t a t a t a a a t ~ a a t a a a t t g t ~ c g t t t c a t a t ~ t t g c a a t c t t ~ t t t t t t a c a a ~
7 2 1 ~ a c c t t t a a t c ~ g t t g t a t g t a ~ t g a c a t t t t c ~ t t c t t g t t a t ~ a t t a g g g g g a ~ a a t a a t g t t a ~
7 8 1 ~ a a t a a a a g t a ~ c a a a a t a a a c ~ t a c a g t a c a t ~ c g t a c t g a a t ~ a a a t t a c c t a ~ g c c a a a a a g t ~
8 4 1 ~ a c a c c t t t c c ~ a t a t a c t t c c ~ t a c a t g a a g g ~ c a t t t t c a a c ~ a t t t t c a a a t ~ a a g g a a t g c t ~
9 0 1 ~ a c a a c c g c a t ~ a a t a a c a t c c ~ a c a a a t t t t t ~ t t a t a a a a t a ~ a c a t g t c a g a ~ c a g t g a t t g a ~
9 6 1 ~ a a g a t t t t a t ~ t a t a g t t t c g ~ t t a t c t t c t t ~ t t c t c a t t a a ~ g c g a a t c a c t ~ a c c t a a c a c g ~
1 0 2 1 ~ t c a t t t t g t g ~ a a a t a t t t t t ~ t g a a t g t t t t ~ t a t a t a g t t g ~ t a g c a t t c c t ~ c t t t t c a a a t ~
1 0 8 1 ~ t a g g g t t t g t ~ t t g a g a t a g c ~ a t t t c a g c c g ~ g t t c a t a c a a ~ c t t a a a a g c a ~ t a c t c t a a t g
1 1 4 1 ctggaaaaaa gactaaaaaa tcttgtaagt tagcgcagaa tattgaccca aattatatac

```

1201 acacatgacc ccatatagag actaattaca cttttaacca ctaataatta ttactgtatt 1261 ataacatcta ctaattaaac ttgtgagttt ttgctagaat tattatcata tatactaaaa 1321 ggcaggaacg caaacattgc cccggtactg tagcaactac ggtagacgca ttaattgtct 1381 atagtggacg cattaattaa caattcactg gccgtcgttt tacaacgtcg tgactgggaa 1441 aaccctggcg ttacccaact taatcgcctt gcagcacatc cccctttcgc cagctggcgt 1501 aatagcgaag aggcccgcac cgatcgccct tcccaacagt tgcgcagcct gaatggcgaa 1561 tggcgcctga tgcggtattt tctccttacg catctgtgcg gtatttcaca ccgcatatgg 1621 tgcactctca gtacaatctg ctctgatgcc gcatagttaa gccagccccg acacccgcca 1681 acacccgctg acgcgccctg acgggcttgt ctgctcccgg catccgctta cagacaagct 1741 gtgaccgtct ccgggagctg catgtgtcag aggttttcac cgtcatcacc gaaacgcgcg 1801 agacgaaagg gcctcgtgat acgcctattt ttataggtta atgtcatgat aataatggtt 1861 tcttagacgt caggtggcac ttttcgggga aatgtgcgcg gaacccctat ttgtttattt 1921 ttctaaatac attcaaatat gtatccgctc atgagacaat aaccctgata aatgcttcaa 1981 taatattgaa aaaggaagag tatgagtatt caacatttcc gtgtcgccct tattcccttt 2041 tttgcggcat tttgccttcc tgtttttgct cacccagaaa cgctggtgaa agtaaaagat 2101 gctgaagatc agttgggtgc acgagtgggt tacatcgaac tggatctcaa cagcggtaag 2161 atccttgaga gttttcgccc cgaagaacgt tttccaatga tgagcacttt taaagttctg 2221 ctatgtggcg cggtattatc ccgtattgac gccgggcaag agcaactcgg tcgccgcata 2281 cactattctc agaatgactt ggttgagtac tcaccagtca cagaaaagca tcttacggat 2341 ggcatgacag taagagaatt atgcagtgct gccataacca tgagtgataa cactgcggcc 2401 aacttacttc tgacaacgat cggaggaccg aaggagctaa ccgctttttt gcacaacatg 2461 ggggatcatg taactcgcct tgatcgttgg gaaccggagc tgaatgaagc cataccaaac 2521 gacgagcgtg acaccacgat gcctgtagca atggcaacaa cgttgcgcaa actattaact
2581 ggcgaactac ttactctagc ttcccggcaa caattaatag actggatgga ggcggataaa 2581 ggcgaactac ttactctagc ttcccggcaa caattaatag actggatgga ggcggataaa 2641 gttgcaggac cacttctgcg ctcggccctt ccggctggct ggtttattgc tgataaatct 2701 ggagccggtg agcgtgggtc tcgcggtatc attgcagcac tggggccaga tggtaagccc 2761 tcccgtatcg tagttatcta cacgacgggg agtcaggcaa ctatggatga acgaaataga 2821 cagatcgctg agataggtgc ctcactgatt aagcattggt aactgtcaga ccaagtttac 2881 tcatatatac tttagattga tttaaaactt catttttaat ttaaaaggat ctaggtgaag 2941 atcctttttg ataatctcat gaccaaaatc ccttaacgtg agttttcgtt ccactgagcg 3001 tcagaccccg tagaaaagat caaaggatct tcttgagatc ctttttttct gcgcgtaatc 3061 tgctgcttgc aaacaaaaaa accaccgcta ccagcggtgg tttgtttgcc ggatcaagag 3121 ctaccaactc tttttccgaa ggtaactggc ttcagcagag cgcagatacc aaatactgtt 3181 cttctagtgt agccgtagtt aggccaccac ttcaagaact ctgtagcacc gcctacatac 3241 ctcgctctgc taatcctgtt accagtggct gctgccagtg gcgataagtc gtgtcttacc 3301 gggttggact caagacgata gttaccggat aaggcgcagc ggtcgggctg aacggggggt 3361 tcgtgcacac agcccagctt ggagcgaacg acctacaccg aactgagata cctacagcgt 3421 gagctatgag aaagcgccac gcttcccgaa gggagaaagg cggacaggta tccggtaagc 3481 ggcagggtcg gaacaggaga gcgcacgagg gagcttccag ggggaaacgc ctggtatctt 3541 tatagtcctg tcgggtttcg ccacctctga cttgagcgtc gatttttgtg atgctcgtca 3601 ggggggcgga gcctatggaa aaacgccagc aacgcggcct ttttacggtt cctggccttt 3661 tgctggcctt ttgctcacat gttctttcct gcgttatccc ctgattctgt ggataaccgt 3721 attaccgcet ttgagtgagc tgataccgct cgccgcagcc gaacgaccga gcgcagcgag 3781 tcagtgagcg aggaagcgga agagcgccca atacgcaaac cgcctctccc cgcgcgttgg 3841 ccgattcatt aatgcagctg gcacgacagg tttcccgact ggaaagcggg cagtgagcgc 3901 a acgcaatta atgtgagtta gctcactcat taggcacccc aggctttaca ctttatgctt 3961 ccggctcgta tgttgtgtgg aattgtgagc ggataacaat ttcacacagg aaacagctat 4021 gaccatgatt acgccaagct ggcgcgccaa gcttagacaa acaccccttg ttatacaaag 4081 aatttcgctt tacaaaatca aattcgagaa aataatatat gcactaaata agatcattcg 4141 gatctaatct aaccaattac gatacgcttt gggtacactt gatttttgtt tcagtggtta 4201 catatatctt gttttatatg ctatctttaa ggatctgcac aaagattatt tgttgatgtt 4261 cttgatgggg ctcagaagat ttgatatgat acactctaat ctttaggaga taccagccag 4321 gattatattc agtaagacaa tcaaatttta cgtgttcaaa ctcgttatct tttcattcaa 4381 aggatgagcc agaatcttta tagaatgatt gcaatcgaga atatgttcgg ccgatatgcc 4441 tttgttggct tcaatattct acatatcaca caagaatcga ccgtattgta ccctctttcc 4501 ataaaggaaa acacaatatg cagatgcttt tttcccacat gcagtaacat ataggtattc 4561 aaaatggct aaaagaagtt ggataacaaa ttgacaacta tttccatttc tgttatataa 4621 atttcacaac acacaaaagc ccgtaatcaa gagtctgccc atgtacgaaa taacttctat 4681 tatttggtat tgggcctaag cccagctcag agtacgtggg ggtaccacat ataggaaggt 4741 aacaaaatac tgcaagatag ccccataacg taccagcctc tccttaccac gaagagataa 4801 gatataagac ccaccctgcc acgtgtcaca tcgtcatggt ggttaatgat aagggattac 4861 atccttctat gtttgtggac atgatgcatg taatgtcatg agccacaaga tccaatggcc 4921 acaggaacgt aagaatgtag atagatttga ttttgtccgt tagatagcaa acaacattat 4981 aaaaggtgtg tatcaatagg aactaattca ctcattggat tcatagaagt ccattcctcc 5041 taagtat

\section*{B. 5 Hypothetical pBlueSK PMUbi1-PMI-TCaMV35SSPolyA sequence data}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline LOCUS & 6 pBlueSK_PMUbi1 6350 bp & DNA & circular UNA 06-JUL-2011 \\
\hline DEFINITION & Concatenation of 2 sequences. & & \\
\hline ACCESSION & & & \\
\hline VERSION & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

KEYWORDS
SOURCE
ORGANISM
FEATURES Location/Qualifiers
CDS 2688..3863
/gene="manA"
/locus_tag="B21_01572"
/codon start=1
/transl table=11
/produc\overline{t}="hypothetical protein"
/protein id="YP 002999375.1"
/db_xref="GI:251785071"
/db xref="Gene ID:8113180"
/translation="MQKLINSVQNYAWGSKTALTELY GMENPSSQPMAELWMGAHPKS
SSRVQNAAGDIVSLRDVIESDK STLLGEAVAKRFGEL PFLFKVLCAAQPLS IQV HPNK
HNSE IGFAKENAAG IPMDAAERNYKDPNHKPELVFALTPFLAMNAFREFSE IVS LLQP
VAGAHPAIAHFLQQ PDAERLSE LFASLLNMQGEEKSHALAILKSALDSQQGEPWQTIR
LISE FYPEDSGLFS PLLLNVVKLNPGEAMFLFAETPHAYLQGVALEVMANS DNVIRAG
LTPKYIDIPELVANVKFEAKPANQLLTQPVKQGAELDFPIPVDDFAFSLHDLSDKETT
ISQQSAA ILFCVEG DATLWKGS QQLQLKPGESAFIAANESPVTVKGHGRLARVYNKL"
/note="Geneious name: manA CDS"
complement(5365.. 6225)
/created_by="User"
/note="Geneious name: AMP-r"
complement(462..622)
/created_by="User"
/note="Geneious name: lacZ a"
3870..4080
/note="CaMV 35S terminator"
/note="Geneious name: CaMV 35S terminator"
misc_feature <4082..4152
/created by="User"
/modifie\overline{d_by="User"}
/note="Geneious name: MCS"
misc feature 653..>689
/created_by="User"
/modified by="User"
/note="Geñeious name: MCS"
complement(4591.. 5210)
/created by="User"
/note="Gēneious name: pBR322 ori"
complement(138..444)
/created by="User"
/note="Gēneious name: f1 origin"
misc feature 2682..2687
/Original_Bases
/note="Ge\overline{neious name: "}
/note="Geneious type: Editing History Insertion"
3864..3869
/Original Bases
/note="Geneious name: "
/note="Geneious type: Editing History Insertion"
misc feature <690..694
/Original_Bases
/note="Ge\overline{neious name: "}
/note="Geneious type: Editing History Insertion"
misc_feature 4081
/Original Bases
/note="Geneious name: "
/note="Geneious type: Editing History Insertion"
<1391..>2681
/organism="Binary vector pGA1611"
/mol_type="genomic DNA"
/db xref="taxon:245199"
/no\overline{t}e="Geneious name: source Binary vector pGA1611"
2688..3863
/organism="Escherichia coli BL21"
/mol_type="genomic DNA"
/strain="BL21"
/db_xref="taxon:511693"
/note="Geneious name: source Escherichia coli BL21"
<695..>1390
/organism="Binary vector pGA1611"

```
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline & /mol_type="genomic DNA" \\
\hline & /db \(\overline{\text { x }}\) ref="taxon:245199" \\
\hline & /nō̄e="Geneious name: source Binary vector pGA1611" \\
\hline source & <3870..>4080 \\
\hline & /organism="Cloning vector pSAT4A-35SP-MCS-35ST" \\
\hline & /mol_type="other DNA" \\
\hline & /db_xref="taxon:326510" \\
\hline & /nō̄e="Geneious name: source Cloning vector pSAT4A-35SP-MC S-35ST" \\
\hline promoter & 695..2681 \\
\hline & /note="maize ubiquitin promoter" \\
\hline & /modified_by="User" \\
\hline promoter & /note="Geneious name: maize ubiquitin promoter" \\
\hline & /created by="User" \\
\hline & /note="Geneious name: lac Promoter" \\
\hline promoter & complement (6267.. 6295) \\
\hline & /created_by="User" \\
\hline & /note="Geneious name: AMP-r Promoter" \\
\hline promoter & complement (4164..4183) \\
\hline & /created_by="User" \\
\hline & /note="Geneious name: T3 Promoter" \\
\hline promoter & 626..644 \\
\hline & /created_by="User" \\
\hline & /note="Geneious name: T7 Promoter" \\
\hline primer_bind & 588. 610 \\
\hline & /created_by="User" \\
\hline & /note="Geneious name: M13 pUC fw-primer" \\
\hline primer_bind & complement (4217.. 4239) \\
\hline & /created_by="User" \\
\hline & /note="Geneious name: M13 pUC Rew-primer" \\
\hline primer_bind & complement (4200..4218) \\
\hline & /created_by="User" \\
\hline & /note="Geneious name: M13 Rw-primer" \\
\hline primer_bind & 603. . 619 \\
\hline & /created_by="User" \\
\hline & /note="Geneious name: M13 fw20-primer" \\
\hline primer_bind & complement (4112..4128) \\
\hline & /created_by="User" \\
\hline & /note="Geneious name: pBluScrsk primer" \\
\hline primer_bind & 669..685 \\
\hline & /created_by="User" \\
\hline & /note="Geneious name: pBluScrKS primer" \\
\hline misc_feature & 4105..4110 \\
\hline & /Recognition_pattern="C^CCGGG" \\
\hline & /annotation_group="CCCGGG" \\
\hline & /note="Geneīous name: XmaI" \\
\hline & /note="Geneious type: restriction site" \\
\hline misc_feature & 4117..4122 \\
\hline & /Recognition_pattern="A^CTAGT" \\
\hline & /annotation_group="ACTAGT" \\
\hline & /note="Geneious name: SpeI" \\
\hline & /note="Geneious type: restriction site" \\
\hline misc_feature & 4147..4152 \\
\hline & /Recognition_pattern="GAGCT^\({ }^{\text {¢ }}\) " \\
\hline & /annotation_group="GAGCTC" \\
\hline & /note="Geneīous name: SacI" \\
\hline & /note="Geneious type: restriction site" \\
\hline misc_feature & 653. 658 \\
\hline & /Recognition_pattern="GGTAC^C" \\
\hline & /annotation_group="GGTACC" \\
\hline & /note="Geneious name: KpnI" \\
\hline & /note="Geneious type: restriction site" \\
\hline misc_feature & 4111..4116 \\
\hline & /Recognition_pattern="G^ GATCC" \\
\hline & /annotation_group="GGATCC" \\
\hline & /note="Geneious name: BamHI" \\
\hline & /note="Geneious type: restriction site" \\
\hline misc_feature & 4129..4136 \\
\hline & /Recognition_pattern="GC^GGCCGC" \\
\hline & /annotation_group="GCGGCCGC" \\
\hline & /note="Geneíous name: NotI" \\
\hline & /note="Geneious type: restriction site" \\
\hline misc_feature & 4087..4092 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
& \begin{tabular}{l} 
/Recognition_pattern="GAT^ATC" \\
\\
/annotation_group="GATATC"
\end{tabular} \\
& /note="Geneious name: EcoRV" \\
misc_feature & /note="Geneious type: restriction site" \\
& \(689 . .694\) \\
& /Recognition_pattern="A^AGCTT" \\
& /annotation_group="AAGCTT" \\
& /note="Geneious name: HindIII" \\
& /note="Geneious type: restriction site" \\
misc_feature & \(4081 . .4086\) \\
& /Recognition_pattern="A^AGCTT" \\
& /annotation_group="AAGCTT" \\
& /note="Geneious name: HindIII" \\
& /note="Geneious type: restriction site"
\end{tabular}

ORIGIN
1 cacctaaatt gtaagcgtta atattttgtt aaaattcgcg ttaaattttt gttaaatcag 61 ctcatttttt aaccaatagg ccgaaatcgg caaaatccct tataaatcaa aagaatagac 121 cgagataggg ttgagtgttg ttccagtttg gaacaagagt ccactattaa agaacgtgga 181 ctccaacgtc aaagggcgaa aaaccgtcta tcagggcgat ggcccactac gtgaaccatc 241 accctaatca agttttttgg ggtcgaggtg c 301 gagcccccga 361 gaaagcgaaa 421 caccacaccc ctt gacggggaaa ggagcgggcg ctagggcgct 541 agggggatgt gctgcaaggc gattaagttg 601 ttgtaaaacg acggccagtg aattgtaata 661 gccccccctc gaggtcgacg gtatcgataa 721 cccctctcta gagataatga gcattgcatg 781 ttttgtcaca 841 tctacgaata atataatcta tagtactaca 901 atgaacagtt agacatggtc taaaggacaa 961 tttatctttt tagtgtgcat gtgttctcct 1021 tacttcatcc attttattag tacatccatt 1081 taattttttt agtacatcta ttttattcta 1141 tctattttag tttttttatt taataattta 1201 aaaattaaac aaataccett taagaaatta 1261 gagtagataa tgccagcctg ttaaacgccg 1321 accagcagcg tcgcgtcggg ccaagcgaag 1381 tggacccctc tcgagagttc cgctccaccg 1441 attgcgtggc ggagcggcag acgtgagccg 1501 ggcaccggca gctacggggg attcctttcc 1561 gccgtaataa atagacacce cctccacacc 1621 gcacacacac acaaccagat ctcccccaaa 1681 cgccgctcgt cctccccccc cccccctctc 1741 ggttagggcc cggtagttct acttctgttc 1801 atccgtgctg ctagcgttcg tacacggatg 1861 taacttgcca gtgtttctct ttggggaatc 1921 gatcgatttc atgatttttt ttgtttcgtt 1981 tttcaatata tgccgtgcac ttgtttgtcg 2041 gttgtgatga tgtggtctgg ttgggcggtc 2101 actacctggt ggatttatta attttggatc 2161 acgaattgaa 2221 ttttactgat 2281 ttgggcggtc gtcattcag agot 2341 atttattaat 2401 tggatggaaa t 2461 tacatgatgg c 2521 aataaacaag 2581 agcagctata tgtggatttt tttagccctg 2641 gtttcttttg 2701 ttaactcagt 2761 tggaaaatcc gtccagccag ccgatggccg 2821 gttcacgagt gcagaatgcc gccggagaca 2881 ataaatcgac tctgctcgga gaggccgttg c 2941 tcaaagtatt atgcgcagca cagccactct co 3001 ctgaaatcgg ttttgccaaa gaaaatgccg ca 3061 actataaaga tcctaaccac aagccggagc t 3121 tgaacgcgtt tcgtgaattt tccgagattg t
3181 atccggcgat tgctcacttt ttacaacagc c 3241 ccagcctgtt gaatatgcag ggtgaagaaa aatcccacgc gctggcgatt ttaaaatcg 3301 ccctcgatag ccagcagggt gaaccgtggc aaacgattcg tttaatttct gaattttacc 3361 cggaagacag cggtctgttc tccccgctat tgctgaatgt ggtgaaattg aaccctggcg 3421 aagcgatgtt cctgttcgct gaaacaccgc acgcttacct gcaaggcgtg gcgctggaag 3481 tgatggcaaa ctccgataac gtgctgcgtg cgggtctgac gcctaaatac attgatattc

3541 cggaactggt tgccaatgtg aaattcgaag ccaaaccggc taaccagttg ttgacccagc 3601 c ggtgaaaca aggtgcagaa ctggacttcc cgattccagt ggatgatttt gccttctcgc 3661 tgcatgacct tagtgataaa gaaaccacca ttagccagca gagtgccgcc attttgttct 3721 gcgtcgaagg cgatgcaacg ttgtggaaag gttctcagca gttacagctt aaaccgggtg 3781 aatcagcgtt tattgccgcc aacgaatcac cggtgactgt caaaggccac ggccgtttag 3841 cgcgtgttta caacaagctg taactcgagg tccgcaaaaa tcaccagtct ctctctacaa 3901 atctatctct ctctattttt ctccagaata atgtgtgagt agttcccaga taagggaatt 3961 agggttctta tagggtttcg ctcatgtgtt gagcatataa gaaaccctta gtatgtattt 4021 gtatttgtaa aatacttcta tcaataaaat ttctaattcc taaaaccaaa atccagtgac 4081 aagcttgata tcgaattcct gcagcccggg ggatccacta gttctagagc ggccgccacc 4141 gcggtggagc tccagctttt gttcccttta gtgagggtta atttcgagct tggcgtaatc 4201 atggtcatag ctgtttcctg tgtgaaattg ttatccgctc acaattccac acaacatacg 4261 agccggaagc ataaagtgta aagcctgggg tgcctaatga gtgagctaac tcacattaat 4321 tgcgttgcgc tcactgcccg ctttccagtc gggaaacctg tcgtgccagc tgcattaatg 4381 aatcggccaa cgcgcgggga gaggcggttt gcgtattggg cgctcttccg cttcctcgct 4441 cactgactcg ctgcgctcgg tcgttcggct gcggcgagcg gtatcagctc actcaaaggc 4501 ggtaatacgg ttatccacag aatcagggga taacgcagga aagaacatgt gagcaaaagg 4561 ccagcaaaag gccaggaacc gtaaaaaggc cgcgttgctg gcgtttttcc ataggctccg 4621 cccccctgac gagcatcaca aaaatcgacg ctcaagtcag aggtggcgaa acccgacagg 4681 actataaaga taccaggcgt ttccccctgg aagctccctc gtgcgctctc ctgttccgac 4741 cctgccgctt accggatacc tgtccgcctt tctccettcg ggaagcgtgg cgctttctca 4801 tagctcacgc tgtaggtatc tcagttcggt gtaggtcgtt cgctccaagc tgggctgtgt 4861 gcacgaaccc cccgttcagc ccgaccgctg cgccttatcc ggtaactatc gtcttgagtc 4921 caacccggta agacacgact tatcgccact ggcagcagcc actggtaaca ggattagcag 4981 agcgaggtat gtaggcggtg ctacagagtt cttgaagtgg tggcctaact acggctacac 5041 tagaaggaca gtatttggta tctgcgctct gctgaagcca gttaccttcg gaaaaagagt 5101 tggtagctct tgatccggca aacaaaccac cgctggtagc ggtggttttt ttgtttgcaa 5161 gcagcagatt acgcgcagaa aaaaaggatc tcaagaagat cctttgatct tttctacggg 5221 gtctgacgct cagtggaacg aaaactcacg ttaagggatt ttggtcatga gattatcaaa 5281 aaggatcttc acctagatcc ttttaaatta aaaatgaagt tttaaatcaa tctaaagtat 5341 atatgagtaa acttggtctg acagttacca atgcttaatc agtgaggcac ctatctcagc 5401 gatctgtcta tttcgttcat ccatagttgc ctgactcccc gtcgtgtaga taactacgat 5461 acgggagggc ttaccatctg gccccagtgc tgcaatgata ccgcgagacc cacgctcacc 5521 ggctccagat ttatcagcaa taaaccagcc agccggaagg gccgagcgca gaagtggtcc 5581 tgcaacttta tccgcctcca tccagtctat taattgttgc cgggaagcta gagtaagtag 5641 ttcgccagtt aatagtttgc gcaacgttgt tgccattgct acaggcatcg tggtgtcacg 5701 ctcgtcgttt ggtatggctt cattcagctc cggttcccaa cgatcaaggc gagttacatg 5761 atcccccatg ttgtgcaaaa aagcggttag ctccttcggt cctccgatcg ttgtcagaag 5821 taagttggcc gcagtgttat cactcatggt tatggcagca ctgcataatt ctcttactgt 5881 catgccatcc gtaagatgct tttctgtgac tggtgagtac tcaaccaagt cattctgaga 5941 atagtgtatg cggcgaccga gttgctcttg cccggcgtca atacgggata ataccgcgcc 6001 acatagcaga actttaaaag tgctcatcat tggaaaacgt tcttcggggc gaaaactctc 6061 aaggatctta ccgctgttga gatccagttc gatgtaaccc actcgtgcac ccaactgatc 6121 ttcagcatct tttactttca ccagcgtttc tgggtgagca aaaacaggaa ggcaaaatgc 6181 cgcaaaaaag ggaataaggg cgacacggaa atgttgaata ctcatactct tcctttttca 6241 atattattga agcatttatc agggttattg tctcatgagc ggatacatat ttgaatgtat 6301 ttagaaaaat aaacaaatag gggttccgcg cacatttccc cgaaaagtgc

\section*{B. 6 pBlueSK CaMV35S-ManA-TNOS sequence data}
LOCUS 5_pBlueSK_ManA_C 4958 bp DNA circular UNA 07-JUL-2011
DEFINITION Cōncatena \(\bar{t} i o n ~ \overline{o f ~} 4\) sequences.

ACCESSION
VERSION
KEYWORDS
SOURCE
ORGANISM
FEATURES Location/Qualifiers
CDS
7. . 4682
/gene="manA"
/locus_tag="B21_01572"
/codon_start=1
/transl table=11
/produc \(\bar{t}=\) "hypothetical protein"
/protein_id="YP 002999375.1 "
/db_xref="GI:251785071"
/db_xref="GeneID:8113180"
/translation="MQKLINSV QNYAWGSKTALTELY GMENP SS QPMAE LWMGA HPKS SSRVQNAAGDIVSLRDVIESDK STLLGEAVAKRFGELPFLFKVLCAAQPLS IQVHPNK HNSE IGFAKENAAG IPMDAAERNYKDPNHKPE LVFAL TP FLAMNAFREFSE IVS LLQP VAGAHPAIAHFLQQ PDAERLSE LFASLLNMQGEEKSHALAILKSALDSQQGEPWQTIR
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline & LISE FYPEDSGLFS PLLLNVVK LNPGEAMFLFAETPHAYLQGVALEVMANS DNVLRAG \\
\hline & LTPKYIDIPELVANVKFEAKPANQLLTQPVKQGAELDFP IPVDDFAFSLHDLSDKETT \\
\hline & ISQQSAA ILFCVEG DATLWKGS QQLQLKPGESAFIAANESPVTVKGHGRLARVYNKL" \\
\hline & /note="Geneious name: manA CDS" \\
\hline ORF & 843. 1703 \\
\hline & /created_by="User" \\
\hline & /note="Geneious name: AMP-r" \\
\hline origin & complement (718..717) \\
\hline polyA_signal & 4692..4944 \\
\hline & /note="poly(A) signal from nopaline synthase" \\
\hline & /note="Geneious name: polyA signal" \\
\hline propeptide & 96.. 256 \\
\hline & /created_by="User" \\
\hline & /note="Geneious name: lacZ a" \\
\hline motif & order (2503..2526, 2503..2526) \\
\hline & /Mismatches=0 \\
\hline & /\%_Identity=100 \\
\hline & /Mōtif="GCTGGCCTTTTGCT CACATGTTCT" \\
\hline & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { /annotation_group="GCT GGCCTTTTGCTCACATGTTCT: 1, } 150<-1 \text {, } \\
& \text { 173" }
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline & /note="Geneious name: GCTGGCCTTTTGCTCACATGTTCT" \\
\hline rep origin & 1858. 2477 \\
\hline & /created_by="User" \\
\hline & /note="Gēneious name: pBR322 ori" \\
\hline rep origin & 274. 580 \\
\hline & /created_by="User" \\
\hline & /note="Geneious name: f1 origin" \\
\hline source & 3507..4682 \\
\hline & /organism="Escherichia coli BL21" \\
\hline & /mol_type="genomic DNA" \\
\hline & /strāin="BL21" \\
\hline & /db_xref="taxon:511693" \\
\hline & /note="Geneious name: source Escherichia coli BL21" \\
\hline source & <2953. . > 3501 \\
\hline & /organism="Binary vector pCAMBIA-1305.1" \\
\hline & /mol_type="genomic DNA" \\
\hline & /db_xref="taxon:156490" \\
\hline & /note="Geneious name: source Binary vector pCAMBIA-1305.1" \\
\hline source & <4684..>4958 \\
\hline & /organism="Binary vector PCAMBIA-1305.1" \\
\hline & /mol type="genomic DNA" \\
\hline & /db_xref="taxon:156490" \\
\hline & /note="Geneious name: source Binary vector pCAMBIA-1305.1" \\
\hline misc_feature & 5. 2952 \\
\hline & /note="Geneious name: 4F BamHI-XmaI (reversed) extraction \\
\hline & 2 (concatenated sequence 1)" \\
\hline & /note="Geneious type: Concatenated sequence" \\
\hline misc_feature & 3506..4683 \\
\hline & /note="Geneious name: 1EXPF XbaI-SalI extraction 2 (concatenated sequence 3)" \\
\hline & /note="Geneious type: Concatenated sequence" \\
\hline misc_feature & 2957. 3501 \\
\hline & /note="Geneious name: 2PF BamHI-SpeI extraction 2 (concatenated sequence 2)" \\
\hline & /note="Geneious type: Concatenated sequence" \\
\hline misc_feature & 4688..4958 \\
\hline & /note="Geneious name: 3PF XhoI-XmaI extraction 2 (concatenated sequence 4)" \\
\hline & /note="Geneious type: Concatenated sequence" \\
\hline ligation & \(1 . .4\) ler \\
\hline & /note="Geneious name: Ligation" \\
\hline ligation & 2953. 2956 \\
\hline & /note="Geneious name: Ligation" \\
\hline ligation & 3502..3505 \\
\hline & /note="Geneious name: Ligation" \\
\hline ligation & 4684..4687 \\
\hline & /note="Geneious name: Ligation" \\
\hline promoter & 2966..3503 \\
\hline & /note="CaMV35SS eukaryotic promoter" \\
\hline & /modified_by="User" \\
\hline & /note="Geñeious name: CaMV35SS eukaryotic promoter" \\
\hline promoter & 2786..2815 \\
\hline & /created_by="User" \\
\hline & /note="Gēneious name: lac Promoter" \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{promoter} & 773. 801 \\
\hline & /created by="User" \\
\hline & /note="Gēneious name: AMP-r Promoter" \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{promoter} & 2885.. 2904 \\
\hline & /created_by="User" \\
\hline & /note="Geneious name: T3 Promoter" \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{promoter} & complement (74..92) \\
\hline & /created_by="User" \\
\hline & /note="Geneious name: T7 Promoter" \\
\hline \multirow[t]{4}{*}{misc_feature} & <2953.. 2963 \\
\hline & /Original_Bases="CCTTCAGTTTAGC" \\
\hline & /note="Geneious name: CCTTCAGTTTAGC" \\
\hline & /note="Geneious type: Editing History Replacement" \\
\hline \multirow[t]{4}{*}{misc_feature} & 4952..4954 \\
\hline & /Original_Bases="CTA" \\
\hline & /note="Geneious name: CTA" \\
\hline & /note="Geneious type: Editing History Replacement" \\
\hline \multirow[t]{4}{*}{misc_feature} & 4686..4688 \\
\hline & /Original_Bases="CCC" \\
\hline & /note="Geñeious name: CCC" \\
\hline & /note="Geneious type: Editing History Replacement" \\
\hline \multirow[t]{4}{*}{misc_feature} & 4676 \\
\hline & /Original_Bases="G" \\
\hline & /note="Geneious name: G" \\
\hline & /note="Geneious type: Editing History Replacement" \\
\hline \multirow[t]{4}{*}{misc_feature} & 4679 \\
\hline & /Original_Bases="G" \\
\hline & /note="Geñeious name: G" \\
\hline & /note="Geneious type: Editing History Replacement" \\
\hline \multirow[t]{4}{*}{misc_feature} & 4958 \\
\hline & /Original_Bases="GTGTT T" \\
\hline & /note="Geneious name: GTGTTT" \\
\hline & /note="Geneious type: Editing History Replacement" \\
\hline \multirow[t]{4}{*}{misc_feature} & 4681 \\
\hline & /Original_Bases="A" \\
\hline & /note="Geneious name: A" \\
\hline & /note="Geneious type: Editing History Replacement" \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{primer_bind} & \begin{tabular}{l}
complement (108..130) \\
/created by="User"
\end{tabular} \\
\hline & /note="Geneious name: M13 pUC fw-primer" \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{primer_bind} & 2829..2851 \\
\hline & /created_by="User" \\
\hline & /note="Geneious name: M13 pUC Rew-primer" \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{primer_bind} & 2850..2868 \\
\hline & /created_by="User" \\
\hline & /note="Gēneious name: M13 Rw-primer" \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{primer_bind} & /created_by="User" \\
\hline & /note="Geneious name: M13 fw20-primer" \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{primer_bind} & complement (33..49) \\
\hline & /created_by="User" \\
\hline & /note="Geneious name: pBluScrKS primer" \\
\hline \multirow[t]{5}{*}{misc_feature} & 2921..2932 \\
\hline & /Recognition_pattern="CCANNNNN^NTGG" \\
\hline & /annotation_group="CCANNNNNNTGG" \\
\hline & /note="Geneīous name: BstXI" \\
\hline & /note="Geneious type: restriction site" \\
\hline \multirow[t]{5}{*}{misc_feature} & 2932..2939 \\
\hline & /Recognition_pattern="GC^GGCCGC" \\
\hline & /annotation_group="GCGGCCGC" \\
\hline & /note="Geneious name: NotI" \\
\hline & /note="Geneious type: restriction site" \\
\hline \multirow[t]{5}{*}{misc_feature} & 53..59 \\
\hline & /Recognition_pattern="RG^GNCCY" \\
\hline & /annotation_group="RGGNCCY" \\
\hline & /note="Geneīous name: DraII, EcoO109I" \\
\hline & /note="Geneious type: restriction site" \\
\hline \multirow[t]{5}{*}{misc_feature} & 2924..2929 \\
\hline & /Recognition_pattern=" CCGC^GG" \\
\hline & /annotation_group="CCGCGG" \\
\hline & /note="Geneīous name: SacII" \\
\hline & /note="Geneious type: restriction site" \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{misc_feature} & 60.. 65 \\
\hline & /Recognition_pattern="GGTAC^C" \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\begin{tabular}{ll} 
& \begin{tabular}{l} 
/Recognition_pattern="GT^MKAC" \\
\\
/annotation_group="GTMKAC"
\end{tabular} \\
& /note="Geneious name: AccI"
\end{tabular}

ORIGIN
1 ccgggctgca ggaattcgat atcaagctta tcgataccgt cgacctcgag ggggggcccg
61 gtacccaatt cgccctatag tgagtcgtat tacaattcac tggccotcgt tttacaacgt 121 cgtgactggg aaaaccctgg cgttacccaa cttaatcgcc ttgcagcaca tccccctttc 241 ctgaatggcg aatgggacgc gccctgtagc ggcgcattaa gcgcggcggg tgtggtggtt 301 acgcgcagcg tgaccgctac acttgccagc gccctagcgc ccgctccttt cgctttcttc 361 ccttcctttc tcgccacgtt cgccggcttt ccccgtcaag ctctaaatcg ggggctccct 421 ttagggttcc gatttagtgc tttacggcac ctcgacccca aaaaacttga ttagggtgat 481 ggttcacgta gtgggccatc gccctgatag acggtttttc gccctttgac gttggagtcc 541 acgttcttta atagtggact cttgttccaa actggaacaa cactcaaccc tatctcggtc 601 tattcttttg atttataagg gattttgccg atttcggcct attggttaaa aaatgagctg 661 atttaacaaa aatttaacgc gaattttaac aaaatattaa cgcttacaat ttaggtggca 721 cttttcgggg aaatgtgcgc ggaaccccta tttgtttatt tttctaaata cattcaaata 781 tgtatccgct catgagacaa taaccctgat aaatgcttca ataatattga aaaaggaaga 841 gtatgagtat tcaacatttc cgtgtcgccc ttattccctt ttttgcggca ttttgccttc 901 ctgtttttgc tcacccagaa acgctggtga aagtaaaaga tgctgaagat cagttgggtg 961 cacgagtggg ttacatcgaa ctggatctca acagcggtaa gatccttgag agttttcgcc 1021 ccgaagaacg ttttccaatg atgagcactt ttaaagttct gctatgtggc gcggtattat 1081 cccgtattga cgccgggcaa gagcaactcg gtcgccgcat acactattct cagaatgact 1141 tggttgagta ctcaccagtc acagaaaagc atcttacgga tggcatgaca gtaagagaat 1201 tatgcagtgc tgccataacc atgagtgata acactgcggc caacttactt ctgacaacga 1261 tcggaggacc gaaggagcta accgcttttt tgcacaacat gggggatcat gtaactcgcc 1321 ttgatcgttg ggaaccggag ctgaatgaag ccataccaaa cgacgagcgt gacaccacga 1381 tgcctgtagc aatggcaaca acgttgcgca aactattaac tggcgaacta cttactctag 1441 cttcccggca acaattaata gactggatgg aggcggataa agttgcagga ccacttctgc 1501 gctcggccct tccggctggc tggtttattg ctgataaatc tggagccggt gagcgtgggt 1561 ctcgcggtat cattgcagca ctggggccag atggtaagcc ctcccgtatc gtagttatct 1621 acacgacggg gagtcaggca actatggatg aacgaaatag acagatcgct gagataggtg 1681 cctcactgat taagcattgg taactgtcag accaagttta ctcatatata ctttagattg 1741 atttaaaact tcatttttaa tttaaaagga tctaggtgaa gatccttttt gataatctca 1801 tgaccaaaat cccttaacgt gagttttcgt tccactgagc gtcagacccc gtagaaaaga 1861 tcaaaggatc ttcttgagat cctttttttc tgcgcgtaat ctgctgcttg caaacaaaaa 1921 aaccaccgct accagcggtg gtttgtttgc cggatcaaga gctaccaact ctttttccga 1981 aggtaactgg cttcagcaga gcgcagatac caaatactgt ccttctagtg tagccgtagt 2041 taggccacca cttcaagaac tctgtagcac cgcctacata cctcgctctg ctaatcctgt 2101 taccagtggc tgctgccagt ggcgataagt cgtgtcttac cgggttggac tcaagacgat 2161 agttaccgga taaggcgcag cggtcgggct gaacgggggg ttcgtgcaca cagcccagct 2221 tggagcgaac gacctacacc gaactgagat acctacagcg tgagctatga gaaagcgcca 2281 cgcttcccga agggagaaag gcggacaggt atccggtaag cggcagggtc ggaacaggag 2341 agcgcacgag ggagcttcca gggggaaacg cctggtatct ttatagtcct gtcgggtttc 2401 gccacctctg acttgagcgt cgatttttgt gatgctcgtc aggggggcgg agcctatgga 2461 aaaacgccag caacgcggcc tttttacggt tcctggcctt ttgctggcct tttgctcaca 2521 tgttctttcc tgcgttatcc cctgattctg tggataaccg tattaccgcc tttgagtgag 2581 ctgataccgc tcgccgcagc cgaacgaccg agcgcagcga gtcagtgagc gaggaagcgg 2641 aagagcgccc aatacgcaaa ccgcctctcc ccgcgcgttg gccgattcat taatgcagct 2701 ggcacgacag gtttcccgac tggaaagcgg gcagtgagcg caacgcaatt aatgtgagtt 2761 agctcactca ttaggcaccc caggctttac actttatgct tccggctcgt atgttgtgtg 2821 gaattgtgag cggataacaa tttcacacag gaaacagcta tgaccatgat tacgccaagc 2881 tcgaaattaa ccctcactaa agggaacaaa agctggagct ccaccgcggt ggcggccgct
2941 ctagaactag tggatcccgg gaattcatgg agtcaaagat tcaaatagag gacctaacag 3001 aactcgccgt aaagactggc gaacagttca tacagagtct cttacgactc aatgacaaga 3061 agaaaatctt cgtcaacatg gtggagcacg acacacttgt ctactccaaa aatatcaaag 3121 atacagtctc agaagaccaa agggcaattg agacttttca acaaagggta atatccggaa 3181 acctcctcgg attccattgc ccagctatct gtcactttat tgtgaagata gtggaaaagg 3241 aaggtggctc ctacaaatgc catcattgcg ataaaggaaa ggccatcgtt gaagatgcct 3301 ctgccgacag tggtcccaaa gatggacccc cacccacgag gagcatcgtg gaaaaagaag 3361 acgttccaac cacgtcttca aagcaagtgg attgatgtga tatctccact gacgtaaggg 3421 atgacgcaca atcccactat ccttcgcaag acccttcctc tatataagga agttcatttc 3481 atttggagag aacacggggg actagaatgc aaaaactcat taactcagtg caaaactatg 3541 cctggggcag caaaacggcg ttgactgaac tttatggtat ggaaaatccg tccagccagc 3601 cgatggccga gctgtggatg ggcgcacatc cgaaaagcag ttcacgagtg cagaatgccg 3661 ccggagacat cgtttcactg cgtgatgtga ttgagagtga taaatcgact ctgctcggag 3721 aggccgttgc caaacgcttt ggc gaactgc ctttcctgtt caaagtatta tgcgcagcac 3781 agccactctc cattcaggtt catccaaaca aacacaattc tgaaatcggt tttgccaaag 3841 aaaatgccgc aggtatcccg atggatgccg ccgagcgtaa ctataaagat cctaaccaca 3901 agccggagct ggtttttgcg ctgacgcctt tccttgcgat gaacgcgttt cgtgaatttt 3961 ccgagattgt ctccctactc cagccggtcg caggtgcaca tccggcgatt gctcactttt 4021 tacaacagcc tgatgccgaa cgtttaagcg aactgttcgc cagcctgttg aatatgcagg 4081 gtgaagaaaa atcccacgcg ctggcgattt taaaatcggc cctcgatagc cagcagggtg 4141 aaccgtggca aacgattcgt ttaatttctg aattttaccc ggaagacagc ggtctgttct 4201 ccccgctatt gctgaatgtg gtgaaattga accctggcga agcgatgttc ctgttcgctg 4261 aaacaccgca cgcttacctg caaggcgtgg cgctggaagt gatggcaaac tccgataacg 4321 tgctgcgtgc gggtctgacg cctaaataca ttgatattcc ggaactggtt gccaatgtga 4381 aattcgaagc caaaccggct aaccagttgt tgacccagcc ggtgaaacaa ggtgcagaac 4441 tggacttccc gattccagtg gatgattttg ccttctcgct gcatgacctt agtgataaag 4501 aaaccaccat tagccagcag agtgccgcca ttttgttctg cgtcgaaggc gatgcaacgt 4561 tgtggaaagg ttctcagcag ttacagctta aaccgggtga atcagcgttt attgccgcca 4621 acgaatcacc ggtgactgtc aaaggccacg gccgtttagc gcgtgtttac aacaaactct 4681 gagtcgagga tcgttcaaac atttggcaat aaagtttctt aagattgaat cctgttgccg 4741 gtcttgcgat gattatcata taatttctgt tgaattacgt taagcatgta ataattaaca 4801 tgtaatgcat gacgttattt atgagatggg tttttatgat tagagtcccg caattataca 4861 tttaatacgc gatagaaaac aaaatatagc gcgcaaacta ggataaatta tcgcgcgcgg 4921 tgtcatctat gttactagat cgggaattaa agcttcac

\section*{B. 7 pBlueSK CaMV35S-MBF1c-TNOS sequence data}

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline & \begin{tabular}{l}
/created_by="User" \\
/note="Geneious name: pBR322 ori"
\end{tabular} \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{rep_origin} & 274. 580 \\
\hline & /created_by="User" \\
\hline & /note="Gēneious name: f1 origin" \\
\hline \multirow[t]{5}{*}{source} & <2953..>3501 \\
\hline & /organism="Binary vector pCAMBIA-1305.1" \\
\hline & /mol_type="genomic DNA" \\
\hline & /db_xref="taxon:156490" \\
\hline & /nō̄e="Geneious name: source Binary vector pCAMBIA-1305.1" \\
\hline \multirow[t]{10}{*}{source} & 3508..3954 \\
\hline & /organism="Arabidopsis thaliana" \\
\hline & /mol_type="mRNA" \\
\hline & /db_xref="taxon:3702" \\
\hline & /chromosome=3 \\
\hline & /clone="U23216" \\
\hline & /ecotype="Columbia" \\
\hline & /note="This clone is in pUNI 51" \\
\hline & /note="Geneious name: This clone is in pUNI 51 source \\
\hline & Arabidopsis thaliana" \\
\hline \multirow[t]{5}{*}{source} & <3956..>4230 \\
\hline & /organism="Binary vector pCAMBIA-1305.1" \\
\hline & /mol_type="genomic DNA" \\
\hline & /db_xref="taxon:156490" \\
\hline & /note="Geneious name: source Binary vector pCAMBIA-1305.1" \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{misc_feature} & 5. 2952 \\
\hline & /note="Geneious name: 4F BamHI-XmaI (reversed) extraction (concatenated sequence 1)" \\
\hline & /note="Geneious type: Concatenated sequence" \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{misc_feature} & 2957. 3501 \\
\hline & /note="Geneious name: 2PF BamHI-SpeI extraction (concatenated sequence 2)" \\
\hline & /note="Geneious type: Concatenated sequence" \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{misc_feature} & 3506..3955 \\
\hline & /note="Geneious name: 1EXPF XbaI-SalI extraction (concatenated sequence 3)" \\
\hline & /note="Geneious type: Concatenated sequence" \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{misc_feature} & 3960..4230 \\
\hline & /note="Geneious name: 3PF XhoI-XmaI extraction (concatenated sequence 4)" \\
\hline & /note="Geneious type: Concatenated sequence" \\
\hline \multirow[t]{4}{*}{misc_feature} & <2953.. 2963 \\
\hline & /Original_Bases="CCTTCAGTTTAGC" \\
\hline & /note="Geneious name: CCTTCAGTTTAGC" \\
\hline & /note="Geneious type: Editing History Replacement" \\
\hline \multirow[t]{4}{*}{misc_feature} & 4224..4226 \\
\hline & /Original_Bases="CTA" \\
\hline & /note="Geñeious name: CTA" \\
\hline & /note="Geneious type: Editing History Replacement" \\
\hline \multirow[t]{4}{*}{misc_feature} & 3958..3960 \\
\hline & /Original_Bases="CCC" \\
\hline & /note="Geñeious name: CCC" \\
\hline & /note="Geneious type: Editing History Replacement" \\
\hline \multirow[t]{4}{*}{misc_feature} & 4230 \\
\hline & /Original_Bases="GTGTTT" \\
\hline & /note="Geneious name: GTGTTT" \\
\hline & /note="Geneious type: Editing History Replacement" \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{ligation} & 1.. 4 \\
\hline & /note="Geneious name: Ligation" \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{ligation} & 2953. 2956 \\
\hline & /note="Geneious name: Ligation" \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{ligation} & 3502..3505 \\
\hline & /note="Geneious name: Ligation" \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{ligation} & 3956..3959 \\
\hline & /note="Geneious name: Ligation" \\
\hline \multirow[t]{4}{*}{promoter} & 2966..3503 \\
\hline & /note="CaMV35SS eukaryotic promoter" \\
\hline & /modified_by="User" \\
\hline & /note="Geneious name: CaMV35SS eukaryotic promoter" \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{promoter} & 2786..2815 \\
\hline & /created_by="User" \\
\hline & /note="Geneious name: lac Promoter" \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{promoter} & 773..801 \\
\hline & /created_by="User" \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{promoter} & /note="Geneious name: AMP-r Promoter"
\[
2885 \text {. . } 2904
\] \\
\hline & /created_by="User" \\
\hline & /note="Geneious name: T3 Promoter" \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{promoter} & complement (74..92) \\
\hline & /created_by="User" \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{primer_bind} & /note="Geneious name: T7 Promoter" complement (108..130) \\
\hline & /created_by="User" \\
\hline & /note="Geneious name: M13 pUC fw-primer" \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{primer_bind} & 2829..2851 \\
\hline & /created_by="User" \\
\hline & /note="Geneious name: M13 pUC Rew-primer" \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{primer_bind} & 2850..2868 \\
\hline & /created_by="User" \\
\hline & /note="Gēneious name: M13 Rw-primer" complement (99..115) \\
\hline primer_bind & \begin{tabular}{l}
complement(99..115) \\
/created_by="User"
\end{tabular} \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{primer_bind} & /note="Geneious name: M13 fw20-primer" complement (33..49) \\
\hline & /created_by="User" \\
\hline & /note="Geneious name: pBluScrKS primer" \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{primer_bind} & 2940..>2952 \\
\hline & /created_by="User" \\
\hline & /note="Geneious name: pBluScrSK primer" \\
\hline \multirow[t]{5}{*}{misc_feature} & 2921..2932 \\
\hline & /Recognition_pattern=" CCANNNNN^NTGG" \\
\hline & /annotation group="CCANNNNNNTGG" \\
\hline & /note="Geneīous name: BstXI" \\
\hline & /note="Geneious type: restriction site" \\
\hline \multirow[t]{5}{*}{misc_feature} & 2932..2939 \\
\hline & /Recognition_pattern=" GC^GGCCGC" \\
\hline & /annotation group="GCGGCCGC" \\
\hline & /note="Geneious name: NotI" \\
\hline & /note="Geneious type: restriction site" \\
\hline \multirow[t]{5}{*}{misc_feature} & 53..59 \\
\hline & /Recognition_pattern="RG^GNCCY" \\
\hline & /annotation_group="RGGNCCY" \\
\hline & /note="Geneīous name: DraII, EcoO109I" \\
\hline & /note="Geneious type: restriction site" \\
\hline \multirow[t]{5}{*}{misc_feature} & 2924..2929 \\
\hline & /Recognition pattern="CCGC^GG" \\
\hline & /annotation group="CCGCGG" \\
\hline & /note="Geneīous name: SacII" \\
\hline & /note="Geneious type: restriction site" \\
\hline \multirow[t]{5}{*}{misc_feature} & 60.. 65 \\
\hline & /Recognition_pattern="GGTAC^C" \\
\hline & /annotation_group="GGTACC" \\
\hline & /note="Geneíous name: KpnI" \\
\hline & /note="Geneious type: restriction site" \\
\hline \multirow[t]{5}{*}{misc_feature} & 39.. 44 \\
\hline & /Recognition_pattern="G^TCGAC" \\
\hline & /annotation_group="GTC GAC" \\
\hline & /note="Geneīous name: SalI" \\
\hline & /note="Geneious type: restriction site" \\
\hline \multirow[t]{5}{*}{misc_feature} & 45.. 50 \\
\hline & /Recognition_pattern="C^TCGAG" \\
\hline & /annotation_group="CTCGAG" \\
\hline & /note="Geneīous name: XhoI" \\
\hline & /note="Geneious type: restriction site" \\
\hline \multirow[t]{5}{*}{misc_feature} & 12..17 \\
\hline & /Recognition_pattern="G^AATTC" \\
\hline & /annotation_group="GAATTC" \\
\hline & /note="Geneīous name: EcoRI" \\
\hline & /note="Geneious type: restriction site" \\
\hline \multirow[t]{5}{*}{misc_feature} & 2961..2966 \\
\hline & /Recognition_pattern="G^AATTC" \\
\hline & /annotation group="GAATTC" \\
\hline & /note="Geneīous name: EcoRI" \\
\hline & /note="Geneious type: restriction site" \\
\hline \multirow[t]{4}{*}{misc_feature} & 39.. 44 \\
\hline & /Recognition_pattern="GTY^RAC" \\
\hline & /annotation_group="GTYRAC" \\
\hline & /note="Geneīous name: HindII, HincII" \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

        /note="Geneious type: restriction site"
        misc_feature
        2940..2945
        /Recognition_pattern=" T^CTAGA"
        /annotation group="TCTAGA"
        /note="Gene\overline{i}ous name: XbaI"
        note="Geneious type: restriction site"
        2933..2938
        /Recognition_pattern=" C^GGCCG"
        /annotation group="CGGCCG"
        /note="Geneious name: EagI"
        /note="Geneious type: restriction site"
        54..59
        /Recognition_pattern="GGGCC^C"
        /annotation group="GGGCCC"
        /note="Geneious name: ApaI"
        /note="Geneious type: restriction site"
        misc feature 6..11
        /Recognition_pattern=" CTGCA^G"
        /annotation_group="CTGCAG"
        /note="Geneious name: PstI"
        /note="Geneious type: restriction site"
        misc_feature 24..29
        /Recognition_pattern="A^AGCTT"
        /annotation_group="AAGCTT"
        /note="Geneious name: HindIII"
        /note="Geneious type: restriction site"
        misc_feature 4222..4227
        /Recognition_pattern="A^AGCTT"
        /annotation_group="AAGCTT"
        /note="Geneious name: HindIII"
        /note="Geneious type: restriction site"
        misc_feature 2916..2921
            /Recognition pattern="GAGCT^C"
            /annotation_group="GAGCTC"
            /note="Geneious name: SacI"
            /note="Geneious type: restriction site"
        misc_feature 30..35
            /Recognition pattern="AT^CGAT"
            /annotation_group="ATCGAT"
            /note="Geneious name: ClaI"
            /note="Geneious type: restriction site"
        misc_feature 2956..2961
            /Recognition pattern="C^CCGGG"
            /annotation_group="CCCGGG"
            /note="Geneious name: XmaI"
            /note="Geneious type: restriction site"
            misc_feature 39..44
            /Recognition_pattern=" GT^MKAC"
            /annotation group="GTMKAC"
            /note="Geneíous name: AccI"
            /note="Geneious type: restriction site"
            misc_feature 2946..2951
            /Recognition_pattern="A^CTAGT"
            /annotation group="ACTAGT"
            /note="Geneious name: SpeI"
            /note="Geneious type: restriction site"
            misc_feature <1..5
            /Recognition_pattern=" C^CCGGG"
            /annotation_group="CCCGGG"
            /note="Geneious name: XmaI"
            /note="Geneious type: restriction site"
    misc_feature <1..5
/Recognition_pattern=" CCC^GGG"
/annotation_group="CCCGGG"
/note="Geneious name: SmaI"
/note="Geneious type: restriction site"
2952
/Recognition pattern="G^GATCC"
/annotation_group="GGATCC"
/note="Geneious name: BamHI"
/note="Geneious type: restriction site"
ORIGIN
1 ~ c c g g g c t g c a ~ g g a a t t c g a t ~ a t c a a g c t t a ~ t c g a t a c c g t ~ c g a c c t c g a g ~ g g g g g g c c c g ~
6 1 gtacccaatt cgccctatag tgagtcgtat tacaattcac tggcogtcgt tttacaacgt

```

121 cgtgactggg aaaaccctgg cgttacccaa cttaatcgcc ttgcagcaca tccccctttc 181 gccag 11. gccagctggc gtaatagega agaggccegc accgatcgec ctcccaaca gttgcgcagc

241 ctgaatggcg aatgggacgc gccetgtagc 301 acgcgcagcg tgaccgctac acttgccagc 361 ccttcctttc tcgccacgtt cgccggcttt 421 ttagggttcc gatttagtgc tttacggcac 481 ggttcacgta gtgggccatc gccetgatag 541 acgttcttta atagtggact cttgttccaa 601 tattcttttg atttataagg gattttgccg 661 atttaacaaa aatttaacgc gaattttaac 721 cttttcgggg aaatgtgcgc ggaaccccta 781 tgtatccgct catgagacaa taaccctgat 841 gtatgagtat tcaacatttc cgtgtcgccc 901 ctgtttttgc tcacccagaa acgctggtga 961 cacgagtggg ttacatcgaa ctggatctca 1021 ccgaagaacg ttttccaatg atgagcactt 1081 cccgtattga cgccgggcaa gagcaactcg 1141 tggttgagta ctcaccagtc acagaaaagc 1201 tatgcagtgc tgccataacc atgagtgata 1261 tcggaggacc gaaggagcta accgcttttt 1321 ttgatcgttg ggaaccggag ctgaatgaag 1381 tgcctgtagc aatggcaaca acgttgcgca 1441 cttcccggca acaattaata gactggatgg 1501 gctcggccet tccggctggc tggtttattg 1561 ctcgcggtat cattgcagca ctggggccag 1621 acacgacggg gagtcaggca actatggatg 1681 cctcactgat taagcattgg taactgtcag 1741 atttaaaact tcatttt taa tttaaaagga 1801 tgaccaaaat cccttaacgt gagttttcgt 1861 tcaaaggatc ttcttgagat cctttttttc 1921 aaccaccgct accagcggtg gtttgtttgc 1981 aggtaactgg cttcagcaga gcgcagatac 2041 taggccacca cttcaagaac tctgtagcac 2101 taccagtggc tgctgccagt ggcgataagt 2161 agttaccgga taaggcgcag cggtcgggct 2221 tggagcgaac gacctacacc gaactgagat 2281 cgcttcccga agggagaaag gcggacaggt 2341 agcgcacgag ggagcttcca gggggaaacg 2401 gccacctctg acttgagcgt cgatttttgt 2461 aaaacgccag caacgcggcc tttttacggt 2521 tgttctttcc tgcgttatcc cctgattctg 2581 ctgataccgc tcgccgcagc cgaacgaccg 2641 aagagcgccc aatacgcaaa ccgcctctcc 2701 ggcacgacag gtttcccgac tggaaagcgg 2761 agctcactca ttaggcaccc caggctttac 2821 gaattgtgag cggataacaa tttcacacag 2881 tcgaaattaa ccctcactaa agggaacaaa 2941 ctagaactag tggatcccgg gaattcatgg 3001 aactcgccgt aaagactggc gaacagttca 3061 agaaaatctt cgtcaacatg gtggagcacg 3121 atacagtctc agaagaccaa agggcaattg 3181 acctcctcgg attccattgc ccagctatct 3241 a aggtggctc ctacaaatgc catcattgcg 3301 ctgccgacag tggtcccaaa gatggacccc 3361 acgttccaac cacgtcttca aagcaagtgg 3421 atgacgcaca atcccactat ccttcgcaag 3481 atttggagag aacacggggg actagacatg 3541 gactgggaac cagtagttct ccacaaatca 3601 aaagcggtta acgcagctct gagaaacggt 3661 gccggttcga acaaaaaggg gaaatctacg 3721 gaagaagaaa cagagcctgc ggcgatggat 3781 cagaaagcga gattggagaa gaagatgtca 3841 aggactcagg tagttcagga atatgagaat 3901 gcgaagatgg agaaggttct aggtgttaaa 3961 gatcgttcaa acatttggca ataaagtttc 4021 atgattatca tataatttct gttgaattac 4081 atgacgttat ttatgagatg ggtttttatg 4141 gcgatagaaa acaaaatata gcgcgcaaac
/ / 4201 atgttactag atcgggaatt aaagcttcac
ggcgcattaa gcgcggcggg tgtggtggtt gccctagcgc ccgctccttt cgctttcttc ccccgtcaag ctctaaatcg ggggctccct ctcgacccca aaaaacttga ttagggtgat acggtttttc gccctttgac gttggagtcc actggaacaa cactcaaccc tatctcggtc atttcggcct attggttaaa aaatgagctg aaaatattaa cgcttacaat ttaggtggca tttgtttatt tttctaaata cattcaaata aaatgcttca ataatattga aaaaggaaga ttattccctt ttttgcggca ttttgccttc aagtaaaaga tgctgaagat cagttgggtg acagcggtaa gatccttgag agttttcgcc ttaaagttct gctatgtggc gcggtattat gtcgccgcat acactattct cagaatgact atcttacgga tggcatgaca gtaagagaat acactgcggc caacttactt ctgacaacga tgcacaacat gggggatcat gtaactcgcc ccataccaaa cgacgagcgt gacaccacga aactattaac tggcgaacta cttactctag aggcggataa agttgcagga ccacttctgc ctgataaatc tggagccggt gagcgtgggt atggtaagcc ctcccgtatc gtagttatct aacgaaatag acagatcgct gagataggtg accaagttta ctcatatata ctttagattg tctaggtgaa gatccttttt gataatctca tccactgagc gtcagacccc gtagaaaaga tgcgcgtaat ctgctgcttg caaacaaaaa cggatcaaga gctaccaact ctttttccga caaatactgt ccttctagtg tagccgtagt cgcctacata cctcgctctg ctaatcctgt cgtgtcttac cgggttggac tcaagacgat gaacgggggg ttcgtgcaca cagcccagct acctacagcg tgagctatga gaaagcgcca atccggtaag cggcagggtc ggaacaggag cctggtatct ttatagtcct gtcgggtttc gatgctcgtc aggggggcgg agcctatgga tcctggcctt ttgctggcct tttgctcaca tggataaccg tattaccgcc tttgagtgag agcgcagcga gtcagtgagc gaggaagcgg ccgcgcgttg gccgattcat taatgcagct gcagtgagcg caacgcaatt aatgtgagtt actttatgct tccggctcgt atgttgtgtg gaaacagcta tgaccatgat tacgccaagc agctggagct ccaccgcggt ggcggccgct agtcaaagat tcaaatagag gacctaacag tacagagtct cttacgactc aatgacaaga acacacttgt ctactccaaa aatatcaaag agacttttca acaaagggta atatccggaa gtcactttat tgtgaagata gtggaaaagg ataaaggaaa ggccatcgtt gaagatgcct cacccacgag gagcatcgtg gaaaaagaag attgatgtga tatctccact gacgtaaggg acccttcctc tatataagga agttcatttc ccgagcagat acccaggagc agtaacacaa aaacaaaaga gccaagacct acgcgatccg gtcgcggttc aaacggttaa gaaattcgat gcggttccgg tgat taacac gaagaagctg cgtgtgaaag cagaggtgag gttgatgata caagcggatt tggcgaaaca gatcaatgag ggtaaagctg ttcctaatca ggctgtgctt cttaggggta aaattgggaa atgagtcgag ttaagattga atcctgttgc cggtcttgcg gttaagcatg taataattaa catgtaatgc attagagtcc cgcaattata catttaatac taggataaat tatcgcgcgc ggtgtcatct

\title{
B. 8 pPZP101 35s ManA-MBF1c-TNos CaMV35S-MBF1c-TNOS sequence data
}

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline & \begin{tabular}{l}
TMGKYYTQGDKVLMPLA IQVHH AVCDGFHVGRMLNELQQYCDEWQGGA*" \\
/note="Geneious name: ORF frame 3"
\end{tabular} \\
\hline \multirow[t]{8}{*}{CDS} & 2550..3236 \\
\hline & /label="ORF frame 1" \\
\hline & /translation="MNKSAAAGLLGYARVSTDDQDLTNQRAELHAAGCTKLFSEKITG \\
\hline & TRRDRPELARMLDH LRPGDVVTVTRLDRLARS TRDLL DIAERIQEAGAGLRSLAEPWA \\
\hline & DTTT PAGRMVLTVF AGI AEFERSLIIDRTRSGREAAKARGVKFG PRPTLTPAQI AHAR \\
\hline & ELIDQEGRTVKEAAALLGVHRS TLYRALERSEEVTPTEARRRGAFREDALTEADALAA \\
\hline & AENERQEEQA*" \\
\hline & /note="Geneious name: ORF frame 1" \\
\hline \multirow[t]{7}{*}{CDS} & 3535..4164 \\
\hline & /label="ORF frame 2" \\
\hline & /translation="MKVIAVLNQKGGSGKTTIATHLARALQLAGADVLLVDSDPQGSA \\
\hline & RDWAAVREDQPLTVVGI DRPTI DRDVKAI GRRDFVVI DGAPQAADLAVSAI KAA DFVL \\
\hline & IPVQPSPYDIWATADLVELVKQRIEVTDGRLQAAFVVSRAIKGTRIGGEVAEALAGYE \\
\hline & LPILESRITQRVSY PGTAAAGT TVLESEPEGDAAREVQALAAEIKSKLI*" \\
\hline & /note="Geneious name: ORF frame 2" \\
\hline \multirow[t]{10}{*}{CDS} & 9513..9959 \\
\hline & /note="'ethylene-responsive transcriptional coactivator, \\
\hline & /codon start \(=1\) \\
\hline & /product="At 3g 24500" \\
\hline & /protein id="AAO44027.1" \\
\hline & /db xref="GI:28466837" \\
\hline & /trānslation="MPSRYPGAVTQDWEPVVLHKSKQKSQDLRDPKAVNAALRNGVAV \\
\hline & QTVKKFDAGSNKKGKSTAVPVI NTKKLEEETE PAAMDRVKAEVRLMI QKARLEKKMSQ \\
\hline & ADLAKQINERTQVVQEYENGKAVPNQAVLAKMEKVLGVKLRGKIGK" \\
\hline & /note="Geneious name: At 3g24500 CDS" \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{misc_feature} & 2008..2151 \\
\hline & /label="lacZ_a" \\
\hline & /note="Geneious name: lacz a" \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{misc_feature} & complement (2008. . 2030) \\
\hline & /label="M13_puc_fwd_primer" \\
\hline & /note="Geneíous name: M13 pUC fwd primer" \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{misc_feature} & 8921..8943 \\
\hline & /label="M13_pUC_rev_primer" \\
\hline & /note="Geneious name: M13 pUC rev primer" \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{misc_feature} & complement (6161.. 6183) \\
\hline & /label="pGEX_3_primer" \\
\hline & /note="Geneious name: pGEX 3 primer" \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{misc_feature} & 8942..8960 \\
\hline & /label="M13_reverse_primer" \\
\hline & /note="Geneīous name: M13 reverse primer" \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{misc_feature} & complement (1999.. 2015) \\
\hline & /label="M13_forward20_primer" \\
\hline & /note="Geneíous name: M13 forward20 primer" \\
\hline \multirow[t]{5}{*}{misc_feature} & 10235.. 1 \\
\hline & /Recognition_pattern="C^CCGGG" \\
\hline & /annotation_group="CCCGGG" \\
\hline & /note="Geneīous name: XmaI" \\
\hline & /note="Geneious type: restriction site" \\
\hline \multirow[t]{5}{*}{misc_feature} & 10235.. 1 \\
\hline & /Recognition_pattern="CCC^GGG" \\
\hline & /annotation_group="CCCGGG" \\
\hline & /note="Geneīous name: SmaI" \\
\hline & /note="Geneious type: restriction site" \\
\hline \multirow[t]{5}{*}{misc_feature} & 5402. 5407 \\
\hline & /Recognition_pattern="AT^CGAT" \\
\hline & /annotation_group="ATCGAT" \\
\hline & /note="Geneious name: ClaI" \\
\hline & /note="Geneious type: restriction site" \\
\hline \multirow[t]{5}{*}{misc_feature} & 1. . 6 \\
\hline & /Recognition_pattern="G^AATTC" \\
\hline & /annotation_group="GAATTC" \\
\hline & /note="Geneious name: EcoRI" \\
\hline & /note="Geneious type: restriction site" \\
\hline \multirow[t]{5}{*}{misc_feature} & 8966. 8971 \\
\hline & /Recognition_pattern="G^AATTC" \\
\hline & /annotation_group="GAATTC" \\
\hline & /note="Geneious name: EcoRI" \\
\hline & /note="Geneious type: restriction site" \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{misc_feature} & 1990..1995 \\
\hline & /Recognition_pattern="A^AGCTT" \\
\hline & /annotation_group="AAGCTT" \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline \multirow{6}{*}{misc_feature} & /note="Geneious name: HindIII" \\
\hline & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { /note="Geneious type: restriction site" } \\
& \text { 10227..10232 }
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline & /Recognition_pattern="A^AGCTT" \\
\hline & /annotation_group="AAGCTT" \\
\hline & /note="Geneious name: HindIII" \\
\hline & /note="Geneious type: restriction site" \\
\hline \multirow[t]{5}{*}{misc_feature} & 29.. 35 \\
\hline & /Recognition_pattern="RG^GNCCY" \\
\hline & /annotation_group="RGGNCCY" \\
\hline & /note="Geneious name: DraII, EcoO109I" \\
\hline & /note="Geneious type: restriction site" \\
\hline \multirow[t]{5}{*}{misc_feature} & 8994..9000 \\
\hline & /Recognition_pattern=" RG^GNCCY" \\
\hline & /annotation_group="RGGNCCY" \\
\hline & /note="Geneīous name: DraII, EcoO109I" \\
\hline & /note="Geneious type: restriction site" \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{ORIGIN}

1 gaattcatgg agtcaaagat tcaaatagag gacctaacag aactcgccgt aaagactggc
1 gaacagttca tacagagtct cttacgactc aatgacaaga agaaaatctt cotca 121 gtggagcacg acacacttgt ctactccaaa aatatcaaag atacagtctc agaagaccaa 181 agggcaattg agacttttca acaaagggta atatccggaa acctcctcgg attccattgc 241 ccagctatct gtcactttat tgtgaagata gtggaaaagg aaggtggctc ctacaaatgc 301 catcattgcg ataaaggaaa ggccatcgtt gaagatgcct ctgccgacag tggtcccaaa 361 gatggacccc cacccacgag gagcatcgtg gaaaaagaag acgttccaac cacgtcttca 421 aagcaagtgg attgatgtga tatctccact gacgtaaggg atgacgcaca atcccactat 481 ccttcgcaag acccttcctc tatataagga agttcatttc atttggagag aacacggggg 541 actagaatgc aaaaactcat taactcagtg caaaactatg cctggggcag caaaacggcg 601 ttgactgaac tttatggtat ggaaaatccg tccagccagc cgatggccga gctgtggatg 661 ggcgcacatc cgaaaagcag ttcacgagtg cagaatgccg ccggagacat cgtttcactg 721 cgtgatgtga ttgagagtga taaatcgact ctgctcggag aggccgttgc caaacgcttt 781 ggcgaactgc ctttcctgtt caaagtatta tgcgcagcac agccactctc cattcaggtt 841 catccaaaca aacacaattc tgaaatcggt tttgccaaag aaaatgccgc aggtatcccg 901 atggatgccg ccgagcgtaa ctataaagat cctaaccaca agccggagct ggtttttgcg 961 ctgacgcctt tccttgcgat gaacgcgttt cgtgaatttt ccgagattgt ctccctactc 1021 cagccggtcg caggtgcaca tccggcgatt gctcactttt tacaacagcc tgatgccgaa 1081 cgtttaagcg aactgttcgc cagcctgttg aatatgcagg gtgaagaaaa atcccacgcg 1141 ctggcgattt taaaatcggc cctcgatagc cagcagggtg aaccgtggca aacgattcgt 1201 ttaatttctg aattttaccc ggaagacagc ggtctgttct ccccgctatt gctgaatgtg 1261 gtgaaattga accctggcga agcgatgttc ctgttcgctg aaacaccgca cgcttacctg 1321 caaggcgtgg cgctggaagt gatggcaaac tccgataacg tgctgcgtgc gggtctgacg 1381 cctaaataca ttgatattcc ggaactggtt gccaatgtga aattcgaagc caaaccggct 1441 aaccagttgt tgacccagcc ggtgaaacaa ggtgcagaac tggacttccc gattccagtg 1501 gatgattttg ccttctcgct gcatgacctt agtgataaag aaaccaccat tagccagcag 1561 agtgccgcca ttttgttctg cgtcgaaggc gatgcaacgt tgtggaaagg ttctcagcag 1621 ttacagctta aaccgggtga atcagcgttt attgccgcca acgaatcacc ggtgactgtc 1681 aaaggccacg gccgtttagc gcgtgtttac aacaaactct gagtcgagga tcgttcaaac 1741 atttggcaat aaagtttctt aagattgaat cctgttgccg gtcttgcgat gattatcata 1801 taatttctgt tgaattacgt taagcatgta ataattaaca tgtaatgcat gacgttattt 1861 atgagatggg tttttatgat tagagtcccg caattataca tttaatacgc gatagaaaac 1921 aaaatatagc gcgcaaacta ggataaatta tcgcgcgcgg tgtcatctat gttactagat 1981 cgggaattaa agcttggcac tggccgtcgt tttacaacgt cgtgactggg aaaaccctgg 2041 cgttacccaa cttaatcgcc ttgcagcaca tccccctttc gccagctggc gtaatagcga 2101 agaggcccgc accgatcgcc cttcccaaca gttgcgcagc ctgaatggcg aatgagcttg 2161 agcttggatc agattgtcgt ttcccgcctt cagtttaaac tatcagtgtt tgacaggata 2221 tattggcggg taaacctaag agaaaagagc gtttattaga ataacggata tttaaaaggg 2281 cgtgaaaagg tttatccgtt cgtccatttg tatgtgcatg ccaaccacag ggttcccctc 2341 gggatcaaag tactttgatc caacccctcc gctgctatag tgcagtcggc ttctgacgtt 2401 cagtgcagcc gtcttctgaa aacgacatgt cgcacaagtc ctaagttacg cgacaggctg 2461 ccgccctgcc cttttcctgg cgttttcttg tcgcgtgttt tagtcgcata aagtagaata 2521 cttgcgacta gaaccggaga cattacgcca tgaacaagag cgccgccgct ggcctgctgg 2581 gctatgcccg cgtcagcacc gacgaccagg acttgaccaa ccaacgggcc gaactgcacg 2641 cggccggctg caccaagctg ttttccgaga agatcaccgg caccaggcgc gaccgcccgg 2701 agctggccag gatgcttgac cacctacgcc ctggcgacgt tgtgacagtg accaggctag 2761 accgcctggc ccgcagcacc cgcgacctac tggacattgc cgagcgcatc caggaggccg 2821 gcgcgggcct gcgtagcetg gcagagccgt gggccgacac caccacgccg gccggccgca 2881 tggtgttgac cgtgttcgcc ggcattgccg agttcgagcg ttccctaatc atcgaccgca 2941 cccggagcgg gcgcgaggcc gccaaggccc gaggcgtgaa gtttggcccc cgccctaccc 3001 tcaccccggc acagatcgcg cacgcccgcg agctgatcga ccaggaaggc cgcaccgtga 3061 aagaggcggc tgcactgctt ggcgtgcatc gctcgaccct gtaccgcgca cttgagcgca 3121 gcgaggaagt gacgcccacc gaggccaggc ggcgcggtgc cttccgtgag gacgcattga 3181 ccgaggccga cgccctggcg gccgccgaga atgaacgcca agaggaacaa gcatgaaacc 3241 gcaccaggac ggccaggacg aaccgttttt cattaccgaa gagatcgagg cggagatgat 3301 cgcggccggg tacgtgttcg agccgcccgc gcacgtctca accgtgcggc tgcatgaaat

3361 cctggccggt ttgtctgatg ccaagctggc ggcctggccg gccagcttgg ccgctgaaga 3421 aaccga 3481 ggtcgctgcg tatatgatgc gatgagtaaa taaacaaata cgcaagggga acgcatgaag 3541 gttatcgctg tacttaacca gaaaggcggg 3601 gcccgcgccc tgcaactcgc cggggccgat 3661 agtgcccgcg attgggcggc cgtgcgggaa 3721 cgcccgacga ttgaccgcga cgtgaaggcc 3781 ggagcgcccc aggcggcgga cttggctgtg 3841 attccggtgc agccaagccc ttacgacata 3901 aagcagcgca ttgaggtcac ggatggaagg 3961 atcaaaggca cgcgcatcgg cggtgaggtt 4021 attcttgagt cccgtatcac gcagcgcgtg 4081 accgttcttg aatcagaacc cgagggcgac 4141 gaaattaaat caaaactcat ttgagttaat 4201 acacgctaag tgccggccgt ccgagcgcac 4261 tggcagacac gccagccatg aagcgggtca 4321 agctgaagat gtacgcggta cgccaaggca 4381 tcgcgcagct accagagtaa atgagcaaat 4441 aaaggaggcg gcatggaaaa tcaagaacaa 4501 tgtggaggaa cgggcggttg gccaggcgta 4561 ggcactggaa cccccaagcc cgaggaatcg 4621 acaaatcggc gcggcgctgg gtgatgacct 4681 ccagcggcaa cgcatcgagg cagaagcacg 4741 tcgaatccgc aaagaatccc ggcaaccgcc 4801 gcccaagggc gacgagcaac cagatttttt 4861 cgatagtcgc agcatcatgg acgtggccgt 4921 tggcgaggtg atccgctacg agcttccaga 4981 cggcatggcc agtgtgtggg attacgacct 5041 atccatgaac cgataccggg aagggaaggg 5101 cgttgcggac gtactcaagt tctgccggcg 5161 ggtagaaacc tgcattcggt taaacaccac 5221 caagaacggc cgcctggtga cggtatccga 5281 cgtaaagagc gaaaccgggc ggccggagta 5341 ccgcgagatc acagaaggca agaacccgga 5401 gatcgatccc ggcatcggcc gttttctcta 5461 agaagccaga tggttgttca agacgatcta 5521 gaagttctgt ttcaccgtgc gcaagctgat 5581 gaaggaggag gcggggcagg ctggcccgat 5641 gggcgaagca tccgccggtt cctaatgtac 5701 aggggaaaaa ggtcgaaaag gtctctttcc 5761 gccgtacatt gggaaccgga acccgtacat 5821 gtcacacatg taagtgactg atataaaaga 5881 tttaaaactt attaaaactc ttaaaacccg 5941 cacagccgaa gagctgcaaa aagcgcctac 6001 cgcttcgcgt cggcctatcg cggccgctgg 6061 caatctacca gggcgcggac aagccgcgcc 6121 aggcaccctg cctcgcgcgt ttcggtgatg 6181 cggagacggt cacagcttgt ctgtaagcgg 6241 cgtcagcggg tgttggcggg tgtcggggcg 6301 gagtgtatac tggcttaact atgcggcatc 6361 gcggtgtgaa ataccgcaca gatgcgtaag 6421 ttcctcgctc actgactcgc tgcgctcggt 6481 ctcaaaggcg gtaatacggt tatccacaga 6541 agcaaaaggc cagcaaaagg ccaggaaccg 6601 taggctccgc ccccctgacg agcatcacaa 6661 cccgacagga ctataaagat accaggcgtt 6721 tgttccgacc ctgccgctta ccggatacct 6781 gctttctcat agctcacgct gtaggtatct 6841 gggctgtgtg cacgaacccc ccgttcagcc 6901 tcttgagtcc aacccggtaa gacacgactt 6961 gattagcaga gcgaggtatg taggcggtgc 7021 cggctacact agaaggacag tatttggtat 7081 aaaaagagtt ggtagctctt gatccggcaa 7141 tgtttgcaag cagcagatta cgcgcagaaa 7201 ttctacgggg tctgacgctc agtggaacga 7261 tgatatatct cccaatttgt gtagggctta 7321 cttgacctga tagtttggct gtgagcaatt 7381 aacgccggcg aagcggcgtc ggcttgaacg 7441 tgccttaaaa aaattacgcc ccgccctgcc 7501 gcattctgcc gacatggaag ccatcacaaa 7561 tcagcacctt gtcgccttgc gtataatatt 7621 tgtccatatt ggccacgttt aaatcaaaac 7681 cgaaaaacat attctcaata aaccctttag 7741 ccacatcttg cgaatatatg tgtagaaact gccggaaatc gtcgtggtat tcactccaga
7801 gcgatgaaaa cgtttcagtt tgctcatgga aaacggtgta acaagggtga acactatccc 7861 atatcaccag ctcaccgtct ttcattgcca tacggaactc cggatgagca ttcatcaggc 7921 gggcaagaat gtgaataaag gccggataaa acttgtgctt atttttcttt acggtcttta 7981 aaaggccgt aatatccagc tgaacggtct ggttataggt acattgagca actgactgaa 8041 atgcctcaaa atgttcttta cgatgccatt gggatatatc aacggtggta tatccagtga 8101 tttttttctc catgatgttt aactttgttt tagggcgact gccctgctgc gtaacatcgt 8161 tgctgctcca taacatcaaa catcgaccca cggcgtaacg cgcttgctgc ttggatgccc 8221 gaggcataga ctgtacccca aaaaaacatg tcataacaag aagccatgaa aaccgccact 8281 gcgccgttac caccgctgcg ttcggtcaag gttctggacc agttgcgtga cggcagttac 8341 gctacttgca ttacagctta cgaaccgaac gaggcttatg tccactgggt tcgtgcccga 8401 attgatcaca ggcagcaacg ctctgtcatc gttacaatca acatgctacc ctccgcgaga 8461 tcatccgtgt ttcaaacccg gcagcttagt tgccgttctt ccgaatagca tcggtaacat 8521 gagcaaagtc tgccgcctta caacggctct cccgctgacg ccgtcccgga ctgatgggct 8581 gcctgtatcg agtggtgatt ttgtgccgag ctgccggtcg gggagctgtt ggctggctgg 8641 tggcaggata tattgtggtg taaacaaatt gacgcttaga caacttaata acacattgcg 8701 gacgttttta atgtactgaa ttaacgccga attgctctag ccaatacgca aaccgcctct 8761 ccccgcgcgt tggccgattc attaatgcag ctggcacgac aggtttcccg actggaaagc 8821 gggcagtgag cgcaacgcaa ttaatgtgag ttagctcact cattaggcac cccaggcttt 8881 acactttatg cttccggctc gtatgttgtg tggaattgtg agcggataac aatttcacac 8941 aggaaacagc tatgaccatg attacgaatt catggagtca aagattcaaa tagaggacct 9001 aacagaactc gccgtaaaga ctggcgaaca gttcatacag agtctcttac gactcaatga 9061 caagaagaaa atcttcgtca acatggtgga gcacgacaca cttgtctact ccaaaaatat 9121 caaagataca gtctcagaag accaaagggc aattgagact tttcaacaaa gggtaatatc 9181 cggaaacctc ctcggattcc attgcccagc tatctgtcac tttattgtga agatagtgga 9241 aaaggaaggt ggctcctaca aatgccatca ttgcgataaa ggaaaggcca tcgttgaaga 9301 tgcctctgcc gacagtggtc ccaaagatgg acccccaccc acgaggagca tcgtggaaaa 9361 agaagacgtt ccaaccacgt cttcaaagca agtggattga tgtgatatct ccactgacgt 9421 aagggatgac gcacaatccc actatccttc gcaagaccct tcctctatat aaggaagttc 9481 atttcatttg gagagaacac gggggactag acatgccgag cagataccca ggagcagtaa 9541 cacaagactg ggaaccagta gttctccaca aatcaaaaca aaagagccaa gacctacgcg 9601 atccgaaagc ggttaacgca gctctgagaa acggtgtcgc ggttcaaacg gttaagaaat 9661 tcgatgccgg ttcgaacaaa aaggggaaat ctacggcggt tccggtgatt aacacgaaga 9721 agctggaaga agaaacagag cctgcggcga tggatcgtgt gaaagcagag gtgaggttga 9781 tgatacagaa agcgagattg gagaagaaga tgtcacaagc ggatttggcg aaacagatca 9841 atgagaggac tcaggtagtt caggaatatg agaatggtaa agctgttcct aatcaggctg 9901 tgcttgcgaa gatggagaag gttctaggtg ttaaacttag gggtaaaatt gggaaatgag 9961 tcgaggatcg ttcaaacatt tggcaataaa gtttcttaag attgaatcct gttgccggtc 10021 ttgcgatgat tatcatataa tttctgttga attacgttaa gcatgtaata attaacatgt 10081 aatgcatgac gttatttatg agatgggttt ttatgattag agtcccgcaa ttatacattt 10141 aatacgcgat agaaaacaaa atatagcgcg caaactagga taaattatcg cgcgcggtgt 10201 catctatgtt actagatcgg gaattaaagc ttcacccgg

\section*{B. 9 pBluSK p35SGUSintTNos sequence data}

M

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline & /db_xref="EcoGene:EG11055" \\
\hline & /db_xref="Gene ID: 946149 9 \\
\hline & /trānslation="MLRPVETPTREIKKLDGLWAFSL DRENCGI DQRWWESALQESRA \\
\hline & IAVPGSFNDQFADA DIRNYAGNVWYQREVFIPKGWAG QRIVLRFDAVTHYGKVWVNNQ \\
\hline & EVME HQGGYTPFEA DVTPYVIA GKSVRITVCVNNELNWQTIP PGMVITDENGKKKQSY \\
\hline & FHDF FNY AG IHRSVMLYTTPNTWVDDI TVVTHVAQDCNHASVDWQVANGDVSVELRD \\
\hline & ADQQVVATGQGT SG TLQVVNPH LWQPGEGYLYELCVT AKSQTECDIY PLRVGIRSVAV \\
\hline & KGEQFLINHKPFYFTGFGRHEDADLRGKGFDNVLMVH DHALMDWIGANSYRTSHYPYA \\
\hline & EEML DWADE HGIVVIDE TAAVG FNLSLGI GFEAGNKP KELYSEEAVNGETQQAH LQAI \\
\hline & KELIARDKNHPSVVMWS IANEP DTRPQGAREYFAPLAEATRKLDPTRPITCVNVMFCD \\
\hline & AHTDTISDLFDVLC LNRYY GWYVQSGDLETAEKVLEKEL LAWQEKLHQPIIITE YGVD \\
\hline & TLAGLHSMYTDMWS EEYQCAWL DMYHRVF DRVSAVVGEQVWNFADFATSQGILRVGGN \\
\hline & KKGIFTRDRKPKSAAFLLQKRW TGMNFGEKPQQGGKQ " \\
\hline & /note="Geneious name: uidA CDS" \\
\hline ORF & complement (4444..5304) \\
\hline & /created_by="User" \\
\hline & /note="Geneious name: AMP-r" \\
\hline propeptide & complement (5891..6051) \\
\hline & /created_by="User" \\
\hline & /note="Gēneious name: lacZ a" \\
\hline terminator & 2876..3128 \\
\hline & /note="nos terminator" \\
\hline & /note="Geneious name: nos terminator" \\
\hline gene & order (856..>1240, <1667..2853) \\
\hline & /gene="uidA" \\
\hline & /locus_tag="b1 617" \\
\hline & /gene_s \({ }^{\text {synonym= "ECK1 612; gurA; gusA; JW1 609" }}\) \\
\hline & /db_xref="ECOCYC:EG11055" \\
\hline & /db_xref="EcoGene:EG11055" \\
\hline & /db_xref="GeneID: 946149 " \\
\hline & /nō̄e="Geneious name: uidA gene" \\
\hline ligation & 1.. 4 \\
\hline & /note="Geneious name: Ligation" \\
\hline ligation & 3146..3149 \\
\hline & /note="Geneious name: Ligation" \\
\hline origin & 1241..1240 \\
\hline origin & 5430.5429 \\
\hline rRNA & 1427 \\
\hline & /created_by="User" \\
\hline & /note="Gēneious name: RE Site Mod" \\
\hline rRNA & 1243 \\
\hline & /created_by="User" \\
\hline & /note="Gēneious name: RE Site Mod" \\
\hline rep_origin & complement (3670..4289) \\
\hline & /created_by="User" \\
\hline & /note="Geneious name: pBR322 ori" \\
\hline rep_origin & complement (5567.. 5873) \\
\hline & /created_by="User" \\
\hline & /note="Geneious name: f1 origin" \\
\hline misc_feature & order (6082..>6103, <3146..3231) \\
\hline & /created_by="User" \\
\hline & /modified_by="User" \\
\hline & /note="Geñeious name: MCS" \\
\hline motif & 2827. 2853 \\
\hline & /Mismatches=0 \\
\hline & /\%_Identity=100 \\
\hline & /Mōtif="AAACCG CAGCAGGGAGGCAAACAATGA" \\
\hline & /annotation_group="AAACCGCAGCAGGGAGGCAAACAATGA: 3, 067 -> 3, 093" \\
\hline & /note="Geneious name: AAACCGCAGCAGGGAGGCAAACAATGA" \\
\hline motif & 2861. 2885 \\
\hline & /Mismatches=0 \\
\hline & /\%_Identity=100 \\
\hline & /Mōtif="AATTGATCCGAAGCAGATCGTTCAA" \\
\hline & /annotation_group="AATTGATCCGAAGCAGATCGTTCAA: 3, 095 -> 3, \\
\hline & 119" - \\
\hline & /note="Geneious name: AATTGATCCGAAGCAGATCGTTCAA" \\
\hline motif & order(complement(3621..3644), complement(3621..3644)) \\
\hline & /Mismatches=0 \\
\hline & /\%_Identity=100 \\
\hline & /Mōtif="GCTGGCCTTTTGCTCACATGTTCT" \\
\hline & /annotation_group="GCTGGCCTTTTGCTCACATGTTCT: 1, \(150<-1\), \\
\hline & 173" - \\
\hline & /note="Geneious name: GCTGGCCTTTTGCTCACATGTTCT" \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline intron
intron & ```
1241..1429
/number=2
/note="Geneious name: intron 2"
order(1413..1414, 1418..1419, 1421..1422, 1424)
``` \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{/intron_border_sequences_were_optimised_with__respect__to__the__consensus__seque nce for plan \(\bar{t}\) introns \({ }^{-}\)(Shapiro and \({ }^{-}\)Senēpathy 1 \(\overline{98} 7\) )} \\
\hline & /crēated_by="User" \\
\hline & /modifiē__by="User" \\
\hline & /note="Geñeious name: int splice mod" \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{promoter} & <1.. 807 \\
\hline & /note="CaMV 35S promoter" \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{promoter} & /note="Geneious name: CaMV 35S promoter" complement (3332..3361) \\
\hline & /created_by="User" \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{promoter} & /note="Gēneious name: lac Promoter" complement (5346. . 5374) \\
\hline & /created_by="User" \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{promoter} & ```
/note="Geneious name: AMP-r Promoter"
complement(3243.. 3262)
``` \\
\hline & /created_by="User" \\
\hline & /note="Geneious name: T3 Promoter" \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{promoter} & 6055.6073 \\
\hline & /created_by="User" \\
\hline \multirow{4}{*}{primer_bind} & /note="Geneious name: T7 Promoter" \\
\hline & order(6098..>6103, <3146..3156) \\
\hline & /created_by="User" \\
\hline & /note="Geneious name: pBluScrkS primer" \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{primer_bind} & 6017..6039 \\
\hline & /created_by="User" \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{primer_bind} & /note="Gēneious name: M13 pUC fw-primer" complement (3296. 3318) \\
\hline & /created_by="User" \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{primer_bind} & ```
/note="Gēneious name: M13 pUC Rew-primer"
complement(3279.. 3297)
``` \\
\hline & /created_by="User" \\
\hline \multirow{3}{*}{primer_bind} & /note="Geneious name: M13 Rw-primer" \\
\hline & 6032..6048 \\
\hline & /created_by="User" \\
\hline \multirow{3}{*}{primer_bind} & /note="Geneious name: M13 fw20-primer" \\
\hline & complement (3191..3207) \\
\hline & /created_by="User" \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{misc_feature} & /note="Gēneious name: pBluScrSK primer" order (1667..>3145, <1..1240) \\
\hline & /note="Geneious name: A1F SnaBI-BalI (concatenated sequence 2)" \\
\hline \multirow{3}{*}{misc_feature} & /note="Geneious type: Concatenated sequence" \\
\hline & 5.3145 \\
\hline & /note="Geneious name: A7F SalI NNNNNN Modified extraction (concatenated sequence 1)" \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{misc_feature} & /note="Geneious type: Concatenated sequence"
\(3150 . .6103\) \\
\hline & /note="Geneious name: BF SalI extraction 2 (concatenated sequence 2)" \\
\hline & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { /note="Geneious type: Concatenated sequence" } \\
& 1241 . .1666
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline \multirow{2}{*}{misc_feature} & /note="Geneious name: 8F SnaBI-BalI (concatenated sequence 1)" \\
\hline & ```
/note="Geneious type: Concatenated sequence"
<1241..>1666
``` \\
\hline \multirow{2}{*}{misc_feature} & /note="Geneious name: 54 Intron Border Sequences Modified (concatenated sequence 2)" \\
\hline & /note="Geneious type: Concatenated sequence" \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{misc_feature} & \(1430 . .>1666\) l \\
\hline & /note="Geneious name: UidA SnaBI 1 F2 SnaBI (concatenated sequence 3)" \\
\hline & /note="Geneious type: Concatenated sequence"
1241..1429
\[
1241 . .1429
\] \\
\hline \multirow{2}{*}{misc_feature} & /note="Geneious name: X04753 FF HphI-StyI Border Modified \\
\hline & F SnaBI-PvuII (concatenated sequence 2)" \\
\hline \multirow{3}{*}{misc_feature} & /note="Geneious type: Concatenated sequence" \\
\hline & 3215..3226 \\
\hline & ```
/Recognition_pattern="CCANNNNN^NTGG"
/annotation_group="CCANNNNNNTGG"
``` \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline \multirow{7}{*}{misc_feature} & /note="Geneious name: BstXI" \\
\hline & /note="Geneious type: restriction site" \\
\hline & 3208..3215 \\
\hline & /Recognition_pattern="GC^GGCCGC" \\
\hline & /annotation_group="GCGGCCGC" \\
\hline & /note="Geneious name: NotI" \\
\hline & /note="Geneious type: restriction site" \\
\hline \multirow[t]{5}{*}{misc_feature} & 6088..6094 \\
\hline & /Recognition_pattern="RG^GNCCY" \\
\hline & /annotation_group="RGGNCCY" \\
\hline & /note="Geneious name: DraII, EcoO109I" \\
\hline & /note="Geneious type: restriction site" \\
\hline \multirow[t]{5}{*}{misc_feature} & 3209..3214 \\
\hline & /Recognition_pattern="C^GGCCG" \\
\hline & /annotation_group="CGGCCG" \\
\hline & /note="Geneious name: EagI, Eco52I" \\
\hline & /note="Geneious type: restriction site" \\
\hline \multirow[t]{5}{*}{misc_feature} & 3184..3189 \\
\hline & /Recognition_pattern="C^CCGGG" \\
\hline & /annotation_group="CCCGGG" \\
\hline & /note="Geneīous name: XmaI" \\
\hline & /note="Geneious type: restriction site" \\
\hline \multirow[t]{5}{*}{misc_feature} & 3184..3189 \\
\hline & /Recognition_pattern=" CCC^GGG" \\
\hline & /annotation_group="CCCGGG" \\
\hline & /note="Geneīous name: SmaI" \\
\hline & /note="Geneious type: restriction site" \\
\hline \multirow[t]{5}{*}{misc_feature} & 3196..3201 \\
\hline & /Recognition_pattern="A^CTAGT" \\
\hline & /annotation_group="ACTAGT" \\
\hline & /note="Geneious name: SpeI" \\
\hline & /note="Geneious type: restriction site" \\
\hline \multirow[t]{5}{*}{misc_feature} & 3154..3159 \\
\hline & /Recognition_pattern="AT^CGAT" \\
\hline & /annotation_group="ATCGAT" \\
\hline & /note="Geneīous name: ClaI" \\
\hline & /note="Geneious type: restriction site" \\
\hline \multirow[t]{5}{*}{misc_feature} & 3226..3231 \\
\hline & /Recognition_pattern="GAGCT^C" \\
\hline & /annotation_group="GAGCTC" \\
\hline & /note="Geneīous name: SacI" \\
\hline & /note="Geneious type: restriction site" \\
\hline \multirow[t]{5}{*}{misc_feature} & 3218.. 3223 \\
\hline & /Recognition_pattern=" CCGC^ GG" \\
\hline & /annotation_group="CCGCGG" \\
\hline & /note="Geneīous name: SacII" \\
\hline & /note="Geneious type: restriction site" \\
\hline \multirow[t]{5}{*}{misc_feature} & 6082..6087 \\
\hline & /Recognition_pattern="GGTAC^\({ }^{\text {C }}\) " \\
\hline & /annotation_group="GGTACC" \\
\hline & /note="Geneīous name: KpnI" \\
\hline & /note="Geneious type: restriction site" \\
\hline \multirow[t]{5}{*}{misc_feature} & 6097.6102 \\
\hline & /Recognition_pattern="C^TCGAG" \\
\hline & /annotation_group="CTC GAG" \\
\hline & /note="Geneious name: XhoI" \\
\hline & /note="Geneious type: restriction site" \\
\hline \multirow[t]{5}{*}{misc_feature} & 6088..6093 \\
\hline & /Recognition_pattern="GGGCC^C" \\
\hline & /annotation_group="GGGCCC" \\
\hline & /note="Geneīous name: ApaI" \\
\hline & /note="Geneious type: restriction site" \\
\hline \multirow[t]{5}{*}{misc_feature} & \(3160 . .3165\) \\
\hline & /Recognition_pattern="A^AGCTT" \\
\hline & /annotation_group="AAGCTT" \\
\hline & /note="Geneīous name: HindIII" \\
\hline & /note="Geneious type: restriction site" \\
\hline \multirow[t]{4}{*}{misc_feature} & 1243 \\
\hline & /Original_Bases="T" \\
\hline & /note="Geneious name: T" \\
\hline & /note="Geneious type: Editing History Replacement" \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{misc_feature} & 1413..1414 \\
\hline & /Original Bases="AA" \\
\hline & /note="Geneious name: AA" \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[t]{4}{*}{misc_feature} & /note="Geneious type: Editing History Replacement" \\
\hline & /Original_Bases="GG" \\
\hline & /note="Geneious name: GG" \\
\hline & /note="Geneious type: Editing History Replacement" \\
\hline \multirow[t]{4}{*}{misc_feature} & 1421..1422 \\
\hline & /Original_Bases="GA" \\
\hline & /note="Geneious name: GA" \\
\hline & /note="Geneious type: Editing History Replacement" \\
\hline \multirow[t]{4}{*}{misc_feature} & 1424 \\
\hline & /Original_Bases="G" \\
\hline & /note="Geneious name: G" \\
\hline & /note="Geneious type: Editing History Replacement" \\
\hline \multirow[t]{4}{*}{misc_feature} & 1427 \\
\hline & /Original_Bases="T" \\
\hline & /note="Geneious name: T" \\
\hline & /note="Geneious type: Editing History Replacement" \\
\hline \multirow[t]{4}{*}{misc_feature} & 2854..2859 \\
\hline & /Original_Bases \\
\hline & /note="Geñeious name: " \\
\hline & /note="Geneious type: Editing History Insertion" \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

ORIGIN
1 tcgacctgca gqcatgcaag ctcctacgca gcaggtctca tcaagacgat ctacccgagt 61 aacaatctcc aggagatcaa ataccttccc aagaaggtta aagatgcagt caaaagattc 121 aggactaatt gcatcaagaa cacagagaaa gacatatttc tcaagatcag aagtactatt 181 ccagtatgga cgattcaagg cttgcttcat aaaccaaggc aagtaataga gattggagtc 241 tctaaaaagg tagttcctac tgaatctaag gccatgcatg gagtctaaga ttcaaatcga 301 ggatctaaca gaactcgccg tgaagactgg cgaacagttc atacagagtc ttttacgact 361 caatgacaag aagaaaatct tcgtcaacat ggtggagcac gacactctgg tctactccaa 421 aaatgtcaaa gatacagtct cagaagacca aagggctatt gagacttttc aacaaaggat 481 aatttcggga aacctcctcg gattccattg cccagctatc tgtcacttca tcgaaaggac 541 agtagaaaag gaaggtggct cctacaaatg ccatcattgc gataaaggaa aggctatcat 601 tcaagatgcc tctgccgaca gtggtcccaa agatggaccc ccacccacga ggagcatcgt 661 ggaaaaagaa gacgttccaa ccacgtcttc aaagcaagtg gattgatgtg acatctccac 721 tgacgtaagg gatgacgcac aatcccacta tccttcgcaa gacccttcct ctatataagg 781 aagttcattt catttggaga ggacacgctg aaatcaccag tctctctcta taaatctatc 841 tctctctcta taaccatggt ccgtcctgta gaaaccccaa cccgtgaaat caaaaaactc 901 gacggcctgt gggcattcag tctggatcgc gaaaactgtg gaattgatca gcgttggtgg 961 gaaagcgcgt tacaagaaag ccgggcaatt gctgtgccag gcagttttaa cgatcagttc 1021 gccgatgcag atattcgtaa ttatgcgggc aacgtctggt atcagcgcga agtctttata 1081 ccgaaaggtt gggcaggcca gcgtatcgtg ctgcgtttcg atgcggtcac tcattacggc 1141 aaagtgtggg tcaataatca ggaagtgatg gagcatcagg gcggctatac gccatttgaa 1201 gccgatgtca cgccgtatgt tattgccggg aaaagtgtac gtatgtttct gcttctacct 1261 ttgatatata tataataatt atcattaatt agtagtaata taatatttca aatatttttt 1321 tcaaaataaa agaatgtagt atatagcaat tgcttttctg tagtttataa gtgtgtatat 1381 tttaatttat aacttttcta atatatgacc aattcatttt ttttttcagg tatcaccgtt 1441 tgtgtgaaca acgaactgaa ctggcagact atcccgccgg gaatggtgat taccgacgaa 1501 aacggcaaga aaaagcagtc ttacttccat gatttcttta actatgccgg gatccatcgc 1561 agcgtaatgc tctacaccac gccgaacacc tgggtggacg atatcaccgt ggtgacgcat 1621 gtcgcgcaag actgtaacca cgcgtctgtt gactggcagg tggtggccaa tggtgatgtc 1681 agcgttgaac tgcgtgatgc ggatcaacag gtggttgcaa ctggacaagg cactagcggg 1741 actttgcaag tggtgaatcc gcacctctgg caaccgggtg aaggttatct ctatgaactg 1801 tgcgtcacag ccaaaagcca gacagagtgt gatatctacc cgcttcgcgt cggcatccgg 1861 tcagtggcag tgaagggcga acagttcctg attaaccaca aaccgttcta ctttactggc 1921 tttggtcgtc atgaagatgc ggacttacgt ggcaaaggat tcgataacgt gctgatggtg 1981 cacgaccacg cattaatgga ctggattggg gccaactcct accgtacctc gcattaccct 2041 tacgctgaag agatgctcga ctgggcagat gaacatggca tcgtggtgat tgatgaaact 2101 gctgctgtcg gctttaacct ctctttaggc attggtttcg aagcgggcaa caagccgaaa 2161 gaactgtaca gcgaagaggc agtcaacggg gaaactcagc aagcgcactt acaggcgatt 2221 aaagagctga tagcgcgtga caaaaaccac ccaagcgtgg tgatgtggag tattgccaac 2281 gaaccggata cccgtccgca agtgcacggg aatatttcgc cactggcgga agcaacgcgt 2341 aaactcgacc cgacgcgtcc gatcacctgc gtcaatgtaa tgttctgcga cgctcacacc 2401 gataccatca gcgatctctt tgatgtgctg tgcctgaacc gttattacgg atggtatgtc 2461 caaagcggcg atttggaaac ggcagagaag gtactggaaa aagaacttct ggcctggcag 2521 gagaaactgc atcagccgat tatcatcacc gaatacggcg tggatacgtt agccgggctg 2581 cactcaatgt acaccgacat gtggagtgaa gagtatcagt gtgcatggct ggatatgtat 2641 caccgcgtct ttgatcgcgt cagcgccgtc gtcggtgaac aggtatggaa tttcgccgat 2701 tttgcgacct cgcaaggcat attgcgcgtt ggcggtaaca agaaagggat cttcactcgc 2761 gaccgcaaac cgaagtcggc ggcttttctg ctgcaaaaac gctggactgg catgaacttc 2821 ggtgaaaaac cgcagcaggg aggcaaacaa tgannnnnng aattgatccg aagcagatcg 2881 ttcaaacatt tggcaataaa gtttcttaag attgaatcct gttgccggtc ttgcgatgat 2941 tatcatataa tttctgttga attacgttaa gcatgtaata attaacatgt aatgcatgac 3001 gttatttatg agatgggttt ttatgattag agtcccgcaa ttatacattt aatacgcgat 3061 agaaaacaaa atatagcgcg caaactagga taaattatcg cgcgcggtgt catctatgtt
3121 actagatcgg gaagatcctc tagagtcgac ggtatcgata agct tgatat cgaattcctg
3181 cagcccgggg gatccactag ttctagagcg gccgccaccg cggtggagct ccagcttttg
3241 ttcccttag tgagggttaa tttcgagctt ggcgtaatca tggtcatagc tgtttcctgt
3301 gtgaaattgt tatccgctca caattccaca caacatacga gccggaagca taaagtgtaa
3361 agcctggggt gcctaatgag tgagctaact cacattaatt gcgttgcgct cactgcccgc
3421 tttccagtcg ggaaacctgt cgtgccagct gcattaatga atcggccaac gcgcggggag
3481 aggcggtttg cgtattgggc gctcttccgc ttcctcgctc actgactcgc tgcgctcggt
3541 cgttcggctg cggcgagcgg tatcagctca ctcaaaggcg gtaatacggt tatccacaga
3601 atcaggggat aacgcaggaa agaacatgtg agcaaaaggc cagcaaaagg ccaggaaccg
3661 taaaaaggcc gcgttgctgg cgtttttcca taggctccgc ccccctgacg agcatcacaa
3721 aaatcgacgc tcaagtcaga ggtggcgaaa cccgacagga ctataaagat accaggcgtt
3781 tccccctgga agctccctcg tgcgctctcc tgttccgacc ctgccgctta ccggatacct
3841 gtccgccttt ctcccttcgg gaagcgtggc gctttctcat agctcacgct gtaggtatct
3901 cagttcggtg taggtcgttc gctccaagct gggctgtgtg cacgaacccc ccgttcagcc
3961 cgaccgctgc gccttatccg gtaactatcg tcttgagtcc aacccggtaa gacacgactt
4021 atcgccactg gcagcagcca ctggtaacag gattagcaga gcgaggtatg taggcggtgc
4081 tacagagttc ttgaagtggt ggcctaacta cggctacact agaaggacag tatttggtat
4141 ctgcgctctg ctgaagccag ttaccttcgg aaaaagagtt ggtagctctt gatccggcaa
4201 acaaaccacc gctggtagcg gtggtttttt tgtttgcaag cagcagatta cgcgcagaaa
4261 aaaaggatct caagaagatc ctttgatctt ttctacgggg tctgacgctc agtggaacga
4321 aaactcacgt taagggattt tggtcatgag attatcaaaa aggatcttca cctagatcct
4381 tttaaattaa aaatgaagtt ttaaatcaat ctaaagtata tatgagtaaa cttggtctga
4441 cagttaccaa tgcttaatca gtgaggcacc tatctcagcg atctgtctat ttcgttcatc
4501 catagttgcc tgactccccg tcgtgtagat aactacgata cgggagggct taccatctgg
4561 ccccagtgct gcaatgatac cgcgagaccc acgctcaccg gctccagatt tatcagcaat
4621 aaaccagcca gccggaaggg ccgagcgcag aagtggtcct gcaactttat ccgcctccat
4681
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\title{
B. 10 pPZP101 35sManATNos-35SGUSintTNos-35SMBF1cTNos sequence data
}

N pPZP101 ManA GUSint MBF1c
LOCUS E_pPZP101 ManA G 13445 bp DNA circular UNA 30-JUL-2011
DEFINITION Concatenation of 2 sequences.
ACCESSION
VERSION
KEYWORDS
SOURCE
ORGANISM

FEATURES
misc_feature
origin
rep_origin
origin
rep_origin
terminator

Location/Qualifiers
2860..2865
/Original_Bases
/note="Gen̄eious name: "
/note="Geneious type: Editing History Insertion"
\(3191 . .3190\)
3191.. 3190
complement (9774. .10393)
/label="pBR322_origin"
/note="Geneious name: pBR322 origin"
2882.. 3134
```

            /note="nos terminator"
            /note="Geneious name: nos terminator"
    motif
    2833..2859
    /Mismatches=0
    /%_Identity=100
    /Motif="AAACCGCAGCAGGGAGGCAAACAATGA"
    /annotation_group="AAACCGCAGCAGGGAGGCAAACAATGA: 3, 067 ->
    3, 093"
    /note="Geneious name: AAACCGCAGCAGGGAGGCAAACAATGA"
    motif 2867..2891
            /Mismatches=0
            /% Identity=100
            /Mōtif="AATTGATCCGAAGCAGATCGTTCAA"
            /annotation group="AATTGATCCGAAGCAGATCGTTCAA: 3, 095 -> 3, 119"
            /note="Geneious name: AATTGATCCGAAGCAGATCGTTCAA"
    polyA_signal 13163..13415
/note="poly(A) signal from nopaline synthase"
/note="Geneious name: polyA signal"
polyA_signal 4926..5178
/note="poly(A) signal from nopaline synthase"
/note="Geneious name: polyA signal"
rRNA 1433
/created_by="User"
/note="Geneious name: RE Site Mod"
rRNA 1249
/created_by="User"
/note="Gēneious name: RE Site Mod"
order(862..>1246, <1436..2859)
/gene="uidA"
/locus tag="b1 617"
/gene_synonym="ECK1612; gurA; gusA; JW1609"
/db_xref="ECOCYC:EG11055"
/db xref="EcoGene:EG11055"
/db_xref="GeneID:946149"
/modified_by="User"
/note="Geneious name: uidA gene"
gene complement(10648..11307)
/label="CAT/CamR"
/gene="CAT/CamR"
/note="Geneious name: CAT/CamR gene"
order(<5..>6, <3152..3162)
/created_by="User"
/note="Geneious name: pBluScrKS primer"
complement(13436. .>13441)
/created_by="User"
/note="Geneious name: pBluScrSK primer"
<7.. }81
/note="CaMV 35S promoter"
/note="Geneious name: CaMV 35S promoter"
12165..>12700
/note="CaMV35SS eukaryotic promoter"
/note="Geneious name: CaMV35SS eukaryotic promoter"
3200..>3735
/note="CaMV35SS eukaryotic promoter"
/note="Geneious name: CaMV35SS eukaryotic promoter"
12072..12101
/label="lac promoter"
/note="Geneīious name: lac promoter"
intron 1247..1435
/number=2
/note="Geneious name: intron 2"
intron order(1419..1420, 1424..1425, 1427..1428, 1430)
/intron_border_sequences_were_optimised_with__respect__to__the__consensus__seque
nce__for__plant__introns_(Shapiro___and___Senepathy_1987)
/crēated_by="User"
/modifie\overline{d by="User"}
/note="Geneious name: int splice mod"
misc_feature 1419..1420
/Original_Bases="AA"
/note="Gen̄eious name: AA"
/note="Geneious type: Editing History Replacement"
misc_feature 1424..1425
/Original_Bases="GG"

```

```

    /label="ORF frame 2"
    /translation="MKVIAVLNQKGGSGKTTIATHLARALQLAGADVLLVDSDPQGSA
    RDWAAVREDQPLTVVGI DRPTI DRDVKAIGRRDFVVI DGAPQAADLAVSAI KAADFVL
    IPVQPSPYDIWATADLVELVKQRIEVTDGRLQAAFVVSRAIKGTRIGGEVAEALAGYE
    LPILESRITQRVSY PGTAAAGT TVLESEPEGDAAREVQALAAEIKSKLI*"
    /note="Geneious name: ORF frame 2"
    12707..13153
    /note="'ethylene-responsive transcriptional coactivator,
    putative'"
    /codon_start=1
    /produ\overline{c}t="At 3g24500"
    /protein id="AAO44027.1"
    /db_xref="GI:28466837"
    /translation="MPSRYPGAVTQDWEPVVLHKSKQKSQDLRDPKAVNAALRNGVAV
    QTVKKFDAGSNKKGKSTAVPVI NTKKLEEETE PAAMDRVKAEVRLMI QKARLEKKMSQ
    ADLAKQINERTQVVQEYENGKAVPNQAVLAKMEKVLGVKLRGKIGK"
    /note="Geneious name: At3g24500 CDS"
    misc_feature order(<5..>6, <3152..>3190, <13430..>13441)
/created_by="User"
/modified by="User"
/note="Geneious name: MCS"
5202..5345
/label="lacZ_a"
/note="Geneious name: lacZ a"
complement(5202..5224)
/label="M13_pUC_fwd_primer"
/note="Geneious name: M13 pUC fwd primer"
12115..12137
/label="M13_pUC_rev_primer"
/note="Geneious name: M13 pUC rev primer"
complement(9355.. 9377)
/label="pGEX_3_primer"
/note="Geneious name: pGEX 3 primer"
12136..12154
/label="M13 reverse primer"
/note="Geneious name: M13 reverse primer"
complement(5193..5209)
/label="M13 forward20_primer"
/note="Geneīous name: M13 forward20 primer"
2860..2865
/X._Barandiaran_ ._A._Di_Pietro_ __J._Martín_(Plant_Cell_Reports_1998)_EcoRI_Restr
iction_Site_is_inser可ed_instea\overline{d_of_N}NNNNNN
/c\overline{reated by="Ūser"}
/modifie\overline{d_by="User"}
/note="Geneious name: Modification"
order(3156..>3190, <13430..>13441, <5...6)
/note="Geneious name: BF SalI extraction 2 (concatenated
sequence 2)"
/note="Geneious type: Concatenated sequence"
misc_feature order(13434..>13441, <5..3190)
/note="Geneious name: CF XmaI extraction (concatenated
sequence 2)"
/note="Geneious type: Concatenated sequence"
3195..13429
/note="Geneious name: 9F XmaI extraction (concatenated
sequence 1)"
/note="Geneious type: Concatenated sequence"
misc_feature order(1673..>3151, <7..1246)
/note="Geneious name: A1F SnaBI-BalI (concatenated
sequence 2)"
/note="Geneious type: Concatenated sequence"
misc_feature 11..3151
/note="Geneious name: A7F SalI NNNNNN Modified extraction
(concatenated sequence 1)"
/note="Geneious type: Concatenated sequence"
1247..1672
/note="Geneious name: 8F SnaBI-BalI (concatenated sequence
1)"
/note="Geneious type: Concatenated sequence"
<1247..>1672
/note="Geneious name: 5 4 Intron Border Sequences Modified
(concatenated sequence 2)"
/note="Geneious type: Concatenated sequence"

```
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline misc_feature & \begin{tabular}{l}
\[
1436 \text {. .>1672 }
\] \\
/note="Geneious name: UidA SnaBI 1 F2 SnaBI (concatenated sequence 3)"
\end{tabular} \\
\hline misc feature & /note="Geneious type: Concatenated sequence"
\(1247 . .1435\) \\
\hline & /note="Geneious name: X04753 FF HphI-StyI Border Modified \\
\hline & F SnaBI-Pvuli (concatenated sequence 2) " \\
\hline misc feature & /note="Geneious type: Concatenated sequence" 3190.3195 \\
\hline misc_reature & /Recognition pattern="C^CCGGG" \\
\hline & /annotation_group="CCCGGG" \\
\hline & /note="Geneīous name: XmaI" \\
\hline & /note="Geneious type: restriction site" \\
\hline misc_feature & 13429.. 13434 \\
\hline & /Recognition_pattern="C^CCGGG" \\
\hline & /annotation_group="CCCGGG" \\
\hline & /note="Geneīous name: XmaI" \\
\hline & /note="Geneious type: restriction site" \\
\hline misc_feature & \(3190 . .3195\) \\
\hline & /Recognition_pattern=" CCC^GGG" \\
\hline & /annotation_group="CCCGGG" \\
\hline & /note="Geneious name: SmaI" \\
\hline & /note="Geneious type: restriction site" \\
\hline misc_feature & 13429..13434 \\
\hline & /Recognition_pattern=" CCC^GGG" \\
\hline & /annotation_group="CCC GGG" \\
\hline & /note="Geneious name: SmaI" \\
\hline & /note="Geneious type: restriction site" \\
\hline misc_feature & 13441..1 \\
\hline & /Recognition_pattern="A^CTAGT" \\
\hline & /annotation_group="ACTAGT" \\
\hline & /note="Geneious name: SpeI" \\
\hline & /note="Geneious type: restriction site" \\
\hline misc_feature & 1556..1561 \\
\hline & /Recognition_pattern="G^ GATCC" \\
\hline & /annotation_group="GGATCC" \\
\hline & /note="Geneious name: BamHI" \\
\hline & /note="Geneious type: restriction site" \\
\hline misc_feature & 13435..13440 \\
\hline & /Recognition_pattern="G^ GATCC" \\
\hline & /annotation_group="GGATCC" \\
\hline & /note="Geneious name: BamHI" \\
\hline & /note="Geneious type: restriction site" \\
\hline misc_feature & 12..17 \\
\hline & /Recognition_pattern="CTGCA^G" \\
\hline & /annotation_group="CTGCAG" \\
\hline & /note="Geneious name: PstI" \\
\hline & /note="Geneious type: restriction site" \\
\hline misc_feature & 3184..3189 \\
\hline & /Recognition_pattern="CTGCA^G" \\
\hline & /annotation_group="CTGCAG" \\
\hline & /note="Geneious name: PstI" \\
\hline & /note="Geneious type: restriction site" \\
\hline misc_feature & 6.11 \\
\hline & /Recognition_pattern="G^TCGAC" \\
\hline & /annotation_group="GTC GAC" \\
\hline & /note="Geneīous name: SalI" \\
\hline & /note="Geneious type: restriction site" \\
\hline misc_feature & 3151.. 3156 \\
\hline & /Recognition_pattern="G^TCGAC" \\
\hline & /annotation_group="GTC GAC" \\
\hline & /note="Geneious name: SalI" \\
\hline & /note="Geneious type: restriction site" \\
\hline misc_feature & 3145.3150 \\
\hline & /Recognition_pattern="T^CTAGA" \\
\hline & /annotation_group="TCTAGA" \\
\hline & /note="Geneious name: XbaI" \\
\hline & /note="Geneious type: restriction site" \\
\hline misc_feature & 2860.. 2865 \\
\hline & /Recognition_pattern="G^AATTC" \\
\hline & /annotation_group="GAATTC" \\
\hline & /note="Geneīous name: EcoRI" \\
\hline & /note="Geneious type: restriction site" \\
\hline misc_feature & 3178..3183 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline & /Recognition_pattern="G^AATTC" \\
\hline & /annotation_group="GAATTC" \\
\hline & /note="Geneious name: EcoRI" \\
\hline & /note="Geneious type: restriction site" \\
\hline misc_feature & 3195..3200 \\
\hline & /Recognition_pattern="G^AATTC" \\
\hline & /annotation group="GAATTC" \\
\hline & /note="Geneīous name: EcoRI" \\
\hline & /note="Geneious type: restriction site" \\
\hline misc_feature & 12160..12165 \\
\hline & /Recognition_pattern="G^AATTC" \\
\hline & /annotation_group="GAATTC" \\
\hline & /note="Geneious name: EcoRI" \\
\hline & /note="Geneious type: restriction site" \\
\hline misc_feature & 3166.3171 \\
\hline & /Recognition_pattern="A^AGCTT" \\
\hline & /annotation_group="AAGCTT" \\
\hline & /note="Geneīous name: HindIII" \\
\hline & /note="Geneious type: restriction site" \\
\hline misc_feature & 5184..5189 \\
\hline & /Recognition_pattern="A^AGCTT" \\
\hline & /annotation_group="AAGCTT" \\
\hline & /note="Geneìous name: HindIII" \\
\hline & /note="Geneious type: restriction site" \\
\hline misc_feature & 13421..13426 \\
\hline & /Recognition_pattern="A^AGCTT" \\
\hline & /annotation_group="AAGCTT" \\
\hline & /note="Geneīous name: HindIII" \\
\hline & /note="Geneious type: restriction site" \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

ORIGIN
1 tcgaggtcga cctgcaggca tgcaagctcc tacgcagcag gtctcatcaa gacgatctac
61 ccgagtaaca atctccagga gatcaaatac cttcccaaga aggttaaaga tgcagtcaaa 121 agattcagga ctaattgcat caagaacaca gagaaagaca tatttctcaa gatcagaagt 181 actattccag tatggacgat tcaaggcttg cttcataaac caaggcaagt aatagagatt 241 ggagtctcta aaaaggtagt tcctactgaa tctaaggcca tgcatggagt ctaagattca 301 aatcgaggat ctaacagaac tcgccgtgaa gactggcgaa cagttcatac agagtctttt 361 acgactcaat gacaagaaga aaatcttcgt caacatggtg gagcacgaca ctctggtcta 421 ctccaaaaat gtcaaagata cagtctcaga agaccaaagg gctattgaga cttttcaaca 481 aaggataatt tcgggaaacc tcctcggatt ccattgccca gctatctgtc acttcatcga 541 aaggacagta gaaaaggaag gtggctccta caaatgccat cattgcgata aaggaaaggc 601 tatcattcaa gatgcctctg ccgacagtgg tcccaaagat ggacccccac ccacgaggag 661 catcgtggaa aaagaagacg ttccaaccac gtcttcaaag caagtggatt gatgtgacat 721 ctccactgac gtaagggatg acgcacaatc ccactatcct tcgcaagacc cttcctctat 781 ataaggaagt tcatttcatt tggagaggac acgctgaaat caccagtctc tctctataaa 841 tctatctctc tctctataac catggtccgt cctgtagaaa ccccaacccg tgaaatcaaa 901 aaactcgacg gcctgtgggc attcagtctg gatcgcgaaa actgtggaat tgatcagcgt 961 tggtgggaaa gcgcgttaca agaaagccgg gcaattgctg tgccaggcag ttttaacgat 1021 cagttcgccg atgcagatat tcgtaattat gcgggcaacg tctggtatca gcgcgaagtc 1081 tttataccga aaggttgggc aggccagcgt atcgtgctgc gtttcgatgc ggtcactcat 1141 tacggcaaag tgtgggtcaa taatcaggaa gtgatggagc atcagggcgg ctatacgcca 1201 tttgaagccg atgtcacgcc gtatgttatt gccgggaaaa gtgtacgtat gtttctgctt 1261 ctacctttga tatatatata ataattatca ttaattagta gtaatataat atttcaaata 1381 gtatatttta atttataact tttctaatat atgaccaatt catttttttt ttcaggtatc 1441 accgtttgtg tgaacaacga actgaactgg cagactatcc cgccgggaat ggtgattacc 1501 gacgaaaacg gcaagaaaaa gcagtcttac ttccatgatt tctttaacta tgccgggatc 1561 catcgcagcg taatgctcta caccacgccg aacacctggg tggacgatat caccgtggtg 1621 acgcatgtcg cgcaagactg taaccacgcg tctgttgact ggcaggtggt ggccaatggt 1681 gatgtcagcg ttgaactgcg tgatgcggat caacaggtgg ttgcaactgg acaaggcact 1741 agcgggactt tgcaagtggt gaatccgcac ctctggcaac cgggtgaagg ttatctctat 1801 gaactgtgcg tcacagccaa aagccagaca gagtgtgata tctacccgct tcgcgtcggc 1861 atccggtcag tggcagtgaa gggcgaacag ttcctgatta accacaaacc gttctacttt 1921 actggctttg gtcgtcatga agatgcggac ttacgtggca aaggattcga taacgtgctg 1981 atggtgcacg accacgcatt aatggactgg attggggcca actcctaccg tacctcgcat 2041 tacccttacg ctgaagagat gctcgactgg gcagatgaac atggcatcgt ggtgattgat 2101 gaaactgctg ctgtcggctt taacctctct ttaggcattg gtttcgaagc gggcaacaag 2161 ccgaaagaac tgtacagcga agaggcagtc aacggggaaa ctcagcaagc gcacttacag 2221 gcgattaaag agctgatagc gcgtgacaaa aaccacccaa gcgtggtgat gtggagtatt 2281 gccaacgaac cggatacccg tccgcaagtg cacgggaata tttcgccact ggcggaagca 2341 acgcgtaaac tcgacccgac gcgtccgatc acctgcgtca atgtaatgtt ctgcgacgct 2401 cacaccgata ccatcagcga tctctttgat gtgctgtgcc tgaaccgtta ttacggatgg 2461 tatgtccaaa gcggcgattt ggaaacggca gagaaggtac tggaaaaaga acttctggcc 2521 tggcaggaga aactgcatca gccgattatc atcaccgaat acggcgtgga tacgttagcc 2581 gggctgcact caatgtacac cgacatgtgg agtgaagagt atcagtgtgc atggctggat

2641 atgtatcacc gcgtctttga tcgcgtcagc gccgtcgtcg gtgaacaggt atggaatttc

2701 gccgattttg cga
2761 gettg cgacctcgca aggcatattg 2821 aacttcgat 2881 agatcgttca 2941 gatgattatc 3001 catgacgtta 3061 cgcgatagaa 3121 tatgttacta 3181 ttcctgcagc 3241 ccgtaaagac 3301 tcttcgtcaa 3361 tctcagaaga 3421 tcggattcca 3481 gctcctacaa 3541 acagtggtcc 3601 caaccacgtc 3661 cacaatccca 3721 agagaacacg 3781 gcagcaaaac 3841 ccgagctgtg 3901 acatcgtttc a 3961 ttgccaaacg 4021 tctccattca 4081 ccgcaggtat 4141 agctggtt agctgtttt tgcgctgacg cctttccttg ttgtctccct actccagccg gtcgcaggtg 4261 agcctgatgc cgaacgttta agcgaactgt 4321 aaaatccca cgcgctggcg attttaaaat 4381 ggcaaacgat tcgtttaatt tctgaatttt 4441 tattgctgaa tgtggtgaaa ttgaaccctg 4501 cgcacgctta cctgcaaggc gtggcgctgg 4561 gtgcgggtct gacgcctaaa tacattgata 4621 aagccaaacc ggctaaccag ttgttgaccc 4681 tcccgattcc agtggatgat tttgccttct 4741 ccattagcca 4801 aaggttctca 4861 caccggtgac 4921 aggatcgttc cgatgattat catataattt ctgttgaatt 5041 gcatgacgtt atttatgaga tgggttttta 5101 acgcgataga aaacaaaata tagcgcgcaa 5161 ctatgttact agatcgggaa ttaaagcttg 5221 tgggaaaacc ctggcgttac ccaacttaat 5281 tggcgtaata gcgaagaggc ccgcaccgat 5341 ggcgaatgag cttgagcttg gatcagattg 5401 tgtttgacag gatatattgg cgggtaaacc 5461 gatatttaaa agggcgtgaa aaggtttatc 5521 acagggttcc cctcgggatc aaagtacttt 5581 cggcttctga cgttcagtgc agccgtcttc 5641 tacgcgacag gctgccgccc tgcccttttc 5701 cataaagtag aatacttgcg actagaaccg 5761 cgctggcctg ctgggctatg cccgcgtcag 5821 ggccgaactg cacgcggccg gctgcaccaa 5881 gcgcgaccgc ccggagctgg ccaggatgct 5941 agtgaccagg ctagaccgcc tggcccgcag 6001 catccaggag gccggcgcgg gcctgcgtag 6061 gccggccggc cgcatggtgt tgaccgtgtt 6121 atcatcgac cgcacccgga gcgggcgcga 6181 cccccgccet accctcaccc cggcacagat 6241 aggccgcacc gtgaaagagg cggctgcact 6301 cgcacttgag cgcagcgagg aagtgacgcc 6361 tgaggacgca ttgaccgagg ccgacgccct 6421 acaagcatga aaccgcacca ggacggccag 6481 gaggcggaga tgatcgcggc cgggtacgtg 6541 cggctgcatg aaatcctggc cggtttgtct 6601 ttggccgctg aagaaaccga gcgccgccgt 6661 agcttgcgtc atgcggtcgc tgcgtatatg 6721 gggaacgcat gaaggttatc gctgtactta 6781 tcgcaaccca tctagcccgc gccctgcaac 6841 ccgatcccca gggcagtgcc cgcgattggg 6901 ttgtcggcat cgaccgcccg acgattgacc 6961 tcgtagtgat cgacggagcg ccccaggcgg 7021 ccgacttcgt gctgattccg gtgcagccaa
cgcgttggcg tttctgctgc aaacaatgag cttaagattg cgttaagcat gattagagtc ctaggataaa gtcgacggta agattcaaat gtctcttacg a ttgtctactc ttcaacaaag ttattgtgaa gaaaggccat cgaggagcat
gt gatatctc cctctatata tcattaactc gtatggaaaa gcagttcacg gtgataaatc tgttcaaagt attctgaaat gtaactataa cgatgaacgc cacatccggc tcgccagcct cggccetcga acccggaaga gcgaagcgat aagtgatggc ttccggaact agccggtgaa cgctgcatga tctgcgt cga gtgaatcagc tagcgcgtgt tcttaagatt tgattagagt actaggataa gcactggccg cgccttgcag
cgcccttccc tcgtttcccg taagagaaaa cgttcgtcca gatccaaccc tgaaaacgac ctggcgtttt gagacattac caccgacgac tgaccaccta cacccgcgac cctggcagag cgccggcatt ggccgccaag cgcgcacgcc
gcttggcgtg caccgaggcc a ggcggccgcc 9 gacgaaccgt ttcgagccgc gatgccaagc ctaaaaaggt atgcgatgag tcgccggggc cggccgtgcg gcgacgtgaa gtaacaagaa agggatcttc aaaacgctg gactggcatg aattcgaatt gatccgaagc aatcctgttg ccggtcttgc gtaataatta acatgtaatg cgcaattat acatttaata tatcgcgcg cggtgtcatc tcgataagct tgatatcgaa gaggaccta acagaactcg actcaatgac aagaagaaaa caaaaatatc aaagatacag gtaatatcc ggaaacctcc gatagtggaa aaggaaggtg gtt ga agat gcctctgccg cgtggaaaaa gaagacgttc actgacgta agggatgacg aggaagttca tttcatttgg agtgcaaaac tatgcctggg tccgtccagc cagccgatgg agtgcagaat gccgccggag gactctgctc ggagaggccg attatgcgca gcacagccac cggttttgcc aaagaaaatg agatcctaac cacaagccgg gtttcgtgaa ttttccgaga gattgctcac tttttacaac gttgaatatg cagggtgaag tagccagcag ggtgaaccgt cagcggtctg ttctccccgc gttcctgttc gctgaaacac aaactccgat aacgtgctgc ggttgccaat gtgaaattcg acaaggtgca gaactggact ccttagtgat aaagaaacca aggcgatgca acgttgtgga gtttattgcc gccaacgaat tacaacaaa ctctgagtcg gaatcctgtt gccggtcttg tgtaataatt aacatgtaat cccgcaatta tacatttaat ttatcgcgc gcggtgtcat tcgttttaca acgtcgtgac acatccccc tttcgccagc a acagttgcg cagcctgaat ccttcagttt aaactatcag gagcgtttat tagaataacg ttgtatgtg catgccaacc ctccgctgct atagtgcagt atgtcgcaca agtcctaagt ttgtcgcgt gttttagtcg gccatgaaca agagcgccgc caggacttga ccaaccaacg gagaagatca ccggcaccag cgccctggcg acgttgtgac tactggaca ttgccgagcg cgtgggccg acaccaccac gccgagttcg agcgttccct cccgaggcg tgaagtttgg gcgagctga tcgaccagga atcgctcga ccctgtaccg ggcggcgcg gtgccttccg gagaatgaac gccaagagga tttcattac cgaagagatc cgcgcacgt ctcaaccgtg tggcggcctg gccggccagc gatgtgtatt tgagtaaaac aaataaaca aatacgcaag gggtcaggc aagacgacca cgatgttctg ttagtcgatt ggaagatcaa ccgctaaccg ggccatcggc cggcgcgact tgtgtccgcg atcaaggcag gcccttacga catatgggcc accgccgacc

7081 tggtggagct ggttaagcag cgcattgagg tcacggatgg aaggctacaa gcggcctttg 7141 tcgt 7201 ggtacgagct gcccattctt gagtcccgta tcacgcagcg cgtgagctac ccaggcactg 7261 ccgccgccgg cacaaccgtt cttgaatcag aacccgaggg cgacgctgcc cgcgaggtcc 7321 aggcgctggc cgctgaaatt aaatcaaaac tc 7381 gagcaaaagc acaaacacgc taagtgccgg c 7441 aacgttggcc agcctggcag acacgccagc c 7501 ggaggatcac accaagctga agatgtacgc 7561 gctatctgaa tacatcgcgc agctaccaga 7621 gaattttagc ggctaaagga ggcggcatgg 7681 tggaatgccc catgtgtgga ggaacgggcg 7741 gccggccctg caatggcact ggaaccccca 7801 ccatccggcc cggtacaaat cggcgcggcg 7861 gccgcgcagg ccgcccagcg gcaacgcatc 7921 caagcggccg ctgatcgaat ccgcaaagaa 7981 tcgattagga agccgcccaa gggcgacgag 8041 gacgtgggca cccgcgatag tcgcagcatc 8101 cgtgaccgac gagctggcga ggtgatccgc 8161 tccgcagggc cggccggcat ggccagtgtg 8221 tcccatctaa ccgaatccat gaaccgatac 8281 gtgttccgtc cacacgttgc ggacgtactc 8341 cagaaagacg acctggtaga aacctgcatt 8401 cgtacgaaga aggccaagaa cggccgcctg 8461 agccgctaca agatcgtaaa gagcgaaacc 8521 gctgattgga tgtaccgcga gatcacagaa 8581 cccgattact ttttgatcga tcccggcatc 8641 gccgcaggca aggcagaagc cagatggttg 8701 gccggagagt tcaagaagtt ctgtttcacc 8761 ccggagtacg atttgaagga ggaggcgggg 8821 cgcaacctga tcgagggcga agcatccgcc 8881 caaattgccc tagcagggga aaaaggtcga 8941 attgggaacc caaagccgta cattgggaac 9001 tacattggga accggtcaca catgtaagtg 9061 tccgcctaaa actctttaaa acttattaaa 9121 ctgtctggcc agcgcacagc cgaagagctg 9181 tccctacgcc ccgccgcttc gcgtcggcct 9241 ggcctacggc caggcaatct accagggcgc 9301 cggcgcccac atcaaggcac cctgcctcgc 9361 acacatgcag ctcccggaga cggtcacagc 9421 agcccgtcag ggcgcgtcag cgggtgttgg 9481 acgtagcgat agcggagtgt atactggctt 9541 agagtgcacc atatgcggtg tgaaataccg 9601 aggcgctctt ccgcttcctc gctcactgac 9661 gcggtatcag ctcactcaaa ggcggtaata 9721 ggaaagaaca tgtgagcaaa aggccagcaa 9781 ctggcgtttt tccataggct ccgcccccct 9841 cagaggtggc gaaacccgac aggactataa 9901 ctcgtgcgct ctcctgttcc gaccctgcco 9961 tcgggaagcg tggcgctttc tcatagctca 10021 gttcgctcca agctgggctg tgtgcacgaa 10081 tccggtaact atcgtcttga gtccaacccg 10141 gccactggta acaggattag cagagcgagg 10201 tggtggccta actacggcta cactagaagg 10261 ccagttacct tcggaaaaag agttggtagc 10321 agcggtggtt tttttgtttg caagcagcag 10381 gatcctttga tcttttctac ggggtctgac 10441 attttggtca tgcatgatat atctcccaat 10501 ataataaaag cagacttgac ctgatagttt 10561 taatcgcttg agttaacgcc ggcgaagcgg 10621 atcgcaccaa taactgcctt aaaaaaatta 10681 ttgtaattca ttaagcattc tgccgacatg 10741 aatcgccagc ggcatcagca ccttgtcgcc 10801 gggggcgaag aagttgtcca tattggccac 10861 gggattggct gagacgaaaa acatattctc 10921 ttcaccgtaa cacgccacat cttgcgaata 10981 gtattcactc cagagcgatg aaaacgtttc 11041 gtgaacacta tcccatatca ccagctcacc 11101 agcattcatc aggcgggcaa gaatgtgaat 11161 ctttacggtc tttaaaaagg ccgtaatatc 11221 agcaactgac tgaaatgcct caaaatgttc 11281 ggtatatcca gtgatttttt tctccatgat 11341 ctgcgtaaca tcgttgctgc tccataacat 11401 ctgcttggat gcccgaggca tagactgtac 11461 tgaaaaccgc cactgcgccg ttaccaccgc
tcatttgagt taatgaggta a ccgtccgagc catgaagcgg ggtacgccaa gtaaatgagc aaaatcaaga gttggccagg agcccgagga ctgggtgatg gaggcagaag tcccggcaac caaccagatt atggacgtgg tacgagcttc cgggaaggga aagttctgcc cggttaaaca gtgacggtat
gggcggccgg ggcaagaacc ggccgttttc ttcaagacga gtgcgcaagc caggctggcc c ggttcctaat caaggtctct actgatataa actcttaaaa caaaaagcgc atcgcggccg
ggacaagccg gcgtttcggt ttgtctgtaa cgggtgtcgg aactatgcgg cacagatgcg tcgctgcgct cggttatcca aaggccagga gacgagcatc agataccagg cttaccggat cgctgtaggt ccccccgttc gtaagacacg tatgtaggcg acagtatttg tcttgatccg attacgcgca gctcagtgga ttgtgtaggg ggctgtgagc cgtcggcttg cgccccgccc
gaagccatca ttgcgtataa gtttaaatca aataaaccct tatgtgtaga agtttgctca gtctttcatt cagctgaacg tttacgatgc gtttaacttt caaacatcga cccaaaaaaa gcgttcggt
cacgcagca gcaaggctgc gtcaactttc agttgccggc ggcaagacca ttaccgagct aaatgaataa atgagtagat acaaccaggc accgacgccg cgtaagcggc tgggttgtct atcggcgtga cggtcgcaaa acctggtgga gaagttgaag cacgccccgg tgaatcgtgg gccggcagc cggtgcgccg tttcgttcc gatgctctat cgttttccg tctgtcgaag cagacgggca cgtagaggtt acctggtact gatggcggtt gggagacaa gcccggccgc ggcgagccga tggcggaaag cacgcacgt tgccatgcag cgagggtga agccttgatt gtacatcga gatcgagcta ggacgtgct gacggttcac ctaccgcct ggcacgccgc ctacgaacg cagtggcagc gatcgggtc aaatgacctg gatcctagt catgcgctac tacggagca gatgctaggg tcctgtgga tagcacgtac cattgggaa cccaaagccg agagaaaaa aggcgatttt cccgcctggc ctgtgcataa tacccttcg gtcgctgcgc tggccgctc aaaaatggct gccgtcgcc actcgaccgc gatgacggtg aaaacctctg cggatgccg ggagcagaca gcgcagcca tgacccagtc atcagagca gattgtactg taaggagaaa ataccgcatc ggtcgttcg gctgcggcga cagaatcagg ggataacgca accgtaaaaa ggccgcgttg caaaaatcg acgctcaagt gtttccccc tggaagctcc acctgtccgc ctttctccct tctcagttc ggtgtaggtc agcccgaccg ctgcgcctta cttatcgcc actggcagca gtgctacaga gttcttgaag tatctgcgc tctgctgaag caaacaaac caccgctggt aaaaaaagg atctcaagaa cgaaaactc acgttaaggg cttattatgc acgcttaaaa attatgtgc ttagtgcatc acgaatttc tagctagagg gccactcat cgcagtactg caaacggcat gatgaacctg tatttgccca ttgtgaaaac aactggtga aactcaccca tagggaaat aggccaggtt aactgccgga aatcgtcgtg tggaaaacgg tgtaacaagg gccatacgga actccggatg taaaacttgt gcttattttt gtctggttat aggtacattg cattgggata tatcaacggt gttttagggc gactgccctg cccacggcgt aacgcgcttg catgtcataa caagaagcca caaggttctg gaccagttgc

11521 gtgacggcag ttacgctact tgcattacag cttacgaacc gaacgaggct tatgtccact 11581 gggttcgtgc ccgaattgat cacaggcagc aacgctctgt catcgttaca atcaacatgc 11641 taccctccgc gagatcatcc gtgtttcaaa cccggcagct tagttgccgt tcttccgaat 11701 agcatcggta acatgagcaa agtctgccgc cttacaacgg ctctcccgct gacgccgtcc 11761 cggactgatg ggctgcctgt atcgagtggt gattttgtgc cgagctgccg gtcggggagc 11821 tgttggctgg ctggtggcag gatatattgt ggtgtaaaca aattgacgct tagacaactt 11881 aataacacat tgcggacgtt tttaatgtac tgaattaacg ccgaattgct ctagccaata 11941 cgcaaaccgc ctctccccgc gcgttggccg attcattaat gcagctggca cgacaggttt 12001 cccgactgga aagcgggcag tgagcgcaac gcaattaatg tgagttagct cactcattag 12061 gcaccccagg ctttacactt tatgcttccg gctcgtatgt tgtgtggaat tgtgagcgga 12121 taacaatttc acacaggaaa cagctatgac catgattacg aattcatgga gtcaaagatt 12181 caatagagg acctaacaga actcgccgta aagactggcg aacagttcat acagagtctc 12241 ttacgactca atgacaagaa gaaaatcttc gtcaacatgg tggagcacga cacacttgtc 12301 tactccaaaa atatcaaaga tacagtctca gaagaccaaa gggcaattga gacttttcaa 12361 caaagggtaa tatccggaaa cctcctcgga ttccattgcc cagctatctg tcactttatt 12421 gtgaagatag tggaaaagga aggtggctcc tacaaatgcc atcattgcga taaaggaaag 12481 gccatcgttg aagatgcctc tgccgacagt ggtcccaaag atggaccccc acccacgagg 12541 agcatcgtgg aaaagaaga cgttccaacc acgtcttcaa agcaagtgga ttgatgtgat 12601 atctccactg acgtaaggga tgacgcacaa tcccactatc cttcgcaaga cccttcctct 12661 atataaggaa gttcatttca tttggagaga acacggggga ctagacatgc cgagcagata 12721 cccaggagca gtaacacaag actgggaacc agtagttctc cacaaatcaa aacaaaagag 12781 ccaagaccta cgcgatccga aagcggttaa cgcagctctg agaaacggtg tcgcggttca 12841 aacggttaag aaattcgatg ccggttcgaa caaaaagggg aaatctacgg cggttccggt 12901 gattaacacg aagaagctgg aagaagaaac agagcctgcg gcgatggatc gtgtgaaagc 12961 agaggtgagg ttgatgatac agaaagcgag attggagaag aagatgtcac aagcggattt 13021 ggcgaaacag atcaatgaga ggactcaggt agttcaggaa tatgagaatg gtaaagctgt 13081 tcctaatcag gctgtgcttg cgaagatgga gaaggttcta ggtgttaaac ttaggggtaa 13141 aat tgggaaa tgagtcgagg atcgttcaaa catttggcaa taaagtttct taagattgaa 13201 tcctgttgcc ggtcttgcga tgattatcat ataatttctg ttgaattacg ttaagcatgt 13261 aataattaac atgtaatgca tgacgttatt tatgagatgg gtttttatga ttagagtccc 13321 gcaattatac atttaatacg cgatagaaaa caaaatatag cgcgcaaact aggataaatt 13381 atcgcgcgcg gtgtcatcta tgttactaga tcgggaatta aagcttcacc cgggggatcc 13441 actag

\section*{B. 11 Primer Sequences Used in this Study}
```

>GUSint_FW GGATCTAGAA CCATGGTAGA TCTGAGGGTA AA
>GUSint_RW GTCGTCGACT CACACGTGAT GGTGATGGTG ATGG
>ManA_FW CACTCTAGAA TGCAAAAACT CATTAACTCA GTGCAA
>ManA_RW ATAGTCGACT CAGAGTTTGT TGTAAACACG CGCTAAAC
>MBF1c_FW ACTCTAGAAT GCCGAGCAGA TACCCA
>MBF1c RW AGTGTCGACT CATTTCCCAA TTTTACCC
>p35s_DE_FW GGGATCCCGG GAATTCTGCG TATTGGCTAG AGCA
>p35s_DE_RW TGCGAACTAG TGAGAGAGAT AGATTTGTAG AGAG
>p35s_FW GGGATCCCGG GAATTCATGG AGTCAAAGAT TCAAA
>p35s_RW GTCACTAGTC CCCCGTGTTC TCTCC
>tPolyA FW ATCTCGAGGA TCGTTCAAAC ATTTGGC
>tPolyA_RW TCCCCGGGTG AAGCTTTAAT TCCCGATCTA GT
>MBF1c LR FW CACCATGCCG AGCAGATACC CAGGA
>MBF1c_LR_RW TCATTTCCCA ATTTTACCCC TAAGTTTAAC ACC
>MBF1c PCR8 FW ATGCCGAGCA GATACCCAGG AGCA
>MBF1c_PCR8_RW TCATTTCCCA ATTTTACCCC TAAGTTTAAC ACC

```

\title{
APPENDIX C \\ PERMISSION LETTERS AND DECLERATIONS FOR INTELLECTUAL AND \\ COMMERCIAL PROPERTIES
}

\section*{C. 1 Permission Letter for pTJK136}

\section*{Dear Prof.Dr.Hasevin Avni OK TEM}

Please find enclosed the requested material. I would appreciate if you fill in the declaration and send it by return post to me.

Map included

If you need more information, don't hesitate to contact me.

Yours sincerely

\section*{grace}

Christiane Geneteilo
e-mail: chgengengenp.rug ac be


Arabidopsis Biological Resource Center DNA Stock Center
Dr. Emma Knee, Research Scientist
Zhen Zhang Research Associate
Natalic Case, Research Associate

1060 Carmack Road
Columbus, OH 43210 -1002
Phone: (614) 292-2988
FAX: (614) 292-5379
E-mail: abre@arabidopsis.org
URL: http://www.arabidpsis.org.'

Hamdi Kamci
Orta Dogu Teknik Universitesi
Biyolojik Bilimler Binasi Lab.No: 209
Balgat Ankara TURKEY
Ankara 06531
Turkey
July 23, 2009

DNA Order 70264: U23216

Dear Kamci,

Thank you for your order. If your order included seed stocks, they will be shipped separately.
DISCLAIMER: In order to reduce the need for Material Transfer Agreements and other paperwork, stocks are sent to you with the understanding that:
1. The service is treated as an academic collaboration without issues of indemnity.
2. Although \(A B R C\) makes every reasonable attempt to ensure the correctness of stocks, \(A B R C\) and the donors of the stock do not imply any warranty whatsoever regarding the material and its use, nor do they guarantee (i) that the material or its use does not infringe on any rights or claims from third parties; or (ii) the material's suitability, novelty or safety for any purpose whatsoever.
3. Any fees that we assess are substantially less than the real cost of storing, producing and distributing the material and therefore the service is not-for-profit and not commercial and all costs passed to you represen partial recovery of our production costs.
4. You have read the available information published on this stock, including our stock descriptions, and are aware of the nature of the material that you have ordered including any special conditions, regulations and requirements for your local handling of the material.
If you are unhappy about any of the above conditions, return the unopened material to us in the original packaging for a full refund (if applicable). We strongly encourage you to characterize all stocks shortly after receipt. Your confirmation/validation of diagnostic results may be useful to other users, so please send them to us if possible.

Please consider donating seed lines and constructed in your laboratory to ABRC. See www.arabidopsis.ora/submit/abre_submission.jsp for details

Sinerely,
Emma Knce

\section*{C. 3 Letter for pEarleyGate100-104 Clones}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow{6}{*}{ABEC} & & 1060 Carmack Road & \\
\hline & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Anabidopises Bielogical Resource Center DNA Stock Center} & Columbus, \(\mathrm{OH} 43210-1002\) & - 10 \\
\hline & & Phone: (614) 292-2988 & B7ame \\
\hline & Dr. Emma Knee, Research Scientist & FAX: (614) 292-5379 &  \\
\hline & Then Thung Rescarch Associate & E-mait: abrcarabidopsis.org & \\
\hline & Nutalie Cawe, Reseacht Asseriale & URL: http:/www,ahrs osuedt & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\author{
Hamdi Kamci \\ Orta Dogu Teknik Universitesi \\ Biyolojik Bilimler Binasi Lab.No: 209 \\ Balgat Ankara TURKEY \\ Ankara 06531 \\ Turkey
}

Order 79597: CD3-683 CD3-684 CD3-685 CD3-686 CD3-724 (Gateway \({ }^{\text {TM }}\) clones)

Dear Kamci,

Thank you for your order.
DISCLAIMER: In order to reduce the need for Material Transfer Agreements and other paperwork, stocks are sent to you with the understanding that:
1. The service is treated as an academic collaboration without issues of indemnity.
2. Although ABRC makes every reasonable attempt to ensure the correctness of stocks, ABRC and the donors of the stock do not imply any warranty whatsoever regarding the material and its use, nor do they guarantee (i) that the material or its use does not infringe on any rights or claims from third parties; or (i) the material's suitability, novelty or safety for any purpose whatsoever.
3. Any fees that we assess are substantially less than the real cost of storing, producing and distributing the material and therefore the service is not-for-profit and not commercial and all costs passed to you represent partial recovery of our production costs.
4. You have read the available information published on this stock, including our stock descriptions, and are aware of the nature of the material that you have ordered including any special conditions, regulations and requirements for your local handling of the material.
If you are unhappy about any of the above conditions, return the unopened material to us in the original packaging for a full reflund (if applicable). We strongly encourage you to characterize all stocks shortly after receipe. Your confirmation/validation of diagnostic results may be useful to other users, so please send them to us if possible. Please consider donating seed lines and constructed in your laboratory to ABRC. See www arahidopsis. oraisulumitabre submission jep for details

Sincrely,
Emma Knee

\section*{C. 4 Limited Use Label License Letter for pEarleyGate100-104 Clones}

\section*{Limited Use Label License}

This product and its use is the subject of one or more of U.S. Patent Nos. 5,888,732, \(6,143,557,6,171,861,6,270,969\) and \(6,277,608\) and/or other pending U.S. and foreign patent applications owned by Invitrogen Corporation. The purchase of this product conveys to the buyer the non-transferrable right to use the purchased amount of the product and components of the product in research conducted by the buyer (whether the buyer is an academic or for profit entity). The purchase of this product does not convey a license under any method claims in the foregoing patents or patent applications, or to use this product with any recombination sites other than those purchased from Invitrogen Corporation or its authorized distributor. The right to use methods claimed in the foregoing patents or patent applications with this product for research purposes only can only be acquired by the use of Clonase \({ }^{\mathrm{TM}}\) purchased from Invitrogen Corporation or its authorized distributors. The buyer cannot modify the recombination sequence(s) contained in this product for any purpose. The buyer cannot sell or otherwise transfer (a) this product, (b) its components, or (c) materials made by the employment of this product or its components to a third party or otherwise use this product or its components or materials made with this product or its components for Commercial Purposes. The buyer may transfer information or materials made through the use of this product, provided that such transfer is not for any Commercial Purpose, and that such collaborator agrees in writing (a) not to transfer such materials to any third party, and (b) to use such transferred materials and/or information solely for research and not for Commercial Purposes. Transfer of such materials and/or information to collaborators does not convey rights to practice any methods claimed in the foregoing patents or patent applications.
Commercial Purposes means any activity by a party for consideration and may include, but is not limited to: (1) use of the product or its components in manufacturing; (2) use of the product or its components to provide a service, information, or data; (3) use of the product or its components for therapeutic, diagnostic or prophylactic purposes; or (4) resale of the product or its components, whether or not such product or its components are resold for use in research. Invitrogen Corporation will not assert a claim against the buyer of infringement of the above patents based upon the manufacture, use or sale of a therapeutic, clinical diagnostic, vaccine or prophylactic product developed in research by the buyer in which this product or its components was employed, provided that none of (j)) this product, (ii) any of its components, or (iii) a method claim of the foregoing patents, was used in the manufacture of such product. Invitrogen Corporation will not assert a claim against the buyer of infringement of the above patents based upon the use of this product to manufacture a protein for sale, provided that no method claim in the above patents was used in the manufacture of such protein. For information on purchasing a license to use this product for purposes other than those permitted above, contact Licensing Department, Invitrogen Corporation, 1600 Faraday Avenue, Carlsbad, California 92008. Phone (760) 603-7200.

\section*{APPENDIX D}

\section*{RESTRICTION DIGESTS AND PCR REACTION TABLES}

Table D.1: Restriction digest of GUSint and nptII cassette for pBlueSK+cloning
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & \multicolumn{4}{|c|}{GUSint cassette cloning} & \multicolumn{4}{|c|}{nptll cassette cloning} \\
\hline Vector & pTJK136 & \(3 \mu \mathrm{l}\) & pBlueSK+ & \(3 \mu \mathrm{l}\) & pTJK136 & \(3 \mu \mathrm{l}\) & pBlueSK+ & \(3 \mu \mathrm{l}\) \\
\hline Fragment & GUSint & & Sall & & nptII & & BamHI & \\
\hline Buffer (10X) & & \(2.5 \mu \mathrm{l}\) & & \(2.5 \mu \mathrm{l}\) & & \(2.5 \mu \mathrm{l}\) & & \(2.5 \mu \mathrm{l}\) \\
\hline enzyme & Sall & \(1 \mu \mathrm{l}\) & Sall & \(1 \mu \mathrm{l}\) & BamHI & \(1 \mu \mathrm{l}\) & BamHI & \(1 \mu \mathrm{l}\) \\
\hline FAP & & - & & \(0.5 \mu \mathrm{l}\) & & - & & \(0.5 \mu \mathrm{l}\) \\
\hline supWater & & \(18.5 \mu \mathrm{l}\) & & \(18.5 \mu \mathrm{l}\) & & \(18.5 \mu\) & & \(18.5 \mu \mathrm{l}\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table D.2: Fast ligation of GUSint and nptII cassettes sub-coning into pBlueSK+


Table D.3: pBlueSK+ and pPMI-GFP HindiII digest mix compositions
FAP (fast alkaline phosphatase) was also added to pBlueSK+ digest mix, to prevent circularization during ligation reaction.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{HindIII Digestion of pBlueSK+} & \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{HindIII recovery of PMI} \\
\hline Vector & pBlueSK+ & \(6 \mu \mathrm{l}\) & Vector & pPMI-GFP & \(6 \mu \mathrm{l}\) \\
\hline Fragment & - & & Fragment & PMI cassette & \\
\hline 10X Buffer & & \(2.5 \mu \mathrm{l}\) & 10X Buffer & & \(2.5 \mu \mathrm{l}\) \\
\hline HindIII & & \(1 \mu \mathrm{l}\) & HindIII & & \(1 \mu \mathrm{l}\) \\
\hline FAP & & \(1 \mu \mathrm{l}\) & FAP & & - \\
\hline supH \(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\) & & \(14.5 \mu \mathrm{l}\) & supH \(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\) & & \(15.5 \mu \mathrm{l}\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table D.4: Rapid ligation of PMI cassete into pBlueSK+ Since the rapid ligation buffer was old extra ribo-ATP added.
\begin{tabular}{|l|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|c|}{ pBlueSK+ and PMI Ligation } \\
\hline PMI Cassette HindIII Fragment & \(4 \mu \mathrm{l}\) \\
\hline pBluscriptSK+ HindIII Fragment & \(3 \mu \mathrm{l}\) \\
\hline 50 mM ATP & \(2 \mu \mathrm{l}\) \\
\hline 5X Rapid Lig. Buff. & \(5 \mu \mathrm{l}\) \\
\hline Roche T4 DNA Lig. & \(1 \mu \mathrm{l}\) \\
\hline supH \(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\) & \(10 \mu \mathrm{l}\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table D.5: PCR reaction mixtures for CaMV35SS, ManA and TNOS amplifications
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|c|}{P35S; ManA; TNOS PCR Rxn. Mix} \\
\hline & Final [c] & \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{P 35S/ TNOS} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{ManA} \\
\hline Herculase Buffer (5X) & 1x & & \(5 \mu\) & \(5 \mu \mathrm{l}\) & & \(5 \mu \mathrm{l}\) \\
\hline Template & - & pCambia1305.1 & \(1 \mu\) & \(1 \mu \mathrm{l}\) & pPMI-GFP & \(1 \mu \mathrm{l}\) \\
\hline Primer Mix ( \(25 \mu \mathrm{M}\) ) & \(0.5 \mu \mathrm{M}\) & & (P35S) \(1 \mu\) & (TNOS) \(1 \mu \mathrm{l}\) & ManA & \(1 \mu \mathrm{l}\) \\
\hline dNTP Mix ( 1 mM ) & 0.25 mM & & \(4 \mu\) & \(4 \mu \mathrm{l}\) & & \(4 \mu \mathrm{l}\) \\
\hline Herculase Enzyme ( \(5 \mathrm{u} / \mu \mathrm{l}\) ) & 2.5 u & & \(0.5 \mu\) & \(0.5 \mu \mathrm{l}\) & & \(0.5 \mu \mathrm{l}\) \\
\hline supH2O & & & \(13.5 \mu\) & \(13.5 \mu\) & & \(13.5 \mu \mathrm{l}\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table D.6: TD-PCR reaction program for CaMV35SS, ManA and TNOS amplifications
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{5}{|c|}{MBF1c (XSS) TD-PCR Program} \\
\hline Initial Denaturation & & \(96^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\) & 4 min & \\
\hline \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{TD Step} \\
\hline \multirow{3}{*}{2X for each annealing T} & Denaturation & \(94{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\) & 45 sec & \multirow{3}{*}{TD annealing by \(-1^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\)} \\
\hline & Annealing & \(65-51^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\) & 90 sec & \\
\hline & Extension & \(74{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\) & 45 sec & \\
\hline \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{Log Step} \\
\hline \multirow{3}{*}{10X} & Denaturation & \(94{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\) & 45 sec & \\
\hline & Annealing & \(50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\) & 45 sec & \\
\hline & Extension & \(74{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\) & 45 sec & \\
\hline Final Extension & & \(74{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\) & 5 min & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table D.7: Double digest buffer selections for P35S, ManA and TNOS digests
\begin{tabular}{|l|r|l|l|l|}
\hline & & & & \\
\hline & PBlueSK & Bouble Digest enzymes & Buffers & \% Activity \\
\hline & BamHI \& Xmal & Neb 4 & \(100 \& 100\) \\
\hline Vector and & PCamV35S & BamHI \& Bcul(Spel) & Buffer BamHI & 100 \& 50-100 \\
\hline Fragments & ManA cds & Xbal \& Sall & Neb 3 & 75 \& 100 \\
& TNOS & Xhol \& Xmal & Neb 4 & \(100 \& 100\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table D.8: Restriction reaction mixtures for PCR amplified fragments and vector
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{pBlueSK+} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{P CamV35S} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{ManA} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{TNOS} \\
\hline Vector & \(6 \mu \mathrm{l}\) & Amplicon & \(33 \mu \mathrm{l}\) & Amplicon & \(30 \mu \mathrm{l}\) & Amplicon & \(30 \mu \mathrm{l}\) \\
\hline Buffer NEB -4 & \(3 \mu \mathrm{l}\) & Buffer BamHI & \(4 \mu \mathrm{l}\) & Buffer NEB-3 & \(4 \mu \mathrm{l}\) & Buffer NEB-4 & \(4 \mu \mathrm{l}\) \\
\hline BSA (10x) & \(3 \mu \mathrm{l}\) & BSA (10x) & - & BSA (10x) & \(4 \mu \mathrm{l}\) & BSA (10x) & \(4 \mu \mathrm{l}\) \\
\hline BamHI & \(1 \mu \mathrm{l}\) & BamHI & \(1 \mu \mathrm{l}\) & Xbal & \(1 \mu \mathrm{l}\) & Xhol & \(1 \mu\) \\
\hline Xmal & \(1 \mu \mathrm{l}\) & Bcul & \(2 \mu \mathrm{l}\) & Sall & \(1 \mu \mathrm{l}\) & Xmal & \(1 \mu\) \\
\hline \(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\) & \(16 \mu \mathrm{l}\) & \(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\) & - & \(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\) & - & \(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\) & - \\
\hline £V & \(30 \mu \mathrm{l}\) & §V & \(40 \mu \mathrm{l}\) & £V & \(40 \mu \mathrm{l}\) & ¿V & \(40 \mu \mathrm{l}\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table D.9: Ligation reaction mixture for PCaMV35SS-ManA-TNOS cassette in pBlueSK+
\begin{tabular}{|r|r|l|}
\hline Rapid Ligation Mix. For 35S-ManA-NOS Cassette \\
\hline 5X Rapid Ligation Buffer & \(3 \mu \mathrm{l}\) \\
\hline pBlueSK+ & & \\
\hline CamV35S Amplicon & & \begin{tabular}{l} 
digest mixes colected \\
and co-eluted
\end{tabular} \\
\hline ManA Amplicon & \(11 \mu \mathrm{l}\) \\
\hline TNOSAmplicon & \\
\hline & T4-DNA Ligase & \(1 \mu \mathrm{l}\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table D.10: PCR reaction mixture for CaMV35SS-ManA-NOS cassette detection
\begin{tabular}{|r|rl|}
\hline PCR Mix for CamV35S-ManA-NOS Cassette \\
Detection \\
\hline & Final [c] & 1 X \\
\hline dNTP mix & 1 mM & \(5 \mu \mathrm{l}\) \\
\hline MgCl & 25 mM & \(2 \mu \mathrm{l}\) \\
\hline 10X Taq Buffer (NH4) & 1 X & \(2.5 \mu \mathrm{l}\) \\
\hline Primer 1 (CamV35S FW) & \(0.25 \mu \mathrm{M}\) & \(0.25 \mu \mathrm{l}\) \\
\hline Primer 2 (NOS RW) & \(0.25 \mu \mathrm{M}\) & \(0.25 \mu \mathrm{l}\) \\
\hline Taq Polymerase & 1.25 unit & \(0.25 \mu \mathrm{l}\) \\
\hline H 2 O & - & \(14.75 \mu \mathrm{l}\) \\
\hline\(\Sigma \mathrm{V}\) & \(25 \mu \mathrm{l}\) \\
\hline & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table D.11: PCR program for CaMV35SS-ManA-NOS cassette detection
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{PCR Program for CamV35S-ManA-NOS Amlificaiton} \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Initial Denaturation} & 949\% & 4 min \\
\hline \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{Log Step} \\
\hline \multirow{3}{*}{35 Cycles} & Denaturation & \(94 . \mathrm{C}\) & 35 sec \\
\hline & Annealing & 60% & 35 sec \\
\hline & Extension & \(74 . \mathrm{C}\) & \(2^{\prime} 30 \mathrm{sec}\) \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Final Extension} & \(74 . \mathrm{C}\) & 5 min \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table D.12: Restriction reaction mixes for pUNI51-MBF1c cds clone analysis
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{MBF1c insert size analysis} & & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Hincll direction analysis} \\
\hline Vector & pUNI51-MBF1c & \(4 \mu \mathrm{l}\) & Vector & pUNI51-MBF1c & \(4 \mu \mathrm{l}\) \\
\hline Fragment Buffer (10X) & \[
\begin{array}{r}
\text { MBF1c } \\
0
\end{array}
\] & \(2.5 \mu\) & Fragment Buffer (10X) & \begin{tabular}{l}
MBF1c \\
Buffer Tango 1 X
\end{tabular} & \\
\hline enzyme 1 & Ecori & \(1 \mu \mathrm{l}\) & enzyme & Hincll & \(1 \mu \mathrm{l}\) \\
\hline enzyme 2 & Notl & \(1 \mu \mathrm{l}\) & supWater & & \(13 \mu \mathrm{l}\) \\
\hline supWater & & \(16.5 \mu \mathrm{l}\) & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table D.13: EcoRI-SalI restriction digestion mixes MBF1c sub-cloning into pBlueSK +
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{pBlueSK+ EcoRI-Sall Double Digestion} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{MBF1c EcoRl-Sall Double Digestion} \\
\hline Vector & pBlueSK+ & \(6 \mu \mathrm{l}\) & Vector & pUNI51-MBF1c & \(6 \mu \mathrm{l}\) \\
\hline Fragment & - & & Fragment & MBF1c & \\
\hline Buffer O (10X) & & \(2.5 \mu \mathrm{l}\) & Buffer O (10X) & & \(2.5 \mu \mathrm{l}\) \\
\hline EcoRI & & \(1 \mu \mathrm{l}\) & Ecori & & \(1 \mu \mathrm{l}\) \\
\hline Sall & & \(1 \mu \mathrm{l}\) & Sall & & \(1 \mu \mathrm{l}\) \\
\hline supH2O & & \(14.5 \mu \mathrm{l}\) & supH2O & & \(14.5 \mu \mathrm{l}\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table D.14: pBlueScriptSK+ and MBF1c cds ligation reaction mix Note that EcoRI-SalI restriction sites were utilized for directional cloning
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|r|}{MBF1c, pBlueSK+ Ligation Rxn. Mix.} \\
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{EcoRl-Sall Fragments} & MBF1c cds & \(4 \mu \mathrm{l}\) \\
\hline & pBlueSK+ & \(3 \mu \mathrm{l}\) \\
\hline 50 mM ATP & & \(2 \mu \mathrm{l}\) \\
\hline 5X Rapid Lig. Buff & & \(5 \mu \mathrm{l}\) \\
\hline Roche T4 DNA Lig. & & \(1 \mu \mathrm{l}\) \\
\hline supH2O & & \(10 \mu \mathrm{l}\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table D.15: Restriction mixes for the first digests of pBlueSK-MBF1c and IV 1.1
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Vector} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{MBF1c 1st Digest} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Vector} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{IV 1.1 1st Digest} \\
\hline & pBlueSK-MBF1c & \(5 \mu \mathrm{l}\) & & IV 1.1 & \(5 \mu \mathrm{l}\) \\
\hline Fragment & MBF1c & & Fragment & - & \\
\hline Buffer R (10X) & & \(2 \mu \mathrm{l}\) & Buffer Tan & & \(2 \mu \mathrm{l}\) \\
\hline Xhol & & \(1 \mu \mathrm{l}\) & Bgll & & \(1 \mu \mathrm{l}\) \\
\hline supH2O & & \(12 \mu \mathrm{l}\) & SupH2O & & \(12 \mu \mathrm{l}\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table D.16: Blunting of XhoI and BglII digests of pBlueSK-MBF1c and IV 1.1 Final concentration of dNTP mix was \(150 \mu \mathrm{M}\). Blunting reaction was carried out at \(11^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\) for 20 min .
\begin{tabular}{|l|l|l|l|l|}
\hline \multicolumn{5}{|c|}{ Xhol Blunting } \\
\hline Digest Mix & pBlueSK-MBF1c Xhol digest & \(20 \mu \mathrm{l}\) & IV 1.1 BglII digest & \(20 \mu \mathrm{l}\) \\
\hline dNTP mix & & \(0.5 \mu \mathrm{l}\) & & \multicolumn{2}{c|}{ BgII Blunting } \\
\hline T4 DNA Polymerase & & \(1 \mu \mathrm{l}\) & & \(0.5 \mu \mathrm{l}\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table D.17: Compositions of second restriction digest mixes of pBlueSK-MBF1c and IV 1.1
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & MBF1c 2n & Digest & & IV 1.1 2nd & Digest \\
\hline Blunted & MBF1c 1st & \(27 \mu \mathrm{l}\) & Blunted & IV 1.1 1st Digest & \(27 \mu \mathrm{l}\) \\
\hline Fragment & Digest Elution & & Fragment & Elution & \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Buffer Eco52l (10X)} & \(3 \mu \mathrm{l}\) & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Buffer Tango (10X)} & \(3 \mu \mathrm{l}\) \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Eco52l} & \(1 \mu \mathrm{l}\) & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Notl} & \(1 \mu \mathrm{l}\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table D.18: Ligation reaction mix for IV 1.1-MBF1c cassette construction Ligation was performed with T4 DNA Ligase in total volume of \(20 \mu \mathrm{l}\) at \(16^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\) overnight.


Table D.19: TD-PCR reaction mix for MBF1c amplification with MBF1c XSS primers Note that there is no MgCl 2 component in the table. It is supplied in Herculase buffer mix at 2 mM final concentration
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{MBF1c (XSS) PCR Rxn. Mix} \\
\hline & Final [c] & V \\
\hline Herculase Buffer (5X) & 1x & \(5 \mu \mathrm{l}\) \\
\hline Template(pBlueSK-MBF1c) & - & \(3 \mu \mathrm{l}\) \\
\hline Primer Mix \((25 \mu \mathrm{M})\) & \(0.25 \mu \mathrm{M}\) & \(2.5 \mu \mathrm{l}\) \\
\hline dNTP Mix ( 2 mM ) & 0.2 mM & \(2.5 \mu \mathrm{l}\) \\
\hline Herculase Enzyme ( \(5 \mathrm{u} / \mu \mathrm{l}\) ) & 2.5 u & \(0.5 \mu \mathrm{l}\) \\
\hline supH2O & & \(11.5 \mu \mathrm{l}\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table D.20: The TD-PCR program for MBF1c amplification with MBF1c XSS primers Consider Primer-3 generated Tm values of 55.85oC for Fw and 59.94oC for Rw primers. According to these Tm values Touch Down (TD) step annealing temperature was started from \(60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\) and ended at \(55^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\) with \(1^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\) decrements and each temperature step was cycled for 5 times. At the logarithmic step annealing temperature was set to \(54^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\).


Table D.21: XbaI-SacI double digest reaction of MBF1c and IV 1.1
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{MBF1c XSS Xbal-Sacl Double Digestion} & \multicolumn{3}{|r|}{IV 1.1 Xbal-Sacl Double Digestion} \\
\hline Amplicon & MBF1c XSS & \(10 \mu \mathrm{l}\) & Vector & IV 1.1 & \(6 \mu \mathrm{l}\) \\
\hline Buffer NEB & & \(3 \mu \mathrm{l}\) & Buffer N & & \(3 \mu \mathrm{l}\) \\
\hline BSA (10X) & & \(3 \mu \mathrm{l}\) & BSA (10 & & \(3 \mu \mathrm{l}\) \\
\hline Xbal & & \(1 \mu \mathrm{l}\) & Xbal & & \(1 \mu \mathrm{l}\) \\
\hline Sacl & & \(1 \mu \mathrm{l}\) & Sacl & & \(1 \mu \mathrm{l}\) \\
\hline supH \(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\) & & \(12 \mu \mathrm{l}\) & sup \(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\) & & \(16 \mu \mathrm{l}\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table D.22: Ligation reaction mix for XbaI-SacI digested MBF1c XSS amplicon and IV 1.1
\begin{tabular}{|l|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|c|}{ MBF1c XSS and IV 1.1 Ligation Rxn. Mix. } \\
\hline MBF1c XSS Xbal-Sacl Double Digest & \(4 \mu \mathrm{l}\) \\
\hline IV 1.1 Xbal-Sacl Double Digest & \(7 \mu \mathrm{l}\) \\
\hline 5X Rapid Ligation Buffer & \(3 \mu \mathrm{l}\) \\
\hline T4 DNA Ligase & \(1 \mu \mathrm{l}\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table D.23: Colony PCR reaction mix compositions for IV 1.1-MBF1c XSS clone detection.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{MBF1c (XSS) Colonyn PCR Rxn. Mix} \\
\hline & Final [c] & V \\
\hline Colony & - & - \\
\hline 10X Taq Buffer & 1X & \(2.5 \mu \mathrm{l}\) \\
\hline 1 mM dNTP Mix & 0.2 mM & \(5 \mu \mathrm{l}\) \\
\hline 25 mM MgCl 2 & 2 mM & \(2 \mu \mathrm{l}\) \\
\hline \(25 \mu \mathrm{M}\) Primer mix XSS & \(0.5 \mu \mathrm{M}\) & \(0.5 \mu \mathrm{l}\) \\
\hline Taq DNA Polymerase & 1.25 u & \(0.25 \mu \mathrm{l}\) \\
\hline supH2O & & \(13.75 \mu \mathrm{l}\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table D.24: Colony PCR program of IV 1.1-MBF1c XSS clone detection
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{MBF1c (XSS) Colony PCR Program} & \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Initial Denaturation} & \(94{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\) & 4 min \\
\hline \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{Log Step} \\
\hline \multirow{3}{*}{35 Cycles} & Denaturation & \(94{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\) & 35 sec \\
\hline & Annealing & \(58^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\) & 35 sec \\
\hline & Extension & \(74{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\) & 45 sec \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Final Extension} & \(74{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\) & 5 min \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table D.25: XbaI-SalI digestion mixture for MBF1c XSS amplicon The PCR amplified MBF1c fragment is cleaned with PCR cleaning kit and eluted into \(40 \mu\) of total volume then digestion was proceeded at \(37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\) overnight.
\begin{tabular}{|rr|}
\hline MBF1c XSS Digest \\
MBF1c XSS & \(30 \mu \mathrm{l}\) \\
Buffer NEB-3 & \(4 \mu \mathrm{l}\) \\
BSA (10x) & \(4 \mu \mathrm{l}\) \\
Xbal & \(1 \mu \mathrm{l}\) \\
Sall & \(1 \mu \mathrm{l}\) \\
H 2 O & - \\
\(\sum \mathrm{V}\) & \(40 \mu \mathrm{l}\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table D.26: The ligation mix for CaMV35SS-MBF1c-NOS cassette construction
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Rapid Ligation Mix. For 35S-ManA-NOS Cassette} \\
\hline 5X Rapid Ligation Buffer & \(3 \mu \mathrm{l}\) \\
\hline pBlueSK+ & \\
\hline CamV35S Amplicon digest mixes colected & 11 \\
\hline MBF1c XSS Amplicon and co-eluted & \\
\hline T NOS Amplicon & \\
\hline T4-DNA Ligase & \(1 \mu \mathrm{l}\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table D.27: PCR reaction mixture for MBF1c coding sequence amplification
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Colony-PCR Mix for CamV35S-MBF1c-NOS Clone Detection} \\
\hline & Final [c] & 1X \\
\hline Template & - & \(5 \mu \mathrm{l}\) \\
\hline dNTP mix & 1 mM & \(5 \mu \mathrm{l}\) \\
\hline 10X Taq Buffer ( NH 4 ) & 1X & \(2.5 \mu \mathrm{l}\) \\
\hline \(\mathrm{MgCl}_{2}\) & 25 mM & \(2 \mu \mathrm{l}\) \\
\hline MBF1c XSS Primer mix ( \(25 \mu \mathrm{M}\) ) & \(0.25 \mu \mathrm{M}\) & \(0.5 \mu \mathrm{l}\) \\
\hline Taq Polymerase & 2.5 unit & \(0.5 \mu \mathrm{l}\) \\
\hline \(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\) & - & \(9.5 \mu \mathrm{l}\) \\
\hline इV & 25 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table D.28: PCR program for MBF1c detection of pBlueSK 35S-MBF1c-NOS clones
\begin{tabular}{|llll|}
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{ Colony-PCR Program for MBF1c } & Detection \\
Initial Denaturation & \(94 \circ \mathrm{C}\) & 4 min \\
Log Step & & & \\
\hline & Denaturation & \(94 \circ \mathrm{C}\) & 35 sec \\
\hline 35 Cycles & Annealing & \(65 \circ \mathrm{C}\) & 35 sec \\
& Extension & \(74 \circ \mathrm{C}\) & 45 sec \\
\hline & & & \\
\hline Final Extension & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table D.29: Double digest buffers for P35S ManA/MBF1c NOS cassette recoveries Buffers were selected on the basis that maintains highest activity for both of the restriction enzymes
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & & Double Digest & Buffers & \(\%\) Activity \\
\hline \multirow{3}{*}{ Vectors } & pPZP101 & EcoRI \& HindIII & Neb 2 & 100 \& 100 \\
& pBlueSK 35S MAnA NOS & HindIII \& Xmal & Neb 4 & 50 \& 100 \\
& pBlueSK 35S MBF1c NOS & EcoRI \& Xmal & Neb 4 & 100 \& 100 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table D.30: Restriction digest mixes of pPZP101, pBlueSK 35S ManA/MBF1c NOS Digestion was carried out at \(37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\) overnight. Critical event of Digestion was pBlueSK 35S ManA NOS double digest the vector was first digested with EcoRI overnight and then with XmaI since EcoRI and XmaI restriction sites at 5' end of the cassette are overlapping (mentioned in figure 3.41 in section 3.1.6.3.2.1).
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline pPZP101 & pBlueSK35S ManA NOS & pBlueSK35S ManA NOS \\
\hline Vector \(6 \mu \mathrm{l}\) & Vector \(6 \mu \mathrm{l}\) & Vector \(6 \mu \mathrm{l}\) \\
\hline Buffer NEB - \(24 \mu \mathrm{l}\) & Buffer NEB -4 \(3 \mu \mathrm{l}\) & Buffer NEB -4 4 \(\mu\) l \\
\hline BSA (10x) \(4 \mu \mathrm{l}\) & BSA (10x) \(3 \mu \mathrm{l}\) & BSA (10x) \(4 \mu \mathrm{l}\) \\
\hline EcoRI \(1 \mu \mathrm{l}\) & EcoRI \(1 \mu \mathrm{l}\) & Hind III \(2 \mu \mathrm{l}\) \\
\hline Hind III \(1 \mu\) l & Xmal \(1 \mu \mathrm{l}\) & Xmal \(1 \mu \mathrm{l}\) \\
\hline \(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} 24 \mu \mathrm{l}\) & \(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} 16 \mu \mathrm{l}\) & \(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} 23 \mu \mathrm{l}\) \\
\hline \(\sum \mathrm{V} 40 \mu \mathrm{l}\) & £ V \(30 \mu \mathrm{l}\) & \(\Sigma \mathrm{V} 40 \mu \mathrm{l}\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table D.31: PCR mixes for P35S-ManA-NOS and P35S-MBF1c-NOS amplifications Amplifications to be made for analysis of the putative pPZP101 ManA-MBF1c clones.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Colony-PCR Mix for CamV35S-ManA/MBF1c-NOS Cassette Amplificaitons} \\
\hline & Final [c] & 1X \\
\hline Template & - & \(5 \mu \mathrm{l}\) \\
\hline dNTP mix & 1 mM & \(5 \mu \mathrm{l}\) \\
\hline 10X Taq Buffer (NH4) & 1X & \(2.5 \mu \mathrm{l}\) \\
\hline \(\mathrm{MgCl}_{2}\) & 25 mM & \(2 \mu \mathrm{l}\) \\
\hline 35S Fw Primer ( \(50 \mu \mathrm{M}\) ) & \(0.25 \mu \mathrm{M}\) & \(0.125 \mu \mathrm{l}\) \\
\hline NOS Rw Primer ( \(50 \mu \mathrm{M}\) ) & \(0.25 \mu \mathrm{M}\) & \(0.125 \mu \mathrm{l}\) \\
\hline Taq Polymerase & 2.5 unit & \(0.5 \mu \mathrm{l}\) \\
\hline \(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\) & - & \(9.75 \mu \mathrm{l}\) \\
\hline \(\Sigma \mathrm{V}\) & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table D.32: PCR program for P35S-ManA-NOS and P35S-MBF1c-NOS amplifications
Amplifications to be made for analysis of the putative pPZP101 ManA-MBF1c clones.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{Colony-PCR Program for CamV35S-ManA/MBF1c-NOS} \\
\hline \multicolumn{4}{|c|}{Cassette Detection} \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Initial Denaturation} & \(94{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\) & 4 min \\
\hline \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{Log Step} \\
\hline \multirow{3}{*}{35 Cycles} & Denaturation & \(94{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\) & 35 sec \\
\hline & Annealing & 70은 & 35 sec \\
\hline & Extension & \(74{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\) & 1'30 sec \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Final Extension} & 74.C & 5 min \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table D.33: PCR reaction mixes for ManA and MBF1c cds amplifications Amplifications to be made for analysis of the putative pPZP101 ManA-MBF1c clones.
\begin{tabular}{|r|rl|}
\hline & & \\
\hline Colony-PCR Mix for ManA and MBF1c Amplificaitons \\
& Final [c] & 1 X \\
\hline Template & - & \(5 \mu \mathrm{l}\) \\
dNTP mix & 1 mM & \(5 \mu \mathrm{l}\) \\
\hline MgCl & 25 mM & \(2 \mu \mathrm{l}\) \\
\hline 10X Taq Buffer (NH4) & 1 l & \(2.5 \mu \mathrm{l}\) \\
\hline ManA Primer Mix ( \(25 \mu \mathrm{M})\) & \(0.25 \mu \mathrm{M}\) & \(0.25 \mu \mathrm{l}\) \\
\hline MBF1c Primer Mix \((25 \mu \mathrm{M})\) & \(0.25 \mu \mathrm{M}\) & \(0.25 \mu \mathrm{l}\) \\
\hline Taq Polymerase & 2.5 unit & \(0.5 \mu \mathrm{l}\) \\
\hline H 2 O & - & \(9.5 \mu \mathrm{l}\) \\
\hline\(\Sigma \mathrm{V}\) & \(25 \mu \mathrm{l}\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table D.34: PCR program for ManA and MBF1c cds amplifications Amplifications to be made for analysis of the putative pPZP101 ManA-MBF1c clones.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{Colony-PCR Program for ManA and MBF1c Detection} \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Initial Denaturation} & \(94{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\) & 4 min \\
\hline \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{Log Step} \\
\hline \multirow{3}{*}{35 Cycles} & Denaturation & \(94 . \mathrm{C}\) & 35 sec \\
\hline & Annealing & 66C & 35 sec \\
\hline & Extension & \(74{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\) & 1'30 sec \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Final Extension} & \(74{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\) & 5 min \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table D.35: Restriction digest mixtures for EcorI and HindIII digests of PCR positive clones
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline EcoRI digest & HindIII digest \\
\hline 1X & 1X \\
\hline plasmids for clones 1-6 & plasmids for clones 1-6 \\
\hline Buffer EcoRI \(2.5 \mu \mathrm{l}\) & Buffer Red \(2.5 \mu \mathrm{l}\) \\
\hline EcoRI \(0.5 \mu \mathrm{l}\) & HindIII \(0.5 \mu \mathrm{l}\) \\
\hline \(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} 17 \mu \mathrm{l}\) & \(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} 17 \mu \mathrm{l}\) \\
\hline \(\sum \mathrm{V} 25 \mu \mathrm{l}\) & £ V \(25 \mu \mathrm{l}\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table D.36: The PCR reaction mixtures for MBF1c, ManA and GUSint amplificaitons Amplifications are for three puative clones of pPZP101 ManA-MBF1c and a single clone of pBLueSK+GUSint. "No template" control was only carried with the pBlueSK+GUSint clone only.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|c|}{Reaction Mixtures for PCR Check of GUSint, ManA \& MBF1c Seqeunces} \\
\hline Components & Final [c] & 1xV & M.Mix ( 9 X V ) & MBF1c (3X) & ManA (3X) & GUSint (2X) \\
\hline Template & - & \(0.5 \mu \mathrm{l}\) & - & \(0.5 \mu \mathrm{l}\) & \(0.5 \mu \mathrm{l}\) & \(0.5 \mu \mathrm{l}\) \\
\hline 1 mM dNTP mix & 1 mM & \(5 \mu \mathrm{l}\) & \(45 \mu \mathrm{l}\) & & & \\
\hline 10X Taq Buffer (NH4) & 1 X & \(2.5 \mu \mathrm{l}\) & \(22.5 \mu \mathrm{l}\) & & & \\
\hline 25 mM MgCl 2 & 25 mM & \(2 \mu \mathrm{l}\) & \(18 \mu \mathrm{l}\) & & & \\
\hline \(25 \mu \mathrm{M}\) Primer mix & \(0.25 \mu \mathrm{M}\) & \(0.25 \mu \mathrm{l}\) & - & \(0.75 \mu \mathrm{l}\) & \(0.75 \mu \mathrm{l}\) & \(0.50 \mu \mathrm{l}\) \\
\hline Taq & 0.125 u & \(0.25 \mu \mathrm{l}\) & \(2.25 \mu \mathrm{l}\) & & & \\
\hline H2O & - & \(14.5 \mu \mathrm{l}\) & \(135 \mu \mathrm{l}\) & & & \\
\hline Total Volumes( \(\mu\) l) & - & \(25 \mu \mathrm{l}\) & \(222.75 \mu\) & \(75 \mu \mathrm{l}\) from M.Mix & \(75 \mu \mathrm{l}\) from M.Mix & \(50 \mu \mathrm{l}\) from M.Mix \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table D.37: The PCR program for MBF1c, ManA and GUSint amplificaitons Note that amplifications were carried in single run and with single annealing temperature \(\left(58^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)\). Compared to the Tm values of primer sets PCR reaction annealing temperature was approximately \(5-10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\) below.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{PCR Program for GUSint, ManA and MBF1c Analysis} \\
\hline & & Temperature & Time \\
\hline Initial Denaturation & & 949C & 4 min \\
\hline \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{Amplification Step} \\
\hline Denaturation & \multirow{3}{*}{35 Cycles} & 949\% & 35 sec \\
\hline Annealing & & \(58 . \mathrm{C}\) & 35 sec \\
\hline Extension & & 749C & 120 sec \\
\hline Final Extension & & \(74{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\) & 5 min \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table D.38: XmaI digest mix for pPZP101 ManA-MBF1c and pBlueSK+GUSint vectors
Note that calf intestinal alkaline phosphatase (CIP) was added to restriction mix of pPZP101 MM digest in order to prevent self circularisation during liantion reaction.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{Cfr9I(Xmal) Digest Mixes for pPZP101 ManA-MBF1c \& pBlueSKGUSint} \\
\hline Components & 1X & M.Mix (2X V) & pPZP101 MM & pBlueSKGUSint \\
\hline DNA & \(7 \mu\) & & \(7 \mu \mathrm{l}\) & \(7 \mu \mathrm{l}\) \\
\hline Buffer Cfr91 & \(4 \mu \mathrm{l}\) & \(8 \mu \mathrm{l}\) & - & - \\
\hline Cfr91 & \(2 \mu \mathrm{l}\) & \(4 \mu \mathrm{l}\) & - & - \\
\hline CIP & - & - & \(1 \mu \mathrm{l}\) & - \\
\hline H2O & \(27 \mu \mathrm{l}\) & \(54 \mu \mathrm{l}\) & - & - \\
\hline Total Volume & \(40 \mu \mathrm{l}\) & \(66 \mu \mathrm{l}\) & \begin{tabular}{l}
\(33 \mu \mathrm{l}\) from \\
M.Mix
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
\(33 \mu \mathrm{l}\) from \\
M.Mix
\end{tabular} \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table D.39: BcuI-XhoI digest mixes for pPZP101 ManA-MBF1c and pBlueSK + GUSint Note that vectors are first digested with BcuI in 1X Tango Buffer and then XhoI digest was performed within the same reaction mix through completion of Tango buffer strenght to 2 X . Also note that the activities of BcuI and XhoI enzymes are \(100 \%\) in 1X and 2X Tango buffers respectively
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|r|}{Bcul(Spel)-Xhol Digest Mixes for pPZP101 ManA-MBF1c \& pBlueSKGUSint} \\
\hline & & & Irst, Bcul Digest & & Second, Xhol Digest \\
\hline Components & 1 X & M.Mix (2X V) & pPZP101 MM & pBlueSKGUSint & M.Mix (2X V) \\
\hline DNA & \(4 \mu \mathrm{l}\) & - & \(4 \mu \mathrm{l}\) & \(4 \mu \mathrm{l}\) & - \\
\hline Beul & \(2 \mu \mathrm{l}\) & \(4 \mu \mathrm{l}\) & - & - & - \\
\hline Xhol & - & - & - & - & \(2 \mu \mathrm{l}\) \\
\hline Buffer Tango & \(4 \mu \mathrm{l}\) & \(8 \mu \mathrm{l}\) & - & - & \(8.8 \mu \mathrm{l}\) \\
\hline H2O & \(30 \mu \mathrm{l}\) & \(60 \mu \mathrm{l}\) & - & - & Total \(10.8 \mu \mathrm{l}\) \\
\hline Total Volume & \(40 \mu \mathrm{l}\) & \(72 \mu \mathrm{l}\) & \begin{tabular}{l}
\(36 \mu \mathrm{l}\) from \\
M.Mix
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
\(36 \mu \mathrm{l}\) from \\
M.Mix
\end{tabular} & Dispense \(5.4 \mu\) l to the first digests \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table D.40: The ligation mixture for pPZP101ManA-MBF1c and pBlueSK+GUSint Fast liagtion was carried out for integration of the vectors.
\begin{tabular}{|l|l|}
\hline pPZP101 ManA-MBF1c \& pBlueSK+GUSint \\
Ligation Mix
\end{tabular}\(|\)

Table D.41: EcoRI digest mix of the pPZP101ManA-pBlueGUSint-MBF1c hybrids
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{pPZP101 ManA-pBlueSKGUSint-MBF1c Clones EcoRI Digest Mixes for Direction Analysis} \\
\hline Components & 1X & M.Mix (5X V) & Clones 2-6 \\
\hline DNA & \(4 \mu \mathrm{l}\) & - & \(4 \mu \mathrm{l}\) \\
\hline Buffer EcoRI & \(2.5 \mu \mathrm{l}\) & \(12.5 \mu \mathrm{l}\) & - \\
\hline Ecori & \(1 \mu \mathrm{l}\) & \(5 \mu \mathrm{l}\) & - \\
\hline H2O & \(17.5 \mu \mathrm{l}\) & \(87.5 \mu \mathrm{l}\) & - \\
\hline Total Volume & \(25 \mu \mathrm{l}\) & \(105 \mu \mathrm{l}\) & \(21 \mu\) from M.Mix \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table D.42: BcuI-XhoI digest mix for pPZP101 ManA-pBlueSK+GUSint-MBF1c hybrids
The forward and the reverse pPZP101 ManA-pBlueSK+GUSint-MBF1c hybrid clones (\#6 and \#2 respectively) were double digested for both analysis and ligation.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{Bcul(Spel)-Xhol Digest Mixes for pPZP101 ManA-pBlueSKGUSint-MBF1c Hybrid Vector} \\
\hline & & & t, Bcul Digest & & Second, Xhol Digest \\
\hline Components & 1X & M.Mix (2X V) & RW Clone (\#2) & FW Clone (\#6) & M.Mix (2X V) \\
\hline DNA & \(6 \mu \mathrm{l}\) & - & \(6 \mu \mathrm{l}\) & \(6 \mu \mathrm{l}\) & - \\
\hline Bcul & \(2 \mu \mathrm{l}\) & \(4 \mu \mathrm{l}\) & - & - & - \\
\hline Xhol & - & - & - & - & \(2 \mu \mathrm{l}\) \\
\hline Buffer Tango & \(4 \mu \mathrm{l}\) & \(8 \mu \mathrm{l}\) & - & - & \(8.8 \mu \mathrm{l}\) \\
\hline H2O & \(28 \mu \mathrm{l}\) & \(56 \mu \mathrm{l}\) & - & - & Total \(10.8 \mu \mathrm{l}\) \\
\hline Total Volume & \(40 \mu \mathrm{l}\) & \(68 \mu \mathrm{l}\) & \begin{tabular}{l}
\(34 \mu \mathrm{l}\) from \\
M.Mix
\end{tabular} & \(34 \mu \mathrm{l}\) from M.Mix & Dispense \(5.4 \mu \mathrm{l}\) to the first digests \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table D.43: Comparison table MS salts and Hoagland's E solution
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline MS Micro Nutrients & Hoagland's E Micro Nutrients \\
\hline \(\mathrm{CoCl}_{2} .6 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\) & - \\
\hline \(\mathrm{CuSO}_{4} .5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\) & \(\mathrm{CuSO}_{4} .5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\) \\
\hline FeNa.EDTA & \(\mathrm{FeCl}_{3} .6 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\). EDTA \\
\hline \(\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BO}_{3}\) & \(\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BO}_{3}\) \\
\hline KI & - \\
\hline \(\mathrm{MnSO}_{4} \cdot \mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{O}\) & MnCl \(2.4 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\) \\
\hline \(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{MoO}_{4} \cdot 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\) & \(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{MoO}_{4} \cdot 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\) \\
\hline \(\mathrm{ZnSO}_{4} 7 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\) & \(\mathrm{ZnSO}_{4} 7 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\) \\
\hline MS Macro Nutrients & Hoagland's E Macro Nutrients \\
\hline \(\mathrm{CaCl}_{2}\) & \(\mathrm{Ca}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2} \cdot 4 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\) \\
\hline \(\mathrm{KH}_{2} \mathrm{PO}_{4}\) & \(\mathrm{KH}_{2} \mathrm{PO}_{4}\) \\
\hline \(\mathrm{KNO}_{3}\) & \(\mathrm{KNO}_{3}\) \\
\hline \(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\) & \(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4} \cdot 7 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\) \\
\hline \(\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{NO}_{3}\) & - \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table D.44: Base media for inoculation and co-cultivation media preparations Modified Hoagland's-E medium as the basis of the inoculation and co-cultivation media.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Base Media Micro Nutrients} \\
\hline \(\mathrm{CuSO}_{4} .5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\) & 0.09g/l \\
\hline \(\mathrm{FeCl}_{3} \cdot 6 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\) : EDTA & \(0.484 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{l}\) \\
\hline \(\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BO}_{3}\) & 2.86g/l \\
\hline \(\mathrm{MnCl}_{2} .4 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\) & 1.82g/l \\
\hline \(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{MoO}_{4} \cdot 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\) & 0.09g/l \\
\hline \(\mathrm{ZnSO}_{4} \cdot 7 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\) & 0.22g/l \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Base Media Macro Nutrients} \\
\hline \(\mathrm{MgSO} 4.7 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\) & \(24.6 \mathrm{~g} / 100 \mathrm{ml}\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{APPENDIX E}

\section*{DATA TABLES}

Table E.1: Germination scores of three days old lentil seedlings Germination scores of three days old lentil seedlings tabulated. Germination rate is given as fraction. Germination rate X100 is percent germination. Sample size for germination rate calculation is 120 . Seedling weights and hypocotyl lengths were pooled from approximately 25 samples.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & \multicolumn{3}{|r|}{Germination Rate (Fraction)} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Seedling Weight (mg)} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Hypocotyl Length (cm)} \\
\hline & & Mean & Std Dev. & Mean & Std Dev. & Mean & Std Dev. \\
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Media} & Mo & 0.85 & 0.07 & 0.197 & 0.027 & 2.85 & 0.98 \\
\hline & M & 0.83 & 0.09 & 0.186 & 0.022 & 2.68 & 0.56 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table E.2: Regenerative capacities of explants isolated with different methods Data table for regenerative capacities of explants isolated with different methods. Mean of regenerated shoots are scores as fractions (X100 for\% interpretation). ( \(\mathrm{n}=20\) ).
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{Fraction of Meristems Generating Shoots for Differet Explant Isolation Methods \& Injury Applied} \\
\hline & explant & injury & mean scores & std. error. \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{conventional} & cotiledonary node & - & 1.00 & 0.00 \\
\hline & cotiledonary node & + & 0.92 & 0.10 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{epicotyl excised} & hypocotyl & - & 0.92 & 0.07 \\
\hline & hypocotyl & + & 0.92 & 0.09 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{cotyledones stripped} & cotyledones & - & 0.73 & 0.31 \\
\hline & embryo axis & - & 0.39 & 0.14 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table E.3: Data summary for the necrotic phenotype of transformed explants
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{8}{|c|}{Preliminary Transformation Experiments} \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{explant isolation method} & \multicolumn{4}{|c|}{conventional} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{epicotyl excised} \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{injury} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{sectioning down} & \multicolumn{2}{|c|}{poking} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{epicotyl excised} \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{tarnsformation} & C & TR & C & TR & C & TR \\
\hline \multirow[t]{4}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
phenotype \\
\% scores
\end{tabular}} & Full Necrotic & 30 & 32 & 40 & 43 & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\multirow[t]{2}{*}{no epicotyl}} \\
\hline & Epicotyl Necrosed & 3 & 4 & 0 & 1 & & \\
\hline & Hypocotyl Necrosed & 3 & 4 & 7 & 2 & 62 & 63 \\
\hline & Sample Number & 30 & 97 & 30 & 90 & 29 & 100 \\
\hline \multirow{3}{*}{Std. Dev.} & Full Necrotic & 8.82 & 4.44 & 10.00 & 4.37 & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\multirow[t]{2}{*}{no epicotyl}} \\
\hline & Epicotyl Necrosed & 1.92 & 0.84 & 0.00 & 0.45 & & \\
\hline & Hypocotyl Necrosed & 1.92 & 0.52 & 3.85 & 1.36 & 9.12 & 4.93 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table E.4: Second transformation set regeneration and viability scores Second transformation set regeneration and viability scores are tabulated. Explant sub-groups separated according to hormone application during germination (blue highlighted), pre-culture or injury (blue highlighted) else injury or pre-culture (orange highlighted) during explant preparation were noted as level 1-3 in the figures 5.1-1 and -2.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{19}{|c|}{\% Scores for the Viability \& Regeneration of the Transformation Set 2} \\
\hline germination & \multicolumn{6}{|c|}{TDZ} & \multicolumn{6}{|c|}{BA} & \multicolumn{6}{|c|}{\(B A+T D Z\)} \\
\hline \multirow{4}{*}{explant preparation} & \multicolumn{18}{|c|}{conventional explant isolation} \\
\hline & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{preculture} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{\multirow[t]{2}{*}{injury preculture}} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{preculture} & \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{injury} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{preculture} & \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{injury} \\
\hline & \multicolumn{3}{|r|}{\multirow[t]{2}{*}{injury}} & & & & \multicolumn{3}{|r|}{\multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\underbrace{\text { 1d }}_{\text {injury }} 2 \mathrm{~d}
\]}} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{preculture} & \multicolumn{3}{|r|}{\multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
{ }_{\text {injury }}^{1 d} \quad 2 d
\]}} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{preculture} \\
\hline & & & & \multicolumn{2}{|c|}{1 d} & 2d & & & & 10 & & 2d & & & & 1 & & 2 d \\
\hline transformation & Con & TR & TR & Con & TR & TR & Con & TR & TR & Con & TR & TR & Con & TR & TR & Con & TR & TR \\
\hline \multicolumn{19}{|l|}{Week 1} \\
\hline Callus & 10 & 61 & 100 & 44 & 77 & 100 & 75 & 90 & 94 & 50 & 85 & 78 & 60 & 100 & 79 & 50 & 91 & 88 \\
\hline Necrosis & 0 & 4 & 13 & 44 & 12 & 0 & 0 & 10 & 33 & 38 & 10 & 11 & 10 & 18 & 29 & 10 & 26 & 69 \\
\hline Shoot & 90 & 46 & 20 & 67 & 46 & 31 & 25 & 29 & 6 & 50 & 35 & 17 & 40 & 11 & 36 & 30 & 13 & 0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{19}{|l|}{Week 2} \\
\hline Callus & 11 & 42 & 60 & 10 & 32 & 75 & 25 & 39 & 39 & 38 & 48 & 50 & 20 & 36 & 14 & 10 & 22 & 69 \\
\hline No Regeneration & 22 & 0 & 7 & 0 & 11 & 19 & 13 & 28 & 39 & 0 & 0 & 6 & 30 & 38 & 14 & 20 & 33 & 13 \\
\hline Shoot & 67 & 58 & 33 & 90 & 57 & 6 & 63 & 33 & 22 & 63 & 52 & 50 & 50 & 27 & 71 & 70 & 46 & 13 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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